Sheet 1 (1-4)

1	SUPERIO	R COURT OF I	NEW JERSE	1 Y	1	2 A P P E A R A N C E S
2	LAW DIVIS	SION - MONMO T NO. MON-L	OUTH COUN		2	
3					3	ANSELL, GRIMM & AARON, ESQS.
_	BLAIR KIM, by and througuardian Ad Litem, John		x CI	VIL ACTION	4	BY: BRIAN E. ANSELL, ESQ. 1500 Lawrence Avenue Ocean, NJ 07712
5		intiffs,	x		5	For the Plaintiffs.
6	-vs-	•	x D	EPOSITION	6	WOLFF, HELIES, DUGGAN, SPAETH & LUCAS, ESQS.,
7	x			OF:	7	BY: BRÜCK Ē. HELIES, ESQ., Valley Park Professional Center
8	MATAWAN ABERDEEN BOARD EDUCATION, MATAWAN REG		v DR. K	EITH R. BENOF	8	2517 Highway 35, Bldg. K Manasquan, NJ 08736
9	HIGH SCHOOL, JOSEPH J.	MARTUCCI,	x	DIIII III DDIIII	9	For the Defendants Matawan Aberdeen Boar of Education, Matawan Regional High
10	MONZO, ANDREW LASKO, M	ICHELLE	x		10	School, Joseph J. Martucci, Suzanne S. Mergner, Jess Monzo, Andrew Lasko and
11	RUSCAVAGE, JOHNNY SHOR	endants.	x x		11	Michelle Ruscavage.
12			^		12	CAMPBELL, FOLEY, DELANO & ADAMS, LLC, BY: JACQUELINE FARRELL, ESQ.,
13	TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of				13	601 Bangs Avenue Asbury Park, NJ 07712
14	4 the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken				14	For the Defendant Johnny Short.
15	5 by THOMAS J. McCAFFERY, a Certified Court Reporter				15	
16 (License No. 30X100034500) and Notary Public of the					16	
17	State of New Jersey, a	t the offic	es of Dr.	. Keith R.	17	
18	Benoff, 700 East Palis	ades Avenue	, Englewo	ood Cliffs, Ne	18	
19	Jersey, on Tuesday, th	e 23rd day	of July,	2013,	19	
20	commencing at 1:50 p.m				20	
21					21	
22					22	
23	4				23	
24					24	
25					25	
3 4	WITNESS DR. KEITH R. BENOFF By Mr. Ansell	I N D E X DIRECT	CROSS 54	REDIRECT 55	3	DR. KEITH R. BENOFF, called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. ANSELL: Good afternoon, Dr. Benoff.
5 6	By Mr. Helies		54		6	My name is Brian Ansell. I introduced myself earlier. I'm an attorney with the law firm of Ansell, Grimm &
7					7	Aaron down in Monmouth County. And I represent a you
8					8	man by the name of Blair Kim in connection with a
9					9	lawsuit that's been brought against the Matawan Aberdeen
10						Regional School district and some of their employees;
11	•				11	and that lawsuit arises out of an incident that occurred
12					12	on April 20 of 2010.
13					13	Do you understand that, sir?
14					14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15					15	MR. ANSELL: I understand that you have
16					16	become involved in this case, an examining
17					17	neuropsychologist; is that correct?
18					18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19					19	MR. ANSELL: And you're here on behalf of
20					20	•
21					21	
22					1	correct?
23					23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
					24	MR. ANSELL: Okay. And I understand that
24					1 '	MAN ANDULUM ORAY. AND I UNDERSTAIN HAL
24 25					25	you have had your deposition taken before; is that

5 wight? THE WITNESS: Yes. May I just turn my phone off? MR. ANSELL: Absolutely. (There was discussion off the record.) MR. ANSELL: You don't need me to give you instructions: is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. ANSELL: All right. I just want to remind you - of course you realize you're under oath; ad although we're sitting in your office in an informal setting, that oath is of course the same as if we were sitting in court before a judge and jury. 14 Do you understand that, sir? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 MR. ANSELL: Okay. How many times have you 17 had your deposition taken? 18 THE WITNESS: I'd have to estimate. 19 My guess is approximately 20. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANSELL: 22 How old are you now? 23 Forty-one. 24 How long have you been practicing as a europsychologist? . 7 He answered your question. MR. ANSELL: I understand. Traumatic brain injury is supposed to be considered according to severity; it's not supposed to be considered as an overall broad label. Okay. So you have -- what are the various

I've been working on my own as a ² neuropsychologist since two thousand and four; and I had a pro -- provisional license starting - I forget when probably 2001. I understand you've had an opportunity to look over pertinent case materials involving this case; correct? Α Yes. And you also had the opportunity to meet Q with Blair Kim and perform a battery of neuropsychological tests on him; is that correct? Yes. And a clinical interview. 13 Do you agree, Doctor, that Blair Kim sustained a traumatic brain as the result of the incident that happened on April 20th of 2010? I'd have to check my records. From his description of the incident, it appears that by criteria he did have a mild traumatic brain injury, a concussion. Q I didn't ask you the grade. I just asked you can we agree that he sustained a traumatic brain injury? 23 MR. HELIES: I object. He answered your question. If you don't like the answer, that's ²⁵ something else.

classifications --Q -- of a brain injury? Sorry for rushing. 11 Typically they would be classified as either mild, moderate or severe. 13

Okay. And in your view, it was a mild traumatic brain injury. Α Yes. Q And you're basing that on your review of the medical records that were supplied to you; correct? That is correct.

All right. But do you agree that he sustained at the very least a mild traumatic brain injury?

It was a mild traumatic brain injury.

15

16

18

19

Would you also agree, Doctor, that Blair Kim sustained a post-concussion syndrome following that ²⁵ mild traumatic brain injury?

Actually, no, I wouldn't.

Why don't you agree with that?

3 Α Because of the initial testing performed by Dr. 4 Batlas.

So what is it about the initial testing performed by Dr. Batlas that leads you to believe that Mr. Kim didn't suffer from post-concussion -post-concussion syndrome?

A careful review of the data from Dr. Batlas' evaluation.

Q Okay. You're aware Mr. Kim's treating neurologist has diagnosed him with having sustained post-concussion syndrome. 14

MR. HELIES: Objection to form.

Are you aware of that?

16 A Which neurologist is it?

Dr. Pellmar.

18 I'm aware of the fact that Dr. Pellmar has 19 diagnosed it, ves.

As his treating physician; correct?

²¹ A Based upon the reports supplied to him by Dr. 22 Batlas.

15

17

20

23 Well, he treated him directly himself; 24 correct?

²⁵ A I -- he's treated the post-concussion headaches,

to my knowledge. I don't know what other treatment he's
 provided him.

Q What in your mind constitutes a

4 post-concussion syndrome?

A In addition to the post-concussive headaches, we would expect for a diagnosis of post-concussion syndrome

there a might be a variety of symptoms, behavioral and

8 most typically some cognitive deficit that would be

⁹ present.

Q You were saying that in your opinion,

Mr. Kim didn't sustain any post-concussive syndrome for
 any time period following his traumatic brain injury?

¹³ A I would not use the diagnostic label of

14 post-concussive syndrome for Mr. Kim.

Q Did Mr. Kim sustain a vestibular disturbance following his traumatic brain injury of 4-20-10?

¹⁸ A He has been diagnosed with vestibular

19 disturbance.

²⁰ Q You take no issue with that diagnosis;

21 correct?

²² A It's beyond the spectrum or neuropsychology; that

would be more in the realm of a rehab type physician to

²⁴ comment on.

Q Meaning a neurologist.

¹ A No. It could also be a physical medicine or

² rehabilitation specialist; could be -- any number of

³ experts come comment on that. But I'm not a

⁴ neurologist.

Q But certainly a neurologist can.

A Certainly.

7 Q Would you agree that he sustained

⁸ post-traumatic headaches and migraines following the --

⁹ A Again that's really a comment for a neurologist,

too, but there are reports from his treating neurologist

that he has had headaches since the accident.

Q And based on your review of the records, you take no issue with that diagnosis; correct?

¹⁴ A Absolutely not.

Q And, again, do you consider the vertigo he sustained as vestibular disturbance or part of

vestibular disturbance, or is that something separate?

¹⁸ A Again I'd like to classify that: It's beyond the ¹⁹ spectrum of my expertise. But I am aware of the fact

20 that it is classified in that general realm, yes.

21 O And again do you agree that Mr. Kim had

²² sustained vertigo subsequent to his traumatic brain

23 injury of April 20 of 2010?

²⁴ A Again that would be for his neurologist or a

²⁵ physical medicine or rehabilitation specialist to

1 comment on.

I'm not in a position to deny the existence of the symptoms.

4 Q You've seen that in the medical records, I

⁵ believe.

⁶ A I'm sorry?

O You take no issue with that diagnosis;

8 correct?

⁹ A No.

O That's correct, that you don't take any

11 issue.

17

¹² A Yes, I take no issue with it.

Q Now, you examined Mr. Kim on February 19 of

14 2013; correct?

15 A I just want to check the records to make sure I

¹⁶ have the exact date.

Q It's on top of the report.

18 A I did examine him on February 19th of this year.

Q And would you agree with me that during your examination Mr. Kim was honest with you?

²¹ A There was -- there was no exaggeration of

deficits on the symptoms to validate the tests that I
 administered.

That does not mean that I got his optimum effort

25 at all; it just means that on that test, there was no

¹ specific evidence of exaggeration.

Q Is there any indication that Mr. Kim was being dishonest with you?

being disnonest with you?

⁴ A Certainly not in his testing, but there were

certain inconsistencies that raised concern.

Q There was no evidence that you indicated of any symptom magnification or malingering on Mr. Kim's

⁸ part; correct?

10

20

25

⁹ A There was no frankful malingering on his part.

Q So again do you have any reason to doubt or would you agree that Mr. Kim was in fact honest and

truthful with you at the time of your evaluation?

A Again, what I did indicate before is that when I
 look at his performance across all of the tests that I

administered, there were some inconsistencies in his

performance; that while I would not classify it as frank

malingering or intentional exaggeration of deficit, they

were beyond the normal spectrum of variability when

19 dealing with similar type situations.

O You're talking about other factors.

²¹ A Certainly.

Q Again there was no indication to you of any dishonesty in your evaluation of Mr. Kim.

²⁴ A Certainly nothing intentional.

Again, I cannot speak to motivation because I'm

not inside his head.

0 Well --

There's no evidence of it.

Q There's things you do during your examination to try to determine if someone is being dishonest; is that right?

Certainly if someone is trying to be dishonest, there are tests that are sensitive. And that was not 9 the case.

> Not the case with Mr. Kim. 0

11 Α That's correct.

10

19

22

23

24

10

12 Now, you performed a battery of -- What would we call them? -- neuropsychological tests. Is that fair?

15 Absolutely.

16 Q And I see you performed a total of ten 17 tests: correct?

18 Well, some of these tests --

Twelve. I'm sorry. Twelve tests.

20 Some of the tests have multiple tests within them; they're referred to as sub-tests.

But you listed 12 different types --

Α Twelve different ones.

But, for example, the first one, the Webster Intelligence Scale has actually ten different tests. I think you classified in your report -- in

your summary three different levels of findings, where

there was intact performance, the test demonstrated low

average performance, and demonstrated borderline or

impaired performance; correct?

Yes, that is.

So I'm a lawyer; I know nothing about what

you do; that's actually true. And I'd like you to just

please try to summarize or describe to me what you do as

a neuropsychologist, what these tests are designed to do

or elicit, and how Mr. Kim performed on these tests and

what -- why some were intact, some were low average and

some were, in the language you used, borderline or

impaired.

15 Okay. It's entirely possible I won't get

everything within the --

17 O Let's start with what you do.

18 Okay. The way a neuropsychologist generally

works is first we conduct an interview where we take a

thorough history; we look at things such as medical

history; we will consider things such as educational

history; and in the event of an adult, we look at

vocational history; we will look at developmental

milestones, if they're available to us; we'll look at

²⁵ school history that we will ask about; ask about sleep

15

¹ habits; about daily functioning, such as cooking,

cleaning, driving; you look at the gamut of daily living

activities; we'll ask a history of any psychiatric or

psychological problems; and in a case such as this,

we'll ask about precipitating factors, that being the accident in question.

So it's a thorough interview where we try to also open up the questions that we're getting a complete presentation.

We also ask about the symptoms and complaints 11 that person is presenting with, as that should guide the 12 evaluation.

13 Once we've completed the clinical interview, we 14 then generally view the -- use the battery of tests; included in that battery is typically an intelligence 16 test; we will use a test of memory, visual and verbal; we'll use, as in the case here of a younger individual, ¹⁸ we'll use an achievement test; we use some language tests; we'll use some fine motor ability tests; we use some of what we refer as to executive function tests where we're testing sort of frontal lobe function within he brain - tell me if I'm going too fast - and we also will do - a typical symbol validity test is done; and ²⁴ then we'll also evaluate personality or emotional state, ²⁵ if indicated.

And that's -- these are the various tests that you listed?

Α Correct.

You talk about various tests showing intact performance, meaning, I assume, that that was in the range of normal; is that correct?

Α What I refer to intact here is average. And then

I also clarified in the next sentence that there were

low average scores obtained on numerous tests, which in

the case of Mr. Kim would be considered globally intact,

because many -- generally speaking, people don't perform

uniformly well across every test that we give to them;

in fact, science has consistently shown that the more

tests we throw at someone, the more we will get

abnormally low and perhaps even abnormally high scores;

in other words, humans are not machines; we don't

perform equally well.

21

18 Could those type of test findings also be consistent with some finding of memory impairment or emotional problems?

MR. HELIES: Objection.

22 Depending on the individual. Α

23 In Mr. Kim's case, I would actually -- I'd

24 actually comment that that's not the case. 25

But it -- it could be; correct?

17

1 A It's possible, certainly, but not in the case of ² Mr. Kim.

O Let's talk about what your -- what the intact performance -- what tests in your mind demonstrated intact performance?

Tests that I would consider intact are tests that resulted in scores that were in the average or low

average range; and they included tests that measure

verbal abstract reasoning; expressive vocabulary;

general knowledge; vocabulary of mental calculations;

motoric and non-motoric visual construction; visual

reasoning and abstraction; immediate storage of memory;

storage of a word list; delayed recall of the word list;

it included speeded visual scan; shifting between visual

testing; and there was also a test of executive

function, known as the Wisconsin card sorting, which

17 measures frontal lobe cognitive flexibility.

18 Doctor, tell me about the low average 19 performance test. What test demonstrated low 20 performance?

21 Some of them -- those that I mentioned fall within the low average, I would consider them to be

intact; they included the digit repetition and

sequencing; it included delayed story recall; delayed

recall of a word list; and also the motoric, non-motoric

¹ visual construction test.

Okay. So those tests you just mentioned to me, just explain to me what those tests -- how they're

administered and why his -- he was below average

performance.

His performance was below average simply because that's the level of the test.

I understand. Explain the test.

That's the point in terms of how they're

administered. Most of those --

Tell us what they are and how they're 12 administered.

13 A I'll take them one at a time.

14 The non-motoric visual construction test deals with we show a series of pictures; on each page there

are shapes or forms; there's larger patterns up top; the objective is to identify three of the six shapes which

will come together as if it were a jigsaw puzzle to

recreate the large pattern; so it's constructing a

pattern in your head; non-motoric sort of stimulus.

21 As to these, his score was low or his score was a 22 lower average performance.

23 Because of why - the amount of time it took ²⁴ him or because he didn't complete the pattern properly?

25 What was it that was lower than average?

19

Because he was not able to reconstruct the

pattern or he did not reconstruct it. I can't say as to

whether he was able to, but he did not reconstruct the

pattern.

5

10

Are those tests timed also?

That particular test is timed - 30 seconds.

He wasn't able to do it within the 30 seconds.

Correct.

Tell me about next test.

11 The next one was a delayed story recall test; for

that he had heard two stories about 25 to 30 minutes

13 prior; and you're asked afterwards to tell as much of

14 each story as you recall.

15 Q Okay. And why was he lower than average on those -- that test or those two tests?

17 A I can't say exactly why.

18 Q Why did you grade him that way?

19 Because his score fell in the low average of 20

performance. 21

How is that scored?

His basic score was between the ninth through the

²³ 24th percentile; he couldn't recount the story properly;

24 it was the number of story details that he was able to

²⁵ provide.

It's a written test?

No. It's done verbally.

Okay. Please tell me about the third test.

Next test was the delayed recall of a word list,

⁵ where about 20 minutes prior, we had gone through a word

list where I would read 16 words to him; he would tell

me as many as he recalled; you go back and forth five

times; then there's an immediate recall; then 20 minutes

later there's a delayed recall where spontaneously

vou're asked to state as many of the words as you can

recall; so after 20 minutes, he recalled -- I can check

how many words, but his score was in the 64th

13 percentile, which is in the low average range.

And the fourth test in this category?

15 Also there was the digit span test, where for

that there are three parts to it; on that test, he

was - his performance was the 16th percentile; the

first part is repetition of a series of increasing

lengths; for the second part it's reversal of a series

of numbers and increases in length; for the third phase

of the test, you're asked to order the numbers from

²² lower to higher; and again the sequence starts; they

grow in length.

24 Why did you score him or grade him as low ²⁵ performance?

Because of the fact the score fell in the 16th percentile.

Q Sixteenth percentile of a hundred; right?

3

17

And was there any other tests you performed that would fall in the low average performance category that I missed?

There was the symbol search.

Describe that test and why he was low average.

11 That's a test where a person is presented several 12 pages; they're asked to one at a time scan across a line and identify two symbols on the line that are identical; if there is -- if there are two that are identical, you mark one that's the same; if not, you mark the word "No" at the end of the line.

And that's all the tests that you graded 18 low performance; correct?

19 Yes. On those tests of performance, there was one thing I forgot: On a motor function test, he performed in the low average range with his left hand; it's a finger oscillation test where you're asked to tap down a tapper.

And nothing about borderline or impaired; hat's average.

Low average.

Again, these tests are designed to measure your cognitive performance?

That's correct.

And what else are they designed to measure?

Well, in the case of motoric, it would be motor Α initially.

If someone performed lower than average, there are various possible causes for that type of score; is that right?

That is correct. But not everybody -- may I Α 12 complete --

13 Q Yes.

Not every score in the low average range is below where someone should be performing; that's an important point that should not be lost.

17 But it also can be lower performance.

18 Yes.

19 I understand your opinion is that this has nothing to do with Mr. Kim's accident of 4-20-10; correct? That's your opinion.

22 Α Yes.

23 But it could be -- these types of scores 0 could be demonstrative of someone having poor cognitive performance in these types of circumstances, correct?

24

23

MR. HELIES: Objection to form.

You can answer.

2

In such -- just in your opinion, the etiology of this low performance is not the traumatic injury of 4-20-10; correct?

MR. HELIES: Objection to form.

8 Yes. That's correct.

But let's talk about borderline or impaired performance tests. Can you just go through the same exercise we just did; take them one at a time; explain the test; explain why they were borderline or impaired.

Sure. First is the coding, c-o-r-d-i-n-g, test.

On this test you're asked to rate a symbol using a template up top as compared with a series of numbers;

and again it's a speeded task where you're supposed to name as many as you can within 20 minutes; his

performance was at the fifth percentile, which is in the 19 borderline range.

20

The next task was the immediate recall of the word list after the distraction list; that was at oughly the sixth percentile, which is again borderline.

And on the delayed recall of the Rey, R-e-y, ²⁴ complex figure, his was in the borderline range; that ²⁵ was at the fourth percentile.

On the controlled oral word association test, his fluency was in the borderline range, the seventh

percentile.

And the finger oscillation task performance with his right hand was at the fifth percentile; again the borderline range.

Just go through those tests again and just describe what it is you're asking for.

With the letter fluency test, that's where you're given a letter; and over the course of 60 seconds, you're asked to generate as many words as you can think of beginning with that letter, aside from proper nouns.

Okay.

14 Α The three letters typically are F, A and S that

15 we use.

13

16 The Rey complex figure test is delayed recall, meaning first you're asked to copy a figure; then after a delay of a couple of moments, there would be immediate reproduction of memory where you're supposed to draw

from memory; and then 30 minutes later there's delayed

reproduction from memory.

22 Under the delayed reproduction, he was borderline -- also was in the borderline range.

And finally for the finger oscillation test, his ²⁵ finger oscillation with his right hand was in the

25

borderline range; there's where again you're tapping as
 quickly as you can.

Q Again I'll ask you the same question:
These types of findings or test scores could be the
result of someone having poor cognitive performance or
cognitive difficulty; is that correct?

A Yes. They could be.

⁸ Q Now, you state at the end of your summary ⁹ that reduced scores on select neurocognitive tests -¹⁰ those things we were just talking about - cannot be ¹¹ objectively associated with the incident of 4-20-10; ¹² correct?

¹³ A Correct.

Q Okay. And you -- what is the basis of that opinion?

A The basis for that opinion is very clear: Number
 one, overwhelmingly, individuals who have had traumatic
 brain injury, what's referred to more colloquially as a

concussion, typically do return to baseline;

overwhelmingly they return to baseline levels of

²¹ cognitive function within six to nine months; certainly

22 by a year we would expect them to be back to baseline

²³ level.

25

Second, as I --

Q Let's stop there a second.

¹ A Well, but that's only part of the explanation.

Secondly, Mr. Kim performed significantly lower

³ on a number of tests when I evaluated him during

February of 2013 as compared to when Dr. Batlas

evaluated him during November two thousand and eleven.

When someone is having cognitive problems as a result of a concussion, there is not a decline in

⁸ function from some point long after the evaluation,

and the some point long after the evaluation,

⁹ after the accident, or sustaining the injury, to some

later point even further down the road. If there is any decline, it would be at the same time; we don't get

worsening performance, in the case of concussion, from

13 one and a half years to roughly three years

post-concussive. That not the course of events.

Q You say overwhelmingly and typically as to people who sustain a mild concussion of what you term mild traumatic brain injury, they return to baseline overwhelmingly and typically. That implies, does it

overwheimingly and typicany. That implies, does

19 not, that there are exceptions to that rule?

²⁰ A Yes.

Q There are patients who can sustain
permanent injuries and permanent cognitive problems from

²³ concussions; is that correct?

²⁴ A There are those who have reduced performance

²⁵ upwards of years after a concussion.

Q And can have permanent problems resulting from concussions.

A Again I wouldn't necessarily say that it's

4 resulting from a concussion specifically, but there are

those who perform at lower levels following one, but I
 can't say specifically that it was the concussion or

can't say specifically that it was the concussion or
 other factors that go into how one performs cognitively.

Q Again, there's exceptions to the rule; not
 every person is going to return to baseline; is that
 right.

¹¹ A No, it's not every single person.

But, again, there are a variety of reasons as to
why someone may not have returned to their baseline
level of function.

Q All right. But here we have a potential reason in a traumatic brain injury, where the patient lost consciousness for up to five minutes, has had varying types of issues and problems at school.

Isn't it possible. Doctor, that that — his

Isn't it possible, Doctor, that that — his
 traumatic brain injury of 4-20-10 has contributed to
 some of your findings in your examination?

MR. HELIES: Objection to form.

You can answer.

22

A My answer would be no, because the literature
 does not support that course of events; because, again,

in this case, a mild traumatic brain injury, known as a

² concussion, when someone performs the way he did with

³ bad levels during November two thousand and eleven, and

4 then when it's compared to how he performed here during

⁵ February of 2013, you would not have a delay in function

⁶ from one point after the brain injury, a year and a half

roughly after the brain injury, to almost three years
 post; you would not get a drop-off in performance;

9 that's not consistent with the long-term in

neurocognitive deficits that can be seen in someone with
 a mild traumatic brain injury

¹¹ a mild traumatic brain injury.

Q What about a person who can't afford to get treatment for the injury?

MR. HELIES: Objection to the question.

You can answer.

Q What if that person is untreated because of a lack of funds or any other reason?

A You would not expect the drop-off whether or not
 there's been any neurocognitive treatment.

Q Can you tell me -- you had the benefit of the earlier evaluation of Dr. Batlas I think from

November of 2011; is that right?

²³ A Yes, it is.

Q And can you tell me, this drop-off -- tell
me there where there was a drop-off between the two

14

evaluations.

11

21

22

23

Okay. I'd like to point out that many of the ³ tests that Dr. Batlas administered are actually the same if not slightly different editions of the same tests that I administered, so it allows for a very good side by side comparison.

But they're not the same: correct?

He gave the older edition of the third -- the third edition; I gave the current edition; that was his choice in terms of test administration.

We'll start with the IQ test for verbal abstract reasoning: When Dr. Batlas administered the test - and it was the adult version of the test, not the teenage version of the test, the younger version - Mr. Kim's performance was at the 36th percentile; for me it was at the 25th percentile; expressive vocabulary dropped from the 50th to the 37th percentile, which I consider a big drop-off; general knowledge went from the 37th to 25th; again a relatively small drop-off, not a clinically 20 significant one.

Some of the sub-tests are not identical, so it's a little hard to draw a side to side comparison.

Let me continue with this -- oh, I actually stand corrected: He did give the same version of the IQ test. Tust as to the memory test that goes along with it, he

gave the older version, but it's the same tests.

There were drop-offs from November two thousand and eleven to February 2013 - the same exact test, mind

For -- let's see other drop-offs of note: On that non-motoric visual construction test, he fell from the 50th percentile to the 16th percentile; for the digit span he fell from the 37th to the 16th; for the symbol search test he fell from the 37th to the ninth; for the coding sub-test, he fell from 25th to the fifth.

11 That's just the IQ test. We can move beyond the 12 IQ test. Let me see.

13 Verbal fluency, he used the same three letters, F, A and S; he dropped from what he classified as 18th to 19th percentile to the seventh percentile. 16

Let's see if there are any other drop-offs.

17 The rey complex figure, he didn't put an actual percentile range; he didn't -- apparently he didn't administer the delayed 'cause it's not listed here on his summary sheet; but for the immediate, he only put below the tenth percentile; for me the test was at the first percentile. There's no sort of rescoring Dr.

Batlas' data.

31

11

12

16

24 Let me see if there were any other drop-offs ²⁵ worth noting.

For the story memory, it's called the logical memory sub-test, for the immediate recall of the stories, he fell from the 50th to the 25th; and for the delayed recall, he fell from the 63rd to the ninth. And those are tests also that allow for direct comparison.

Q Okay. Those are the tests that allowed for direct comparison and ones you noted where there was some drop-off.

Α Yes.

10

18

19

23

Go ahead, you can finish.

11 Because there is significant drop-off across a smattering of tests covering a variety of different functions from roughly a year and a half after the concussion until my evaluation, that is not a pattern of performance that's consistent with the long-term 16 neurocognitive fall-out from a brain injury of this 17 sort.

Q In the 100 percentile of the neurological tests, what percentage of that was there these drop-off's that we're talking about?

I don't think we can reduce to it a percentage; I hink that's over-simplification.

The fact that -- what I have to focus on is the ²⁴ fact that it's across multiple areas of cognitive ²⁵ function; and it's the same test; it's not as if you can argue that they're not comparable.

Q Okay. Are there more tests that there were consistencies?

Α There were tests where there were consistencies.

Were there those tests that were consistent and in what way were there ones there were inconsistent?

Again, I don't tally them up, but it's Α

probably -- it's probably about 60-40, with 60 percent

consistent, 40 percent not consistent; but, again, that's overly simplistic.

I don't means that as an insult, by the way.

A neuropsychologist would be evaluating --

13 I'm not insulted. I'm an over simplistic 14 person.

15 No, I would never imply that. Α

I would admit it.

17 I just want to clarify: What is it that you feel you're -- what is your field for where you feel that you're qualified to offer opinions and in what way

you're not in terms of being a neuropsychologist and

what you can do?

The neurologist would be more classified to render opinions in terms of more physical -- physically based findings; they would be evaluating cranial nerve

reflexes; they would be evaluating gate; stability;

```
they'd be evaluating headaches; mostly physical symptoms
```

- and complaints as what they'd be a little bit more
 focused on.
 - I'm looking more for the cognitive realm.
 - Q Okay. And --
- ⁶ A The complimentary.
- Q And did Blair express to you how he perceived this injury affected his life?
- ⁹ A I'd have to look in my notes so I can verify.
- 10 Q Sure

5

- 11 A He reported that he's had some headaches and some
- 12 difficulty with balance; he said he had some
- 13 rehabilitation for some neck problems; he reported that
- 14 he had some problems with memory and concentration; that 14
- 5 it's been harder for him to do things; and he said that
- ¹⁶ he sometimes feels depressed about certain limitations
- ¹⁷ that have placed upon his life.
- Q Do you have any reason to doubt the
- 19 veracity of any of his complaints?
- ²⁰ A No.
- Q And, in fact, they're caused by the injury?

 MR. HELIES: Objection.
- Q You don't doubt the veracity of his
- ²⁴ complaints.
 - ⁵ A I can only speak to the cognitive side of things

- that it does not add up to the fact that they would be
 attributed to the injuries specifically.
- Q Would you agree that the injury that Blair sustained could have had an adverse effect on his martial arts practice, for example?
 - MR. HELIES: Objection to form.
- A That's beyond the scope of my practice; that's
 physical.
- Q How about a deterioration in his academic performance?
- MR. HELIES: Objection to form.
- A In theory it's possible. I don't necessarily
 know that it has.
- Q Okay. And that's because you looked at his school records and you're not sure any deterioration in performance is related --
- ¹⁷ A I looked over the school records that were
- 18 provided to me -- I just want to make sure that I'm
- 19 stating things correctly.
- In the Matawan School District, for example, he
- 21 was partially proficient in language as early as I think
- 22 the third grade -- in the fourth grade; in sixth grade
- ²³ his academic record had grades spanning 63 through 89;
- ²⁴ academics in the 80's; he scores in the 70's for
- science; 63 for social studies; 80 for reading. And

this is all before the concussion that we were
 referencing.

So, again, there's a spread of performance, a

large degree of variability long before the onset his
 symptoms.

In many individuals, once they get to high school, the nature of cognitive demands that academics

- ⁸ place upon the person increases the burden, such that
- ⁹ learning disabilities or learning difficulties that may
- not have between evident certainly will be evaluated and
- identified at an earlier stage of someone's education;
 do not -- it's not atypical for them to spontaneously
- come to the surface during the teenage years.
- Q Do you know whether any accommodations were made for Blair after this accident?
- 16 A It's my understanding that he has a 504
- accommodation.
 Again, that's what I was stating just a minute
- ago that it is entirely possible that independent of
 and unrelated to the concussion in question, he may have
- ²¹ had those accommodations anyway. I have no way to know
- ²² for sure how many people who are struggling as early as
- younger years, if they haven't been identified,
 sometimes things just come to the surface.
- 25 It's not at all unusual for a neuropsychologist

- ¹ to have an adolescent referral, where there's no history
- ² of any sort of neurological insult and to have issues
- identified in the later teenage years.
- Q Let's talk about your practice a little bit.
- ⁶ A Certainly.

12

17

21

35

- Q You're in practice here.
- ⁸ A I'm an employee of the practice.
- ⁹ Q Who are the partners in the practice?
- ¹⁰ A Drs. Aaron Rabin, R-a-b-i-n, Eric Fremed,
- 11 F-r-e-m-e-d, and David Masur.
 - Q Are they MD's? What type of doctors?
- 13 A They're -- they're neurolopsychologists.
- Q And those are the three partners in the firm?
- ¹⁶ A That is correct.
 - Q And is Dr Masur also a neuropsychologist?
- 18 A Dr. Masur is your a neuropsychologist, who like
- me works as an employee.

 And you are also em
 - Q And you are also employed, as you said. How long have you been here?
- ²² A I've been with the practice in some capacity
- 23 since about 1999 or 2000. I forget the exact start
- ²⁴ date.
 - Q You have three office locations?

That is correct.

- Q Do you work in all three of the offices?
- 3 As needed.
- Q How often are you down in East Brunswick?
- 5 Typically one or two times a week.
 - And how about here in Englewood Cliffs?
- 7 Two or three times a week.
 - What about Parsippany?
- That office is used a little more sparingly; so sometimes as little as once or twice a month; but we rotate around, so it's not always me.
- 12 Q Of your overall practice, what percentage of your practice -- tell me about your practice. What does it consist of?
- 15 Well, there are these medical-legal type evaluations and then there are also private patients that I see, people referred for a variety of reasons.
- 18 What percentage of your practice is dealing with medical-legal evaluations?
- 20 It's probably about 40 percent. I don't keep a 21 tally.
 - Your best estimate.
- 23 About 40 percent.
 - Forty percent is consulting in litigation; correct?

That's correct.

- And of that 40 percent that you consult in
- litigation, what percentage is your consultation work on
- behalf of defendants?
- Almost exclusively.
 - Q Let's say a hundred percent.
- Technically it's like 99.8.
- There have been a couple over the years where
- it's not been.
- 0 Since 1999, there have been a couple
- plaintiffs' cases; the rest have been defense cases;
- correct?
- Α I've only been doing the medical-legal cases for
- the last several years, but yes.
 - Q How many years have you been doing it?
- 16 A I think I started somewhere around 2008 or '9. I
- forget. I didn't keep track of the exact dates.
 - Q Let's take an estimate; 2008, 2009, you
- started doing legal evaluations; four or five years;
- correct?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 Ninety-nine.eight percent of those have
- been on behalf of defendants in lawsuits?
- Of the medical-legal cases, yes; about 98, 99 ²⁵ percent on behalf of the defense.

22

24

39

- O In terms of consultation, do you have patients that you treat who are who are plaintiffs,
- cases that you offer opinions on behalf of?
- It's come up.
 - It's come up?
- Yes. Because I also work -- on Fridays, I'm a per diem employee at Kessler; and I have evaluated
- through private insurance referrals; and a number of
- those over the years have gone on to initiate a lawsuit 10 against someone.
- 11 Q But have you ever testified on behalf of a plaintiff at trial?
- 13 No, I haven't.
- 14 Q Have you ever given a deposition on behalf 15 of the plaintiff?
- 16 No, I haven't.
- 17 And for those lawyers who seek you out where you're not treating somebody already or through an
- insurance referral or a hospital or clinic contact setting, 99.8 percent of that is for the defense; is
- that right?
 - That's accurate.
- And the income you derive from doing ²⁴ consulting work, that 40 percent, does that go directly 25 to you, or does that income go to the practice?

- The income goes to the practice, and then there's a profit sharing agreement over and above the base salary.
- As to that 40 percent, that 40 percent of
- money that's coming from this legal work gets divied up
- between you and the practice at a different rate than
- you get paid as an employee?
- That's correct.
- You get more money percentage-wise doing this medical-legal work than you do getting paid as an
- employee of this practice; is that right?
 - MR. HELIES: Objection to form.
- 13 You can answer.
- Um -- the possibility of being paid straight for
- everything as a salary has never been explored. I
- wouldn't know how to answer that.
 - Q How do you charge for your services?
- 18 There's a fee.
- You -- for this type work, medical-legal consultation.
- 21 There's a fee for neuropsychological evaluation.
 - Which is?
- I believe starting at 2013, it was 3300; and then
- ²⁴ if there's any review of records on top of that, it
- would be a flat fee of 530 an hour.

12

17

Q Okay. Go ahead.

2 Α That's it.

1

Do you charge for your deposition time?

4 Α We charge the same rate of 530 an hour for a

minimum of two hours for depositions.

And how about court time?

Α It's divided up into half day units; I think it's

3500 per half day unit. I'd have to check.

O How many times have you testified in court

on behalf of a defendant in a lawsuit?

I believe it's been six. I'm not sure, but I

think six. 13

Q What counties?

I've testified in Bergen, Middlesex, Hudson, and

15 I think Essex. I think those four. It's possible I'm

missing one because it's been over a number of years.

17 Of those six cases that you've testified in

court, have you ever testified in court that a plaintiff

had a residual cognitive deficit as a result of a head

injury?

²¹ A I don't believe that I found there to be any

residual cognitive deficits in those cases.

23 Q Okay. And what attorneys were those cases

24 for?

I'm sorry, I don't remember the names.

1 Any of them?

41

I'm sorry. It's been a while since I've gone to

court; I just don't remember.

O You can't remember one attorney? The first

time in court when you testified in front of a jury, you

don't remember the name of the lawyer that questioned you?

I'm not trying to be obstinate --

MR. HELIES: I object to the form of the

question; you said -- the lawyer that questioned him is

different from the lawyer --12 Do you remember who paid you to come to

court and testify? Do you remember the name of that lawver?

15 Α Again, I'm sorry, I'm not trying to be difficult.

16 I know you're not trying to be difficult.

17 I'm just trying to understand -- get to your memory

18 here.

19 Depositions you said you give about -- you did

20 about 20 depositions?

21 I believe so. Α

22 0 In those 20 depositions, have you ever

testified under oath that a plaintiff had a residual

cognitive difficulty or impairment as a result of a head

²⁵ injury?

I can't say for sure. I genuinely don't

² remember. My response to all the questions in the

³ depositions have been over the years; it's possible yes;

⁴ it's possible no. I just can't say with any certainty.

How many active cases do you have right now

⁶ in the medical-legal consultation?

Active in what sense?

That are pending; you have an open file on;

that haven't been closed because you may be called to

testify at deposition; on-going, some day you're going

11 to be doing an evaluation or you're waiting for a trial

12 date.

25

13 A That's a good question. I actually don't know.

14 The secretarial and scheduling staff keeps track

15 of things, but if I had to approximate, there might

be -- just because some of these matters can take a

¹⁷ while to wind there their way through the system, there

might be 50 or 60 open cases.

These 50 or 60 open cases, can you tell me

the name of one where you have issued a report where you

21 have found that a plaintiff had sustained a cognitive

deficit or impairment as a result of a head injury?

I -- I don't know that I'm allowed to divulge the

²⁴ name of any individuals that I evaluated.

We'll see whether --

MR. HELIES: Nobody asked you the names.

Any case.

I can think of a case, but I can't identify it by Α

name.

Out of the 50 or 60, how many cases can you

remember where you found a plaintiff had some residual

cognitive deficiency?

I don't sort things out in my head that way. I

just -- I know that I have. I can't say

percentage-wise. I don't divide up my cases that way.

Every case is considered individually; we don't look at

people as a collective.

Q Give me a ballpark.

14 Especially when I factor in all the cases that I

15 see.

13

16 Q Let's make sure you understand the

question: You've told me you have 50 or 60 active files

in your office, probably; and I asked you of those 50 or

19 60 active files, can you think of one case where you

issued a report where you have found that a plaintiff

²¹ had sustained a residual cognitive deficiency or deficit

²² or impairment as a result of a head injury.

²³ A My answer is yes.

24 Okay. And how many cases can you think of

²⁵ where you issued a report such as that?

Off the top of my head, one recent case comes to mind. But I don't know how many I have, how many the ³ office has over the last several months, or, quite

frankly, at the moment, the last couple of years that are still open.

You can think of only one case as we sit here.

6

15

21

24

1

2

3

15

19

Α Because when I -- when I evaluate someone, I focus on them; I write a report; I put it in the chart; and then I don't pay attention to it until it comes to the forefront again; this way I'm giving each individual case the attention it deserves at the moment; I'm not thinking globally across every case that I've had over the last several years.

That's wonderful, and I appreciate that. But what I'm asking you is as you sit here today, you can only think of one case where you said a plaintiff had residual problems as a result of a head injury?

I said one recent case, which I would define as the last few weeks.

Again, I can't accurately go back to who I saw two months, three months, four months ago. I'm not comfortable --

What lawyer --

Excuse me. May I answer the question?

MR. HELIES: You'll send me a letter.

Sure. 0

I'm not comfortable putting on the record

something that should I have the time to look into it

more thoroughly would not reflect reality.

Well, I don't have the luxury of that today; I have the luxury to ask you questions today to see what you know and what you don't, and what you remember and what you don't, just like you did with my

client, Mr. Kim.

I also don't have the luxury of misstating the truth under oath simply because I'm not allowed to refer back to my records.

13 Q I want to know what you remember. You're telling me you can only remember one case over the last few weeks; is that right?

Off the top of my head, I would classify as a legal-medical evaluation that I've done over the last several weeks, there's one noteworthy case that comes to mind. That's all I'm thinking of.

And who is the defense lawyer that sent you that case?

That I don't remember offhand because I don't schedule the appointments.

24 MR. ANSELL: I'm going to ask you to ²⁵ produce that information to Mr. Helies. Okay?

47

MR. ANSELL: I will.

MR. HELIES: I'll consider it.

MR. ANSELL: I'm going to ask you to produce that, and if you don't produce it, I'll file a motion in court.

How many cases are you taking in -- did you take in so far in 2013? Can you estimate for me?

Medical-legal cases we're talking about.

10 Probably about 20, 25.

11 Okay. And of those 20 to 25 cases, I just want to be clear: I'm talking 2013; we're in July of 2013; talking about the last couple months; only 20 to 25 cases we're talking about.

Of those 20 to 25 cases, not the 50 or 60 active 16 cases, but of those 20 to 25 cases, how many of those cases can you remember sitting here today that you found a residual impairment in a plaintiff?

MR. HELIES: I just object to the form, ²⁰ because we don't know if he's done an examination or done a report yet, which the question assumes.

But with that statement on the record, if ²³ he can answer the question, by all means go ahead. Again I have to think about this, because I have 25 to look back over the cases that I've seen over the last seven, six and a half months.

Again off the top of my head, I'd say it's probably been about three or five of them that I found residual deficits in.

Can you remember the name of any of the defense lawyers that sent you those three to five cases?

Again I don't keep track of the lawyers who send individual cases. The reports are processed by the

staff and they're sent out; I just write the report; I

don't write the report with the lawyer in mind.

When the case comes in to you, you know what lawyer is sending it to you; you see something in writing in the file.

I could know it if I wanted to, but, again,

that's not something I did.

16 When I look at an individual and I'm writing a report, I write it based on a clinical interview, the tests, test data and medical records; not the lawyer

that sent the case. 20

I understand. ²¹ A But that --

22

23

When you see a case, you know who sent the case.

Α I can access that information in the chart, but I ²⁵ don't keep track of it in my head per se; it's not --

STATE SHORTHAND REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

Q I'm talking about -- now I'm talking about

² when you get case and you get the file, someone says to

³ you, "Doctor, I'm sending you a letter, here's the

4 information," and you dictate a letter to that lawyer;

5 correct?

A Well, what happens is they made contact with the

staff; I don't take the referral; I don't schedule the

⁸ appointment; I don't process the report and send it back

9 to them.

17

20

So when I'm dictating a report, I'm aware of who

the lawyer is at the time, but I'm not actively taking

the information from the lawyer; I'm not scheduling the

¹³ appointment; I'm not sending the report to the lawyer;

and I'm not discussing the results with the lawyer on

the phone; I'm providing a report; I sent it to them and

16 then life moves on.

Q In 2012, how many cases did you see in

18 2012, medical-legal cases?

¹⁹ A I'd be estimating -- probably 30, 35.

O Okay. How about 2011?

²¹ A Probably 25, 30.

O Ten?

²³ A I don't even remember from that far back.

²⁴ Q Okay.

²⁵ A It's been somewhat steady from year to year.

¹ Again, I don't keep track of the numbers, but it's

² increased steadily since 2008, 2009.

³ Q The instance of the defense lawyers sending

you cases have been increasing since you started in

5 2008, 2009?

⁶ A That is correct.

Q This case is in Monmouth County. Do you

8 know that?

⁹ A No off the top of my head.

O You've never testified in Monmouth County;

11 correct?

¹² A Not to my knowledge.

Q We're up here in Englewood Cliffs.

Do you know how Mr. Helies found you in the

15 cliffs of Englewood as opposed to someone in more close

¹⁶ proximity to Monmouth?

¹⁷ A Mr. Kim was not evaluated in this office; he was

18 evaluated in our East Brunswick office.

Q Do you know how Mr. Helies found you?

²⁰ A I have no idea.

Q Is this the first case you've done for

22 Mr. Helies or any other member of his firm?

²³ A Again, I don't keep tract of exactly who I've

²⁴ done cases for over the years, but my speculation would

²⁵ be yes, but it would be only speculation.

51

Q You don't know as you sit here today

whether or not this is the first case you've done for

³ Mr. Helies of a member of his law firm?

A That is correct, because I don't keep track of

⁵ exactly -- exactly which attorneys I'm seeing cases for

⁶ or whichever firms I'm seeing cases for over the long

haul.

Q Mr. Helies is sitting in front of you; he's

⁹ very handsome, very gentlemanly.

Do you have any recollection of seeing him

11 before?

MR. HELIES: Object to the form of the

13 question.

¹⁴ A I've never had the pleasure.

Q Okay. You never testified in court for

¹⁶ Mr. Helies?

¹⁷ A No.

20

25

Q Of any of his partners?

¹⁹ A Not to my knowledge.

Q Is there anything in your chart which would

²¹ reflect how the business came into you?

A Only who called in the referral; according to the

²³ chart, the referral was called in by someone named

²⁴ Joette, J-o-e-t-t-e; and the firm was Mr. Helies'.

Q You don't know how he came to you, why he

¹ came to you, of all the neuropsychologists in New

² Jersey, why he picked you?

MR. HELIES: Object to the form of the

⁴ question.

⁵ A I have no idea. I have no idea how he came up

⁶ with the information.

7 Q The income you derive from doing this kind

⁸ of legal consulting work, is there some separate entity

9 or LLC or corporation that you're running that income

through?

¹ A No. It comes through this practice.

Q It's part of your compensation.

¹³ A That's correct.

Q When's the last time you testified in

15 court?

14

¹⁶ A Last time I testified -- it was two thousand and

twelve. I don't know the specific time of year it was.

18 It was sometime I belive during the middle of two

thousand and twelve.

O Okay. Do you remember which courthouse

21 that was in?

²² A I believe my last one was in Newark.

Q Do you remember the name of that case, sir?

²⁴ A Not off the top of my head.

Q Do you remember --

MR. ANSELL: I didn't ask that. I'm asking

Anybody who hears the question or reads it

That's exactly why I object to the form of

I do treat individuals who have been -- who have

I have no further question. I appreciate

MR. ANSELL: All right. Thank you, Doctor.

him now if he's treating concussions; is treating

MR. HELIES: I object to the form.

concussions part of what you do?

You can answer.

the question, but he can answer.

will understand that.

sustained concussions.

53

We're going back about about a year.

- Do you remember the defense lawyer in that 3 case?
- Α Again, I'm sorry, I don't know the attorney specifically.
- Q All right. Are you familiar with a fairly new law in New Jersey that protects kids from concussions in the athletic context in schools?
- I'm not aware of what you're referring to.
- No? Are you aware of the law that was passed in 2011 that put certain requirements on school 12 districts to develop policies to prevent concussions?
- 13 I don't work for a school district or a law firm, so I don't keep track of laws that are placed upon 15 school districts.
 - Q I didn't ask you if you did. But concussions -- you've been treating people with concussions; that's part of what you do; correct?

MR. HELIES: Objection to form.

He answered the question.

MR. ANSELL: The question I asked him was

he's treating concussions; it's part of what he does. MR. HELIES: You question suggests that because he's a doctor that he knows something about the aw. I object to the form of the question.

55

12

13

17

14 your time, Doctor. 15 MR. HELIES: Doctor, just one question. 16 THE WITNESS: Sure.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HELIES:

19 You expressed an opinion that in your analysis of the neuropsychological tests Dr. Batlas did of Mr. Kim and those results, that you gave similar

tests, and Mr. Kim's results to your tests had some

drop-off, as you described them, which you indicated to

us is not demonstrative of any long-lasting

manifestations of traumatic brain injury.

Is that your opinion or is that opinion that you gather from treatises, written medical records?

- That's an opinion supported by the body of literature and research.
- Q Okay. And if you and I were to have a discussion about that, those bodies of literature and research, at some other point in time you could provide that information to me?
- Α Yes.

10

18

10

16

19

20

21

22

23

11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANSELL:

- 12 Can you tell me what any of these -- this literature or research that you find to be reasonably relied upon by members of your profession?
- 15 Oh, there's many -- there are numerous 16 references; there is plenty of basic research that will 17 support this.

Let's see - there are -- there's a variety of --

- 19 Tell me about texts and journals and things ²⁰ that you recognize, reasonably reliable in your ²¹ profession.
- Absolutely. There are numerous articles that have come out in journals, such as the Journal of the International Neuropsychiatric -- Neurological Society; ²⁵ Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology; The Clinical

Neuropsychologist. Those are journals that come out obviously on a regular basis.

And consistently the literature will show that you don't get a -- the literatures that have done

- long-term outcome type research on mild brain injury
- slash concussions have shown that you don't get drop-offs in function.
- You mentioned three journals that you would recognize as being reasonably relied upon.
- 10 Λ Certainly.

11

- How any texts?
- 12 Textbooks I cannot rely upon because they're not coming to you on a regular basis; they don't accurately reflect what is the most current information.
- 15 How about the Center for Disease Control would you find their publications to be reasonably relied upon by members of your profession?

18 MR. HELIES: With regard to this issue?

19 MR. ANSELL: Any issue.

- 20 I would find the Center for Disease Control to be less authoritative than the professional journals; the
- peer viewed -- reviewed professional journals where
- they're publishing the direct research of the experts in 24 the field.
 - But I asked you is this something that you

would reasonably rely upon, members of your profession? Not in the same way. In any way. I'm sure --5 Is this reasonably relied upon --I recognize there's value in the -- what they collect, but I certainly wouldn't declare them to be the recognized authority. 9 How about the Brain Injury Association of New Jersey - are you familiar with that --11 I'm familiar with them. 12 Q Are you familiar with their publications? 13 Some of them. 14 Are they reasonably relied upon by members of your profession? 16 I think most of their publications are meant for 17 the lay person than -- not so much for the professionals. I don't think that they typically state the research in a statistically evaluated manner. 20 I rely more upon the journals that are based on 21 research, hard core research. 22 You're never been published in any articles 23 relation to concussion; is that correct? 24 That's correct. 25 Post-concussion syndrome?

1 A I -- I prefer to focus on clinical work, not ² research.

Right. You have been published on a couple occasions when you were going for your Ph.D., I saw; is that right?

Some before, some after. But being focused on the clinical work now; I've done clinical work.

I understand. But what you were published in has nothing to go with residual --

10 Basically brain function.

MR. ANSELL: All right. Thank you, Doctor.

12 MR. HELIES: Thank you, Doctor.

13 (There was a discussion off the record.) 14 (The witness was excused.)

was concluded at 2:45 p.m. as of this date.)

15 (Whereupon the hearing of this deposition

18 19

16

17

11

20 21 22

23 24 25

59

CERTIFICATE

1

2 3

10

11

15

24

I, THOMAS J. McCAFFERY, a Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the deposition or depositions of the witness or witnesses being first duly sworn in the within matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, or related to or employed by, any of the parties to the action in which the deposition was taken, and that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in the action.

THOMAS J. McCAFFERY, C.S.R.

License No. 30X100034500

²⁵ Dated: July 31, 2013