

VERDICT SHEET

1. Has Plaintiff, Washington Munoz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant, LP Ciminelli, Inc. was negligent?

Yes No

Vote 6-0

If the answer to question # 1 is "yes" proceed to question # 2.

If the answer to question # 1 is "no" proceed to question # 3.

2. Was the negligence of defendant, LP Ciminelli, Inc. a proximate cause of the incident of June 25, 2013?

Yes No

Vote 6-0

Proceed to question #3

3. Has plaintiff, Washington Munoz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant, Paino Roofing Co., Inc. was negligent?

Yes No

Vote 6-0

If the answer to question # 3 is "yes" proceed to question #4; If the answer to question #3 is "no", but your answer to question #2 is "yes", proceed to question #5. If the answer to question #1 or #2 and #3 are "no", cease your deliberations and return your verdict.

4. Was the negligence of defendant, Paino Roofing Co., Inc. a proximate cause of the incident of June 25, 2013?

Yes No

Vote 6-0

If you answered "Yes" to either or both Questions # 2 and #4, proceed to Question # 5, otherwise cease your deliberations and advise the court officer that you have reached a verdict.

5. Has the Defendant, LP Ciminiell, Inc. and/or Paino Roofing Co., Inc., proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the plaintiff, Washington Munoz was negligent?

Yes No

Vote 6-0

If the answer to question # 5 is "yes" proceed to question # 6.

If the answer to question # 5 is "no" proceed to question #7.

6. Was the negligence of plaintiff, Washington Munoz a proximate cause of the incident of June 25, 2013?

Yes No

Vote 6-0

Proceed to question # 7.

7. Apportion liability among the parties you found to have been both negligent and a proximate cause of the plaintiff's incident of June 25, 2013. Your figures must add up to 100%.

LP Ciminelli, Inc.

70 %

Paino Roofing, Co., Inc.

30 %

Washington Munoz

0 %

100%

If you have attributed to plaintiff, Washington Munoz a percentage of negligence of 51% or greater, cease your deliberations and return your verdict.

If you have attributed to plaintiff, Washington Munoz a percentage of negligence which is less than 51%, please answer Question #8.

8. What amount of money will reasonably compensate plaintiff, Washington Munoz for the pain, suffering, impairment, disability and loss of enjoyment of life he sustained as a proximate result of the incident of June 25, 2013?

\$ 2,400,000.00 Vote 6-0

Proceed to question # 9

9. What amount of money will fairly and reasonably compensate the plaintiff, Washington Munoz for:

Past Medical Expenses (not to exceed \$104,671) \$ 104,671 Vote 6-0

Future Medical Expenses \$ 150,000 Vote 6-0

Past Lost Earnings \$ 235,248 Vote 6-0