Hoiland v AJD Construction, et al.

Jason B. Randle, P.E. May 20, 2021

FrontinoReporting, LLC
34 North Front Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106
215 922-2133 215 922-2910 fax
www.frontinoreporting.com

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

## **This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

				May 20, 2021
	Page 1			Page 3
1 2	THE CHARLES CONTROL OF MEN. TERCEN	1		
3	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY FOR THE COUNTY OF HUDSON	1 2 3	APPEARANCES (Continued): LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN C. CAHIF	
4	DONALD J. HOILAND and : CIVIL ACTION - LAW	4	BY: LISA MARIE R. DEROGATIS, F 445 South Street	
	MANDY HOILAND, his wife, Plaintiffs,:	5	Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1 (973) 631-7300	1938
5	AJD CONSTRUCTION CO., :	6	lderogat@travelers.com Attorneys for Defendant,	
6	INC.; GRAND LHN III, U.R., LLC; GRAND LHN II :	7	Grand LHN I Urban Renewal, LLC	
7	URBAN RENEWAL, LLC; GRAND LHN I URBAN :	8	LEWIS BRISBOIS BY: ROBYN GNUDI KALOCSAY, ESQI	JIRE
8	RENEWAL, LLC; GRAND LHN URBAN RENEWAL I, LLC; :	9	One Riverfront Plaza, Suite 800 Newark, New Jersey 07102	
9	GRAND LHN, III, LLC; IRONSTATE DEVELOPMENT :	10	(973) 718-3951	
10	COMPANY; IRONSTATE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, :	11	robyn.kalocsay@lewisbrisbois.co Attorneys for Defendant,	
11	LLC; P. ESPOSITO CONSTRUCTION, LLC; :		Esposito Construction, LLC, Esp Industries, LLC, and Esposito	
12	ESPOSITO CONSTRUCTION, LLC; ESPOSITO :	12	DONNELLY MINTER & KELLY, LLC	
13	INDUSTRIES, LLC; ESPOSITO GROUP, LLC; :	13	BY: THOMAS COFFEY, ESQUIRE 163 Madison Avenue, Suite 320	
14	AMERICAN SAFETY PARTNERS, LLC; MEN OF :	14	Morristown, New Jersey 07960 (973) 200-6400	
15	STEEL ENTERPRISES, LLC;	15	tcoffey@dmklawgroup.com Attorneys for Defendants,	
16		16	Men of Steel Enterprises, LLC, and Men of Steel Rebar	
17	STEEL REBAR FABRICATORS, : LLC; EMPIRE STATE REBAR	17	Fabricators, LLC	
18	INSTALL, LLC; JOHN DOES : 1-20; ABC CORPS/BUSINESS	18	FUCHS ROSENZWEIG, PLLC BY: DOUG ROSENZWEIG, ESQ.	
19	ENTITIES 1-20, : NO. HUD-L-2754-19 Defendants.	19	11 Broadway, Suite 570	
20	 May 20, 2021	20	New York, New York 10004 (646) 760-5445	
21		21	doug@frlawpllc.com Attorneys for Defendant,	
22	DEPOSITION OF JASON B. RANDLE, P.E.	22	Empire State Rebar Install, LLC	3
23	FrontinoReporting, LLC	23		
24	Frontino/DeFilippis Court Reporting Agency 34 North Front Street	24		
25	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 (215) 922-2133	25		
	(====, === =====			
	Page 2			Page 4
,	1 490 2	1		r ugo 4
1 2		2 3	I-N-D-E-X EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	DAGE
3	Deposition of JASON B. RANDLE, P.E., taken via Zoom videoconference on		P-38 AJD Construction	PAGE 92
5 6	the above date at 10:00 a.m., before Dolores	5	235 Grand Street Safety Orientation	
7	DeFilippis, RMR-CCR.	6	PowerPoint	
8	APPEARANCES: CLARK LAW FIRM, P.C.	7	P-4.1 Photograph	161
10	BY: GERALD H. CLARK, ESQUIRE	8		
	and LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQUIRE 811 Sixteenth Avenue	9	QUESTIONING BY:	PAGE
11	Belmar, New Jersey 07719 (732) 443-0333		MR. CLARK	
1		1 0		5
12	gclark@clarklawnj.com	10	MS. DEROGATIS	263
13		11	MS. DEROGATIS 2	
13	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	11 12	MS. DEROGATIS 2 REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	
13 14	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE	11 12 13		
13 14 15	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARSHALL DENNEHEY	11 12 13 14	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	
13 14	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146	11 12 13	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PAGE/LINE 42/20	
13 14 15	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant,	11 12 13 14	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PAGE/LINE 42/20 61/24	
13 14 15 16	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com	11 12 13 14 15	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PAGE/LINE 42/20	
13 14 15 16 17 18	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY	11 12 13 14 15	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PAGE/LINE 42/20 61/24 63/23	263
13 14 15 16 17 18	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE Cherry Tree Corporate Center	11 12 13 14 15 16	PAGE/LINE  42/20  61/24  63/23   INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	263
13 14 15 16 17 18	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PAGE/LINE 42/20 61/24 63/23	263
13 14 15 16 17 18	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE Cherry Tree Corporate Center 535 Route 38 East, Suite 501 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 (856) 663-4300	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PAGE/LINE  42/20  61/24  63/23   INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	263
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE Cherry Tree Corporate Center 535 Route 38 East, Suite 501 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 (856) 663-4300 nmulhern@moodklaw.com Attorneys for Defendants,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION  PAGE/LINE  42/20  61/24  63/23   INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	263
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE Cherry Tree Corporate Center 535 Route 38 East, Suite 501 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 (856) 663-4300 nmulhern@moodklaw.com Attorneys for Defendants, Grand LHN III, LLC, Ironstate	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION  PAGE/LINE  42/20  61/24  63/23   INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	263
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE Cherry Tree Corporate Center 535 Route 38 East, Suite 501 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 (856) 663-4300 nmulhern@moodklaw.com Attorneys for Defendants,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION  PAGE/LINE  42/20  61/24  63/23   INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	263
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE Cherry Tree Corporate Center 535 Route 38 East, Suite 501 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 (856) 663-4300 nmulhern@moodklaw.com Attorneys for Defendants, Grand LHN III, LLC, Ironstate Development Company and	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION  PAGE/LINE  42/20  61/24  63/23   INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	263
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gclark@clarklawnj.com lberenguer@clarklawnj.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs  MARSHALL DENNEHEY BY: PAULINE F. TUTELO, ESQUIRE 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4146 pftutelo@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendant, AJD Construction Co., Inc.  MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY BY: NICOLE M. MULHERN, ESQUIRE Cherry Tree Corporate Center 535 Route 38 East, Suite 501 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 (856) 663-4300 nmulhern@moodklaw.com Attorneys for Defendants, Grand LHN III, LLC, Ironstate Development Company and	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION  PAGE/LINE  42/20  61/24  63/23   INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	263

Min-U-Script® (1) Pages 1 - 4

IVIa	y 20, 2021			
	Page 5			Page 7
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	(It is stipulated by and	2	or what?	
3	among counsel for the respective parties that	3	A. I'm home. Home office.	
4	signing, sealing, filing and certification are	4	Q. And prior to COVID, did you	
5	waived and that all objections, except as to the	5	ordinarily work out of a home office or out of	
6	form of the question, are reserved until the time	6	Robson's office somewhere?	
7	of trial.)	7	A. So, my territory is sort of the East	
8		8	Coast for Robson in terms of construction, you	
9	JASON B. RANDLE, P.E.,	9	know, being the construction expert. So, I	
	The Bourse Building, 111 South Independence Mall,	10	generally would work out of my home.	
	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19106, after having	11	Q. Even before COVID?	
	been first duly sworn, was examined and testified	12	A. Correct.	
	as follows:	13	Q. When did you start with Robson?	
14		14	A. I think it's four years in September.	
15	EXAMINATION  BY MP, CLARK.	15	Q. And have you ever been deposed as an	
16	BY MR. CLARK:  O Good marning Mr. Pandla, My name is	16	expert while working for Robson in a defense case	
17	Q. Good morning, Mr. Randle. My name is Jerry Clark. I am the attorney that represents	17	or were they all plaintiffs' cases, meaning who you were retained by in the case?	
18	the plaintiff in the case.	18 19	A. I've had a mix of defense and	
19 20	About how many times have you	20	plaintiff.	
21	been deposed in the past?	21	Q. Are you standing up right now?	
22	A. Depositions, I'll say about eight.	22	A. I am.	
23	Q. And were those all in connection with	23	Q. Do you think you're going to be able	
24	your work at Robson Forensic?	24	to stand up the whole deposition, assuming we go	
25	A. As an expert witness, yes. I have	25	long, or will you be able to sit down as well?	
	110 un oripote muness, yest 1 mure		long, or will you be used to see so will us well.	
	Page 6			Page 8
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	been deposed as a fact witness, you know, prior	2	A. I can stand all day.	
3	to my employment with Robson.	3	Q. Okay. So, do you type up your	
4	Q. How many times were you deposed as a	4	reports yourself or do you dictate them?	
5	fact witness before working for Robson?	5	How does that work?	
6	A. Two days. One one matter	6	A. I type my reports myself.	
7	concerning a construction dispute with an owner	7	Q. And where do you save them when	
8	that didn't want to pay us.	8	you're finished with them?	
9	Q. Okay. So, was it a total of six	9	A. So, we use G-Suite. And my	
10	depositions with Robson or eight?	10	report you know, I have my own G-Suite	
11	A. I'm going from memory here. I'm	11	personal file. And that's where you know,	
12	going to say eight.	12	it's saved to the cloud server. But it is, you	
13	Q. Okay. If you don't know the exact	13	know, dedicated to me, if that's making sense.	
14	answer to a question, you can estimate or	14	Q. No. It makes a lot of sense.	
15	approximate; you understand that. Right?	15	Is that similar to Dropbox?	
16	A. Sure. Yes.	16	Do you ever see Dropbox where	
17	Q. If you don't know the answer to a	17	there's, like, folders?	
18	question, you should say that you don't know.	18	A. Yes.	
19	Okay?	19	Q. And in your G-Suite would be all the	
20	A. Got it.	20	reports that you've written for Robson?	
21	Q. If you answer a question, we are	21	A. We made the transition a year or so	
22	going to assume that you understood it. Okay?	22	ago. There's been some some blips here and	
23	A. I understand.	23	there in terms of transferring information. But	
24	Q. So, where are you right now?	24	generally, yes.	
2 -	Are you have or et en office	2.5	O So when you made when they made	
25	Are you home or at an office	25	Q. So, when you made when they made	

Pages 5 - 8 (2) Min-U-Script®

	,		May 20, 2	2021
	Page 9		Page	e 11
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	the transition a year ago, they also endeavored	2	Q. "We" being who?	
3	to move the old files and incorporate them into	3	A. Robson.	
4	G-Suite?	4	Q. Did you ever publish anything	
5	A. Yes. We used to host our own our	5	that's	
6	own servers. And the type of documents,	6	Strike that.	
7	obviously, you know, the size of the documents,	7	Did you ever write anything	
8	to deal with, it just became impractical for us	8	that's been published in a peer-reviewed journal?	
9	to continue to try to host all the data.	9	A. Yes. I wrote an article about	
10	I'm talking like I know about	10	energy-efficient buildings. And that was you	
11	this. I wasn't on some kind of committee, but	11	know, there was a group of us that came up it	
12	this is what I was sort of told, so	12	was an industry industry publication. We also	
13	Q. And are there about, like, 120	13	presented on it at a conference, the smarting of	
14	reports in your G-Suite folder that you've	14	buildings, I think.	
15	written in prior cases?	15	Q. And what journal was it published in,	
16	A. Through the years. That's generally	16	do you remember?	
17	a probably more. Maybe closer to 140, 150	17	A. The organization is called CLM. I	
18	more.	18	don't know if you are familiar with them or not.	
19	Q. And who is your manager or supervisor	19	Q. What is I am not. What does that	
20	at Robson?	20	stand for?	
21	A. So, I have a practice group leader,	21	A. It's a it's an insurance industry	
22	and he manages the civil group. I believe under	22	organization, dedicated organization. You can	
23	his sort of umbrella is about 25 to 30 experts.  And his name is Brant Leisenring. He's an expert	23 24	Google them.  Q. So, that's not an engineering	
24 25	as well. He, you know, obviously takes on cases.	25	journal.	
23	as well. He, you know, obviously takes on cases.	23	Journal.	
	Page 10		Page	e 12
1		1		e 12
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	e 12
	J. Randle, P.E. And we also have a peer-review process. And		J. Randle, P.E.	e 12
2	J. Randle, P.E.	2	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for	e 12
2	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know,	2	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.	e 12
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a	e 12
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed	e 12
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed Like, let's just see here.	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right?	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes.	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people. Right?  A. Correct. Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And just somewhat	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people. Right?  A. Correct. Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and I'll let you know if I am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within Robson would do a peer review. Because one would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of up to \$15,000.	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within Robson would do a peer review. Because one would think that if you had, like, a legitimate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of up to \$15,000.  Do you understand that?	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within Robson would do a peer review. Because one would think that if you had, like, a legitimate engineering journal or a legitimate medical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of up to \$15,000.  Do you understand that?  A. Yes.	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within Robson would do a peer review. Because one would think that if you had, like, a legitimate engineering journal or a legitimate medical journal, saying that it's been peer-reviewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there. Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here. By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth. Right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of up to \$15,000.  Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. So, when you say your reports are	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within Robson would do a peer review. Because one would think that if you had, like, a legitimate engineering journal or a legitimate medical journal, saying that it's been peer-reviewed would imply that it's someone outside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of up to \$15,000.  Do you understand that?  A. Yes.  Q. So, when you say your reports are peer-reviewed, what you mean is that they are	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within Robson would do a peer review. Because one would think that if you had, like, a legitimate engineering journal or a legitimate medical journal, saying that it's been peer-reviewed would imply that it's someone outside the organization of the person that wrote it so as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of up to \$15,000.  Do you understand that?  A. Yes.  Q. So, when you say your reports are peer-reviewed, what you mean is that they are reviewed by other people at Robson Forensic, in	e 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  And we also have a peer-review process. And there's a group of experts with more you know, with who have been tenured longer at Robson that are also peer reviewers.  Q. They're all in-house Robson people.  Right?  A. Correct.  Q. When we talk about peer review in terms of, like, an engineering journal article, are you familiar with that?  A. I mean, I understand  Why don't you continue and  I'll let you know if I am.  Q. Yeah. I'm just thinking, like, peer review is it just seems a little self-contradictory to say that people within Robson would do a peer review. Because one would think that if you had, like, a legitimate engineering journal or a legitimate medical journal, saying that it's been peer-reviewed would imply that it's someone outside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  A. Okay. Good point. I apologize for misspeaking there.  Q. Yes. I'm talking about, like, a peer-reviewed  Like, let's just see here.  By the way, you understand that you're sworn under oath to tell the truth.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. And just somewhat standard at the depositions, I just want to advise you that you probably already know, but we'll just put it in here that perjury is a third-degree crime in New Jersey. That means a person convicted of perjury in New Jersey could face three to five years in prison and a fine of up to \$15,000.  Do you understand that?  A. Yes.  Q. So, when you say your reports are peer-reviewed, what you mean is that they are	e 12

Min-U-Script® (3) Pages 9 - 12

way	7 20, 2021				
		Page 13		Page	e 15
1	J. Randle, P.E.		1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	Q. They're not peer-reviewed in the		2	A. Yes.	
3	sense of how a journal article would be		3	Q. "Yes."	
4	peer-reviewed in the sense that it's reviewed by		4	A. We don't have, like, a clearinghouse	
5	people outside the organization so as to avoid		5	for reports. It's not how we manage our	
6	conflicts. Is that fair?		6	practices.	
7	MS. MULHERN: Objection to		7	Q. It's in each of the files,	
8	form.		8	essentially, the file folders?	
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.		9	A. Right.	
10	MS. MULHERN: But you can		10	Can you ask that again?	
11	answer.		11	MR. CLARK: I'll ask Dolores	
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.		12	to read it back.	
13	BY MR. CLARK:		13	(The following was read by	
14	Q. Can you spell Brett Leisenring's name		14	the court reporter:	
15	for the benefit of the court reporter.		15	"Q. It's in each of the	
16	A. Sure. It's Leisenring. And it's		16	files, essentially, the file folders?")	
17	L-e-i-s-e-n-r-i-n-g.		17	THE WITNESS: Yes. So,	
18	Q. And who owns Robson Forensic?		18	you're right. I mean, within each case number	
19	A. Who owns Robson?		19	that we you know, we assign to a certain	
20	Q. Yes.		20	matter are multiple subfolders. And within those	
21	A. I believe well, I'm not I		21	subfolders would be, you know, a report folder	
22	believe our president is the majority owner or		22	within my work product subfolder, so	
23	our I'm not sure that's his title. But, you		23	BY MR. CLARK:	
24	know, our CEO would have is majority owner. His name is Bart Eckhardt.		24	Q. So, there's a report subfolder within the file number?	
25	HIS Hame IS Dait Eckilatut.		25	the me number?	
		Page 14		Page	e 16
1	J. Randle, P.E.		1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	Q. Okay. And if Bart Eckhardt wanted		2	A. Yes.	
3	all the reports that you drafted that are in your		3	And that's how I manage my	
4	G-Suite folder, how long do you think it would		4	cases. I'm not speaking on behalf of Robson.	
5	take for you to download that to a thumb drive?		5	This is you know, we all have our own	
6	Would that be a matter of,		6	individual practice. That's why Robson is such a	
7	like, clicking the folder and dragging it to the		7	fantastic firm.	
8	thumb drive and then however long it takes to		8	We do have you know,	
9	copy it to it?		9	there's general best practice. And that's how I	
10	A. No, no. I mean, similar, I'm sure,		10	manage that's how I manage my files and my	
11	how you manage your cases, you know, I have		11	work product.	
12	multiple folders for each case number that I'm		12	Q. And you could give anyone access to	
13	assigned. And within those folders are the		13	your G-Suite with your name and log-in, right, I	
14	documents, my standards that I'm referencing, my	7	14	imagine, right, if you wanted to?	
15	work product, anytime there's inspection		15	A. I'm not sure my agreement with the	
16	anything along those lines.		16	company, with the firm, would allow that. But in	
17	So, it would be a matter of,		17	concept, sure.	
18	basically, you know, navigating through every		18	Q. And are you a W-2 employee of the	
19	case number.		19	firm or are you a 1099?	
20	(Lazaro Berenguer, Esquire,		20	A. W-2.	
21	joined the deposition.)		21	Q. And how about the eight or so	
22	BY MR. CLARK:		22	deposition transcripts?	
23	Q. And when you say there's 140 or so reports, are they in 140 different sub-folders		23	How would you go about getting your hands on those?	
24	reports, are mey in 140 different sub-folders		24		
25	Ot		25	Δ Similar	
25	or		25	A. Similar.	

Pages 13 - 16 (4) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 17		Page 19
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	I I'll be frank. I don't	2	our you know, either into a shared folder or
3	believe I probably have but a couple of	3	into our personal folder.
4	deposition transcripts. For whatever reason,	4	So, you know, when COVID hit,
5	I you know, we we tend not to get them. A	5	we all kind of readjusted how things were. And
6	lot of times we'll beat on our clients to try to	6	we used to have a dedicated admin to our
7	get them sent to us, and many times we are not.	7	particular office. But she's been gobbled up and
8	And then by the time we really get heated, the	8	put in the pool. So, you know, we like I
	case, you know, generally either settles or moves	9	said, I have access to a pool of admins that can
9 10	onto the next stage.	10	support my efforts.
11	Q. That makes sense.	11	Q. So, the admin would the admin pool
12	So, for the couple that you	12	would have access to your G-Suite. Is that
13	have or so, I can't imagine it would take	13	•
14	terribly long for you to get your hands on those.	14	right? A. To my personal G-Suite, no.
15	Right?	15	Q. How are they then able to upload
16	A. No.	16	materials into your G-Suite?
17	Q. Do you know offhand which cases those	17	A. So, there's I misspoke about the
18	were?	18	process. There's a shared folder for you
19	Were you retained in those	19	know, beyond my personal folder there's also a
20	cases by the defense or the plaintiff, do you	20	company shared folder. And typically, you know,
21	know offhand?	21	once you get to the shared folder on the cloud,
22	A. One case was the defense. It was a	22	to move it into your personal folder is much
23	construction dispute. It was more of a you	23	easier than downloading all the documents and
24	know, it wasn't an injury case. It was more of a	24	uploading it to your personal folder.
25	dispute between parties concerning the quality of	25	So, that's a very simple, you
23	dispute between parties concerning the quanty of	23	50, that's a very simple, you
	Page 18		Page 20
	Page 18		Page 20
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I	2	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know,
2	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.	2	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor. And I believe the other case	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor. And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor. And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor. And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor. And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor. And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor. And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor? A. Yes. Q. And who are you defending in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor? A. Yes. Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor? A. Yes. Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today? A. I've been engaged to give an opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor? A. Yes. Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today? A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite? A. Correct. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript.  Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?  A. Yes.  Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today?  A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Do you are those shared and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript.  Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?  A. Yes.  Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today?  A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point. Q. I understand. So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor? A. Yes. Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today? A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC. Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.  So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each particular file number?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor? A. Yes. Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today? A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC. Q. Thank you.  Do you have any support staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.  So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each particular file number?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I  was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in  Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript.  Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?  A. Yes.  Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today?  A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC.  Q. Thank you.  Do you have any support staff help at Robson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.  So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each particular file number?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your file number in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript.  Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?  A. Yes.  Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today?  A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC.  Q. Thank you.  Do you have any support staff help at Robson?  A. We have a sort of an admin pool	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.  So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each particular file number?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your file number in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript.  Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?  A. Yes.  Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today?  A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC.  Q. Thank you.  Do you have any support staff help at Robson?  A. We have a sort of an admin pool that if we have requests primarily concerning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.  So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each particular file number?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your file number in this case?  Is it 21JN0007?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript. Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor? A. Yes. Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today? A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC. Q. Thank you.  Do you have any support staff help at Robson? A. We have a sort of an admin pool that if we have requests primarily concerning, you know, when documents are transmitted to us,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.  So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each particular file number?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your file number in this case?  Is it 21JN0007?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  work that was completed on a house. We were I was defending the general contractor.  And I believe the other case involved defense of a site contractor that was claimed to have caused damages in a restaurant in Connecticut. So, those are the ones I remember offhand where I received a transcript.  Q. You said in the first you were defending the general contractor?  A. Yes.  Q. And who are you defending in this case that we're here for today?  A. I've been engaged to give an opinion primarily concerning the owner of the project. So, that would be 235 Grand, I believe is the LLC.  Q. Thank you.  Do you have any support staff help at Robson?  A. We have a sort of an admin pool that if we have requests primarily concerning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. know, move that I can make versus, you know, frankly, watching files be downloaded and it's there will be a shared folder based on the case number that I then kind of move those documents from that shared folder into my folder and it's much simpler at that point.  Q. I understand.  So, the admin would have access to the shared folder and upload what they're working on or what they need and then you would take it from the shared folder and put it into your particular filing system within G-Suite?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Do you are those shared and the shared folders are under the umbrella of each particular file number?  A. Yes.  Q. And what is your file number in this case?  Is it 21JN0007?

Min-U-Script® (5) Pages 17 - 20

Jason B. Randle, P.E. May 20, 2021

	y 20, 2021		
	Page 21		Page 23
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	within each of those files that you worked on?	2	that.
3	A. Yes.	3	But go ahead and, I guess,
4	In this case, I can't	4	complete your question.
5	remember how the documents were received, if I	5	MR. CLARK: There's no need
6	just received them and moved them myself, which,	6	to apologize at all. But thanks, you know,
7	you know, is this profession allows me the	7	anyway.
8	luxury of working off-hours a lot, which I can go	8	BY MR. CLARK:
9	ahead at times, move the documents myself, or if	9	Q. So, now, understanding kind of how
10	it was sent to the admin. I'm not a hundred	10	the file system works, being kind of similar to
11	percent sure.	11	Dropbox and in some ways similar to the old
12	Q. So, I'm now understanding this.	12	Windows Explorer, it seems to me that a
13	It sounds like your G-Suite	13	reasonably trained member of the Robson Forensic
14	is a lot like Dropbox or actually a lot like the	14	admin pool would take about a day or less to go
15	old Windows Explorer system, which is folders and	15	through those files and drag your final reports
16	subfolders and all. Is that fair?	16	in each of those cases into a folder or a thumb
17	A. Yeah, it is.	17	drive.
18	It was but there was still	18	Does that sound about fair to
19	a learning curve, trust me, you know, because we	19	you?
20	did we did use the old Microsoft, I guess,	20	A. In a vacuum maybe. But 140
21	file suite or whatever you want to call it. And	21	documents, clicking through very large file
22	it was tied to our VPN and all of this stuff.	22	folders, I I'd be aggressive to say it could
23	This is a much easier process	23	be done in a day. I'm actually an estimator as
24	I've come to accept, after being pretty pigheaded	24	well. So, I would definitely not estimate a day
25	and not wanting to early on.	25	for this.
	,		
	Page 22		Page 24
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	But, yes, there's you	2	And then, you know, I would
3	know, G-Suite, we've kind of curtailed it to	3	need to be involved, you know, again, after, you
		_	
4	match what we're used to what we're used to	4	know, getting approval for this type of thing
4 5			know, getting approval for this type of thing from not only internally, but also our you
	match what we're used to what we're used to	4	from not only internally, but also our you
5	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.	4	
5 6	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it	4 5 6	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.
5 6 7	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less	4 5 6 7	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.
5 6 7 8 9	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over	4 5 6 7 8	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?
5 6 7 8 9	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?	4 5 6 7 8 9	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a
5 6 7 8 9 10	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	4 5 6 7 8 9	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard to it's funny. So, the question started. The	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors and what have you. So, those take on, like, a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard to it's funny. So, the question started. The question would start here and end here. And you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors and what have you. So, those take on, like, a different naming system.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard to it's funny. So, the question started. The question would start here and end here. And you interrupted me at about this point and said, Is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors and what have you. So, those take on, like, a different naming system.  But, you know, it's sort
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard to it's funny. So, the question started. The question would start here and end here. And you interrupted me at about this point and said, Is there a question? So, it's hard to do that when	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors and what have you. So, those take on, like, a different naming system.  But, you know, it's sort of you know, you wake up and you put on your
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard to it's funny. So, the question started. The question would start here and end here. And you interrupted me at about this point and said, Is there a question? So, it's hard to do that when I'm interrupted in the middle of the question.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors and what have you. So, those take on, like, a different naming system.  But, you know, it's sort of you know, you wake up and you put on your pink socks or your purple socks is kind of how I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard to it's funny. So, the question started. The question would start here and end here. And you interrupted me at about this point and said, Is there a question? So, it's hard to do that when I'm interrupted in the middle of the question.  MS. MULHERN: Oh, I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors and what have you. So, those take on, like, a different naming system.  But, you know, it's sort of you know, you wake up and you put on your pink socks or your purple socks is kind of how I head down the path of naming my reports.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	match what we're used to what we're used to seeing.  Q. So, now, understanding that, it sounds like it would take an admin a day or less to go through the file folders and drag over copies of the reports in each of the cases?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Is that  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question there?  MR. CLARK: It's hard to it's funny. So, the question started. The question would start here and end here. And you interrupted me at about this point and said, Is there a question? So, it's hard to do that when I'm interrupted in the middle of the question.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from not only internally, but also our you know, all my clients, which would take a would take quite a bit of work.  Q. How do you name your reports usually?  A. Well, they you know, generally I don't think there's really a standard for me based on the case information, so but generally it's a technical report of something. You know, like I said, my practice is not just construction industry. It includes disputes between homeowners and builders and builders and commercial owners and subcontractors and what have you. So, those take on, like, a different naming system.  But, you know, it's sort of you know, you wake up and you put on your pink socks or your purple socks is kind of how I

Pages 21 - 24 (6) Min-U-Script®

25 collection of, like, folks that are, you know,

1101	iand v AJD Construction, et al.		Jason B. Kandie, F.E. May 20, 2021
	Page 25	5	Page 27
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	terms of construction-injury matters. Otherwise	2	experts in their assigned industry. And the
3	it's curtailed more towards the type of case it	3	company is not going to come in and try to step
4	is. And that's a lot of times it's really,	4	on people's toes. We have a lot of flexibility
5	frankly, to make the client understand that their	5	in terms of how we manage our practice.
6	case is important and that's why we named it	6	So, short answer is generally
7	certain ways.	7	it'll typically have our Robson case number.
8	Q. When I say "name," I don't mean,	8	And, you know, it may have my initials and it
9	like, the title of the report as	9	might have the it should have, you know, the
10	A. Okay.	10	case name on how we title it. That's just how we
11	Q is on the title. I mean the file	11	track billings and if there are things that are
12	name.	12	uploaded, you know, inquiries, whatever.
13 14	A. So, the numbering system for the case?	13 14	Q. Do you have G-Suite open right now in this case?
		15	A. No.
15	- • • •		
16	name the file of your final expert reports within your G-Suite.	16 17	Q. How long would it take you to open G-Suite?
17	•		
18	A. Again, it depends. At times you know, generally it'll be the case number, the	18 19	<ul><li>A. Minutes, half a minute.</li><li>Q. Can you open it up and tell us what</li></ul>
19	case name, which, you know, I I'm assuming I'm		the report was named in this case in the file,
20	not giving away any corporate secrets here, but	20 21	the electronic file name?
21	it's really the name of the attorney and the name	22	A. Yeah. I I can.
22			
23	of the plaintiff. And then it'll be my initials at the end of it.	23	Q. While you're doing that, I'm going to
24 25	Now, generally reports will	24 25	go get a cup of water.  A. Okay.
23	row, generally reports will	23	A. Okay.
	Page 20	6	Page 28
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	go through an admin's hands, where they'll go	2	Q. Thanks.
3	through and just make sure the format is good	3	(Pause.)
4	and, you know, it's there isn't some, like,	4	Also, while you're doing
5	blank spaces. And generally when I direct them,	5	that, do you bill on this case by the hour?
6	they'll add my signature and then it will get	6	A. The firm bills my time per hour.
7	sent to the client.	7	Yes.
8	So but, again, you	8	Q. And what's the rate that they bill
9	know, it does happen in a pinch. I'll you	9	for on this case?
10	know, if it's a late evening where a client is	10	A. I believe it's \$430 an hour.
11	asking for something quickly and that process	11	Q. Okay. Is it open?
12	can't take place, I'll you know, I'll do the	12	A. Yes.
13	final process on the report and send it off. And	13	Q. And, so, what are you seeing there?
14	the naming system will be similar, but really it	14	Like, what's shown?
15	depends on again, we don't have, like, you	15	Is there a bunch of folders
16	must name, you know, this file, that type of	16	or what?
17	scenario. It's you know, there's general	17	A. For G-Suite I pulled open the work
18	practice on what it is, but I've seen other	18	product folder. And the report is called it's
19	experts within the firm who, you know, follow a	19	titled 21JN0007 Mulhern dash Hoiland. And then
20	different system.	20	in parentheses it's a date, you know, 2-12-21,
21	So, sorry I'm being a	21	and then my initials at the end.
22	little not very clear.	22	So, this report was sent to
23	But, again, you know,	23	the admin pool and they, you know, checked format
24	we're we pride ourselves that we're a	24	and made sure the file size wasn't wonky and, you

Min-U-Script® (7) Pages 25 - 28

25 know, added my signature based on my direction

May	7 <b>20, 2021</b> Page 29	1	Page 31
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	and then it was sent to sent to Attorney	2	ends in the report.
3	Mulhern.	3	But, again, there is you
4	Q. So, once you open a file in G-Suite,	4	know, at times during my sort of path of creating
5	a case file, you can readily identify which file	5	my practice, I put deposition excerpts in that
6	within that folder is the report. Is that fair?	6	folder, which I would, you know, grab and put
7	A. Again, in a vacuum, sure. But	7	into my report or, like, you know, at times I
8	not you know, I have response responses	8	could put in the opposing expert's work product
9	that I'm that I author, you know give,	9	that I would reference.
10	opinions on.	10	So, it would you know, it
11	Again, generally, the way I	11	would it would take some serious hand-holding
12	manage my case files are based on, you know, our	12	along the way between, you know frankly, it
13	case file numbering system. I have a group of	13	would probably have to be me, you know. Because
14	subfolders that I've become familiar with on	14	even if even if the admin pulled it together,
15	how to manage my cases. And then within	15	I would have I would have to check it. And,
16	that within those folders is a folder I call	16	again, I you know, there's multiple steps
17	work product. And within that normally is	17	prior to even that part happening, which
18	something I call report. And generally my	18	is you know, I'd have to if you were my
19	reports are in that report sub-subfolder.	19	attorney/client, I'd have to call you and talk to
20	But, again, I you know,	20	you about this and you would tell me probably no
21	there's it's not extremely cut and dry in	21	and then, you know so, at that point there
22	terms of, like, you know, I can just wind someone	22	would be an exception made.
23	off and have them go search things out. It's	23	So, yeah. I don't I hope
24	just for whatever reason, you know, the case	24	I'm answering your question.
25	closes and or there's some follow-up you	25	Q. I'm not sure. You went so long I
	Page 30	)	Page 32
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	know, sometimes a report is settled you know,	2	forgot what the question was. So, I hope you
3	the case settles before it's off you know,	3	answered the question, too. But the transcript
4	it's just a lot of goings-on with you know,	4	will show it.
5	these reports take a lot of time and a lot of	5	Of the 140 or so reports that
6	emotion and a lot of thought. And I don't want	6	you have from prior cases, can you estimate how
7	to, like, you know, minimize, you know, my many	7	many of those cases would be injury cases?
8	hours of work in terms of minutes pulling the	8	A. I'd probably say 60 to 70 percent.
9	reports. And I think it's justified that way,	9	So, you know, 60 percent of 140 would be 84.
10	frankly. You know, I would I would need to be	10	70 percent would be 98. So, somewhere in that
11	part of that process.	11	range.
12	Q. What else is in the sub-subfolder	12	Q. Okay. And of the 84 to 98 of those
13	titled reports?	13	injury of those reports which you estimate
14	What else is generally in	14	would be injury cases, of that grouping, can you
15	those, or is it just the reports?	15	estimate what percentage would be
16	A. Well, at times I'll take snapshots	16	construction-injury cases?
17	of, you know, like, my excerpts that I use on my	17	A. Sure. That's that's 80 percent
18	reports, like a duplimap (phonetic) image or a	18	more.
19	particular photo. Those can all kind of pile	19	Q. So, we're talking about 70 to 80
20	into that report folder, which helps me kind of	20	reports that you have in cases you worked on for
	focus on what it is that I'm adding into		Robson Forensic, 70 to 80 of those would be
21	my you know, what kind of additional analysis	21 22	construction-injury cases.
22			Is that a fair estimate?
23	support I would be adding into the report.  So, again, it's how we get	23	
24 25	there can vary. Ultimately there you know, it	24 25	A. Based on how we spoke through it. Sure.
	more can vary. Ominatory there you know, it	43	Auto.

Pages 29 - 32 (8) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 33		Page 35
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Q. And we understand it's an estimate;	2	So, no, I'm very proud of my
3	we're allowed to estimate at depositions.	3	work product. I think, you know, it wouldn't
4	So, if there were a court	4	necessarily be I probably shouldn't use this
5	order that ordered you to produce in this case	5	word. But I don't think it would be fair to
6	those 70 or 80 reports, why would you necessarily	6	the to the folks involved in these different
7	need to be intimately involved in the process?	7	matters for me to wind up an admin to put forth
8	Why could not an admin just	8	going through my folders and pulling out my work
9	go in, pull out the final reports, put it in a	9	product.
10	shared folder and then you can go through and	10	And that's you know,
11	delete any reports that don't fall within the	11	there's no there's no apprehension. No.
12	scope of the court order? That being the 70 or	12	My my reports are great. My opinions are
13	80 construction-injury reports.	13	strong. I'm an expert in this industry. I have
14	Would that help to streamline	14	no problem advertising as such.
15	things?	15	So but, again, you know,
16	MS. MULHERN: I'm going to	16	this this is a decision you know, this
17	object to the form.	17	conversation is beyond this deposition. So, I
18	I'm also going to note that	18	guess that's where my apprehension is. I don't
19	those reports are subject to a protective order.	19	want to I don't want to I don't want to
20	But you can answer, if you	20	step on the toes of those that have come before
21	can.	21	me in this conversation. I you know, we have
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Like I	22	a firm policy. And there's other folks involved
23	said, it's not that it's not that simple for	23	that are you know, play a part in paying my
24	my practice. I just by the time I by the	24	paycheck every week. So, that's where I stand.
25	time an admin touched them, I'd be touching them	25	BY MR. CLARK:
	Dogo 24		Dogo 26
	Page 34		Page 36
1	Page 34  J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. again.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have
	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's		J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've
2	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my	2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court. A. Versus let me ask, versus just,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation? Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation? Q. Yes. A. Okay. For construction industry I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. again. So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic. Am I misreading you or do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the subject of any kind of protective order or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to object to the form.  But, Mr. Randle, you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the subject of any kind of protective order or contain privileged information. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to object to the form.  But, Mr. Randle, you can respond.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the subject of any kind of protective order or contain privileged information. Is that correct? To your knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to object to the form.  But, Mr. Randle, you can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. No. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the subject of any kind of protective order or contain privileged information. Is that correct? To your knowledge.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to object to the form.  But, Mr. Randle, you can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. No. I'm very proud of my work product. And I have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the subject of any kind of protective order or contain privileged information. Is that correct? To your knowledge.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to object to the form.  But, Mr. Randle, you can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. No. I'm very proud of my work product. And I have a pretty big ego. So, I'm sorry if that's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the subject of any kind of protective order or contain privileged information. Is that correct? To your knowledge.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  They are in they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. again.  So, you know, it's just like I said, I'm not going to minimize my work effort into click here, click there. It's just it's not it's not how my practice has been created. So, you know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You know, please don't take this the wrong way or please don't be insulted, but you seem a little defensive or not too jazzed about the prospect of having to turn over your prior reports in construction injury cases that you wrote for Robson Forensic.  Am I misreading you or do you have some sort of apprehension about that?  MS. MULHERN: I'm going to object to the form.  But, Mr. Randle, you can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. No. I'm very proud of my work product. And I have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Of the 70 or 80 reports that you have written in construction-injury cases that we've been speaking about, can you estimate what percentage of those were written in litigation matters? Meaning cases that were lawsuits filed in court.  A. Versus let me ask, versus just, like, a private matter or versus arbitration/mediation?  Q. Yes.  A. Okay. For construction industry I mean for construction injury, I would say, you know, a hundred percent.  Q. And as far as you know, none of those 70 or 80 reports which were written in construction-injury-litigation matters, none of those reports, as far as you know, were the subject of any kind of protective order or contain privileged information. Is that correct? To your knowledge.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.

Min-U-Script® (9) Pages 33 - 36

Jason B. Randle, P.E. May 20, 2021

May	7 20, 2021		
	Page 3	37	Page 39
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	I'm not sure I'm	2	A. Yes.
3	understanding your question.	3	Q. And of the 70 or 80, can you estimate
4	BY MR. CLARK:	4	what percent would be subject to a protective
5	Q. So, Mr. Randle, you can answer.	5	order or contain privileged information?
6	If you need it read back, we	$\epsilon$	Because that would be unusual
7	can have it read back.	7	for a construction injury expert report to
8	A. I don't know.	8	contain privileged information, particularly when
9	Q. So, we're going to have the question	9	it's written for litigation purposes, to be
10	read back. And please listen to the question.	10	served on the other side for purposes of trial
11	There was a there was sort of a descriptive	11	. testimony. That would be very unusual for such a
12	qualifier in the beginning of the question. And	12	report to contain privileged information or to be
13	that descriptive qualifier was repeated at the	13	the subject of any kind of protective order
14	end of the question.	14	within each case.
15	So, let's have the question	15	A. Okay. Like I said, I'm I don't
16	read back and listen to it with particular	16	know every case, where they stand in in what
17	appreciation for the beginning and ending	17	you're describing. I do know of a couple of
18	qualifiers. And let's see if maybe you can give	18	cases that are you know, involve sort of
19	a little better answer to it.	19	
20	(The following was read by	20	,
21	the court reporter:	21	8
22	"Q. And as far as you know,	22	, , , , ,
23	none of those 70 or 80 reports which were	23	
24	written in construction-injury-litigation	24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
25	matters, none of those reports, as far as you	25	all 70 or 80, I don't know.
	Page 3	38	Page 40
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	know, were the subject of any kind of	2	
3	protective order or contain privileged	3	
4	information. Is that correct? To your	4	
5	knowledge.")	5	
6	MS. MULHERN: I'll also	$\epsilon$	
7	object to the form. They're subject to a	7	protective order; it would almost be an oxymoron.
8	protective order in this case.	8	
9	But, Mr. Randle, if you	9	testimony or to put the other side on fair notice
10	understand the question, you can respond.	10	
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	11	
12	You know, I'm not a lawyer. I know of particular	12	Does that make sense to you
13	cases that are protected. I I'll leave it at	13	3 or
14	that. I don't know every every case at the	14	A. Again, I don't I'm not trained in
15	back of my hand.	15	what it is you're explaining. You know,
1		16	I'm generally the process works for me.
16	And like Attorney Mulhern		
16 17	said, I believe this case is under protection.	17	
	said, I believe this case is under protection. So, I don't know them you	17 18	a case. I speak with the client. We decide if
17	said, I believe this case is under protection.  So, I don't know them you know, I'm not generally involved in the mechanics		a case. I speak with the client. We decide if it makes a good fit. I'm asked to give an
17 18	said, I believe this case is under protection.  So, I don't know them you know, I'm not generally involved in the mechanics of that part of the process.	18	a case. I speak with the client. We decide if it makes a good fit. I'm asked to give an opinion on on a stated purpose. And I produce
17 18 19	said, I believe this case is under protection.  So, I don't know them you know, I'm not generally involved in the mechanics of that part of the process.  BY MR. CLARK:	18 19	a case. I speak with the client. We decide if it makes a good fit. I'm asked to give an opinion on on a stated purpose. And I produce a report. And then at times I'll be deposed or
17 18 19 20	said, I believe this case is under protection.  So, I don't know them you know, I'm not generally involved in the mechanics of that part of the process.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Well, aside from this case, as far as	18 19 20	a case. I speak with the client. We decide if it makes a good fit. I'm asked to give an opinion on on a stated purpose. And I produce a report. And then at times I'll be deposed or I'll you know, about those opinions. And at
17 18 19 20 21	said, I believe this case is under protection.  So, I don't know them you know, I'm not generally involved in the mechanics of that part of the process.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Well, aside from this case, as far as you know, are any of those reports the subject of	18 19 20 21	a case. I speak with the client. We decide if it makes a good fit. I'm asked to give an opinion on on a stated purpose. And I produce a report. And then at times I'll be deposed or I'll you know, about those opinions. And at times I'll, you know, testify in court.
17 18 19 20 21 22	said, I believe this case is under protection.  So, I don't know them you know, I'm not generally involved in the mechanics of that part of the process. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well, aside from this case, as far as you know, are any of those reports the subject of any kind of protective order or contain	18 19 20 21 22	a case. I speak with the client. We decide if it makes a good fit. I'm asked to give an opinion on on a stated purpose. And I produce a report. And then at times I'll be deposed or I'll you know, about those opinions. And at times I'll, you know, testify in court. But in terms of managing the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said, I believe this case is under protection.  So, I don't know them you know, I'm not generally involved in the mechanics of that part of the process.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Well, aside from this case, as far as you know, are any of those reports the subject of	18 19 20 21 22 23	a case. I speak with the client. We decide if it makes a good fit. I'm asked to give an opinion on on a stated purpose. And I produce a report. And then at times I'll be deposed or I'll you know, about those opinions. And at times I'll, you know, testify in court. But in terms of managing the

Pages 37 - 40 (10) Min-U-Script®

			May 20,	2021
	Page 41		Pag	je 43
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	I mean, I don't I didn't	2	we'll follow up in a letter. So, I'm just noting	
3	I thought I wanted to be	3	that so the court reporter can make a log in the	
4	lawyer at one time and I'm kind of my father	4	beginning of the deposition.	
5	talked me out of it. And I stayed in	5	MS. MULHERN: Understood and	
6	engineering. So, I'm pretty grateful for him,	6	thank you.	
7	because I do like engineering.	7	But I will also point out	
8	Q. Well, you could have been a patent	8	that those records are, as you are aware of,	
9	lawyer.	9	subject to the protective order dated April 30th,	
10	A. Good point. Great point.	10	2021.	
11	Q. You could be an engineer and a patent	11	But send me the letter. I'll	
12	lawyer.	12	review it. If there's anything else that needs	
13	A. Oh, yeah.	13	done, we'll do it. But there's a protective	
14	Q. Anyway, if there were a protective	14	order for those reports.	
15	order in any of those litigation matters that	15	BY MR. CLARK:	
16	somehow limited the report or somehow limited the	16	Q. So, Mr. Randle, putting aside your	
17	disclosure of the report	17	concerns about nondisclosure agreements or	
18	Which, again, would be highly	18	possible but in all likelihood nonexistent	
19	unusual in a construction-injury case. I've	19	protective orders in those cases, putting aside	
20	never even heard of it. A patent litigation	20	those concerns, would you have any problem with	
21	might be different, because sometimes the patents	21	us and everyone here in this case reviewing those	
22	and the trade secrets there, but I've never heard	22	reports and possibly comparing those reports to	
23	of such a thing in a construction-injury case.	23	the report you wrote in this case to determine if	
24	But in any event, if there	24	there are any significant inconsistencies?	
25	were such a protective order in any of those	25	MS. MULHERN: Object to the	
	Page 42		Pag	je 44
1		1	_	je 44
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	je 44
2	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication	2	J. Randle, P.E. form. Subject to protective order.	je 44
2	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?	2	J. Randle, P.E. form. Subject to protective order. You can respond.	je 44
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. form. Subject to protective order. You can respond. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I	je 44
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. form. Subject to protective order. You can respond. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I would I would you know, I I would	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would need to talk to my clients, of course. I	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly.	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to	je 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're	je 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to every client about what it is that you're suggesting.	je 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?  Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly.  Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to every client about what it is that you're suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to every client about what it is that you're suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to every client about what it is that you're suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her law firm.	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?  Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly.  Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to every client about what it is that you're suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to every client about what it is that you're suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want to speak to your clients on that, putting that	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?  Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly.  Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with  the people in this case seeing those reports and	je 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons. Q. Yeah. We're just talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with  the people in this case seeing those reports and  reviewing them and comparing them with your	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that? A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with the people in this case seeing those reports and  reviewing them and comparing them with your reports and testimony in this case to determine	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?  Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly.  Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons.  Q. Yeah. We're just talking about construction-injury-litigation matters. Okay.  MR. CLARK: For the record,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with the people in this case seeing those reports and  reviewing them and comparing them with your reports and testimony in this case to determine if there are any inconsistencies, particularly	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right? Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly. Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons.  Q. Yeah. We're just talking about construction-injury-litigation matters. Okay. MR. CLARK: For the record, I'm going to call for production of those 70 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with the people in this case seeing those reports and  reviewing them and comparing them with your reports and testimony in this case to determine	je 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?  Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly.  Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons.  Q. Yeah. We're just talking about construction-injury-litigation matters. Okay.  MR. CLARK: For the record,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with the people in this case seeing those reports and reviewing them and comparing them with your reports and testimony in this case to determine if there are any inconsistencies, particularly inconsistencies about standards and application of standards?	je 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?  Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly.  Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons.  Q. Yeah. We're just talking about construction-injury-litigation matters. Okay.  MR. CLARK: For the record, I'm going to call for production of those 70 or 80 reports that Mr. Randle has written during his time at Robson Forensic in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with the people in this case seeing those reports and  reviewing them and comparing them with your  reports and testimony in this case to determine if there are any inconsistencies, particularly inconsistencies about standards and application	ge 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. particular cases, there should be some indication in your files to that effect. Right?  Whether it be a letter from the lawyer or a copy of the order, something like that?  A. Possibly.  Again, the ones I know I know of immediately know of and I don't know if you're limiting it to what you said. You also, I thought, had mentioned a nondisclosure, which, you know, I've been part of at times. And then, you know, some kind of confidentiality agreement-type thing. I don't know if that's in the court setting or that's just what I was, you know, asked to be you know, to agree to, for various reasons.  Q. Yeah. We're just talking about construction-injury-litigation matters. Okay.  MR. CLARK: For the record, I'm going to call for production of those 70 or 80 reports that Mr. Randle has written during his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  form. Subject to protective order.  You can respond.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I  would I would you know, I I would  need to talk to my clients, of course. I  don't it's you know, I'd have to speak to  every client about what it is that you're  suggesting.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Who's your client in this case?  A. It's Attorney Mulhern and I guess her  law firm.  Q. Putting aside that you say you want  to speak to your clients on that, putting that  aside as well, would you have any problem with the people in this case seeing those reports and reviewing them and comparing them with your reports and testimony in this case to determine if there are any inconsistencies, particularly inconsistencies about standards and application of standards?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	ge 44

Min-U-Script® (11) Pages 41 - 44

	20, 2021	Page 45		Page 4
	J. Randle, P.E.	. ago .o	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1			1	
2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2	say you want to speak to your clients on that,
3	a large ego and were so proud of your reports and		3	putting that aside as well, would you have any
4	would stand by them until the cows come home.		4	problem with the people in this case seeing
5	So, perhaps that recollection		5	those reports and reviewing them and comparing
6	will assist you in answering the question.		6	them with your reports and testimony in this
7	MS. MULHERN: Objection to		7	case to determine if there are any
8	form.		8	inconsistencies, particularly inconsistencies
9	Again, those records are		9	about standards and application of
10	subject to a protective order.	1	10	standards?")
11	BY MR. CLARK:	1	11	THE WITNESS: So, I think we
12	Q. Do you understand what I'm saying?	1	12	already established that I'm an employee of
13	A. Yeah. I mean	1	13	Robson Forensic and Robson pays my salary. And,
14	Q. And if I can supplement. It's	1	14	so, you know, I rely on the firm to pay my
15	actually kind of common in injury cases for prior	1	15	paycheck. And, so, if you ask if I had a problem
16	reports of experts to be obtained and prior		16	with it, similar to why, you know, this order was
17	deposition transcripts to be obtained and then		17	produced and why Robson made the response that I
18	use them in cross-examination to identify		18	believe it did, I would have a problem with it.
19	inconsistencies and to assist the jury to		19	BY MR. CLARK:
20	determine its fact-finding role and determine		20	Q. Because you're concerned there would
	issues about credibility. It's actually a common			
21			21	be inconsistencies in testimony that you gave or
22	thing.		22	reports that you wrote in other cases versus the
23	And in federal court, as you		23	report you wrote in this case?
24	may know, if you were an expert in federal court,		24	For example, if you were
25	you have to actually list all the prior cases in	2	25	retained to prosecute a case like you say you're
		_		Da 44
		Page 46		Page 48
1	J. Randle, P.E.	Page 46	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	Page 46	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years,	Page 46	2	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're
2 3	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining	Page 46	2	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason	Page 46	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.	Page 46	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said. MS. MULHERN: Is there a	Page 46	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said. MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?	Page 46	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a	Page 46	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question? BY MR. CLARK:	1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question	]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back?	1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back? A. Sure.	1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection. Mr. Clark, that question is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back? A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection. Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back? A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection. Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate. I'm going to direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back?  A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back? A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back?  A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those prior reports are subject to a protective order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back? A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 112 113 114 115 116	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back? A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation and then there was the question by defense	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those prior reports are subject to a protective order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back? A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation and then there was the question by defense counsel	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those prior reports are subject to a protective order. I have afforded you great latitude in the questions that I have let you ask and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back?  A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation and then there was the question by defense counsel  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. CLARK: which may have	11 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 11 15 11 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those prior reports are subject to a protective order. I have afforded you great latitude in the questions that I have let you ask and that Mr. Randle has responded to. But at this point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back?  A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation and then there was the question by defense counsel  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. CLARK: which may have confused you in answering the question.	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those prior reports are subject to a protective order. I have afforded you great latitude in the questions that I have let you ask and that Mr. Randle has responded to. But at this point your questions are crossing the line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back?  A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation and then there was the question by defense counsel  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. CLARK: which may have confused you in answering the question.  (The following was read by		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 11 14 11 15 11 16 11 17 18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those prior reports are subject to a protective order. I have afforded you great latitude in the questions that I have let you ask and that Mr. Randle has responded to. But at this point your questions are crossing the line.  MR. CLARK: Were you a moot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. the last, I think it's five years or ten years, for that very purpose of the litigants obtaining those prior reports and testimony for the reason I just said.  MS. MULHERN: Is there a question?  Mr. Clark, did you ask him a question?  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, do you need the question read back?  A. Sure.  MR. CLARK: Why don't we read it back.  Because there was the question and then there was the supplementation and then there was the question by defense counsel  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. CLARK: which may have confused you in answering the question.	1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. retained to defend this case and if you're retained to prosecute a case for a plaintiff, you may say one thing, but in another construction-injury case where you are retained to defend someone in the case, you say something the opposite because you think it might help that party.  Is that why both you and Robson would have a problem and are apparently resisting allowing the light to shine on those prior reports?  MS. MULHERN: Objection.  Mr. Clark, that question is completely inappropriate.  I'm going to direct Mr. Randle, don't respond to it.  You are aware that those prior reports are subject to a protective order. I have afforded you great latitude in the questions that I have let you ask and that Mr. Randle has responded to. But at this point your questions are crossing the line.

Pages 45 - 48 (12) Min-U-Script®

	Page 40		Page 51
	Page 49		Page 51
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	MS. MULHERN: By all means, I	2	am not trying to make you uncomfortable at
3	invite you to get the judge on the phone.	3	all is to kind of get this deposition on track
4	(Pause.)	4	with where it should be, rather than your
5	MR. CLARK: Dolores, could	5	continuing to pursue documents and information
6	you make a log in the deposition of questions	6	that are protected by the protective order.
7	where the witness is directed not to answer so	7	MR. CLARK: Well, under that
8	that I can get a log of that and, if need be,	8	reasoning, under that reasoning, under your
9	file a motion on the issue and seek whatever	9	reasoning, Mr. Bergman shouldn't be an expert in
10	relief the court rules would provide for in this	10	this case, but we all know that he is an expert
11	situation and ask to have the witness brought	11	in this case.
12	back and maybe some fee shifting, that kind of	12	And I feel it's my
13	thing. So, I'm just going to ask you to create a	13	position and I generally try not to argue
14	log.	14	motions at depositions. I try to ask questions
15	MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, may	15	and get answers.
16	I ask, who did you call?	16	But since you are expressing
17	Because, if possible, I would	17	an interest in arguing motions, I will state our
18	prefer to just have a judge address the issues	18	position that I believe your objection and
19	right now so we can continue with the deposition,	19	directing the witness not to answer the question
20	rather than potentially have to deal with a	20	is frivolous because it's clearly not privileged.
21	second deposition or anything like that.	21	And while it's true there is today a court order
22	Did you call the judge?	22	limiting the scope of written discovery and
23	MR. CLARK: I feel like	23	reports that get turned over, there is no court
24	you've asked me more questions at the deposition	24	order limiting the scope of this deposition,
25	than you have given me the opportunity to ask the	25	which would be the second and only other category
23	than you have given the the opportunity to ask the	23	which would be the second and only other category
	Page 50		Page 52
	Page 50		Page 52
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every	2	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to
2	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And	2	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question. And since you're directing
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable. MS. MULHERN: Well, I think	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question. And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable. MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable. MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone? Because if we can resolve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for making you feel uncomfortable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think everyone realizes is the reason why neither you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for making you feel uncomfortable.  That is not my intent. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think everyone realizes is the reason why neither you nor him nor Robson Forensic want those reports
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for making you feel uncomfortable.  That is not my intent. My intent is just to clarify, you know, you're aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think everyone realizes is the reason why neither you nor him nor Robson Forensic want those reports produced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for making you feel uncomfortable.  That is not my intent. My intent is just to clarify, you know, you're aware of the protective order that is in this case. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think everyone realizes is the reason why neither you nor him nor Robson Forensic want those reports produced.  So, I'm good with how the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for making you feel uncomfortable.  That is not my intent. My intent is just to clarify, you know, you're aware of the protective order that is in this case. My witness is here. He's here to testify concerning his opinion. And you've spent the last in excess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think everyone realizes is the reason why neither you nor him nor Robson Forensic want those reports produced.  So, I'm good with how the record is right now and would like to move on to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for making you feel uncomfortable.  That is not my intent. My intent is just to clarify, you know, you're aware of the protective order that is in this case. My witness is here. He's here to testify concerning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone?  Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think everyone realizes is the reason why neither you nor him nor Robson Forensic want those reports produced.  So, I'm good with how the record is right now and would like to move on to my next question, if I could.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  witness. I feel like every I feel like every periodic time I get asked a question by you. And it's it's making me feel uncomfortable.  MS. MULHERN: Well, I think the record will show that I have not asked you any questions, except for maybe a couple where I wanted to clarify. But the record will show all of that.  Again, I'll ask, though, did you call the judge?  (Pause.)  MR. CLARK: I feel like you're staring at me. It's making me feel uncomfortable as well. So, I've got to do this.  MS. MULHERN: Apologies for making you feel uncomfortable.  That is not my intent. My intent is just to clarify, you know, you're aware of the protective order that is in this case. My witness is here. He's here to testify concerning his opinion. And you've spent the last in excess of an hour not discussing Mr. Randle's opinion in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. in which a lawyer can direct a witness not to answer the question.  And since you're directing the witness not to answer the question does not fall within either of those two categories, it's our position your objection is frivolous.  MS. MULHERN: Okay. And I have asked, did you get the judge on the phone? Because if we can resolve this, we can do that, or restate your question and I will evaluate my objection. If Mr. Randle can answer and it's appropriate for him to do so, I will of course allow him to answer.  MR. CLARK: The thing is I feel that the record fairly reflects what I think everyone realizes is the reason why neither you nor him nor Robson Forensic want those reports produced.  So, I'm good with how the record is right now and would like to move on to my next question, if I could.  MS. MULHERN: And what would

Min-U-Script® (13) Pages 49 - 52

May	20, 2021				
		Page 53			Page 55
1	J. Randle, P.E.		1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	to worry about it. Yep, please proceed.		2	sometimes have an Estimate folder or a Schedule	
3	MR. CLARK: Okay. Just give		3	folder or a you know, sometimes I'll use terse	
4	me one second. Every time you and I get into it,		4	words when I'm naming my folders.	
5	I feel the need to go turn the air conditioning		5	Q. And when you estimated about 12	
6	down.		6	folders, was that just the main folders or the	
7	MS. MULHERN: Oh, you know,		7	subfolders as well?	
8	Mr. Clark, we never get into it.		8	A. All folders.	
9	BY MR. CLARK:		9	Q. And do you testify in court in cases	
10	Q. Okay. Back to you, Mr. Randle. I		10	like this?	
11	apologize that we haven't been to you in a while,		11	A. Can you define "cases like this"?	
12	but now we're back to you.		12	Q. Well, let's say have you ever	
13	Okay. Do you still have your		13	testified in court during your time at Robson	
14	Hoiland file, your Mulhern, slash, Hoiland file		14	Forensic?	
15	open in G-Suite?		15	A. Yes.	
16	A. I do.		16	Q. Can you estimate how many times?	
17	Q. And how many subfolders do you have		17	A. Two to three.	
18	in there, roughly?		18	Q. And when you're on the witness stand,	
19	(Pause.)		19	do you stand up or do you sit down?	
20	You don't have to count them		20	A. I sit.	
21	all. You can just estimate them.		21	Q. Do you ever ask the judge if you can	
22	A. 12.		22	stand?	
23	Q. "12."		23	A. I have not.	
24	And what are the names of the		24	Q. Do you feel less comfortable sitting	
25	subfolders, the 12 subfolders?		25	and testifying than standing and testifying?	
23	subfolders, the 12 subfolders.		23	and testifying than standing and testifying.	
		Page 54			Page 56
1	I Randle P.F.	Page 54	1	I Randle PF	Page 56
1	J. Randle, P.E.  A. So Luse a folder called Case	Page 54	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.  A Lthink as a society we're kind of	Page 56
2	A. So, I use a folder called Case	Page 54	2	A. I think as a society we're kind of	Page 56
2	A. So, I use a folder called Case Management.	Page 54	2	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to	Page 56
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. So, I use a folder called Case</li><li>Management.</li><li>Q. Okay.</li></ul>	Page 54	2 3 4	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this	Page 56
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. So, I use a folder called Case</li><li>Management.</li><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. I have a folder called Material from</li></ul>	Page 54	2 3 4 5	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. So, I use a folder called Case</li> <li>Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have a folder called Material from</li> <li>Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection.</li> </ul>	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. So, I use a folder called Case</li> <li>Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have a folder called Material from</li> <li>Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection.</li> <li>I have a folder called Work Product. I have a</li> </ul>	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. So, I use a folder called Case</li> <li>Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have a folder called Material from</li> <li>Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection.</li> <li>I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called</li> </ul>	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. So, I use a folder called Case</li> <li>Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have a folder called Material from</li> <li>Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection.</li> <li>I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called</li> <li>Original Documents. I have a folder called My</li> </ul>	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well,	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to	Page 54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. So, I use a folder called Case Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have an Excerpts folder. I have a Report folder.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Is the balance is it a	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have an Excerpts folder. I have a Report folder. Within the Report folder, I have an Images		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Is the balance is it a balance board? Like, it's like a skateboard with	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have an Excerpts folder. I have a Report folder. Within the Report folder, I have an Images folder.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Is the balance is it a balance board? Like, it's like a skateboard with a thing and you do that?	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. So, I use a folder called Case Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have an Excerpts folder. I have a Report folder. Within the Report folder, I have an Images folder.  So, that's that's,		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Is the balance is it a balance board? Like, it's like a skateboard with a thing and you do that?  A. Yes.	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. So, I use a folder called Case Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have an Excerpts folder. I have a Report folder. Within the Report folder, I have an Images folder.  So, that's that's, again you know, these things kind of		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Is the balance is it a balance board? Like, it's like a skateboard with a thing and you do that?  A. Yes.  We have my wife's a Yoga	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. So, I use a folder called Case  Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have an Excerpts folder. I have a Report folder. Within the Report folder, I have an Images folder.  So, that's that's, again you know, these things kind of grow the my file management kind of grows		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Is the balance is it a balance board? Like, it's like a skateboard with a thing and you do that?  A. Yes.  We have my wife's a Yoga of Movement instructor, so she's trying to keep	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. So, I use a folder called Case Management. Q. Okay. A. I have a folder called Material from Client. I have a folder called Site Inspection. I have a folder called Work Product. I have a folder called Documents. I have a folder called Original Documents. I have a folder called My Review of Documents.  Within those doc well, it's getting bigger. But within those documents folders are documents on you know, I try to date stamp them when I received them, within folders, so I can, you know, obviously track what I've reviewed timely.  Within Site Inspection I'll have photos. I have a Standards folder. I have an Excerpts folder. I have a Report folder. Within the Report folder, I have an Images folder.  So, that's that's, again you know, these things kind of		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think as a society we're kind of getting slower. This is my sort of process to try to stay flexible. And my wife bought me this cool FluidStance board it's called, where I can balance. And it's it's actually a decent workout. So, I'm, like I'm not balancing right now. I could show you off record if you'd like. But, you know, it's a way for me to try to defeat the aging process, let's put it that way.  I'm actually 80, but you guys probably wouldn't guess that. So  MR. CLARK: Robyn, why do you all right. I'm not going to go there.  MS. KALOCSAY: He said he was 80. I just laughed because he's not 80.  THE WITNESS: Sorry.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Is the balance is it a balance board? Like, it's like a skateboard with a thing and you do that?  A. Yes.  We have my wife's a Yoga	Page 56

Pages 53 - 56 (14) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 57		Page 59
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Q. So, when you go to court, what do you	2	Q. About how many pages is it for you?
3	usually bring?	3	A. Four years, it's one page.
4	Like, when you would go to	4	Q. And it's got the name of the case and
5	testify at a deposition or go to court, normally	5	the docket number and the file number, that kind
6	the expert will bring his file, which will be,	6	of thing?
7	you know, a Redweld, one of these, with a bunch	7	A. Yes. And what you know, what
8	of papers in it (indicating).	8	arena, if it was a deposition or if were a trial
9	What's your process and	9	or arbitration.
10	procedure before COVID?	10	Q. Do you also have another document
11	A. I generally am asked to bring my	11	that's a list of all the cases that you've worked
12	report, the engagement contract, my testimony	12	on just by name or anything like that?
13	history, you know, for four or five years. I	13	Is that encapsulated
14	believe it's four years.	14	anywhere?
15	You know, many cases, in	15	A. We use a software program called
16	terms of, like, files, the files are have been	16	NetSuite. I don't know if you're familiar with
17	shared with all parties, you know, all the	17	it or not. But that you know, that would
18	discovery. So, that's I rarely bring that	18	have that suite would have all the all the
19	type of information. At times I'll be asked to	19	cases I've been involved in.
20	bring, like, the standards I relied on.	20	I've kind of changed my
21	That's probably about it.	21	process earlier on. I had you know, I wasn't
22	Q. That's fair.	22	listing closed cases any longer or active cases.
23	So, tell me about the four-	23	It's just so, in terms of the you know,
24	to five-year testimony history.	24	really defining the cases I've been involved in,
25	Do you have something like	25	it would have to go through our NetSuite software
	Page 58		Page 60
1		1	
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?	2	J. Randle, P.E. program.
2	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah.	2	J. Randle, P.E. program.  Q. And could a document be readily
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures?	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. program. Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. program. Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. program. Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work? A. I don't think I I don't know. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. program. Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard? How does that work? A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. program. Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work? A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. program. Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work? A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. program. Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work? A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases? A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it? A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll even draft up something that says, you know, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a little better than me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll even draft up something that says, you know, what this deposition testimony was for and that'll go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a little better than me.  But I would imagine, because,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll even draft up something that says, you know, what this deposition testimony was for and that'll go in my testimony history.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a little better than me.  But I would imagine, because, again, you know, that's also our sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll even draft up something that says, you know, what this deposition testimony was for and that'll go in my testimony history.  Q. So that you can keep that testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a little better than me.  But I would imagine, because, again, you know, that's also our sort of our our inputting our time, our billable time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll even draft up something that says, you know, what this deposition testimony was for and that'll go in my testimony history.  Q. So that you can keep that testimony history document updated?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a little better than me.  But I would imagine, because, again, you know, that's also our sort of our our inputting our time, our billable time for different cases. So, if you know, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll even draft up something that says, you know, what this deposition testimony was for and that'll go in my testimony history.  Q. So that you can keep that testimony history document updated?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a little better than me.  But I would imagine, because, again, you know, that's also our sort of our our inputting our time, our billable time for different cases. So, if you know, I would imagine that all the cases are in the in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. that printed out for federal cases?  A. Yeah. Q. Rule 26 disclosures? A. Correct. Q. And you have that what, do you have it in the G-Suite or where do you keep it?  A. That's actually generally maintained by our admins. You know, they just they maintain our CVs and they'll maintain, you know, the regular type documents that are asked of us, which would include testimony histories.  So, you know, after this deposition is completed, I'll reach out to the admin. You know, I'll explain to them or I'll even draft up something that says, you know, what this deposition testimony was for and that'll go in my testimony history.  Q. So that you can keep that testimony history document updated?  A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's called at Robson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  program.  Q. And could a document be readily generated that lists all the cases that you've worked on or is there a document already in existence in that regard?  How does that work?  A. I don't think I I don't know. I don't know. I would imagine. I mean, it's just a big, you know it's just a big database. So, I'm sure I'm not I think the company sometimes is lucky I get my time inputted on a weekly basis. So, I don't you know, I don't I'm not a NetSuite expert. You know, there's others within the group within the company that manage, you know, that process a little better than me.  But I would imagine, because, again, you know, that's also our sort of our our inputting our time, our billable time for different cases. So, if you know, I would imagine that all the cases are in the in the database. Otherwise, you know, it

Min-U-Script® (15) Pages 57 - 60

May	7 20, 2021			
	Page 61			Page 63
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	Q. Okay. What's the federal court thing	2	to?	
3	called again that lists the testimony? What is	3	A. I can. Anything's possible. Sure.	
4	that?	4	Q. But we did earlier agree we were	
5	A. I believe it's just the four-year	5	going to stay away from possibilities, right, at	
6	testimony history.	6	the deposition?	
7	Q. Oh, the testimony history.	7	We were going to estimate or	
8	But I think it's five years	8	approximate but not guess, speculate or talk	
9	in federal court.	9	about possibilities.	
10	Does that sound more	10	A. I can drag I've been known to drag	
11	accurate?	11	folders and files into other drives.	
12	A. Possibly.	12	Q. Yeah. And the only reason I'm trying	
13	Q. Try to do your best when you're	13	to stay away from possibilities as opposed to	
14	testifying to not testify to possibilities.	14	probabilities and fair estimates is because	
15	You can estimate or	15	that's what the New Jersey court rules and case	
16	approximate. But just don't guess or speculate	16	law require with regard to admissibility at	
17	or tell us possibilities. If you can just do	17	trial. Okay?	
18	your best with that. Okay?	18	A. Okay.	
19	A. Yeah. Just to retract, my	19	Q. So, you expect you would be able to	
20	understanding is it is a four-year history.	20	fairly easily drag the Hoiland case file to a	
21	Q. Okay. That's fine.	21	thumb drive, if need be?	
22	And don't yeah. That's	22	A. Yes.	
23	fine.	23	MR. CLARK: Okay. So, for	
24	MR. CLARK: So, just for the	24	the record, I'm going to call for production of	
25	record, I will call for production of	25	Mr. Randle's Hoiland case file. And it seems,	
23	record, I will call for production of	23	Wit. Randie's Hohand case me. And it seems,	
	Page 62			Page 64
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	Mr. Randle's testimony history document which he	2	based on his testimony, that it would make most	
3	referenced earlier. And I will also call for	3	sense to just drag it to a thumb drive or	
4	production of a list of the cases that Mr. Randle	4	external CD for production.	
5	has worked on which, my understanding, can be	5	And, again, this is just	
6	readily generated from the NetSuite software	6	something I'm logging in the deposition and it's	
7	program.	7	going to be a letter and sent to counsel.	
8	And I will include that in	8	MS. MULHERN: Okay.	
9	our letter and we'll send that to counsel.	9	MR. CLARK: And I'm not	
10	MS. MULHERN: Thank you.	10	asking you directly because that's not how it	
11	BY MR. CLARK:	11	works. I'm just putting it on the record. And	
12	Q. And, Mr. Randle, if you need a break	12	then we're going to send a letter to counsel	
13	at any time, just let us know and we can take a	13	that's going to catalog all these requests.	
14	break. Okay?	14	Thanks.	
15	A. Okay. No. Thank you.	15	MS. MULHERN: And just for	
16	Q. Who is the owner or developer of this	16	the record, just to confirm that those records	
17	project?	17	are subject to a protective order.	
18	A. I'm fairly sure it's a group called	18	But, by all means, send your	
19	Grand LHN. I can I have my report right here.	19	letter.	
20	I can look that up if you want.	20	(Pause.)	
			BY MR. CLARK:	
21	Q. I imagine it wouldn't take very much	21		
22 23	for you to drag your Hoiland file and the 12	22	Q. And what or who was the general	
	folders that you mentioned earlier to a thumb	23	contractor on the job?	
	drive Dight?	~ 4	A A ID	
24	drive. Right?	24	A. AJD.	
	drive. Right?  You can do that if you wanted	24 25	<ul><li>A. AJD.</li><li>Q. And who was the subcontractor</li></ul>	

Pages 61 - 64 (16) Min-U-Script®

			May	20, 2021
	Page 65			Page 67
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	responsible to build and maintain the access road	2	engaged by any counsel or law firm that's	
3	on the job?	3	associated with either AJD or Esposito. I've	
4	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	4	been engaged by Attorney Mulhern's law firm to	
5	form.	5	represent Grand LHN.	
6	THE WITNESS: Esposito, I	6	Q. Let's make a deal, if we can.	
7	believe it's Construction. I can look it up if	7	We'll all allow you to use	
8	you need to. Yeah. Esposito Construction.	8	your report to answer the questions I know a	
9	BY MR. CLARK:	9	lot of experts are comfortable doing that as	
10	Q. And you would agree that the	10	long as you will agree to respond to the	
11	developer on this job, Grand LHN, had substantial	11	questions to the best of your ability in a	
12	control over the general contractor vis-à-vis the	12	responsive manner.	
13	job?	13	Can we agree to that?	
14	Would you agree with that as	14	A. I I think I would always agree to	
15	a general proposition?	15	that. Yes.	
16	A. Your question is does the owner have	16	Q. Okay. Great.	
17	control over the general contractor?	17	So, if you want to use your	
18	Q. We can have the question read back if	18	report, that's fine. But please don't like,	
19	that helps you.	19	if I ask you a question, you know, Hey, what's	
20	A. Yeah. Sure.	20	the color of the shirt, please don't read to me a	
21	(The following was read by	21	section of the report that gives the weather.	
22	the court reporter:	22	Okay?	
23	"Q. And you would agree	23	But if there's a section of	
24	that the developer on this job, Grand LHN, had	24	the report that's gives the color of the shirt,	
25	substantial control over the general	25	if you want to read it, that's fine. But we'll	
	Page 66			Page 68
1	Page 66 J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	Page 68
1 2		1 2	J. Randle, P.E. see how it goes.	Page 68
	J. Randle, P.E.			Page 68
2	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?	2	see how it goes.	Page 68
2	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as	2	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't	Page 68
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")	2 3 4	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're	Page 68
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?") THE WITNESS: You know, based	2 3 4 5	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.	Page 68
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?") THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner	2 3 4 5 6	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my	
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?") THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they	2 3 4 5 6 7	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?") THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?") THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Are you also defending AJD in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?") THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job? "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?") THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?  A. I think my purpose is stated in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?  A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?  A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would I can read it to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?  A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would I can read it to you.  Q. Well, there's just a question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to primarily help your client, the one that you said	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?  A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would  I can read it to you.  Q. Well, there's just a question pending. And we're just looking for an answer to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to primarily help your client, the one that you said you were early on in the case, you said you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?  A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would I can read it to you.  Q. Well, there's just a question pending. And we're just looking for an answer to the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to primarily help your client, the one that you said you were early on in the case, you said you were defending?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?  "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")  THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?  A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would  I can read it to you.  Q. Well, there's just a question pending. And we're just looking for an answer to the question.  A. A way for me to answer is to, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to primarily help your client, the one that you said you were early on in the case, you said you were defending?  Isn't that what you're	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?    "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")    THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:    Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?    A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would         I can read it to you.    Q. Well, there's just a question pending. And we're just looking for an answer to the question.    A. A way for me to answer is to, you know, dial back into what I've written in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to primarily help your client, the one that you said you were early on in the case, you said you were defending?  Isn't that what you're primarily here to do?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?    "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")    THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:    Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?    A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would         I can read it to you.    Q. Well, there's just a question pending. And we're just looking for an answer to the question.    A. A way for me to answer is to, you know, dial back into what I've written in my report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to primarily help your client, the one that you said you were early on in the case, you said you were defending?  Isn't that what you're primarily here to do?  A. No.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. contractor vis-à-vis the job?    "Would you agree with that as a general proposition?")    THE WITNESS: You know, based on a contractual relationship between the owner and general contractor, there you know, they do have some level of control over the general contractor in terms of establishing the scope of work, but not in a but not in how the general contractor performs its work. BY MR. CLARK:    Q. Are you also defending AJD in this case or are you just defending the developer, Grand LHN?    A. I think my purpose is stated in my report. So, I'm not you know, I would         I can read it to you.    Q. Well, there's just a question pending. And we're just looking for an answer to the question.    A. A way for me to answer is to, you know, dial back into what I've written in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see how it goes.  A. I understand. I understand you don't want me to this isn't a memory game. We're not playing Match.  Q. Correct.  A. You know, my opinions are my opinions. And, you know, my report captures my opinions based on the fact pattern in this case.  And, you know, I'm here to I'm here to help, you know, the trier of fact. I'm here to I'm of the facts. I'm here to help this collective group understand the circumstances and my opinions based on this matter.  So, sure. I will be as helpful as I can.  Q. Okay. But aren't you here to primarily help your client, the one that you said you were early on in the case, you said you were defending?  Isn't that what you're primarily here to do?	

Min-U-Script® (17) Pages 65 - 68

	7 20, 2021		
	Page 69		Page 71
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	purpose. I don't think my purpose has ever been	2	to safety management.
3	to help, you know that's confusing. My	3	Q. And as the general contractor, AJD
4	purpose is stated in my report. That's what I	4	had the authority to stop unsafe work on this
5	was engaged to do. And that's what I that's	5	job. Correct?
6	what, you know, I'm limited to sort of my purpose	6	A. Yes.
7	in my report.	7	Q. And AJD on this job had the ultimate
8	Q. But Robson Forensic is a business.	8	responsibility for site safety. Correct?
9	Right?	9	A. Yes. AJD was the controlling
10	A. Yes.	10	contractor on this project, which includes safety
11	Q. And aren't businesses in business to	11	management.
12	serve their customers and meet their customers'	12	Q. And the access road where this injury
13	needs?	13	occurred, AJD had the responsibility to make sure
14	A. Sure. We have a client base and	14	that road was safe. Correct?
15	we're you know, we perform a service, for	15	A. Yeah. I mean, the access road is
16	sure. And we perform a service for those	16	part of the construction project and AJD managed
17	clients.	17	the safety for the construction project.
18	Q. Under the contract, the owner had the	18	Q. And AJD had a responsibility on the
	right to terminate the general contractor on this	19	job to see to it that Esposito did their work
19	job under certain circumstances?		correctly. Is that true?
20	A. Right.	20	A. As the controlling contractor, AJD
21	_	21	
22	Again, this isn't a memory	22	is has oversight responsibilities for its subcontractors. Yes.
23	game. I don't know the contract by memory.	23	
24	But generally most contracts	24	Q. You would agree that proper progress
25	initiated by an owner to a general contractor	25	of the work and safety are supposed to go hand in
	Page 70		Page 72
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	will include a termination clause.	2	hand.
3	So, based on what I just	3	Would you agree with that?
4	50, sused on what I just		
	said I would I would it's reasonable to		
5	said, I would I would it's reasonable to	4	A. Can you repackage that for me?
5	expect that there's a termination clause within	4 5	A. Can you repackage that for me? I'm there's a lot going on
6	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.	4 5 6	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.
6 7	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general	4 5 6 7	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in
6 7 8	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job.	4 5 6 7 8	A. Can you repackage that for me? I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart. Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we
6 7 8 9	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's
6 7 8 9	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract. Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct? A. The general contractor managed the	4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling
6 7 8 9 10	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract. Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct? A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general
6 7 8 9 10 11	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job.  Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract. Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct? A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant. So, yes, the general	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract. Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct? A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant. So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract. Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct? A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant. So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the timeline on the project, yes. Managing the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the timeline on the project, yes. Managing the safety, yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the timeline on the project, yes. Managing the safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the timeline on the project, yes. Managing the safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one over the other, you know, that's not how the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring that they're using a qualified general	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the timeline on the project, yes. Managing the safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one over the other, you know, that's not how the industry works. Everything's important.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring that they're using a qualified general contractor, as well as confirming that a safety	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on  with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in  terms of schedule and timelines or are we  talking you know, there's  As the controlling  contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general  contractors check. I'm I was a general  contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the  timeline on the project, yes. Managing the  safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one  over the other, you know, that's not how the  industry works. Everything's important.  So, if I'm not answering your
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring that they're using a qualified general contractor, as well as confirming that a safety program is in place and it's active. And, you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the timeline on the project, yes. Managing the safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one over the other, you know, that's not how the industry works. Everything's important.  So, if I'm not answering your question, I'd like to ask you to re-ask it a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job.  Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring that they're using a qualified general contractor, as well as confirming that a safety program is in place and it's active. And, you know, that there was also generally a budget	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on  with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in  terms of schedule and timelines or are we  talking you know, there's  As the controlling  contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general  contractors check. I'm I was a general  contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the  timeline on the project, yes. Managing the  safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one  over the other, you know, that's not how the industry works. Everything's important.  So, if I'm not answering your  question, I'd like to ask you to re-ask it a  different way.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring that they're using a qualified general contractor, as well as confirming that a safety program is in place and it's active. And, you know, that there was also generally a budget assigned to safety, which there you know. So,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on  with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in  terms of schedule and timelines or are we  talking you know, there's  As the controlling  contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general  contractors check. I'm I was a general  contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the  timeline on the project, yes. Managing the  safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one  over the other, you know, that's not how the industry works. Everything's important.  So, if I'm not answering your  question, I'd like to ask you to re-ask it a  different way.  Q. I think you fairly answered it.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring that they're using a qualified general contractor, as well as confirming that a safety program is in place and it's active. And, you know, that there was also generally a budget assigned to safety, which there you know. So, Grand LHN in terms of their responsibilities as	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in terms of schedule and timelines or are we talking you know, there's  As the controlling contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general contractors check. I'm I was a general contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the timeline on the project, yes. Managing the safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one over the other, you know, that's not how the industry works. Everything's important.  So, if I'm not answering your question, I'd like to ask you to re-ask it a different way.  Q. I think you fairly answered it. A. Okay.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	expect that there's a termination clause within the AJ or the Grand LHN-AJD general contract.  Q. And you would agree that the general contractor was in charge of safety on this job. Correct?  A. The general contractor managed the safety program and also hired, you know, a third-party safety consultant.  So, yes, the general contractor is controls the safety process on the project.  Now, you know, obviously the owner had some input early on in managing safety. The owner has obligations in terms of ensuring that they're using a qualified general contractor, as well as confirming that a safety program is in place and it's active. And, you know, that there was also generally a budget assigned to safety, which there you know. So,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Can you repackage that for me?  I'm there's a lot going on  with that question. I can break it apart.  Are we talking progress in  terms of schedule and timelines or are we  talking you know, there's  As the controlling  contractor, there's a lot of boxes that general  contractors check. I'm I was a general  contractor for a long time.  Managing the schedule and the  timeline on the project, yes. Managing the  safety, yes.  Hand in hand, priority one  over the other, you know, that's not how the industry works. Everything's important.  So, if I'm not answering your  question, I'd like to ask you to re-ask it a  different way.  Q. I think you fairly answered it.

Pages 69 - 72 (18) Min-U-Script®

_					20, 2021
	-	Page 73			Page 75
1	J. Randle, P.E.		1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	faith. I don't think so far you've been evasive.		2	A. Yes.	
3	So, I think that response was acceptable.		3	MR. CLARK: Ms. Mulhern, I'm	
4	A. Okay.		4	going to put the court rule book back, away.	
5	Q. Okay. Proper progress of the work,		5	Hopefully we don't need that again today.	
6	like, carrying out the work and proceeding along		6	But we're going to keep out	
7	the schedule according to the specifications,		7	the OSHA book.	
8	that presupposes and safety is all part of		8	BY MR. CLARK:	
9	that. It should be kind of one in the same.		9	Q. You would agree that the site	
10	Is that true as a is that	1	LO	superintendent or the safety competent person at	
11	true as a general proposition?	1	L1	AJD is required to make sure that the walking	
12	A. Yeah. Safety is a priority on the	1	L <b>2</b>	surfaces on this job are suitable for employees	
13	project site, for sure.	1	L3	to walk on.	
14	Q. And if safety is not being followed	1	L <b>4</b>	Would you agree with that?	
15	on a job that is moving forward timely, one	1	L5	A. So, you know, again, the	
16	couldn't fairly say that that progress is moving	1	L6	superintendent is part of the general contractor	
17	forward properly.	1	L7	who manages the safety program. Part of what	
18	Would you agree with that as		L8	their role is is to ensure safe practice,	
19	a general safety concept as well?		L9	reasonably safe practice, reasonably safe	
20	A. If the work's proceeding		20	conditions.	
21	Sure. If there's unsafe		21	So, you know, maybe if you	
22	activities on the project as it progresses		22	could just kind of dial in what the question	
23	through completion, that's that's not		23	really is or give me some a little bit more	
24	reasonable. So, yeah. I I think I answered		24	background.	
25	your question.	2	25	You know, construction sites	
	ŗ	Page 74			Page 76
1	J. Randle, P.E.	Page 74	1	J. Randle, P.E.	Page 76
1 2		Page 74	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. are different than sidewalks, and there's	Page 76
	J. Randle, P.E.	Page 74			Page 76
2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too.	Page 74	2	are different than sidewalks, and there's	Page 76
2 3	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that	Page 74	2 3	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we	Page 76
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general	Page 74	2 3 4	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.	Page 76
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well.	Page 74	2 3 4 5	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general	Page 74	2 3 4 5 6	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay.	Page 74	2 3 4 5 6 7	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely.	1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 L0	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set	1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about.  A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right?	1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes.	1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 L0 L1 L2 L3 L4	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA	1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and	1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts.	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks or modes of construction, such as ladders and	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts. If we want to talk about Mr. Hoiland, that's	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks or modes of construction, such as ladders and scaffolding, woodworking tools, masonry and	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 L0 L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6 T1 L8 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts. If we want to talk about Mr. Hoiland, that's great. If we want to talk about the industry in	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks or modes of construction, such as ladders and scaffolding, woodworking tools, masonry and concrete, cranes and derricks, trenches.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts. If we want to talk about Mr. Hoiland, that's great. If we want to talk about the industry in general, it would be difficult for me to do	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too. So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well. Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks or modes of construction, such as ladders and scaffolding, woodworking tools, masonry and concrete, cranes and derricks, trenches. You understand that?	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts. If we want to talk about Mr. Hoiland, that's great. If we want to talk about the industry in general, it would be difficult for me to do without understanding what the real question is.	Page 76
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too.     So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well.     Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about.  A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks or modes of construction, such as ladders and scaffolding, woodworking tools, masonry and concrete, cranes and derricks, trenches.     You understand that? A. Yes.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 LO	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts. If we want to talk about Mr. Hoiland, that's great. If we want to talk about the industry in general, it would be difficult for me to do without understanding what the real question is. And, you know, if it's something else, we can,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too.     So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well.     Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about.     A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks or modes of construction, such as ladders and scaffolding, woodworking tools, masonry and concrete, cranes and derricks, trenches.     You understand that OSHA also has	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts. If we want to talk about Mr. Hoiland, that's great. If we want to talk about the industry in general, it would be difficult for me to do without understanding what the real question is. And, you know, if it's something else, we can, you know, talk afterwards. You can engage me to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Yeah. I think so, too.     So, you would agree that proper progress of the work requires safe progress as well.     Again, these are general safety principles we're talking about.  A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. As contractors, we have an obligation to perform, you know, work safely. Q. You're familiar with the standard set forth in OSHA 29 CFR Section 1926. Right? A. Yes. Q. And you understand that these OSHA rules have general health and safety rules and then they have specific rules for various tasks or modes of construction, such as ladders and scaffolding, woodworking tools, masonry and concrete, cranes and derricks, trenches.     You understand that? A. Yes.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 LO	are different than sidewalks, and there's different standards that apply to both. So, we might have to get pretty specific.  If you want me to sort of talk conceptually, if you want me to talk about the fact pattern involved in Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can do that. But there's a lot there's there is you know, the conditions on job sites are sort of endless in terms of the conditions in place and work processes in place.  So, you know, this is the biggest industry in the world. So, there's a lot of nuances happening.  So, I again, I don't want to be if we can dial in some specific facts. If we want to talk about Mr. Hoiland, that's great. If we want to talk about the industry in general, it would be difficult for me to do without understanding what the real question is. And, you know, if it's something else, we can,	

Min-U-Script® (19) Pages 73 - 76

may	7 20, 2021		
	Page 77		Page 79
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	But, you know, again, I've already testified that	2	to give a story and kind of tell your side of
3	AJD was in charge of safety. They hired they	3	things and kind of express what's in your report.
4	had a superintendent group. They had a safety	4	But asking a question, asking
5	team you know, a third-party safety	5	a question, that's not what we're like, asking
6	consultant. So, there was a lot of parts you	6	a question doesn't mean we press play and now you
7	know, there was a lot of different stakeholders	7	just tell all your opinions in the case. We're
8	involved in the safety management on this	8	trying to stay focused on the question. So, if
9	project.	9	you can just try to do that.
10	Q. Would you agree that as a general	10	And when you say we're going
11	proposition, as part of its overall	11	to have to get more specific, it doesn't really
12	responsibility to manage safety on this project,	12	work that way. If the question calls for
13	that AJD had a responsibility to make sure	13	specificity, we'll do that.
14	walking surfaces are suitable for employees to	14	So, I'm just asking if we can
15	walk on?	15	just try to focus on the exact question that's
16	A. Sure. I mean, based you know,	16	asked. So, I'm going to ask it again. We're not
17	that the reasonable for you know, if you're	17	going to have it read back because it's kind of
18	asking employees to jump off a ten-foot, you	18	far back in the transcript. I'm just going to
19	know, retaining wall to get down to where they're	19	kind of re-ask the question again and see if we
20	working, that's not reasonable. If you're asking	20	can focus on just answering that question.
21	them to walk onto a project site where there's a	21	Would you agree that as part
22	wash rack, that's reasonable.	22	of its overall responsibility to manage safety on
23	So, again, you know, our	23	the site and enforce safety rules, that AJD had a
24	standards are based on reasonableness. There's	24	responsibility to make sure that walking surfaces
25	no absolute safety, there really isn't. So, it	25	were safe and suitable on this job for workers to
	D 70		B 00
	Page 78		Page 80
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	all comes down to what's reasonable and what	2	walk on?
3	could be expected and, you know, again,	3	A. All right. So, let me I'll just
4	having having the proper people involved in	4	back up and explain that I'm here to help the
5	the project.	5	trier of fact. I think you would agree that
6	So, yeah, again, we'd have to	6	giving a half answer isn't going to help anything
7	dial in a little bit more and define the question	7	in the process. So, when you ask me a half
8	a little bit further if we're going to talk	8	question, I have to ask for the whole question.
9	conceptually.	9	But to continue forward,
10	Now, if we're going to talk	10	there are standards on construction projects that
11	about Mr. Hoiland's incident, I can I can	11	need to be considered. And there are standards
12	you know, I can dive into that. But I've already	12	for walking surfaces and temporary construction
13 14	given testimony that, you know, AJD was responsible for safety management. And they had	13 14	surfaces that need to be considered that and need to be managed by the controlling contractor,
	a superintendent they had multiple		which is AJD, obviously not the developer.
15 16	superintendents. They had multiple third-party	15 16	So, I believe I answered your
17	safety inspectors.	17	question. There are standards on construction
18	So, we'd have to get a little	18	projects.
		0	- v
19		19	You know, to dial in a little
19 20	bit more specific about what the question	19 20	You know, to dial in a little bit further, there was there's there was
20	bit more specific about what the question involves in terms of the walking surface.	20	bit further, there was there's there was
20 21	bit more specific about what the question involves in terms of the walking surface.  Q. Try to when you're testifying, try	20 21	bit further, there was there's there was not a standard walking surface that was violated
20	bit more specific about what the question involves in terms of the walking surface.  Q. Try to when you're testifying, try to listen to the question that's asked and just	20	bit further, there was there's there was not a standard walking surface that was violated in Mr. Hoiland's incident.
20 21 22	bit more specific about what the question involves in terms of the walking surface.  Q. Try to when you're testifying, try to listen to the question that's asked and just answer that question.	20 21 22 23	bit further, there was there's there was not a standard walking surface that was violated in Mr. Hoiland's incident. So, again, you know, I'm
20 21 22 23	bit more specific about what the question involves in terms of the walking surface.  Q. Try to when you're testifying, try to listen to the question that's asked and just	20 21 22	bit further, there was there's there was not a standard walking surface that was violated in Mr. Hoiland's incident.

Pages 77 - 80 (20) Min-U-Script®

			May 20	0, 2021
	Page 81		Pa	age 83
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	don't I'm not here to give half answers.	2	the witness to then go on and talk about cars and	
3	That's that's who I am. If you if you ask	3	engines and how juries view cars and cars in a	
4	specific questions, I will give you specific	4	particular case. That would be inappropriate.	
5	answers kind of thing. And I think I've done a	5	And if that kind of thing happens a lot in a	
6	pretty good job of that so far.	6	deposition, what happens is the deposition can be	
7	Q. I think you did early on in the	7	suspended and a motion can be filed with the	
8	deposition. But I think now that we're getting	8	court where the judge would be asked to order the	
9	to this point, I think your answers are	9	witness to comply with the court rules and give	
10	becoming I wouldn't call them non-responsive,	10	responsive answers to questions.	
11	but I would call them far beyond the scope of the	11	So, I think that is a more	
12	question.	12	fair characterization of how it works.	
13	Many experts would answer	13	MS. MULHERN: In order to	
14	that question with a yes. They would simply say,	14	render a complete question, Mr. Randle, please	
15	Well, yes, of course the general contractor has a	15	render or in order to render a complete	
16	responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces	16	response, please use whatever terms you need to	
17	are safe for employees. I mean, who would	17	answer Mr. Clark's question.	
18	disagree with that? So, many experts would just	18	Mr. Clark, I understand your	
19	answer that question yes.	19	somewhat absurd example. But I will go with it	
20	So, if you had answered the	20	and I understand it and Mr. Randle I'm sure	
21	question yes and you then commented, I think I've	21	understands it as well.	
22	been responsive, I would say yes, I definitely	22	However, again, if your	
23	agree with that. But when you go off and start	23	question calls for a response, which all of them	
24	talking about juries and fact finders and Don	24	do, Mr. Randle will respond accordingly. I	
25	Hoiland and rocks, that's going far beyond the	25	understand they might not be a response that you	
	Page 82		Pa	age 84
1	-	1		'age 84
1 2	Page 82  J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's	age 84
	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not		J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's	age 84
2	J. Randle, P.E.	2	J. Randle, P.E.	age 84
2	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see	2	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that.	age 84
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition.	age 84
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so,	'age 84
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a	'age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this,	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that. So, you know, if the record	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition. MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that. So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that.  That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so,  Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that.  That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so,  Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect on how a court rules on the motion in terms of	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. So, Mr. Randle, in response to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect on how a court rules on the motion in terms of where the fee shifting would go, whether it be to	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. So, Mr. Randle, in response to that, the court rules do not permit a witness to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect on how a court rules on the motion in terms of where the fee shifting would go, whether it be to the witness or to the attorney or something else.	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. So, Mr. Randle, in response to that, the court rules do not permit a witness to say whatever they want in response to a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that.  That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so,  Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect on how a court rules on the motion in terms of where the fee shifting would go, whether it be to the witness or to the attorney or something else.  But that's just my two cents. I could be	age 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. So, Mr. Randle, in response to that, the court rules do not permit a witness to say whatever they want in response to a question. If a question asks what is the color of the car	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect on how a court rules on the motion in terms of where the fee shifting would go, whether it be to the witness or to the attorney or something else. But that's just my two cents. I could be completely wrong on that.	rage 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. So, Mr. Randle, in response to that, the court rules do not permit a witness to say whatever they want in response to a question. If a question asks what is the color of the car and the color is black or red, the proper answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect on how a court rules on the motion in terms of where the fee shifting would go, whether it be to the witness or to the attorney or something else. But that's just my two cents. I could be completely wrong on that.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Randle,	rage 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. scope of the simple question about whether or not a general contractor has a responsibility to see to it that walking surfaces on the job site are made safe.  So, I'm going to ask it one more time.  MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark, before you do, Mr. Randle, if you need clarification for questions, that's okay. And just to clarify what Mr. Clark just said, you're not limited in how you respond. If Mr. Clark asks you a question, you are free to respond as your opinion allows and as your knowledge allows. Okay?  So, Mr. Clark, please proceed. Mr. Randle, you can answer. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. So, Mr. Randle, in response to that, the court rules do not permit a witness to say whatever they want in response to a question. If a question asks what is the color of the car	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. like or that you think is necessary. But if he's responding to the question, he can do that. That's the whole purpose of this deposition.  MR. CLARK: And, so, Mr. Randle if on a motion for relief from a witness straying from a question and giving non-responsive answers or going far beyond the question, if on that motion other relief is sought, like fee shifting or, you know, this, that, the other thing, it probably would be a fair defense for the witness to say, Yes, but my lawyer told me to do that.  So, you know, if the record would reflect that you're doing that because your lawyer just told you to do that and said it's okay to do that, then that might have an effect on how a court rules on the motion in terms of where the fee shifting would go, whether it be to the witness or to the attorney or something else. But that's just my two cents. I could be completely wrong on that.	rage 84

Min-U-Script® (21) Pages 81 - 84

	20, 2021				
		Page 85			Page 87
1	J. Randle, P.E.		1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	Q. So, I'm going to ask a simple		2	(The following was read by	
3	question.		3	the court reporter:	
4	Would you agree that on this		4	"Q. Did we agree yet that a	
5	job site that as part of AJD's overall		5	GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking	
6	responsibility to manage safety, that that also		6	surface on jobs? I forget.")	
7	included making sure that walking surfaces were		7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I	
8	safe and suitable for people to walk on on the		8	answered that. That's why I was asking	
9	job site?		9	Ms. DeFilippis to read back the answer.	
10	Would you agree with that?		10	BY MR. CLARK:	
11	A. On this construction site, where AJD		11	Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC	
12	was the general contractor and Mr. Hoiland had		12	has a responsibility to make sure walking	
13	his incident, I would I would agree that the		13	surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in	
14	general contractor has responsibility to provide		14	charge of?	
15	safe and reasonable access to the job site.		15	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	
16	Q. Okay. Thanks.		16	form.	
17	MS. MULHERN: Counsel, I		17	THE WITNESS: Can I get my	
18	don't want to interrupt if you're in the middle		18	answer read back? Do you mind? BY MR. CLARK:	
19	of something. But just while we're paused here,		19		
20	it's five of noon. I don't know what everyone's		20	Q. Why are we reading back a prior	
21	thoughts are for a lunch break. I don't know how		21	answer when there's a pending question?	
22	much longer you plan on being. So, if during		22	A. Okay. What's your question?	
23	this brief pause, if we can just discuss that.		23	MR. CLARK: Okay. Can we	
24	MR. CLARK: Okay. Are we off		24	please read back the pending question.	
25	the record or		25	(The following was read by	
		Page 86			Page 88
		i age oo			r ago oo
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1 age 00	1	J. Randle, P.E.	i ago oo
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: We can be off	1 age 00	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. the court reporter:	r ago co
2	MS. MULHERN: We can be off	r age oo	2	the court reporter:	r ago oo
2	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.	1 age ou	2	the court reporter: "Q. Are we in agreement	r ago oo
2 3 4	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the	Tage oo	2 3 4	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make	1 490 00
2 3 4 5	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)	Tage oo	2 3 4 5	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site	r ago oo
2 3 4 5 6	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.) BY MR. CLARK:	r age oo	2 3 4 5 6	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")	Tago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is	r age oo	2 3 4 5 6 7	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	Tago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on	r age oo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.) BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.) BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site. BY MR. CLARK:	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.) BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Thanks.	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.) BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally	. ago oo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.  MR. CLARK: Are we reading		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking surface?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.  MR. CLARK: Are we reading back the pending question?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking surface?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.  MR. CLARK: Are we reading back the pending question?  THE COURT REPORTER: We're		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking surface?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.  MR. CLARK: Are we reading back the pending question?  THE COURT REPORTER: We're reading back the last question and answer, Jerry.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking surface?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: It really	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.  MR. CLARK: Are we reading back the pending question?  THE COURT REPORTER: We're reading back the last question and answer, Jerry.  MR. CLARK: Can we just read		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking surface?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: It really depends on scope, you know, what is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.  MR. CLARK: Are we reading back the pending question?  THE COURT REPORTER: We're reading back the last question and answer, Jerry.  MR. CLARK: Can we just read back the pending question and see if we can get		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking surface?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: It really depends on scope, you know, what is So, let me I'm going to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MULHERN: We can be off the record.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Did we agree yet that a GC is responsible to maintain a safe walking surface on jobs? I forget.  THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read back the last question and answer, Jerry?  MR. CLARK: No. I was just asking Mr. Randle.  THE WITNESS: Would you mind reading that back, Dolores?  THE COURT REPORTER: Not at all.  MR. CLARK: Are we reading back the pending question?  THE COURT REPORTER: We're reading back the last question and answer, Jerry.  MR. CLARK: Can we just read		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the court reporter:  "Q. Are we in agreement that, yes, a GC has a responsibility to make sure walking surfaces are safe on a job site that they are in charge of?")  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: General contractors are, you know, required to provide a reasonable walking surface for construction workers on a construction project site. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. Thanks.  Would that also, generally speaking, include the subcontractor that is responsible to build and maintain such a walking surface?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: It really depends on scope, you know, what is	

Pages 85 - 88 (22) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 202
	Page 89		Page 91
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	this.	2	THE WITNESS: Okay.
3	So, the subcontractor who is	3	BY MR. CLARK:
4	responsible for maintaining the	4	Q. Okay. So, on a job site does a
5	BY MR. CLARK:	5	subcontractor that is responsible to build and
6	Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I need to	6	maintain a walking surface have a responsibility
7	interrupt you.	7	to see to it that the walking surface that they
8	First of all, after I asked	8	built is built in a safe manner?
9	the question, you said something about scope and	9	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
10	then, secondly, which is more concerning, you	10	form.
11	said you need to help the question along.	11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Does the
12	I think you need to	12	subcontractor okay. On the question you asked
13	understand that at a deposition this	13	me, yes.
14	deposition is being taken pursuant to a case	14	BY MR. CLARK:
15	called Hoiland versus AJD Construction, et al.,	15	Q. Okay. And does a
16	Docket Number HUD-L-2754-19. And there is a	16	subcontractor and, again, we're speaking
17	judge on the case and the case is being taken	17	generally.
18	under the jurisdiction of that court. And there	18	Generally speaking, does a
19	are certain court rules that apply to the	19	subcontractor that is responsible to build and
20	deposition. And among those court rules is that	20	maintain a walking surface on a job have a
21	there are questions and answers. And the	21	responsibility to maintain that walking surface
22	questions generally are asked by the attorney,	22	in a safe condition, assuming such is within the
23	and then the answers are given by the witness.	23	scope of their contract?
24	And it's not up to the witness to change the	24	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
25	question or help the question along or repackage	25	form.
	Page 90		Page 92
	-	_	
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	the question. The witness is required to give	2	THE WITNESS: Thank you for
3	responsive answers to the question.  So, what I am going to	3 4	defining the question further. Yes.
5	do now, if you don't understand a question or	5	BY MR. CLARK:
	you don't know the answer to a question, it's		Q. This was obviously a multi-employer
7	perfectly okay to say that you don't know or you	7	work site. Correct?
8	don't understand. But it is not permissible for	8	A. Yes.
9	the witness to give a non-responsive answer or	9	THE WITNESS: Can you guys
10	answer a different question, perhaps one that the	10	give me one second, please.
11	witness would prefer had been asked.	11	MS. MULHERN: Sure.
12	So, those are sort of	12	(Exhibit P-38, AJD
13	supplemental instructions.	13	Construction 235 Grand Street Safety Orientation
14	And before I go ahead and get	14	PowerPoint, is marked for identification.)
15	to the question again, I just want to give	15	BY MR. CLARK:
16	everyone an opportunity to respond or if there's	16	Q. I'm going to show you an exhibit that
17	anything anyone wants to say. I'm not inviting	17	we marked as P-38. And we'll email that around
18	colloquy, but I don't want to just rush to the	18	shortly. It's on its way a little bit slowly.
19	next question.	19	Do you see this?
20	MS. MULHERN: Yeah. Just	20	A. Yes.
21	very briefly, Mr. Randle, if you need	21	Q. Okay. We're at slide two or the
22	clarification in order to respond to a question,	22	second page of P-38.
23	if it is something you don't understand, ask	23	Do you think it's a good idea
24	counsel to repeat or rephrase as necessary so	24	for a general contractor on a job site like this
		i	
25	that you can respond to the question asked.	25	to give a safety orientation?

Min-U-Script® (23) Pages 89 - 92

may	20, 2021		
	Page 93		Page 95
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	A. Yes.	2	this is not an active area, there should be some
3	Q. Do you think it's a good objective	3	level of protection on that stair.
4	for a general contractor such as AJD to help	4	Q. And looking at page seven of P-38,
5	people to understand basic safety rules for the	5	that railing, is that an example of eliminating
6	project?	6	the hazard?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Sure. You know, yeah. That's fair.
8	Q. Do you think it's a good idea for the	8	The railing is there to help prevent falls.
9	general contractor to see to it that appropriate	9	Q. Do you think that's a helpful picture
10	warning signs are posted on a job site to prevent	10	or an appropriate picture to get across that
11	needless injury to workers?	11	point?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. For an orientation? Sure. Yeah.
13	Q. And you agree that a general	13	I'm a proponent of communicating safety policies
14	contractor on a job site should have a commitment	14	to workers on projects, for sure.
15	to safety for anyone that may be expected to come	15	Q. And looking at page six, do you think
16	on the job site, including employees of	16	that's an appropriate picture to kind of get
17	subcontractors, visitors and others?	17	across the point that's being made on page six
18	A. Yes.	18	about identification of hazards?
19	Q. And do you agree that a general	19	A. Sure. Yeah. It's not a bad slide.
20	contractor should make every effort to see to it	20	Q. Do you agree with the concept on this
21	that unsafe conditions are eliminated on the job	21	page eight of P-38 talking about management of
22	site?	22	hazards?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you agree with the core principles	24	Q. And do you think that's an
25	and the hierarchy of safety that the first is to	25	appropriate picture that kind of gets across the
	and the inertienty of safety that the first is to	23	appropriate picture that kind of gets deross the
	Page 94		Page 96
1		1	-
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards;	2	J. Randle, P.E. point?
2	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be	2	J. Randle, P.E. point? A. I think that's a good slide.
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. point? A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. point? A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So,
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. point? A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off or there should be a temporary rail installed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job site trailer or shanty wall, would you agree with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off or there should be a temporary rail installed.  Q. And that would be an example of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job site trailer or shanty wall, would you agree with that, such as OSHA safety information?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off or there should be a temporary rail installed.  Q. And that would be an example of identifying a hazard here by looking at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job site trailer or shanty wall, would you agree with that, such as OSHA safety information?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off or there should be a temporary rail installed.  Q. And that would be an example of identifying a hazard here by looking at the picture and identifying a hazard and then making	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job site trailer or shanty wall, would you agree with that, such as OSHA safety information?  A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with OSHA's general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off or there should be a temporary rail installed.  Q. And that would be an example of identifying a hazard here by looking at the picture and identifying a hazard and then making appropriate controls to eliminate the hazard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job site trailer or shanty wall, would you agree with that, such as OSHA safety information?  A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with OSHA's general duty clause?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment. Yes. Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38? A. Yes. Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo? A. The fall hazard. Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail? A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off or there should be a temporary rail installed. Q. And that would be an example of identifying a hazard here by looking at the picture and identifying a hazard and then making appropriate controls to eliminate the hazard? A. Yeah. I mean, my issue is I haven't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job site trailer or shanty wall, would you agree with that, such as OSHA safety information?  A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with OSHA's general duty clause?  A. I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. identify hazards; next is to eliminate hazards; and third is to manage hazards that cannot be eliminated?  A. That's a that's a fair assessment.  Yes.  Q. Do you recognize a hazard in the photo on page six of P-38?  A. Yes.  Q. And what hazard do you recognize in that photo?  A. The fall hazard.  Q. Can you describe it in a little more detail?  A. There you know, if this is if this is not an active area, this stairwell should have you know, it should be either flagged off or there should be a temporary rail installed.  Q. And that would be an example of identifying a hazard here by looking at the picture and identifying a hazard and then making appropriate controls to eliminate the hazard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  point?  A. I think that's a good slide. Q. And it looks like they could not eliminate the hazard of workers in a trench. So, they managed the hazard by putting shoring up.  Does that appear to be the point being made there by the picture?  A. Yes. Q. And this is a fair or helpful picture on the slide showing different safety rules that might apply, like the OSHA here on the lower left of the photo on page nine?  A. Is there a question?  I mean, what are you Q. Well, as part of safety, required posters and information should be posted on a job site trailer or shanty wall, would you agree with that, such as OSHA safety information?  A. Yes. Q. Are you familiar with OSHA's general duty clause?

Pages 93 - 96 (24) Min-U-Script®

1101	tand v A3D Construction, et al.		May 20, 2021
	Page 97		Page 99
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	A. It looks it looks like it's	2	obligations on that project.
3	correct. Yes.	3	So, I think "all" is is
4	Q. And you understand that OSHA defines	4	not the right word.
5	employer as contractor or subcontractor.	5	Q. Okay. Page 12, P-38, do you see this
6	Are you aware of that?	6	page, where it says General Safe Work Practices?
7	A. In the multi-employer policy, yes.	7	A. Yes.
8	Now, that only applies you	8	Q. And is that a good picture to show
9	know, there's also, like, a direct employer,	9	that concept, with clean, well-lit stairs with
10	which, you know, they have their obligations as	10	railings?
11	well. If there's an OSHA violation, the	11	A. Yes.
12	multi-employer citation policy is used to define	12	Q. Now, do you think it's a good, safe
13	the different, you know, entities on the project.	13	work practice to prevent slips on a job?
14	And it's also, you know, used as a standard for	14	A. Yeah. I mean, slips are bad.
15	the different participants on projects.	15	Preventing slips is good. Yes.
16	Q. But in addition to the multi-employer	16	Q. Okay. And do you think this is a
17	work site policy, are you aware that under	17	good picture to kind of show that, where you have
18	1926.32, employer is defined employer means	18	the floor and the spill is cleaned and you have
19	contractor or subcontractor as stated in that	19	the warning sign, for page 16 of P-38?
20	section?	20	A. The question is is this a
21	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	21	good this is a good slide.
22	form.	22	Q. Have you ever given safety
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean,	23	orientations before in any capacity?
24 25	you know, again, there's different employer definitions. You know, like I said, there you	24 25	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you think it's important on a job</li></ul>
	2		
	Page 98		Page 100
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	know, the employee has a direct employer as well.	2	site to prevent trip injuries?
3	So, yeah. You know	3	A. Yeah. Again, trip injuries are bad
4	BY MR. CLARK:	4	and preventing them is good.
5	Q. But in this case, the direct	5	Q. And would you agree that good
6	employer, Men of Steel, had no responsibility to	6	housekeeping practices should be done to reduce
7	maintain or construct the access road. Right?	7	clutter on job sites?
8	A. I I have not seen any, you know,	8	A. I would agree with that.
9		_	<u> </u>
	evidence to show that they would have been	9	Q. And a general contractor should take
10	responsible for that, no.	10	Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on
11	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16	10 11	Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?
11 12	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway	10 11 12	<ul><li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li><li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them</li></ul>
11 12 13	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has	10 11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li><li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.</li></ul>
11 12 13 14	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are	10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li> <li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And on page 19 of</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job	10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li> <li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And on page 19 of I'm sorry. We skipped over</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li> <li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li> <li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.</li> <li>So, do you think it's a good</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those standards or principles or regulations and case	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li> <li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.  So, do you think it's a good idea to give orientation on a job site of slips,</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those standards or principles or regulations and case law?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?</li> <li>A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.  So, do you think it's a good idea to give orientation on a job site of slips, trips and falls such as shown on page 15 of P-38?</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those standards or principles or regulations and case law?  A. You said all the responsibilities for	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?  A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.  Q. Okay. And on page 19 of I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38. So, do you think it's a good idea to give orientation on a job site of slips, trips and falls such as shown on page 15 of P-38?  A. Is it a good idea to give
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those standards or principles or regulations and case law?  A. You said all the responsibilities for an employee?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?  A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.  Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.  So, do you think it's a good idea to give orientation on a job site of slips, trips and falls such as shown on page 15 of P-38?  A. Is it a good idea to give orientations on slips, trips and falls?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those standards or principles or regulations and case law?  A. You said all the responsibilities for an employee?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?  A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.  Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.  So, do you think it's a good idea to give orientation on a job site of slips, trips and falls such as shown on page 15 of P-38?  A. Is it a good idea to give orientations on slips, trips and falls?  I think you can give
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those standards or principles or regulations and case law?  A. You said all the responsibilities for an employee?  Q. Yes. All the employer	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?  A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.  Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.  So, do you think it's a good idea to give orientation on a job site of slips, trips and falls such as shown on page 15 of P-38?  A. Is it a good idea to give orientations on slips, trips and falls?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	responsible for that, no.  Q. And are you aware that under 1926.16 and the New Jersey supreme court case of Alloway versus Bradlees, that a general contractor has all the responsibilities under OSHA that are defined as employer responsibilities on a job site?  Are you aware of those standards or principles or regulations and case law?  A. You said all the responsibilities for an employee?  Q. Yes. All the employer responsibilities.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And a general contractor should take steps to see to it that falls are prevented on job sites?  A. Yes. Falls are bad. Preventing them is good.  Q. Okay. And on page 19 of  I'm sorry. We skipped over page 15 on P-38.  So, do you think it's a good idea to give orientation on a job site of slips, trips and falls such as shown on page 15 of P-38?  A. Is it a good idea to give orientations on slips, trips and falls?  I think you can give orientations for many, multiple safety, you know,

Min-U-Script® (25) Pages 97 - 100

May	7 20, 2021		
	Page 101		Page 103
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Q. So, you agree that it would be,	2	Q. Okay. Great.
3	generally speaking, a good idea to give safety	3	And that also includes the
4	orientation on a job site about slips, trips and	4	photo in the slide as well, that that's a good
5	falls and preventing that?	5	kind of illustrative photo about slips, trips and
6	A. In addition to a multitude of other,	6	falls and preventing the kind of thing shown in
7	you know, topics, sure.	7	there?
8	Now, is it required? No.	8	Would you agree with that?
9	But is it good practice? Yes.	9	A. Are there better slides? Are there
10	Q. And do you agree that slips, trips	10	worse? Yes to both of those. So, it's a slide.
11	and falls are the most common cause of workplace	11	It's a photo and a slide. And I've already said
12	injury?	12	that, you know, the slides any slides are good
13	A. Yes.	13	when they provide safety, especially when you're
14	Q. Do you also agree that they are also	14	giving orientations to workers to empower them to
15	the easiest to prevent?	15	prevent, you know, falls.
16	A. I would I don't think I would	16	Q. And that would include this slide of
17	agree with that.	17	P-38, page 15?
18	Q. So, we're at slide 15 on P-38, which	18	A. Yeah. For the third time, it's not a
19	says, Slips, trips and falls are a leading cause	19	bad slide. It's not a great slide.
20	of workplace injuries. And it also says in red,	20	There's there's worse slides, there are better
21	Nearly all of them are preventable.	21	slides. Orientations are good.
22	You disagree with that?	22	Q. Okay. Great.
23	A. I don't I don't think that was	23	And it's important for
24	your question. Are slips, trips and falls	24	employees to know that if they have a safety
25	preventable? Yes. You know, is there absolute	25	concern, that they can go to the higher-ups on
	Page 102		Page 104
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	safety? No. But, you know, I don't disagree	2	the job site and express those concerns without
3	with that statement that nearly all of them are	3	fear of reprisal.
4	preventable. There's a lot of different ways to	4	That is a basic safety
5	prevent safety incidents.	5	concept. Right?
6	Q. So, when you're giving when we're	6	A. Yes.
7	speaking about safety orientations and safety	7	Q. And if an employee goes to a
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		O. And it all elliployee goes to a
	orientations that you've given and if one were to	8	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	orientations that you've given and if one were to create a slide about slips, trips and falls and		higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be
9 10	•	8	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the
	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and	8 9	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be
10	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good	8 9 10	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to
10 11	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a	8 9 10 11	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.
10 11 12	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of	8 9 10 11 12	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as
10 11 12 13	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?	8 9 10 11 12 13	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is
10 11 12 13 14	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the
10 11 12 13 14 15	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big proponent of training on safety. I don't I	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine how to prevent it from happening again.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big proponent of training on safety. I don't I think this is not a bad slide. In essence, you	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine how to prevent it from happening again.  Would you agree with that?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big proponent of training on safety. I don't I think this is not a bad slide. In essence, you know, trying to empower the employees to know	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine how to prevent it from happening again.  Would you agree with that?  A. That's good practice. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big proponent of training on safety. I don't I think this is not a bad slide. In essence, you know, trying to empower the employees to know what to look out for or their role in slips,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine how to prevent it from happening again.  Would you agree with that?  A. That's good practice. Yes.  MR. CLARK: Okay. It's
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big proponent of training on safety. I don't I think this is not a bad slide. In essence, you know, trying to empower the employees to know what to look out for or their role in slips, trips and falls, it's a good it's a decent	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine how to prevent it from happening again.  Would you agree with that?  A. That's good practice. Yes.  MR. CLARK: Okay. It's  12:30. I don't need a break. But I think others
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big proponent of training on safety. I don't I think this is not a bad slide. In essence, you know, trying to empower the employees to know what to look out for or their role in slips, trips and falls, it's a good it's a decent slide, yes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine how to prevent it from happening again.  Would you agree with that?  A. That's good practice. Yes.  MR. CLARK: Okay. It's  12:30. I don't need a break. But I think others said they did want a break at 12:30. So, do we
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	create a slide about slips, trips and falls and we have up here P-38, page 15, is this a good slide?  Do you think the information in there and the photograph that's shown is a good and helpful tool to prevent the kind of thing that's shown in that photograph?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again I'm a big proponent of training on safety. I don't I think this is not a bad slide. In essence, you know, trying to empower the employees to know what to look out for or their role in slips, trips and falls, it's a good it's a decent	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	higher-up on the job site for such a concern, the employee should expect that the concern will be taken seriously and that steps will be taken to eliminate needless hazards.  Would you agree with that as a general proposition?  A. Yes.  Q. And when an injury or incident is reported, the company in charge of safety on the job site that is aware of the incident should take steps to determine the cause and determine how to prevent it from happening again.  Would you agree with that?  A. That's good practice. Yes.  MR. CLARK: Okay. It's  12:30. I don't need a break. But I think others

Pages 101 - 104 (26) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 105		Page 107
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	MS. MULHERN: Mr. Randle,	2	Q. Did you email or anything like that
3	would you like to take a break?	3	or text?
4	THE WITNESS: Sure. It's	4	A. No.
5	been two and a half hours. So, yeah, I think	5	Q. Okay. Sorry you didn't get the 1:15.
6	it's reasonable.	6	But I appreciate everyone doing that. I got a
7	MS. MULHERN: All right.	7	sandwich and it ended up coming later. So,
8	Let's take a 20-minute break and come back at	8	thanks.
9	12:50.	9	Now, isn't the purpose of
10	MR. CLARK: Okay. Thanks.	10	investigating incidents to determine how they
11	MS. MULHERN: Thanks,	11	occurred so as to prevent them from happening
12	everyone.	12	again?
13	(Luncheon recess.)	13	A. Yeah. I mean, there well, sure.
14	MS. MULHERN: So, during the	14	There's it's a valid it's a valid
15	lunch break, I was reviewing the exhibits that	15	statement. The point of you don't want
16	were circulated by plaintiff's counsel, P-37 and	16	incidents you know, incidents to happen on
17	P-38, during the deposition.	17	projects sites, no.
18	I just wanted to put on the	18	Q. I'm going to show you P-37, I'll pop
19	record that P-38 appears to be a modified	19	that up on the screen, which I will represent to
20	version, specifically slide 15, and possibly	20	you was produced by AJD in discovery in this
21	more, that I'm assuming was modified by	21	case. And I'm just going to share the screen.
22	plaintiff's attorney and it does not appear to be	22	And we have up page 15 of P-37 or slide 15.
23	the original document put out by AJD, which I	23	Do you see this?
24	believe is what is represented in P-37.	24	A. Yes.
25	I don't know if counsel will	25	Q. Do you think that this photograph is
	Page 106		Page 108
1	Page 106 J. Randle, P.E.	1	Page 108 J. Randle, P.E.
1 2		1 2	
	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the		J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them?
2	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing	2	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about
2	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.	2	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified. MR. CLARK: I never	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified. MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified. MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD. MS. TUTELO: The first page	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified. MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD. MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add. MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified. MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD. MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you can talk about safety is good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, did you speak with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you can talk about safety is good. So, you know, I didn't create
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, did you speak with counsel during the break about the case or your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you can talk about safety is good.  So, you know, I didn't create this. I don't know what the why that photo is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, did you speak with counsel during the break about the case or your deposition or the testimony in the deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you can talk about safety is good. So, you know, I didn't create this. I don't know what the why that photo is there. But, like I said, regardless, safety
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, did you speak with counsel during the break about the case or your deposition or the testimony in the deposition?  A. I came on I'm sorry. I missed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you can talk about safety is good.  So, you know, I didn't create this. I don't know what the why that photo is there. But, like I said, regardless, safety management is a good thing on sites.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Mr. Randle, did you speak with counsel during the break about the case or your deposition or the testimony in the deposition?  A. I came on I'm sorry. I missed the 1:15 new timeline. And Attorney Mulhern told me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you can talk about safety is good. So, you know, I didn't create this. I don't know what the why that photo is there. But, like I said, regardless, safety management is a good thing on sites. (Pause.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. have anything to add.  MS. TUTELO: I object to the use of this exhibit as part of as representing something from AJD, when it clearly was modified.  MR. CLARK: I never represented the document was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The first page represented it was from AJD. It had its name on it.  MR. CLARK: I didn't represent it was from AJD.  MS. TUTELO: The bottom line is I object to it. So, just note for the record my objection.  MR. CLARK: Okay. But I never represented it was from AJD.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Mr. Randle, did you speak with counsel during the break about the case or your deposition or the testimony in the deposition?  A. I came on I'm sorry. I missed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. helpful with respect to giving information about slip, trip and fall hazards and preventing them? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: There's some there's some of that information on this slide. Yes. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you think the photo is a good photo and kind of appropriate to communicate that kind of thing in preventing what happened as indicated in the photo? A. It's a photo of someone who appears to have been injured. I don't know a whole lot more of the details. But, again, you know, anytime orientations are good. Anytime you can talk about safety is good.  So, you know, I didn't create this. I don't know what the why that photo is there. But, like I said, regardless, safety management is a good thing on sites.

Min-U-Script® (27) Pages 105 - 108

	7 20, 2021		
	Page 109		Page 111
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	kind of investigation to determine what happened	2	as a general theme, housekeeping, there should be
3	and to prevent it from happening again in the	3	good housekeeping on project sites.
4	future?	4	Q. What percent of the cases you've
5	A. I believe there was an accident	5	worked on for Robson have been on the plaintiffs'
6	report. I'd have to go back and look at the	6	side versus the defense side?
7	materials.	7	A. I'm very similar to the firm. I'm
8	So, I'm sorry, can I ask for	8	50-50 percentage wise. Yeah. I crest up and
9	the question again?	9	down for whatever reason. But generally I would
10	Q. That's fine with me.	10	say I'm, you know, 50 percent plaintiff,
11	(The following was read by	11	50 percent defense.
12	the court reporter:	12	Q. Do you agree that on this job site
13	"Q. Did you see any	13	external walkways and pedestrian routes should be
14	indication in this file that this incident was	14	clearly recognizable from surrounding areas and
15	the subject of any kind of investigation to	15	free from defects?
16	determine what happened and to prevent it from	16	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
17	happening again in the future?")	17	form.
18	THE WITNESS: I don't	18	THE WITNESS: Sure. External
19	remember. I'm sorry. I believe there was an	19	walkways and pedestrian routes should be clearly
20	incident report by multiple groups. But I don't	20	recognizable. Sure.
21	remember as we sit here right now.	21	BY MR. CLARK:
22	BY MR. CLARK:	22	Q. Do you agree that the superintendent
23	Q. That's fine. And I'll represent to	23	from AJD as a matter of good safety practices
24	you that there was in fact an incident report.	24	should enforce and monitor work areas routinely
25	A. Yeah. I'm looking on my report now.	25	for tripping hazards and other unsafe conditions?
	\$ 1 J		II &
	Page 110		Page 112
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	It looks like there was a couple of incident	2	A. Yeah. I believe that's good
3	reports that day for Mr. Hoiland.	3	practice, yes.
4	Q. Okay. I'm going to show you P-19,		Q. And do you agree that all scrap
5	Q. Okay. The going to show your 19,	4	
	which was produced in discovery by AID as their	4	
	which was produced in discovery by AJD as their Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the	5	lumber, waste material and other types of debris
6	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the	5 6	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as
6 7	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.	5 6 7	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?
6 7 8	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this	5 6 7 8	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure.
6 7 8 9	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure.  Q. Well, you said "work areas."
6 7 8 9	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?	5 6 7 8 9	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work
6 7 8 9 10	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?  A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency? A. Housekeeping is definitely important.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas." Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question? A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency? A. Housekeeping is definitely important. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency? A. Housekeeping is definitely important. Yes. Q. And do you agree that work sites	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency? A. Housekeeping is definitely important. Yes. Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency?  A. Housekeeping is definitely important.  Yes.  Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas." Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question? A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency?  A. Housekeeping is definitely important.  Yes.  Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards?  A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?  A. We're talking about this particular
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency? A. Housekeeping is definitely important. Yes. Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that places where	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?  A. We're talking about this particular point in their site-specific plan?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes. Q page of this document? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency? A. Housekeeping is definitely important. Yes. Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that places where workers are expected to walk should be kept free	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?  A. We're talking about this particular point in their site-specific plan?  Q. Yes. This is AJD's site-specific
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency?  A. Housekeeping is definitely important.  Yes.  Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that places where workers are expected to walk should be kept free from obstruction, debris and loose material?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure.  Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes.  Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?  A. We're talking about this particular point in their site-specific plan?  Q. Yes. This is AJD's site-specific plan for this case, which is P-19, and which I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency?  A. Housekeeping is definitely important.  Yes.  Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that places where workers are expected to walk should be kept free from obstruction, debris and loose material?  A. You know, I would say generally, yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?  A. We're talking about this particular point in their site-specific plan?  Q. Yes. This is AJD's site-specific plan for this case, which is P-19, and which I would note there has been no objection that it is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency?  A. Housekeeping is definitely important.  Yes.  Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that places where workers are expected to walk should be kept free from obstruction, debris and loose material?  A. You know, I would say generally, yes.  Yeah. I mean, it's project sites are	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?  A. We're talking about this particular point in their site-specific plan?  Q. Yes. This is AJD's site-specific plan for this case, which is P-19, and which I would note there has been no objection that it is different from the one that was actually produced
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Site Specific Health & Safety Plan for the project.  Do you see this  A. Yes.  Q page of this document?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that housekeeping is essential to job safety and efficiency?  A. Housekeeping is definitely important.  Yes.  Q. And do you agree that work sites should be cleaned on a daily basis to preclude the creation of tripping hazards?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you agree that places where workers are expected to walk should be kept free from obstruction, debris and loose material?  A. You know, I would say generally, yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lumber, waste material and other types of debris should be removed from the immediate work area as the work progresses?  A. In work areas, yes, for sure. Q. Well, you said "work areas."  Do you recall saying "work areas" in response to the last question?  A. Yes. Q. But you also agree that areas of the job site that are prepared by earth-moving equipment or reclaimed areas after demolition work should also be made safe for walking and working surfaces? Do you agree with that as well?  A. We're talking about this particular point in their site-specific plan?  Q. Yes. This is AJD's site-specific plan for this case, which is P-19, and which I would note there has been no objection that it is

Pages 109 - 112 (28) Min-U-Script®

	,		May 20, 2021
	Page 113		Page 115
_	J. Randle, P.E.	_	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	A. Yeah. You know, of course. Within	1 2	looks familiar.
	reason I think we touched on this earlier	3	
3	superintendents should provide, you know, safe		Q. So, yeah, P-10 is two pages, one photo on each page. So, we've shown the witness
4	access to the project site.	4	
5 6	Q. And you agree that the surface areas,	5 6	page one.  And you see page two as well,
	which include areas prepared by earth-moving		photo two?
7		7	A. Yes.
8	equipment and reclaimed areas after demolition	8	
9	work, should be maintained in a clean and as far	9	Q. All right. Does that help to refresh
10	as possible dry condition. Do you agree with that?	10	your recollection about the construction or the
11		11	digging up of the access road for the
12	A. Within reason, yes, for sure.	12	installation of the gas main?
13	Q. Now, the access road, if you recall	13	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
14	from your review of the file, is in fact an area	14	form.
15	that was prepared by earth-moving equipment.	15	THE WITNESS: I'm aware there
16	Right?	16	was a gas main installed. I think we need to be
17	A. Yes. There was earth-working	17	careful about the use of "access road" because I
18	equipment that created the wash rack and what	18	believe there's some disagreement that there was
19	some folks on the project are calling the access	19	an access that this was formally an access
20	road. Yes.	20	road.
21	Q. Hold on one second.	21	I did not see you know, I
22	(Pause.)	22	saw a wash rack on the documents. The road was
23	Sorry about that.	23	used to access the project site. So, I guess
24	And, also, do you recall from	24	it's we can, you know, follow that definition.
25	the file that about ten days or so prior to the	25	But I am aware that there was
	Page 114		Page 116
	Page 114		Page 116
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this
	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the		J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project.
2	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember	2	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this
2	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the	2	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project.
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN.
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved. I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved. I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved. I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved. I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of that work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project manager, I'd probably take offense to most of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of that work.  So, we're going to look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project manager, I'd probably take offense to most of the owners I've worked with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of that work.  So, we're going to look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project manager, I'd probably take offense to most of the owners I've worked with. But, no, Punia I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of that work.  So, we're going to look at P-10.  Does P-10 look familiar from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project manager, I'd probably take offense to most of the owners I've worked with. But, no, Punia I believe if he was titled project manager
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of that work.  So, we're going to look at P-10.  Does P-10 look familiar from the case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project manager, I'd probably take offense to most of the owners I've worked with. But, no, Punia I believe if he was titled project manager within that organization, so be it. But I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of that work.  So, we're going to look at P-10.  Does P-10 look familiar from the case?  A. This particular picture, possibly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project manager, I'd probably take offense to most of the owners I've worked with. But, no, Punia I believe if he was titled project manager within that organization, so be it. But I don't want to misstate who he was. He was he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  fall-down incident, that the access road in question was dug up by Esposito to install the gas main along the access road? Do you remember that from the case materials?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I do remember there was a gas line construction you know, there was construction of a gas line involved.  I'm not sure of the exact dates. But I do am aware of that.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. All right. Well, just to refresh your recollection, we'll pop up some pictures of that work.  So, we're going to look at P-10.  Does P-10 look familiar from the case?  A. This particular picture, possibly.  Like I said, I am aware that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. a gas line construction that happened in this location on the project. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall who Joe Punia was? A. Punia is, I believe, part of the ownership group. You know, he was on the project site at times on behalf of Grand LHN. Q. Right. My recollection is he was the project manager for the developer, Joe Punia. Does that sound familiar to you as well? A. I I'm not familiar with project manager as a title for owners. As a project manager, I'd probably take offense to most of the owners I've worked with. But, no, Punia I believe if he was titled project manager within that organization, so be it. But I don't want to misstate who he was. He was he was there on behalf of the owner.

Min-U-Script® (29) Pages 113 - 116

	7 20, 2021		
	Pag	ge 117	Page 119
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	A. Okay.	2	
3	I was just as an aside, I	3	
4	was also titled that for a developer. But I	4	question, I just want to remind you that the
5	wouldn't consider myself a project manager.	5	question was very simply are you aware I
6	Q. Okay. Maybe he didn't say project	6	forget. But it sounds like you then went off and
7	manager.	7	started talking about access roads generally.
8	But can we agree he said at	8	And I was just pinning the question to the
9	page 17 that he was the vice-president of	9	testimony. So, there's no question there. It's
10	construction, but I don't really use that title?	10	just an observation.
11	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	11	If we can try to focus on
12	form.	12	
13	But you can respond.	13	
14	THE WITNESS: I don't have	14	
15	the deposition in front of me. I didn't memorize	15	And you said some defendants
16	it. So, if that's the words that were used,	16	
17	that's just background. That's, you know,	17	have the person who was produced as the person
18	discovery. I don't have an opinion there.	18	
19	BY MR. CLARK:	19	
20	Q. In any event, you testified that we	20	
21	have to be careful about calling it an access	21	
22	road. So, I'd like to talk about that.	22	testimony from anyone from Grand LHN that said
23	A. Okay.	23	the area at issue was not an access road?
24	Q. And he testified on page 63:	24	Are you aware of any
25	Question: The Grand Street	25	testimony in that regard from Grand LHN?
	Pag	ge 118	Page 120
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. entrance, would that lead into something of an	1 2	
			A. No.
2	entrance, would that lead into something of an	2	A. No.
2	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?	3	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the
2 3 4	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call	3	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road
2 3 4 5	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.	2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for
2 3 4 5 6	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to	2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is
2 3 4 5 6 7	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said?  A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you know, that led into the project. You know, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here on page 66, talking again about the access road,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you know, that led into the project. You know, what defines an access road is not part of, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here on page 66, talking again about the access road,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you know, that led into the project. You know, what defines an access road is not part of, you know, my evaluation or part of the documents that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here on page 66, talking again about the access road, what was it used for, paraphrasing. You would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you know, that led into the project. You know, what defines an access road is not part of, you know, my evaluation or part of the documents that I knew. I think it was just a label that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here on page 66, talking again about the access road, what was it used for, paraphrasing. You would expect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you know, that led into the project. You know, what defines an access road is not part of, you know, my evaluation or part of the documents that I knew. I think it was just a label that was attached to this area of the project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here on page 66, talking again about the access road, what was it used for, paraphrasing. You would expect  MS. MULHERN: Are you expecting that it's being shown on the screen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you know, that led into the project. You know, what defines an access road is not part of, you know, my evaluation or part of the documents that I knew. I think it was just a label that was attached to this area of the project.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. I don't mean to interrupt, or, I don't care, I'm going to interrupt. It sounds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here on page 66, talking again about the access road, what was it used for, paraphrasing. You would expect  MS. MULHERN: Are you expecting that it's being shown on the screen? Because I don't see it on the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entrance, would that lead into something of an access road?  Answer: What you would call an access road, yes.  Are you aware he testified to that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That sounds right. I don't I don't know yeah. Like I said, this was the access point for the project. I believe one of the defendants argued that it wasn't an access road, you know. I think we're kind of splitting hairs. There was a wash rack on the perimeter of this project and then, you know, that led into the project. You know, what defines an access road is not part of, you know, my evaluation or part of the documents that I knew. I think it was just a label that was attached to this area of the project.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. I don't mean to interrupt, or,	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. And I'll also remind you that he testified at page 65 of the deposition. And the question in part was, What was that access road used for? And the answer was, Access for deliveries of, you know, materials for the site. You can see in the picture that we have there is a concrete truck there. So, concrete would come in through there and I would venture to say that many of the men probably came in through there.  Did you hear what I said? A. Okay. Q. And if we want, we can we can, as we do this and address your concern about calling it an access road, we can put up the deposition testimony here so we see that.  Okay. And he testified here on page 66, talking again about the access road, what was it used for, paraphrasing. You would expect  MS. MULHERN: Are you expecting that it's being shown on the screen? Because I don't see it on the screen.

Pages 117 - 120 (30) Min-U-Script®

Hoil	land v AJD Construction, et al.		Jason B. Randle, P.E. May 20, 2021
	Page 121		Page 123
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	you.	2	Answer: By access road, that
3	BY MR. CLARK:	3	is where we took deliveries into the job site,
4	Q. Okay. So, it should be up on the	4	yes.
5	screen now.	5	Do you see that testimony?
6	Are you seeing the deposition	6	A. Yes.
7	transcript now?	7	Q. Okay. So, that's the assistant
8	A. Yes.	8	superintendent for the general contractor
9	Q. Okay. Great.	9	agreeing that it's an access road. Right?
10	So, we're at page 66,	10	A. Yes.
11	starting at line four, paraphrasing. He's being	11	Q. And you understand from the testimony
12	asked questions about the access road, you would	12	and your review of the case that that area was
13	expect workers would use that access road as a	13	frequently traversed by the workers on the job.
14	pedestrian walkway or as a walkway?	14	Right?
15	Answer: Yes, an access	15	A. Yes.
16	point.	16	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any testimony
17	Do you see that testimony?	17	from anyone from the general contractor disputing
18	A. Yes.	18	that the area of the incident was part of the
19	Q. Okay. So, that's the developer.	19	access road?
20	Now, do you remember Dan	20	A. Not that I'm aware of.
21	Graham was a witness for AJD in the case and he	21	Q. Okay. So, do you still have a
22	was the assistant super on the job? Does that	22	problem calling it an access road?
23	ring a bell?	23	You indicated some questions
24	A. What name? I'm sorry. You said Bram	24	ago that we have to be careful about calling it
25	(as stated)? Dan Bram?	25	an access road. And I've just kind of catalogued
	Page 122		Page 124
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Q. That would be Graham.	2	the deposition testimony of the people in charge
3	A. Oh, Graham. Oh, Graham, Graham. Dan	3	of the job in fact testifying under oath with the
4	Graham. Yes.	4	same penalties of perjury that you're testifying
5	Q. Okay.	5	to that, yes, it is in fact an access road.
6	A. Sorry.	6	A. I don't have an opinion on this.
7	Q. And then he testified at page seven	7	What I will say is that part
8	of his deposition, showing him the yellow area in	8	of the background I believe Esposito pointed out
9	P-3, do you see the yellow area in P-3, the	9	that it was not titled an access road. So,
10	marked-up version on the screen?	10	that the title access or the label of access
11	A. Yes.	11	road means something different to him. It really
12	Q. And do you understand that the	12	means something different to me as well. But
13	incident happened within the area marked in	13	that I don't have an opinion here. This is
14	yellow on that exhibit?	14	really I think I've referenced the area as an
15	A. Yes. I think I referenced, you know,	15	access road because that's what everyone labeled
16	that highlight in my report.	16	it as.
17	Q. Okay. Good. So, we have it up and	17	So, yeah, I'm not I
18	we have it zoomed in.	18	don't call it what you want. I just am not
19	Do you see?	19	familiar with, you know, this being you know,
20	A. Yes.	20	I've been on projects where we've defined what
0.1	O Olass Casat	0.1	41- at a i t

Min-U-Script® (31) Pages 121 - 124

21

22

23

24

25

that means in terms of materials, in terms of,

you know, dimensions, what have you, and I

this was not something he had a definition of.

believe the installer, you know, pointed out that

So, you know, again, I wasn't

21

22

23

24 25 Okay. Great.

road throughout the project?

testimony and the area on P-3, which is

And then we go to Graham's

highlighted in yellow, was that used as an access

7 20, 2021		
Page 125		Page 127
J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
asked to give an opinion about, like, the access	2	and that he was produced as the corporate
road. I generally use the labels similar to what	3	representative of the developer most
all the deponents used, similar to what you just	4	knowledgeable in construction site safety.
did.	5	Can you accept that
Q. Okay. So, when we look at the photos	6	representation or do you have some information to
	7	the contrary?
•	8	MS. MULHERN: Object to form.
	9	You can answer.
	10	THE WITNESS: Most
<del>-</del>		knowledgeable for Grand LHN. No. I don't
		have I don't have if that's how he
		testified, that's how he testified.
		BY MR. CLARK:
		Q. Okay. And do you remember you testified earlier today about how the developer
		does have some safety responsibilities and you
		focused on early on in the project during the
		planning stage? Do you recall that?
		A. Yes.
		MS. MULHERN: Objection to
	22	form.
	23	You can answer.
highlighted in yellow in P-3. Correct?	24	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yes.
A. Can you restate that? Can you ask	25	BY MR. CLARK:
Page 126		Page 128
J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
	2	Q. Don't you think it is a bit
Q. Sure. We'll have it read back and	2	concerning that the person most knowledgeable in
**********	٥	
we're	4	construction site safety for a major developer
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while	4 5	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.	4 5 6	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety
<ul><li>A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.</li><li>Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you</li></ul>	4 5 6 7	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety
<ul><li>A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.</li><li>Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what</li></ul>	4 5 6 7 8	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want	4 5 6 7 8 9	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.	4 5 6 7 8 9	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified general contractor.
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified general contractor.
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces apply to the area highlighted in yellow in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified general contractor.  Q. Who is sorry. Go ahead. Did you
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces apply to the area highlighted in yellow in P-3. Correct?")	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified general contractor.  Q. Who is sorry. Go ahead. Did you want to correct something?
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces apply to the area highlighted in yellow in P-3. Correct?")  THE WITNESS: I would agree	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified general contractor.  Q. Who is sorry. Go ahead. Did you want to correct something?  A. Grand LHN, you know, assigned or, you
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces apply to the area highlighted in yellow in P-3. Correct?")  THE WITNESS: I would agree with that.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified general contractor.  Q. Who is sorry. Go ahead. Did you want to correct something?  A. Grand LHN, you know, assigned or, you know, awarded or agreed to the project with a qualified general contractor. I would not expect Punia's role to go out there and be a safety, you
A. Yes. Could you keep on that while the question is asked? Thank you.  Q. Sure. Which one do you want? Do you want P-19, which is the safety manual saying what I just said or do you want P-3 or do you want  A. P-19. P-19.  Q. Okay. So, P-19 is up.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces apply to the area highlighted in yellow in P-3. Correct?")  THE WITNESS: I would agree with that.  BY MR. CLARK:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	construction site safety for a major developer that is working on a \$238 million construction project has no safety training and no safety background? Isn't that concerning from a safety perspective?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You have no problem with that?  A. On that project, no. AJD is big boys. I mean, you know, he chose a qualified general contractor.  Q. Who is sorry. Go ahead. Did you want to correct something?  A. Grand LHN, you know, assigned or, you know, awarded or agreed to the project with a qualified general contractor. I would not expect
	J. Randle, P.E. asked to give an opinion about, like, the access road. I generally use the labels similar to what all the deponents used, similar to what you just did.  Q. Okay. So, when we look at the photos of the access road being dug up and trenched for the gas main which was marked as P-10, would you consider that to be demolition work when they're digging up a road like is shown in P-10?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I call it excavation. I don't I wouldn't call it demolition, no. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. In any event, it's certainly an area prepared by earth-moving equipment. Right?  A. Yes. Sure.  Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces apply to the area highlighted in yellow in P-3. Correct?  A. Can you restate that? Can you ask  Page 126  J. Randle, P.E. the question again?	J. Randle, P.E.  asked to give an opinion about, like, the access road. I generally use the labels similar to what all the deponents used, similar to what you just did.  Q. Okay. So, when we look at the photos of the access road being dug up and trenched for the gas main which was marked as P-10, would you consider that to be demolition work when they're digging up a road like is shown in P-10? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I call it excavation. I don't I wouldn't call it demolition, no.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. In any event, it's certainly an area prepared by earth-moving equipment. Right? A. Yes. Sure. Q. Okay. And because it's an area that was prepared by earth-moving equipment, the housekeeping rules and the rules for safe walking and working surfaces apply to the area highlighted in yellow in P-3. Correct? A. Can you restate that? Can you ask  Page 126  J. Randle, P.E. the question again?

Pages 125 - 128 (32) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 129		Page 131
_	I Decidle DE		I Double DE
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	owners on our project sites running around.	2	temporary roadways and walkways on the job for proper progress of the work? Are you aware of
3 4	Q. Did AJD not want owners on their job site?	3 4	that?
5 6	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	5	A. If it's part of the discovery or the case documents, then, you know, I would I
7	BY MR. CLARK:	6 7	don't have a disagreement with that if that's
8		8	the if that's the situation.
9	Q. Or you don't know offhand?  A. I don't know.	9	Q. Well, you agree that this was a
10	Q. Okay. Do you find it a little bit	10	temporary roadway on the construction site, the
11	concerning that the assistant site super for the	11	area we're talking about?
12	general contractor, AJD, whose name is Dan	12	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
13	Graham, also has no safety training?	13	form.
14	A. It's it depends on the	14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	circumstances. I mean, was he just hired on and	15	BY MR. CLARK:
16	he was going to training or was he	16	Q. And you can see at Healy's
17	You know, again, they had a	17	deposition, at page 80, he testified:
18	safety consultant, they had a team of field	18	Question: Would this
19	supervision. Pulling out one particular person	19	therefore be considered a temporary roadway on a
20	on a team that, you know, was pretty large, on a	20	construction site?
21	large project. I don't these things happen.	21	And he answered, Yes.
22	I mean, I don't think there's he wasn't the	22	Do you see that testimony?
23	competent person and he wasn't the third-party	23	A. Yes.
24	inspector. And, so, I really don't have, you	24	Q. And do you see where he further
25	know, an answer you know, a real strong	25	testified, at page 81, line ten, that the area
	·		
	Page 130		Page 132
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking.	2	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the
2	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with	2	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on. (Pause.)	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on. (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right? And he said, Okay, yes. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on. (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on. (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. And do you see here at page 86,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on. (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers? Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes. Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.  Q. And referencing P-22, at page 86 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.  You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.  Q. And referencing P-22, at page 86 of his deposition it says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.  You can answer. THE WITNESS: You know, Keith	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.  Q. And referencing P-22, at page 86 of his deposition it says:  Question: Under this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: You know, Keith Healy played his part on the project, you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.  Q. And referencing P-22, at page 86 of his deposition it says:  Question: Under this section, you would agree that AJD was responsible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards? MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.  You can answer. THE WITNESS: You know, Keith Healy played his part on the project, you know. I don't I'm not sure that doesn't cause me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.  Q. And referencing P-22, at page 86 of his deposition it says:  Question: Under this section, you would agree that AJD was responsible to construct and maintain safe temporary roadways
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking.  Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.)  So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: You know, Keith Healy played his part on the project, you know. I don't I'm not sure that doesn't cause me alarm. No.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And you're aware from the case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specs, the cover page?  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.  Q. And referencing P-22, at page 86 of his deposition it says:  Question: Under this section, you would agree that AJD was responsible to construct and maintain safe temporary roadways for the proper progress of the work, right?  And he answers, That's what it says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. opinion about what you're asking. Q. Do you have any issue with that hold on.  (Pause.) So, do you have any issue or concern that Keith Healy, who was the project manager for AJD, testified that he could not remember any discussions about making sure that the roadways and walkways on the job site were safe to prevent needless fall injuries to workers?  Is there any kind of concern of yours that he had no recollection of addressing those potential hazards?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: You know, Keith Healy played his part on the project, you know. I don't I'm not sure that doesn't cause me alarm. No. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  where the incident happened at the time of the incident, on the day of the incident was a temporary roadway, right?  And he said, Okay, yes.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you see here at page 86, referencing the P-22 document we'll pull up P-22 for you, which is the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specifications.  Do you see P-22 there, the job specifications.  A. Yes.  Q. That's one of the documents you reviewed in the case. Right?  A. Yes. I would imagine so, yes.  Q. And referencing P-22, at page 86 of his deposition it says:  Question: Under this section, you would agree that AJD was responsible to construct and maintain safe temporary roadways for the proper progress of the work, right?  And he answers, That's what

Min-U-Script® (33) Pages 129 - 132

J. Randle, P.E.  A. I do see that. Q. So, do you agree on this job that AJD was responsible to maintain temporary roadways or proper progress of the work? A. Sure.  I again, I mean, this s you know, this is more just background to me. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise. Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's what I'm pointing out to you and I am trying to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form. MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. MS. TUTELO: Join. THE WITNESS: The roadway on a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
A. I do see that.  Q. So, do you agree on this job that AJD was responsible to maintain temporary roadways or proper progress of the work?  A. Sure.  I again, I mean, this s you know, this is more just background to me. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise.  Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. MS. TUTELO: Join. THE WITNESS: The roadway on a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
Q. So, do you agree on this job that AJD was responsible to maintain temporary roadways or proper progress of the work?  A. Sure.  I again, I mean, this s you know, this is more just background to me. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise.  Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. MS. TUTELO: Join. THE WITNESS: The roadway on a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
vas responsible to maintain temporary roadways or proper progress of the work?  A. Sure.  I again, I mean, this s you know, this is more just background to ne. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise.  Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	4 5 6 7 8 9	the form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. MS. TUTELO: Join. THE WITNESS: The roadway on a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
A. Sure.  I again, I mean, this s you know, this is more just background to ne. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise.  Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	5 6 7 8 9	You can answer. MS. TUTELO: Join. THE WITNESS: The roadway on a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
A. Sure.  I again, I mean, this s you know, this is more just background to ne. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise. Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	6 7 8 9	MS. TUTELO: Join. THE WITNESS: The roadway on a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
I again, I mean, this s you know, this is more just background to ne. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise. Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	7 8 9	THE WITNESS: The roadway on a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
s you know, this is more just background to ne. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise. Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	8 9	a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
ne. If it's there, it's there. I don't I on't have an opinion otherwise.  Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	9	a construction site or, I'm sorry, it's an access
on't have an opinion otherwise.  Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's		
Q. Okay. Well, it is there and that's	10	point on a construction site, you know, it should
	<b>T</b> O	be reasonably safe.
hat I'm pointing out to you and I am trying to	11	BY MR. CLARK:
	12	Q. So, you agree that it should be safe
ind out whether or not you agree with these	13	for people to walk on?
nings. So, that's just by way of that's not	14	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
question. That's just me telling you what	15	form.
ve're doing.	16	MS. TUTELO: Objection to
•	17	form.
aid?	18	MS. MULHERN: Join.
A. Yes.	19	You can answer.
	20	THE WITNESS: Again, we're
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		talking about this particular incident where
		Hoiland was a competent person walking through
		the construction site?
-	24	BY MR. CLARK:
	25	Q. I'm sorry. I don't mean to interrupt
Page 134		Page 136
J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
A. Yes.	2	you. But the question did not talk about Hoiland
Q. Construct and maintain temporary	3	or the incident. The question talked about the
oadways and walkways adequate for construction	4	access road on the job site, which is the area in
or operations and proper progress of the work.	5	yellow on P-3 and which you testified to was
Do you see that?	6	covered in P-19, Section 8.13 about safe walking
A. Yes.	7	surfaces.
Q. And do you remember you testified	8	And the question was very
arlier today that proper progress of the work	9	simply do you agree that that area should be safe
equires safety and safe progress and proper	10	for people to walk on? That is the simple
rogress need to kind of go together?	11	question.
MS. MULHERN: Objection to	12	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
orm.	13	form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.	14	THE WITNESS: Reasonably
MS. TUTELO: Please note my	15	safe, yes.
ate objection to that question. This is Pauline	16	BY MR. CLARK:
•	17	Q. And do you agree that workers have a
'utelo.	1	right to expect that a designated walking surface
Survelo. SY MR. CLARK:	18	right to expect that a designated walking sufface
BY MR. CLARK:	18 19	on a job site is safe to walk on?
BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you agree that the area where		on a job site is safe to walk on?
BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And do you agree that the area where his incident happened, which was in the	19 20	on a job site is safe to walk on?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you agree that the area where his incident happened, which was in the emporary roadway and the access road that we	19 20 21	on a job site is safe to walk on?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.
BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you agree that the area where his incident happened, which was in the emporary roadway and the access road that we alked about and was covered by the rules about	19 20 21 22	on a job site is safe to walk on?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Join.
BY MR. CLARK: Q. And do you agree that the area where his incident happened, which was in the emporary roadway and the access road that we	19 20 21	on a job site is safe to walk on?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.
t 1	Okay. Did you hear what I aid? A. Yes. Q. And we're looking here at the specs. It's Section 3.03, Support Facilities Installation. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And do you see B, Temporary Roadways?  Page 134  J. Randle, P.E. A. Yes. Q. Construct and maintain temporary Doadways and walkways adequate for construction for operations and proper progress of the work. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And do you remember you testified arlier today that proper progress of the work equires safety and safe progress and proper progress need to kind of go together? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	Okay. Did you hear what I  aid?  A. Yes. Q. And we're looking here at the specs.  's Section 3.03, Support Facilities  astallation.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. And do you see B, Temporary Roadways?  Page 134  J. Randle, P.E.  A. Yes. Q. Construct and maintain temporary  addways and walkways adequate for construction  or operations and proper progress of the work.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you remember you testified  arlier today that proper progress of the work  equires safety and safe progress and proper  rogress need to kind of go together?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to  13

Pages 133 - 136 (34) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 137		Page 139
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	reason, yes, of course everything is within	2	Do you agree with him when he
3	reason, you know, on our construction standards,	3	says that there?
4	yes.	4	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
5	BY MR. CLARK:	5	form.
6	Q. Now, can we agree for purposes of our	6	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
7	deposition here today that Esposito dug up that	7	form.
8	access road about two weeks before the fall?	8	You can answer.
9	Now, if we can't necessarily	9	THE WITNESS: Again, we'd
10	agree, then I can walk you through the deposition	10	have to spend some time defining what safe is.
11	testimony that says that if you want.	11	But they should back you know, they should put
12	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	12	back the ground to a condition where it's
13	form.	13	reasonably, you know, safe for for folks to
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean,	14	access the project.
15	if that's if it's approximately two weeks or	15	BY MR. CLARK:
16	you said earlier ten days, I Esposito had	16	Q. And that is a matter of good, safe
17	performed some gas line construction excavation	17	practices what you said there, right, that they
18	in that area a couple of weeks prior to Hoiland's	18	should do that?
19	incident.	19	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
20	BY MR. CLARK:	20	form.
21	Q. Okay. Great.	21	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
22	And, for example, that's	22	form.
23	talked about at pages 97 to a hundred of Punia's deposition, Healy's deposition at page 300, and	23 24	You can answer. THE WITNESS: The
24 25	Dave Vill's deposition at page 33 for your	25	question okay.
23	Dave viirs deposition at page 33 for your	23	question okay.
	Page 138		Page 140
1		1	
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. reference.	2	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:
2	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay.	2	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here.
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. reference.  A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's based on an agreement with the general contractor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's based on an agreement with the general contractor for the work they're doing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You keep looking like how is he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's based on an agreement with the general contractor for the work they're doing. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You keep looking like how is he trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. reference.  A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's based on an agreement with the general contractor for the work they're doing. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well, Joe Punia testified at page 105	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You keep looking like how is he trying to A. That's kind of a place that I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. reference.  A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's based on an agreement with the general contractor for the work they're doing. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well, Joe Punia testified at page 105 of his deposition that when they got it all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You keep looking like how is he trying to A. That's kind of a place that I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. reference. A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's based on an agreement with the general contractor for the work they're doing. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well, Joe Punia testified at page 105 of his deposition that when they got it all finished, it should be graded so that it's as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You keep looking like how is he trying to A. That's kind of a place that I'm not Q how is he trying to trick me here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. reference.  A. Okay. Q. Now, you agree that when Esposito finishes that work, that they should restore it and grade it out to make it safe? Do you agree with that?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Join. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, you'd have to define safe. I do agree that they would have to restore the area back to its pre-excavation condition and similar to, you know, the project site. Yeah. I mean, that's based on an agreement with the general contractor for the work they're doing. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well, Joe Punia testified at page 105 of his deposition that when they got it all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. These are not trick questions, just so you know. You have this sort of baffled look on your face. But these are not trick questions here. A. Yeah. I mean, Esposito excavated for the gas line and then put the ground back. And it should be reasonably, you know, safe, without hazards for others to access the project. Q. And when you say "it should be," that is a matter of basic safe practices and almost common sense. Right?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know BY MR. CLARK: Q. You keep looking like how is he trying to A. That's kind of a place that I'm not

Min-U-Script® (35) Pages 137 - 140

	20, 2021		
	Page 141		Page 143
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	how is he trying to trick me. And there's	2	BY MR. CLARK:
3	really these are really totally	3	Q. Thank you for all of that. That's
4	straightforward questions.	4	very nice.
5	A. All right. So, here's a	5	But the question is what is
6	straightforward answer. Esposito excavated for	6	my meaning of safety? You've testified I think
7	the gas line and backfilled it.	7	two or three times now that that that my
8	If you're asking me about	8	meaning of safety is different than your meaning,
9	common sense, I don't think I'm the person to do	9	which presupposes you know what my meaning of
10	that. I was asked I've got a purpose. And my	10	safety is.
11	purpose is to give an opinion about different	11	So, the question is what is
12	participants on the project. If you're going to	12	my meaning of safety that you referred to
13	try to diminish what it is I'm doing, I take	13	earlier?
14	exception to that. I think we're heading down a	14	MS. MULHERN: Note my
15	weird place with your terminology that at some	15	continuing objection.
16	point I'm going to have to say time out.	16	THE WITNESS: I'll retract my
17	You're going to have to	17	answer. And I apologize. I don't know.
18	define your meaning of safe because your meaning	18	BY MR. CLARK:
19	of safe is different from mine, especially on a	19	Q. There's no need to apologize. We're
20	construction project.	20	all here just trying to help the trier of fact.
21	Q. What is my meaning of safe?	21	So, no need to apologize for that.
22	A. So, you're asking me to give an	22	So, when you say that after
23	opinion about your meaning of safe?	23	Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that
24	You're just kind of circling	24	they needed to make it safe, the question is, is
25	out of control, Jerry. I mean, I think you need	25	that a standard somewhere that you can point to
	Page 142		Page 144
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
	to reel it in.		
2		2	or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?
		2	or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?  MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.
3	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my		MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.
3 4	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my	3 4	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry. Can you can the court reporter read the
3 4 5	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of	3 4 5	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry. Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.
3 4	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.	3 4	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry. Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by
3 4 5 6	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer	3 4 5 6	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry. Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:
3 4 5 6 7	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that	3 4 5 6 7	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of things that are different than me as a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to eliminate hazards.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of things that are different than me as a construction expert. So, your definition of safe	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to eliminate hazards.  BY MR. CLARK:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of things that are different than me as a construction expert. So, your definition of safe is different than mine.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to eliminate hazards.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for that definition.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of things that are different than me as a construction expert. So, your definition of safe is different than mine.  I'm a construction	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to eliminate hazards.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for that definition.  And with respect to your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of things that are different than me as a construction expert. So, your definition of safe is different than mine.  I'm a construction professional. Don Hoiland is a construction	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to eliminate hazards.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for that definition.  And with respect to your saying that they should make it safe when they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of things that are different than me as a construction expert. So, your definition of safe is different than mine.  I'm a construction professional. Don Hoiland is a competent person.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to eliminate hazards.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for that definition.  And with respect to your saying that they should make it safe when they are finished with the area, is that a standard
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You just did give an opinion as to my meaning of safe. And your opinion was that my meaning of safe is different than your meaning of safe.  So, based upon that answer the question is what is my meaning of safe that you're referring to in your response to the previous question?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jason, if you can respond to that, by all means, respond to it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, my glib response was the fact that you're asking me questions about sort of your understanding of things that are different than me as a construction expert. So, your definition of safe is different than mine.  I'm a construction professional. Don Hoiland is a construction	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm sorry.  Can you can the court reporter read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. So, when you say that after Esposito dug the trench and backfilled it that they needed to make it safe, the question is, is that a standard somewhere that you can point to or is that just a matter of good, safe practices?")  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I'm defining safe is a reasonable attempt to eliminate hazards.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for that definition.  And with respect to your saying that they should make it safe when they

Pages 141 - 144 (36) Min-U-Script®

1101	iand v AJD Constituction, et al.		May 20, 2021
	Page 14	45	Page 147
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	just kind of common sense and a matter of good,	2	Esposito needed to make the area safe when they
3	safe practices to do that?	3	were finished with it comes from your
4	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	4	understanding of the standard of care in the
5	form.	5	construction site safety management industry. Is
6	THE WITNESS: Again,	6	that right?
7	for for the Hoiland incident, there was no	7	A. Yes.
8	standard that there was no there was no	8	Q. Okay. Now, in addition to it being
9	hazard that has that anyone's put forth on	9	in the industry standard of care, that's also
10	what happened with Hoiland. It all comes down to	10	specifically in the site safety manual,
11	being, you know, reasonable. Okay. So, the	11	specifically at Section 8.13B, where it says the
12	activities that occurred on the project were	12	walking surface areas need to be made safe and
13	reasonable. It was reasonable for the project	13	that this includes areas prepared by earth-moving
14	site. It was reasonable for the construction.	14	equipment. Correct?
15	So, my definition of put it	15	A. I disagree. Okay. The area where
16	back safe is the reasonable attempt to create an	16	Hoiland fell was reasonably hazard-free.
17	area where workers can access the project. And	17	Q. I'm sorry. But perhaps you're not
18	that from my review and analysis and based on	18	understanding the question or perhaps I'm not
19	my opinions, that happened.	19	asking it in a coherent way.
20	BY MR. CLARK:	20	You are so itchy to talk
21	Q. I'm sorry. And I don't mean to take	21	about your expert report and get your opinions
22	issue with you, but that answer had nothing to do	22	out. And I'm sure at some point you'll have the
23	with the question.	23	opportunity to do that other than to keep reading
24	The question is very simple.	24	your report. But just please try to focus on the
25	After Esposito is finished	25	questions that are asked.
	Page 1	46	Page 148
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	trenching and then backfilling the area, you said	2	So, I will do it again.
3	they need to make it safe; they need to return it	3	You testified that after
4	to a safe condition.	4	Esposito is done trenching and backfilling the
5	My question is where does	5	area, that they have to make it safe.
6	that come from? Is there a standard that says	6	And after some time, perhaps
7	they need to do that? Is that in OSHA somewhere?	7	15 minutes, you finally told us that that rule
8	Or is that just a matter of good work practices?	8	that you said comes from your understanding of
9	Or you don't know?	9	the standard of care in the construction site
10	A. No. Okay.	10	safety industry. That's what the testimony is
11	MS. KALOCSAY: Go ahead.	11	reflected on the record thus far.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. The	12	The question now is in
13	standard of care for the construction industry is	13	addition to that rule or that principle being in
14	voluminous. Okay? It's based on probably a	14	the standard of care in the construction
15	city-block-wide oration of documents and	15	industry, that rule is also contained in the
16	conditions and drawings and specs and everything.	16	site-specific safety manual, Section 8.13B,
17	There is so much that goes into the standard of	17	specifically, that says safe walking and working
18	care for construction. And what I'm	18	surfaces include areas prepared by earth-moving
19	doing what I'm giving my opinion on is the	19	equipment. Correct?
20	standard of care for the different parties	20	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
21	involved in this incident.	21	form.
	to the control of the	1	
22	BY MR. CLARK:	22	THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't
22 23	BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. Great.	22	THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't have an opinion here. You're just reading off of
			•
23	Q. Okay. Great.	23	have an opinion here. You're just reading off of

Min-U-Script® (37) Pages 145 - 148

	20, 2021		
	Page 149		Page 151
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	BY MR. CLARK:	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. That's all I'm asking.	3	Q. And looking into some of the other
4	A. Okay.	4	pages towards the end of P-34, you see kind of
5	Q. I mean	5	some of the close-up pictures of the surface
6	A. I don't spend a lot of time in these	6	conditions?
	confirming discovery. Discovery is discovery.	7	A. Yes.
7	And I'm hoping you're not pigeonholing me into a		
8		8	Q. Do you see these what look like kind of chunks of concrete?
9	conversation about what is safe because, again,	9	
10	as I said way back when, three hours ago, there	10	A. Yes.
11	is no hundred percent safety, not on construction	11	Q. Okay. And does it appear that this
12	projects. It's reasonable to perform your work	12	concrete, these kind of chunks of concrete in the
13	in a way to eliminate hazards. And what does	13	later pages of P-34, appears to come from the
14	that look like? That looks like having a	14	demolition of the Boys & Girls Club that is shown
15	competent person on-site, having competent people	15	in the, you know, first three pictures on P-34?
16	working, having an orientation program, hiring	16	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
17	general contractors that are qualified and have	17	form.
18	safety programs, adding new belts and suspenders,	18	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
19	bringing in a third party.	19	form.
20	So, you know, I again, I	20	You can answer.
21	digress a little bit. I hope that's not the	21	THE WITNESS: They appear to
22	intent. But if we're here just for me to read	22	be how you described them. Yes.
23	something and say did I read this correctly,	23	BY MR. CLARK:
24	okay, it's that's fine. We can go through	24	Q. And it's your understanding from
25	that process.	25	reviewing the geotechnical engineering report
	Page 150		Page 152
1	Page 150 J. Randle, P.E.	1	Page 152 J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.		J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized
2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in	2	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job?
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils?	2	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job?  A. Yeah. I'm yes.
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33. Do you see that picture?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33. Do you see that picture? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33. Do you see that picture? A. Yes. Q. It looks like during the demolition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33. Do you see that picture? A. Yes. Q. It looks like during the demolition. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33. Do you see that picture? A. Yes. Q. It looks like during the demolition. Right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33. Would you agree with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.  Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33.  Do you see that picture?  A. Yes.  Q. It looks like during the demolition.  Right?  A. Yes.  Q. And I'm going to show you P-34.  Does that look like the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33. Would you agree with that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33. Do you see that picture? A. Yes. Q. It looks like during the demolition. Right? A. Yes. Q. And I'm going to show you P-34. Does that look like the Boys & Girls Club that was there before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of P-4 what appear to be the same kinds of rocks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of P-4 what appear to be the same kinds of rocks or concrete trucks or chunks, concrete chunks,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job? A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill? A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of P-4 what appear to be the same kinds of rocks or concrete trucks or chunks, concrete chunks, there in the roadway as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job?  A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill?  A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that?  A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of P-4 what appear to be the same kinds of rocks or concrete trucks or chunks, concrete chunks, there in the roadway as well?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job?  A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill?  A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that?  A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of P-4 what appear to be the same kinds of rocks or concrete trucks or chunks, concrete chunks, there in the roadway as well?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Now, taking a look at P-10. Do you see the rocks here in the trench spoils? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures from the job site. Start here with P-33. Do you see that picture? A. Yes. Q. It looks like during the demolition. Right? A. Yes. Q. And I'm going to show you P-34. Does that look like the Boys & Girls Club that was there before? A. Yes. Q. And then we see some of the demolition pictures. And you see, does that look like they demolished the building and you see the piles of concrete there? A. Yes. Q. And page two of P-34 and then at page three, you see an overhead shot of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job?  A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill?  A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that?  A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of P-4 what appear to be the same kinds of rocks or concrete trucks or chunks, concrete chunks, there in the roadway as well?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  THE WITNESS: Are they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. Now, taking a look at P-10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. that the concrete was to be sort of pulverized and used as fill on the job?  A. Yeah. I'm yes. Q. Okay. And when you look at P-10 and you look at the trench, the dug-up trench from Esposito digging it up, do you see what appears to be that same kind of concrete chunks in that fill?  A. Yes. Q. And that all makes sense and is consistent with the demolition photos that we showed in P-33.  Would you agree with that?  A. Yes. Q. Now, when we look at the roadway photos in P-4, do you see in P-4 what appear to be the same we're looking at photo one of P-4 what appear to be the same kinds of rocks or concrete trucks or chunks, concrete chunks, there in the roadway as well?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.

Pages 149 - 152 (38) Min-U-Script®

	,		May 2	20, 2021
	Page 153		Pa	age 155
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	They do appear similar. I don't know where	2	and there was also some smaller. So, this size	
3	the you know, I'm not sure I wasn't asked	3	of, you know, aggregate matches what could be	
4	to analyze where the incident rock came from.	4	part of those previous pictures.	
5	BY MR. CLARK:	5	Q. The previous pictures being the	
6	Q. Right.	6	pictures we talked about in P-34. Correct?	
7	But when you look at the	7	A. Yes.	
8	demolition pictures, starting with the	8	Q. Okay. Thank you.	
9	Boys & Girls Club, P-34, and seeing the	9	Now, if you have I have a	
10	demolition, moving on to the photos of the trench	10	ruler here. My finger is at six inches.	
11	and the access road, which you said appear to be	11	If you have a six-inch-size	
12	consistent with those demolition pieces of	12	rock in an access road and let's say the rock is	
13	concrete, they also appear to be consistent with	13	standing alone as opposed to it being, you know,	
14	the rocks that appear in the photos in P-4, those	14	a uniform six-inch rock that might be laid down,	
15	kind of same kind of appear to be concrete rocks	15	if you have a six-inch rock sitting in an access	
16	and chunks.	16	road, that could be a tripping hazard. Right?	
17	Would you agree with that?	17	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	
18	With the understanding you haven't done any kind	18	form.	
19	of scientific analysis, but just common sense in	19	MS. MULHERN: Objection.	
20	looking at it all	20	You can answer.	
21	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	21	MS. TUTELO: Objection to	
22	form.	22	form.	
23	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	23	THE WITNESS: Could it be a	
24	form.	24	tripping hazard? People could trip over it.	
25	You can answer.	25	BY MR. CLARK:	
	Page 154		Pa	age 156
1		1		age 156
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	age 156
2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to	2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks.	age 156
2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.	2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition	age 156
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct?	age 156
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes.	age 156
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials.	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has	age 156
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials.	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed.	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to? A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know. Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to? A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know. Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to? A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know. Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to? A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know. Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to? A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know. Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something else, perhaps.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that correct?	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to? A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know. Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something else, perhaps. So, I just want to go through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that correct? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials. A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock. Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to? A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know. Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something else, perhaps. So, I just want to go through this and see what exactly your answer is as we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that correct? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials.  A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock.  Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to?  A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know.  Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something else, perhaps.  So, I just want to go through this and see what exactly your answer is as we ask it here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that correct? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. MS. MULHERN: Join.	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials.  A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock.  Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to?  A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know.  Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something else, perhaps.  So, I just want to go through this and see what exactly your answer is as we ask it here.  A. Okay. I mean, it looks like a, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that correct? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. MS. MULHERN: Join. THE WITNESS: Can you go back	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials.  A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock.  Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to?  A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know.  Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something else, perhaps.  So, I just want to go through this and see what exactly your answer is as we ask it here.  A. Okay. I mean, it looks like a, you know, four- to six-inch-size aggregate. There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that correct? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. MS. MULHERN: Join. THE WITNESS: Can you go back to the deposition? I think there's some bridging	age 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q and your review and knowledge of the file materials.  A. Right. I mean, you know, I I don't know. They you know, it appears to be a piece of rock.  Q. And that being the photos in P-4, is that right, what you just referred to?  A. Sure, or some kind of recycled aggregate. I don't I don't know.  Q. Well, I'm just trying to get this, because you said that it did appear to be the same kind of rocks or chunks of concrete from the demolition photos, and then you said something else, perhaps.  So, I just want to go through this and see what exactly your answer is as we ask it here.  A. Okay. I mean, it looks like a, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Okay. Thanks. You reviewed the deposition of Dave Vill. Correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Dave Vill has testified at page 45, line 22, The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that site was part of the material that was crushed. Do you see that testimony? A. Yes. Q. And that's consistent with what we just talked about in terms of P-34, the demolition pictures, and P-4, which is the pictures of the incident roadway. Is that correct? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. MS. MULHERN: Join. THE WITNESS: Can you go back	age 156

Min-U-Script® (39) Pages 153 - 156

7 20, 2021		
Page 157		Page 1
J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
	2	we've been talking about?
- ·	3	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
•	4	form.
	5	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Would
	6	you mind clicking on the photo?
	7	BY MR. CLARK:
	8	Q. Yeah. I'm sorry. Sometimes I forget
		to put the share, resume share and all. Yeah.
· · ·		There it is. Zoom out or
	-	A. You're asking about can you just
		circle it, where you what's you're like,
		spin around it or something.
•		Q. (Indicating.)
		A. Yeah. I can see that.
		I can't tell. I said before
		there were four- to six-inch-size aggregate.
		That does not that does not look like four to
		six inches, not what I'm seeing.
		_
•	-	Q. Yeah. But the question is does that appear to be, like, one of those rocks consistent
		with the same kind of crushed concrete fill rocks
		that we looked at in P-34?
•		A. It's an it's a piece it's a
		sized aggregate. There is a lot you know,
everyuning.	25	sized aggregate. There is a lot you know,
Page 158		Page 1
J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
		scroll back up to the recycled concrete from the
		building. There's a lot of different sizes
		within this, you know, crushing of the existing
		building.
		So, it's yes. I mean,
1 ,		there's do I know where it came from? I
•	-	don't.
		Q. All right. I mean, you can't say any
		particular rock necessarily came from here or
		there.
and was part of the matcharthar was clustica.		uicic.
<del>-</del>		But the question is does it
Do you see that testimony?	12	But the question is does it
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.	12 13	appear to be consistent with the same kind of
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to	12 13 14	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I	12 13 14 15	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.	12 13 14 15 16	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you	12 13 14 15 16 17	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you want to read you want me to read or something?	12 13 14 15 16 17	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?  A. I don't know.
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you want to read you want me to read or something?  A. No. Again, I'm confirming	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?  A. I don't know.  Q. Well, you don't have to know for
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you want to read you want me to read or something?  A. No. Again, I'm confirming that what Vill said. Sure.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?  A. I don't know.  Q. Well, you don't have to know for sure. Nothing has to be with 100 percent
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you want to read you want me to read or something?  A. No. Again, I'm confirming that what Vill said. Sure.  Q. Yeah. Okay. Good. Got it.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?  A. I don't know.  Q. Well, you don't have to know for sure. Nothing has to be with 100 percent certainty. But can you testify it probably is?
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you want to read you want me to read or something?  A. No. Again, I'm confirming that what Vill said. Sure.  Q. Yeah. Okay. Good. Got it.  When you look at P-4, the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?  A. I don't know.  Q. Well, you don't have to know for sure. Nothing has to be with 100 percent certainty. But can you testify it probably is?  A. Can I testify it's probably a rock?
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you want to read you want me to read or something?  A. No. Again, I'm confirming that what Vill said. Sure.  Q. Yeah. Okay. Good. Got it.  When you look at P-4, the photo, the third photo of Don on the ground,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?  A. I don't know.  Q. Well, you don't have to know for sure. Nothing has to be with 100 percent certainty. But can you testify it probably is?  A. Can I testify it's probably a rock?  Yes.
Do you see that testimony?  A. I do see that testimony.  Q. Is there something else you want to read or the deposition is it's like, I don't know, 70 pages or so.  Is there another part you want to read you want me to read or something?  A. No. Again, I'm confirming that what Vill said. Sure.  Q. Yeah. Okay. Good. Got it.  When you look at P-4, the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appear to be consistent with the same kind of crushed concrete fill material that we're seeing in the photos P-34, again dug on P-10 when they did the trenching, to the one by his left knee on photo three of P-4?  A. I don't know.  Q. Well, you don't have to know for sure. Nothing has to be with 100 percent certainty. But can you testify it probably is?  A. Can I testify it's probably a rock?
	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Sure. If you want to look at his deposition here, I've got P (Pause.) So, what we can do is we can start at page 44 and look at some of these other exhibits. And at page 44, line 13, it says, Looking at this, if someone complained to you, looking at these photos from P-4 and, again, we're going to pop up P-4. (Pause.) So, if someone complained to you, looking at these photos from P-4, would that be your reaction in terms of, hey, we're not eating off this, it's a job site, just deal with it, or would your reaction be, hey, we need to get this made safe? And his answer was, I would say let's get it safe. Do you see that? A. I do see that. Q. Okay. And then we ask him at line 11 on 45, Do you see the rocks in the photo? Answer: I do. I see everything.  Page 158  J. Randle, P.E. Why were the rocks permitted to be on the job? Why weren't they graded off? Why didn't you have someone pick them up or why didn't you bring And he interrupts and says, It's part of fill, sir. The rocks are part of fill. Like I said, the job was a demo site. The material that was used on that	J. Randle, P.E. Q. Sure. If you want to look at his deposition here, I've got P (Pause.) So, what we can do is we can start at page 44 and look at some of these other exhibits. And at page 44, line 13, it says, Looking at this, if someone complained to you, looking at these photos from P-4 and, again, we're going to pop up P-4. (Pause.) So, if someone complained to you, looking at these photos from P-4, would that be your reaction in terms of, hey, we're not eating off this, it's a job site, just deal with it, or would your reaction be, hey, we need to get this made safe? And his answer was, I would say let's get it safe. Do you see that? A. I do see that. Q. Okay. And then we ask him at line 11 on 45, Do you see the rocks in the photo? Answer: I do. I see everything.  Page 158  J. Randle, P.E. Why were the rocks permitted to be on the job? Why weren't they graded off? Why didn't you have someone pick them up or why didn't you bring And he interrupts and says, It's part of fill, sir. The rocks are part of fill. The rocks are part of the job. The rocks are part of fill, Like I said, the job was a

Pages 157 - 160 (40) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 161		Page 163
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	number three of P-3 and I have put a red kind of	2	a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that
3	highlighting circle round that rock, as requested	3	should be prevented by following these basic
4	by the witness. And, you know, I'm going to save	4	safety rules we've been talking about.")
5	it as this.	5	MS. TUTELO: I join in the
6	Actually, here's what I'll	6	objections.
7	do. I'll save it as we're going to do this.	7	MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I
8	We'll call it P-4.1, incident photos marked up.	8	don't think you read the complete question. I
9	So, that's what we're doing.	9	think it started with, Are you cool with that.
10	And, Laz, if you can	10	And I think you kind of picked it up after one of
11	please Lazaro, if you can please email that	11	the
12	around to everyone.	12	THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't
13	(Exhibit P-4.1, Photograph,	13	realize that was part of the question, Jerry.
14	is marked for identification.)	14	Forgive me. Let me go back and read it again.
15	BY MR. CLARK:	15	(The following was read by
16	Q. Okay. Are you cool with that, rocks	16	the court reporter:
17	like that being strewn around the access road, no	17	"Q. Okay. Are you cool with
18	problem with that	18	that, rocks like that being strewn around the
19	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	19	access road, no problem with that, or do you
20	form.	20	think it could be made safer by back-blading
21	I apologize. Did I cut you	21	and/or putting down crushed stone and grading
22	off?	22	it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that
23	BY MR. CLARK:	23	hazard?")
24	Q or do you think it could be made	24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. That
25	safer by back-blading and/or putting down crushed	25	was that was not how I testified.
	Page 162		Page 164
	Page 162		Page 164
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly	2	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:
2	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?	2	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three,
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about. MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form. That was not his testimony at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.  That was not his testimony at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK: Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.  That was not his testimony at all.  MS. KALOCSAY: And could you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.  That was not his testimony at all.  MS. KALOCSAY: And could you read the question back, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean this is not a hazardous condition. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.  That was not his testimony at all.  MS. KALOCSAY: And could you read the question back, please.  (The following was read by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean this is not a hazardous condition. This is this is pretty common on project sites.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.  That was not his testimony at all.  MS. KALOCSAY: And could you read the question back, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean this is not a hazardous condition. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.  That was not his testimony at all.  MS. KALOCSAY: And could you read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean this is not a hazardous condition. This is this is pretty common on project sites.  And the way I read the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. stone and grading it out? Or you're perfectly fine with that hazard?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if I may go back to P-38, slide 15 and the photo there, which you testified earlier is a good representation of a trip-and-fall hazard on a job site that should be prevented by following these basic safety rules we've been talking about.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to the form.  That was not his testimony at all.  MS. KALOCSAY: And could you read the question back, please.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And if I may go back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. So, I'm confused. You're okay with the situation shown in P-4, photo number three, in terms of the tripping hazards that you requested we circled in red by his left knee and rocks like that being strewn about the access road. You're okay with that? Or should it have been made safer by back-blading the area or bringing in proper crushed stone for a roadway or removing them? Or you're fine with the way it is?  A. Yeah. I mean Q. You don't view that as a hazard?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean this is not a hazardous condition. This is this is pretty common on project sites.  And the way I read the depositions was that there was a constant effort

Min-U-Script® (41) Pages 161 - 164

Jason B. Randle, P.E. May 20, 2021

way	7 20, 2021			
		Page 165		Page 16
1	J. Randle, P.E.		1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	expect someone to be the rock police and stop		2	in charge of were like this or all construction
3	things. It's why you have competent people on		3	sites in the US or all construction sites in
4	projects sites that are trained to be made aware		4	Jersey?
5	of common construction occurrences like, you		5	How do you have this
6	know, wash racks.		6	universal knowledge of what all construction
7	So, you know, there's like		7	sites are like?
8	I said, there was this is what the		8	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
9	condition that I'm seeing is reasonable. It's a		9	the form.
10	clean site. You know, you can't you can't get		10	THE WITNESS: I apologize if
L1	away from the wash rack requirement.		11	I said all. I don't know if I remember saying
L2	There's as you can see, I		12	that. But this is a construction site. These
L3	mean, Hoiland had a load of rebar being pulled		13	are construction professionals. They're building
L4	onto the project. At what point do you, you		14	complicated projects and they're using
L5	know, stop the work and clean this off?		15	specialized workers. And they had a program in
L 6	I mean, what I've read from		16	place. So
L7	the depositions is it was a constant attempt to		17	BY MR. CLARK:
- , L8	try to make this area even better than it was.		18	Q. What does it matter that it's a
L0 L9	And that's reasonable.		19	construction site?
20	I mean, like I said, I the		20	Are you saying that people
21	different parties, the different the		21	that work on construction sites should not expect
22	contractor, the owner, you know, this this		22	safe conditions, including safe walkways and work
23	situation, these circumstances with this area is		23	areas?
24	not unexpected on project sites. That's why		24	What does it matter that it's
25	construction standard of care is different than a		25	a construction site?
	construction standard of cure is different than a			a construction site.
		Page 166		Page 16
1	J. Randle, P.E.		1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	sidewalk. You know, this isn't a sidewalk.		2	A. Hoiland should have expected the
3	BY MR. CLARK:		3	condition of this project site. That's what I'm
4	Q. When you said this is reasonable,		4	saying. And it is different. Construction sites
5	this is a clean site, we had up P-4. And, so,		5	are different than a sidewalk.
6	when answering that question when you said this	s	6	O. So, he should expect that on a
7	is reasonable, this is a clean site, you were		7	construction site, despite all the things that we
8	referring to the photo two in P-4. Is that		8	went through today including the site-specific
9	right?		9	safety manual, P-37, which was AJD's safety
LO	A. Yes. Yeah. This does not cause me		10	orientation, that despite all that, he should
L1	alarm.		11	expect that an access road is going to have
-			<b>T</b> T	
2				fist-size or six-inch-size chunks of concrete
	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a		12	fist-size or six-inch-size chunks of concrete strewn about the walking area that you testified
L3	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?		12 13	strewn about the walking area that you testified
L3 L4	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to		12 13 14	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?
L3 L4 L5	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.		12 13 14 15	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to
L3 L4 L5 L6	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm		12 13 14 15 16	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally		12 13 14 15 16 17	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the		12 13 14 15 16 17	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would		12 13 14 15 16 17 18	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would expect. Probably you know, this this does		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't unexpected, what's what this site
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would expect. Probably you know, this this does not cause, you know, uneasiness in any way. No	<b>)</b> .	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't unexpected, what's what this site appears you know, how these pictures show the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would expect. Probably you know, this this does not cause, you know, uneasiness in any way. No BY MR. CLARK:	).	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't unexpected, what's what this site appears you know, how these pictures show the site. He should expect you know, again, yeah.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would expect. Probably you know, this this does not cause, you know, uneasiness in any way. No BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Now, when you said something to the	<b>)</b> .	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't unexpected, what's what this site appears you know, how these pictures show the site. He should expect you know, again, yeah. There there's active construction.
12 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would expect. Probably you know, this this does not cause, you know, uneasiness in any way. No BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Now, when you said something to the effect that all construction sites are like this,		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't unexpected, what's what this site appears you know, how these pictures show the site. He should expect you know, again, yeah. There there's active construction.  BY MR. CLARK:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would expect. Probably you know, this this does not cause, you know, uneasiness in any way. No BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Now, when you said something to the effect that all construction sites are like this,		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't unexpected, what's what this site appears you know, how these pictures show the site. He should expect you know, again, yeah. There there's active construction.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	Q. Does it cause you any concern from a safety perspective?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Again, what I'm seeing in terms of that project site is generally clean. It's you know, it's reasonable, the condition that it was in. It's what I would expect. Probably you know, this this does not cause, you know, uneasiness in any way. No BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Now, when you said something to the		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	strewn about the walking area that you testified can be a tripping hazard? He should expect that?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join.  MS. MULHERN: You can answer.  THE WITNESS: This isn't unexpected, what's what this site appears you know, how these pictures show the site. He should expect you know, again, yeah. There there's active construction.

Pages 165 - 168 (42) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 169		Page 171
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	be expected because the site superintendent for	2	MS. MULHERN: You can answer.
3	the general contractor has no safety training and	3	THE WITNESS: Sure. You
4	the person most knowledgeable in construction	4	know, defining a dangerous condition, part of the
5	site safety for the developer has no safety	5	definition includes, you know, level of harm.
6	training? Is that why	6	This you know, yes. Sure.
7	MS. MULHERN: Objection	7	But, again, you know, could
8	BY MR. CLARK:	8	happen versus did happen. My analysis ends
9	Q they should expect that?	9	basically on the fall. You know, after the fact
10	A. No.	10	it's as you know, that doesn't really play
11	MS. TUTELO: Objection to	11	into my opinions.
	form.	12	BY MR. CLARK:
12			
13	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	13	Q. So, the harm that actually happened
14	form.	14	or the harm that could happen did not factor into
15	MS. KALOCSAY: Join.	15	your opinions on this case?
16	THE WITNESS: No.	16	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
17	BY MR. CLARK:	17	form.
18	Q. Now, are you aware that this worker	18	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
19	had a successful career in ironworking and hasn't	19	form.
20	been able to work a day since this happened? Are	20	BY MR. CLARK:
21	you aware of that?	21	Q. Is that correct?
22	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	22	A. No. There's you're asking two
23	form.	23	different things.
24	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	24	Again, I've said it for the
25	form.	25	third time now. My analysis ended when
	Page 170		Page 172
	Page 170		Page 172
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident.
	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.		J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's
2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He	2	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in
2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction	2	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects.
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E.  Mr. Hoiland had his incident.  Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk. Q. Where in the job site safety manual,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: No. No. He was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk. Q. Where in the job site safety manual,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  Mr. Hoiland had his incident.  Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.  Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk. Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  Mr. Hoiland had his incident.  Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.  Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident.  Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.  Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident.  Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.  Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size rocks or six-inch-long rocks strewn about an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q kind of the harm that could happen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk. Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size rocks or six-inch-long rocks strewn about an access road where workers are walking and have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. BY MR. CLARK: Q kind of the harm that could happen or did happen when you're determining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk. Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size rocks or six-inch-long rocks strewn about an access road where workers are walking and have to walk to get around the site and do their job and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK: Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form. BY MR. CLARK: Q kind of the harm that could happen or did happen when you're determining reasonableness?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  Mr. Hoiland had his incident.  Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.  Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size rocks or six-inch-long rocks strewn about an access road where workers are walking and have to walk to get around the site and do their job and feed their families, where does it say in there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form. BY MR. CLARK:  Q kind of the harm that could happen or did happen when you're determining reasonableness?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident.  Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk.  Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size rocks or six-inch-long rocks strewn about an access road where workers are walking and have to walk to get around the site and do their job and feed their families, where does it say in there that that condition is acceptable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q kind of the harm that could happen or did happen when you're determining reasonableness?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk. Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size rocks or six-inch-long rocks strewn about an access road where workers are walking and have to walk to get around the site and do their job and feed their families, where does it say in there that that condition is acceptable? MS. TUTELO: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. TUTELO: Objection to  form.  THE WITNESS: No. No. He  was a construction professional on a construction site. He was a competent person. I don't know that he you know, after the incident, kind of my analysis and BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But don't you take into account the level of harm of a hazard when you're analyzing a safety situation on a construction site?  Don't you take into account  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q kind of the harm that could happen or did happen when you're determining reasonableness?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to  form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. Mr. Hoiland had his incident. Could happen, yes. That's why standards are in place. Standards are in place to account for reasonable risk on projects. There's no a hundred percent safe. There just is not. There's a level of toleration, a level of reasonableness in what's accepted in the industry. And this particular incident, having a competent person on a relatively clean project site, is acceptable, acceptable risk. Q. Where in the job site safety manual, in any section or in Section 8.13 about maintaining safe walking and working surfaces, including areas dug up by earth-moving equipment, where does it say a fist-size rock or fist-size rocks or six-inch-long rocks strewn about an access road where workers are walking and have to walk to get around the site and do their job and feed their families, where does it say in there that that condition is acceptable?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form.

Min-U-Script® (43) Pages 169 - 172

May	20, 2021			
	Page 173			Page 175
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	BY MR. CLARK:	2	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	
3	Q. It seems to say the opposite. It	3	form.	
4	says that they have to be maintained clean, as	4	THE WITNESS: No.	
5	far as possible debris has to be removed.	5	BY MR. CLARK:	
6	Where does it say that a	6	Q. You don't dispute that the condition	
7	fist-size or six-inch-large piece of demolition	7	that we've been talking about from P-4 and the	
8	debris, concrete rock in an access road where	8	rocks, the concrete chunks from the demolition,	
9	people have to walk is acceptable? Where does it	9	you don't dispute that this was a common	
10	say that in there or anywhere?	10	condition on the site; do you?	
11	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	11	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	
12	form.	12	form.	
13	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	13	MS. MULHERN: Join.	
14	form.	14	You can answer.	
15	MS. TUTELO: Objection to	15	MS. TUTELO: Join.	
16	form.	16	THE WITNESS: Your question	
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm glad	17	is is that condition is this condition I'm	
18	you're cleaning this up because	18	looking at in photo three on P-4.1, is that the	
19	BY MR. CLARK:	19	condition on the project site? Yes.	
20	Q. No pun intended that you're glad	20	BY MR. CLARK:	
21	we're cleaning it up.	21	Q. Okay. Healy testified at 145 to 148	
22	A. Sorry. How that word how that	22	and 149 to 150 that it was a common condition.	
23	safe word is defined in that document, I didn't	23	Jeff Jacuk testified to that at pages 14 to 17.	
24	write that document. So, you'd be better asking	24	Dave Vill testified at 44 to 46 that it was	
25	the writer of that document.	25	common on the job site. We went over that.	
	Page 174			Page 176
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.	
2	Q. Well, does it say it in there	2	Do you dispute any of that	
3	anywhere to your recollection?	3	testimony that it was a common condition?	
4	I'll represent to you it	4	A. I don't.	
5	doesn't, but maybe you have a different	5	Q. Are you aware that there is testimony	
6	recollection.	6	in the case	
7	A. I can testify to what the document	7	Well, first of all, Dan	
8	says. It says safe. What does that mean to the	8	Graham, he was the project manager for AJD. I	S
9	writer of this document? You probably have to	9	that right?	
10	ask them that, because I didn't write that	10	A. No. The project manager was Healy, I	
11	document.	11	believe. I can check it. Hold on.	
12	Q. Do you have anything in your	12	Q. Who is Graham? We've got to get that	
13	standards folder in your G-Suite program that	13	right. We know he worked for AJD.	
14	says fist-size rocks or four- to six-inch-large	14	Oh, was he the was he the	
15	rocks strewn about an access road on a	15	vice-president of construction?	
16	construction site where workers have to traverse	16	A. Graham was assistant superintendent.	
17	to do their jobs and feed their families is	17	Q. Yeah. Okay.	
18	acceptable from a safety prospective?	18	In any event, are you aware	
19	Do you have any document or	19	of the testimony in the case that complaints were	e
20	standard or anything in that New York City	20	made to Graham prior to this incident about	
21	block-size volume of construction safety	21	workers rolling their ankles on rocks throughout	t
22	. 1 1	22	the job site and that Graham did not deny those	
22	standards you maintain?			
23	Is that in any of that stuff?	23	complaints were made to him? He talked about	
	Is that in any of that stuff? MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	23 24	complaints were made to him? He talked about that at pages 29 to 30 of his deposition	
23	Is that in any of that stuff?	23	complaints were made to him? He talked about	

Pages 173 - 176 (44) Min-U-Script®

	Pag	ge 177	Page 179
	Γαί	ge 177	Fage 179
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Sorry.	2	This questioning continues
3	BY MR. CLARK:	3	through 170.
4	Q and 34 to 35?	4	When you brought it to
5	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	5	Graham's attention, tell us as best you can what
6	form.	6	you said to him and what he said to you.
7	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	7	And he describes the
8	form.	8	condition, the rocks falling underneath our feet.
9	THE WITNESS: You know, the	9	Everything he mentioned needs to get corrected.
10	file speaks for itself. I mean, you can pull up	10	My guys have a lot of rebar that they're carrying
11	the deposition and I can confirm that's what it	11	around through here. If their footing isn't
12	says.	12	good, they can end up falling carrying the rebar,
13	BY MR. CLARK:	13	heavy metal. It can do a lot of damage not just
14	Q. So, I am doing that. We're going to	14	to them but to someone else that might be nearby
15	pull up some depositions, in case you were	15	in the way.
16	wondering.	16	And then after you
17	A. Can I take a couple of minutes and	17	complained, was anything done to fix the
18	grab some water?	18	condition?
19	Q. Of course. Anytime you need a break,	19	No.
20	just tell us.	20	And then he made a second
21	A. All right. Thanks.	21	complaint. Yes. It was pretty much a weekly
22	MS. MULHERN: Go ahead.	22	occurrence that we're walking through the job
23	(A short recess is taken.)	23	site. He brought the rock condition to AJD's
24	BY MR. CLARK:	24	attention multiple times. And that's up to 170.
25	Q. Okay. So, we're at page 166, Don	25	And then it comes up again at page 210 in his
	Q. Shay: Bo, we're at page 100, Bon		rina tion it comes up again at page 210 in ins
	Paç	ge 1/8	Page 180
		ge 178	Page 180
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. deposition.
2	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you	1 2 3	J. Randle, P.E. deposition. (Pause.)
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something,	1 2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. deposition. (Pause.) I'm just not done the
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.	1 2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. deposition. (Pause.) I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line	1 2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. deposition.  (Pause.) I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking. Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement. BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement. BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from underneath us. He said, Hey, we should do	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition, are you aware that he did not deny at his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from underneath us. He said, Hey, we should do	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition, are you aware that he did not deny at his deposition that those complaints were made to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from underneath us. He said, Hey, we should do something about this because someone is going to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition, are you aware that he did not deny at his deposition that those complaints were made to him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from underneath us. He said, Hey, we should do something about this because someone is going to end up twisting an ankle or who knows what could	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition, are you aware that he did not deny at his deposition that those complaints were made to him?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from underneath us. He said, Hey, we should do something about this because someone is going to end up twisting an ankle or who knows what could happen.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition, are you aware that he did not deny at his deposition that those complaints were made to him?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from underneath us. He said, Hey, we should do something about this because someone is going to end up twisting an ankle or who knows what could happen.  Why did you ask him?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition, are you aware that he did not deny at his deposition that those complaints were made to him?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  Hoiland's deposition. And he said at line ten I'm just going to paraphrase it. And if you think my paraphrasing is unfair or something, just say so.  But we're at page 166, line ten. When did you bring the condition to AJD's knowledge?  And he said it would have been right in the first couple of days he was on the site. He's walking around with Dan Graham identifying things. And we were trying to traverse with the large rocks and everything that were protruding and loose.  Why did you bring it to his knowledge?  We've had rocks fall out from underneath us. He said, Hey, we should do something about this because someone is going to end up twisting an ankle or who knows what could happen.  Why did you ask him? Because they were the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  deposition.  (Pause.)  I'm just not done the question yet. I'm looking.  Okay. The question is did you see that kind of part of the testimony from Don Hoiland?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I did I remember reading Hoiland's testimony and he did make some other statement.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And looking at Graham's deposition, are you aware that he did not deny at his deposition that those complaints were made to him?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I think the

Min-U-Script® (45) Pages 177 - 180

way	20, 2021		
	Page 181		Page 183
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	BY MR. CLARK:	2	conversation ever took place.
3	Q. Well, we're not asking for an	3	The question for you is are
4	opinion. We're asking if you're aware that he	4	you aware of anything in the file that disputes
5	did not deny that in his deposition testimony	5	or goes against Hoiland's testimony that he
6	when he was asked about it at page 29, Weren't	6	complained
7	there at least one or more than one occasion	7	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
8	where Don was walking the job site with you,	8	form.
9	talking to you about the conditions and the	9	BY MR. CLARK:
10	hazards? Do you remember any conversation like	10	Q about the condition.
11	that?	11	A. No. To Graham, no.
12	Answer: I don't recall.	12	Q. Okay.
13	You don't recall one way or	13	MS. KALOCSAY: Jerry, what
14	the other?	14	was the first page that you read? What were the
15	I don't recall that specific	15	page numbers of that in Graham's testimony?
16	incident where I said I would see what I could	16	MR. CLARK: 29 to 30.
17	do.	17	MS. KALOCSAY: All right.
18	And then he testifies at page	18	Thank you.
19	30, at line ten I'm sorry. You know what, I	19	BY MR. CLARK:
20	thought I was sharing the screen. I forget that	20	Q. And there's also testimony from
21	sometimes. Now I'm sharing the screen.	21	Hoiland
22	At page 30 of Graham, line	22	Or strike that.
23	ten, Question: As you sit here today, are you	23	Now, you said that you ran
24	under oath denying that such a conversation ever	24	construction sites before your time with Robson.
25	took place?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 182		Page 184
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Answer: No.	2	Q. So, if you were a site supervisor on
3	Do you see that testimony?	3	this job or a project manager for AJD or for the
4	A. I see that testimony.	4	developer, Grand LHN, and a worker on the job
5	Q. Okay. Do you have anything in your	5	made those complaints to you about the condition
6	file to dispute that he received such complaints	6	that we've been speaking about, would you have
7	about the conditions we have been speaking about?	7	done anything about it such as have the area
8	Do you have anything in your	8	back-bladed or have gravel put in to make it a
9	file that says that didn't happen?	9	uniform surface?
10	A. That he didn't complain?	10	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
11	<u>*</u>		3
	Besides what you just read, I	11	form.
12	<u>*</u>		
12 13	Besides what you just read, I	11	form.
	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some	11 12	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.
13	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.	11 12 13	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. You
13 14	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has	11 12 13 14	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the
13 14 15	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not	11 12 13 14 15	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody
13 14 15 16	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not sure anyone else would come out and say the	11 12 13 14 15	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.
13 14 15 16 17	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.  And I think Hoiland himself
13 14 15 16 17	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not sure anyone else would come out and say the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not sure anyone else would come out and say the conversations didn't happen between the two of them.  Q. So, Hoiland testified to what we just	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.  And I think Hoiland himself
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not sure anyone else would come out and say the conversations didn't happen between the two of them.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You  asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.  And I think Hoiland himself said he never approached Grand LHN. He didn't
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not sure anyone else would come out and say the conversations didn't happen between the two of them.  Q. So, Hoiland testified to what we just paraphrased there.  We specifically asked Graham,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.  And I think Hoiland himself said he never approached Grand LHN. He didn't know who they were. He wouldn't interact with them.  If someone made a complaint
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not sure anyone else would come out and say the conversations didn't happen between the two of them.  Q. So, Hoiland testified to what we just paraphrased there.  We specifically asked Graham, Are you denying that he made those complaints to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You  asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.  And I think Hoiland himself said he never approached Grand LHN. He didn't know who they were. He wouldn't interact with them.  If someone made a complaint on a project, you know, generally as who I was, I
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Besides what you just read, I don't remember. I think there's some contradicting testimony about the condition of the road in the statement made to AJD. I know there is. That was in my report.  But I don't think anyone has come out besides Graham and Hoiland, I'm not sure anyone else would come out and say the conversations didn't happen between the two of them.  Q. So, Hoiland testified to what we just paraphrased there.  We specifically asked Graham,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. You asked me about two different roles. As the owner, I would tell him to go talk to somebody else, if someone approached me like that.  And I think Hoiland himself said he never approached Grand LHN. He didn't know who they were. He wouldn't interact with them.  If someone made a complaint

Pages 181 - 184 (46) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 185		Page 187
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	the assistant supers know and, you know, we would	2	contractor.
3	deal with it, which it sounds like, you know, AJD	3	BY MR. CLARK:
4	was regularly trying to clean up the area, as I	4	Q. Do you think back-blading the area
5	would expect.	5	shown in P-4 or bringing in some crushed stone
6	And, so, yeah, I mean, if	6	like is shown in P-35, if that was brought in, in
7	there's if there's cause for concern, we would	7	the area shown in P-4, do you think that would
8	treat it as an action item.	8	make it more safe and help to eliminate the
9	BY MR. CLARK:	9	hazards that we've been talking about of these
10	Q. Okay. In the beginning of your	10	rocks and debris in the roadway?
11	answer to that question, you said if you were the	11	Do you think that would help
12	developer and a worker came to you, you would	12	to make it more safe?
13	say, Go talk to someone else?	13	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
14	A. I mean, I'm not I'm not there to	14	form.
15	direct the worker or the trucks or the blading or	15	You can answer.
16	the stones. You know, I would I would have	16	THE WITNESS: In concept,
17	directed him towards the appropriate folks on the	17	sure. That's not how the facts played in this
18	prong who were the controlling contractor, you	18	case.
19	know, the controlling employer on the project. I	19	And you keep saying
20	was not that's not me as the owner.	20	back-blading. They had a roller there and I
21	Q. Well, are you aware that Joe Punia	21	think that's what they were doing, you know. The
22	had an office on-site, like, just steps away from	22	site was generally rolled pretty tight, from what
23	where the incident happened at the access road?	23	I can see. And these stones are for the wash
24	Are you aware of that?	24	rack.
25	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	25	BY MR. CLARK:
	Page 186		Page 188
1		1	
1 2	Page 186  J. Randle, P.E. form.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. form.	1 2 3	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on
	J. Randle, P.E. form. You can answer.	2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?
2	J. Randle, P.E. form.  You can answer. THE WITNESS: I believe they	2	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising.	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising.	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate. Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate. Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate. Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about? A. Yeah. I mean, like I said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate. Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate. Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about? A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible. Was there anything preventing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate. Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about? A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct? A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate. Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about? A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK: Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause serious injury to workers or others that come on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?  A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.  Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?  A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not. Maybe there was with the NDPS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause serious injury to workers or others that come on the job, you would say, Go talk to someone else,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?  A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.  Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?  A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not.  Maybe there was with the NDPS.  But, no, I mean, like I said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause serious injury to workers or others that come on the job, you would say, Go talk to someone else, you wouldn't take any action?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?  A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.  Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?  A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not.  Maybe there was with the NDPS.  But, no, I mean, like I said, anything's possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause serious injury to workers or others that come on the job, you would say, Go talk to someone else, you wouldn't take any action?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?  A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.  Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?  A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not.  Maybe there was with the NDPS.  But, no, I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Q. But we agreed early on that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause serious injury to workers or others that come on the job, you would say, Go talk to someone else, you wouldn't take any action?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?  A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.  Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?  A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not.  Maybe there was with the NDPS.  But, no, I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Q. But we agreed early on that we weren't going to talk about possibilities; we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause serious injury to workers or others that come on the job, you would say, Go talk to someone else, you wouldn't take any action?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I'd direct him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?  A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.  Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?  A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not.  Maybe there was with the NDPS.  But, no, I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Q. But we agreed early on that we weren't going to talk about probabilities; we were going to talk about probabilities and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  form.  You can answer.  THE WITNESS: I believe they had a trailer there, sure. It's not surprising. You know, as an owner, I'd want a place to sit as well that was my own space. That's pretty common. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And if you were the head guy, the person most knowledgeable in the duties and responsibilities of the developer on the job and the person most knowledgeable in construction site safety for the job and, you know, a project manager or running the job for the developer and a worker came to you about a situation, a hazard on the job that he was concerned could cause serious injury to workers or others that come on the job, you would say, Go talk to someone else, you wouldn't take any action?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  Q. "These stones" being the stones on P-35. Correct?  A. Yeah, it appears. Yep. And there's your drain. You wash your truck tires. And those stones as well look like the size that were, you know, next to Hoiland's knee. Again, a certain size aggregate.  Q. How about the stones in P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't have been laid down to make a more uniform surface to eliminate those tripping hazards we've been speaking about?  A. Yeah. I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Was there anything preventing them? No. Was there anything preventing them from putting down a roadway? Probably not.  Maybe there was with the NDPS.  But, no, I mean, like I said, anything's possible.  Q. But we agreed early on that we weren't going to talk about possibilities; we

Min-U-Script® (47) Pages 185 - 188

Jason B. Randle, P.E. May 20, 2021

May	y 20, 2021		
	Page 189		Page 191
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	that agreement. But, yes.	2	sure we get the correct one, which would be P-37.
3	Q. Well, when you say I broke the	3	When we go back to P-37,
4	agreement, what do you mean?	4	which was AJD's safety orientation PowerPoint,
5	Did I ask you to give an	5	you agreed that the core principles of making job
6	opinion about possibilities at some point?	6	sites safe is to identify hazards and then
7	A. You just said could it be safer. You	7	eliminate the hazard. And if the hazard can't be
8	know, would it be possible to be safer. You can	8	eliminated, then it should be managed. Right?
9	paraphrase it.	9	A. Okay.
10	Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Let's have my	10	Q. Okay. And one way to eliminate the
11	question read back and see if I said could it	11	hazard that you testified to earlier when we were
12	possibly have been made safer as you just	12	speaking about the rocks in P-4, including the
13	testified I said.	13	rocks shown in P-4, photo number two, as you see,
14	MR. CLARK: Dolores, can we	14	the one to the lower part of his left foot in
15	please read back the question that the witness	15	photo number two of P-4 and the one by his left
16	was referencing, which was one or two questions	16	knee, when we talk about when we talk about
17	ago.	17	those hazards, is one way of eliminating that
18	(The following was read by	18	hazard would be to spread the kind of rock that
19	the court reporter:	19	is shown in P-36 near the administrator's
20	"Q. How about the stones in	20	trailer?
21	P-36? Any reason stones like that couldn't	21	Would you agree with that,
22	have been laid down to make a more uniform	22	that that would be one way to eliminate that
23	surface to eliminate those tripping hazards	23	hazard?
24	we've been speaking about?")	24	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
25	BY MR. CLARK:	25	form.
	Days 400		Pose 402
	Page 190		Page 192
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Q. Okay. So, the question having been	2	You can answer.
3	read back, there was nothing asking you about	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Without
4	possibilities in there.	4	defining further parameters, I don't I
5	Was there another question	5	can't I can't make that statement.
6	that I asked you to opine about possibilities?	6	BY MR. CLARK:
7	Because you said that I broke our agreement on	7	Q. I don't understand your testimony
8	that.	8	there.
9	A. No. Again, I'm sorry.	9	You talked earlier about the
10	Q. You don't have to apologize. It's a	10	rocks in the access road and P-4 which were
11	long day and we all make mistakes. Certainly I'm	11	similar to the ones in P-34.
12	guilty of that. I just wanted to make sure that	12	And the question is if you
13	we were clear on stuff.	13	put gravel down like is shown in P-36, do you
14	A. Okay. Could anything be made safer?	14	think that would have helped to eliminate the
15	Yes. I've said this multiple times. There is no	15	hazard of those rocks?
16	hundred percent safe. You know, you put down	16	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
17	layers of stone and other things start happening.	17	form.
18	You know, what was on that	18	MS. KALOCSAY: Join in the
19	project was reasonable. That was my purpose, to	19	objection.
20	give an opinion about the different parties and	20	MS. MULHERN: You can answer.
21	how they acted. And, you know, to sit here	21	BY MR. CLARK:
22	conceptually and talk about certain size stones	22	Q. I mean, just look at P-36, where they
	and where they go and what it would mean acid	23	put the rocks down so they had a nice surface
23	and where they go and what it would mean could		
24	it be safer? Anything could be safer.	24	near their administrator's trailer, but stopped

Pages 189 - 192 (48) Min-U-Script®

	and VAJD Constituction, et al.		May 20, 2021
	Page 193		Page 195
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	there's the one area there and here's the other	2	hazard surveillance that he talked about, that if
3	area. And in this area where all the gravel is	3	he saw a rock, he would kick it out of the way.
4	you don't see any of the rocks sticking up	4	And Jacuk testified at 14 to
5	(indicating).	5	17 it was a common condition on the project.
6	There's a couple here at the	6	Dave Vill at 44 to 46 testified to that. Even
7	edge which you can see. But for the most part in	7	Esposito, who swore under oath in his answers to
8	there, it looks like a uniform surface. Right?	8	interrogatories that he has no idea of what
9	In P-36, the fourth photo, the last page	9	happened. He doesn't have any version of the
10	(indicating).	10	incident. But then when he testified at his
11	A. Okay.	11	deposition, he gave a version which he claims to
12	Q. So, the question is would that be one	12	have had before he swore under oath he knew
13	way to eliminate the hazard we've been talking	13	nothing about the incident. Even that person
14	about, to spread gravel?	14	testified at pages 43 to 44 and 116 of his
15	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	15	deposition that it was a common occurrence on the
16	the form.	16	job site.
17	You can answer.	17	So, my question is are you
18	MS. KALOCSAY: Join in the	18	now changing your earlier testimony from today
19	objection.	19	where you agreed that the condition we've been
20	THE WITNESS: You know,	20	speaking about was a common condition?
21	that's I I don't have an opinion there.	21	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm objecting
22	BY MR. CLARK:	22	to the form of the question. And I'm going to
23	Q. Well, I thought you were being	23	ask that you read that back, because that's
24	offered as a safety expert in this case to talk	24	probably one of the longest questions I've ever
25	about the hazard and eliminating the hazard.	25	heard.
	Page 19 <sup>2</sup>		Page 196
			· ·
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. But, okay, you don't have an		-
	But, okay, you don't have an	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2		1 2	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going
2	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.	1 2 3	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask
2 3 4	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind	1 2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's
2 3 4 5	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole	1 2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really
2 3 4 5 6	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define	1 2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going
2 3 4 5 6 7	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask MS. KALOCSAY: That's really MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you agreed it was a common condition throughout the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking about here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you agreed it was a common condition throughout the project. And because you agreed to that, we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking about here. BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you agreed it was a common condition throughout the project. And because you agreed to that, we didn't go over Healy's deposition, at 145 to 148	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking about here. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. I'm going to withdraw the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you agreed it was a common condition throughout the project. And because you agreed to that, we didn't go over Healy's deposition, at 145 to 148 and 149 to 150, where he said it was a common	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking about here. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. I'm going to withdraw the pending question. And let's focus on Dave Vill's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you agreed it was a common condition throughout the project. And because you agreed to that, we didn't go over Healy's deposition, at 145 to 148 and 149 to 150, where he said it was a common condition on the job site and at 150 where he	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking about here. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. I'm going to withdraw the pending question. And let's focus on Dave Vill's deposition testimony at pages 45 to 46.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you agreed it was a common condition throughout the project. And because you agreed to that, we didn't go over Healy's deposition, at 145 to 148 and 149 to 150, where he said it was a common condition on the job site and at 150 where he said if he saw a rock, he would kick it out of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking about here. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Okay. I'm going to withdraw the pending question. And let's focus on Dave Vill's deposition testimony at pages 45 to 46.  And this is where he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, okay, you don't have an opinion. That's fine.  A. Like I said, you know, like we kind of stum like you stumbled through this whole safe conversation with me, you need to define what that how that you need to define the parameters of what we're talking here.  Q. When you said "stumbled through the safe conversation," were you are intending a pun there?  A. I guess it was just the front of my in the front of my brain.  No. It's not like I said, I wouldn't expect there to be stone across the whole project. It's just not how it works.  Q. I'm sorry. You testified earlier you agreed it was a common condition throughout the project. And because you agreed to that, we didn't go over Healy's deposition, at 145 to 148 and 149 to 150, where he said it was a common condition on the job site and at 150 where he	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to withdraw the question and ask  MS. KALOCSAY: That's really  MR. CLARK: Okay. I'm going to ask a different question. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Do you recall testifying earlier that you agreed it was a common condition on the job site?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  Jerry, can you just clarify, when you say "it," just can you clarify what you are referring to? Because I think that we're getting a little confused with what we're talking about here. BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Okay. I'm going to withdraw the pending question. And let's focus on Dave Vill's deposition testimony at pages 45 to 46.

Min-U-Script® (49) Pages 193 - 196

Jason B. Randle, P.E. May 20, 2021

May	7 20, 2021		
	Page 197		Page 199
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	weren't they graded off? Why didn't you have	2	form.
3	someone pick them up or why didn't you bring	3	THE WITNESS: Cost in
4	And then he interrupts and	4	environmental impact.
5	says, It's part of the fill, sir. The rocks are	5	BY MR. CLARK:
6	part of the fill. They're part of the job. The	6	Q. No, but cost.
7	rocks are part of the fill. Like I said, the job	7	Like, doesn't it cost money
8	was a demo site. The material that was used on	8	to cart the material off-site and then import
9	the site was part of the material that was	9	material instead?
10	crushed. We went over that testimony.	10	A. It costs more to export materials
11	We went over Don Hoiland's	11	than to crush them and keep them on-site.
12	testimony where he testified beginning at page	12	Q. Okay.
13	165 about how he would walk the site with Dan	13	A. And it's also more environmentally
14	Graham and complain to him about the rocks and	14	friendly for crushed materials to be reused on
15	that it was a hazard because, you know, someone's	15	project sites.
16	going to end up twisting an ankle or who knows	16	Q. Okay. Fair enough.
17	what could happen.	17	But it also saves the
18	So, my question to you is are	18	developer and the contractor money.
19	you now disputing that that was a common	19	If I heard you correctly, it
20	condition on the job site?	20	costs more to get rid of the stuff. Right?
21	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	21	A. Yes.
22	form.	22	Q. Okay. Because Healy from AJD about a
23	MS. KALOCSAY: Join.	23	month and two weeks after the incident sent an
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean,	24	email to Esposito we didn't find any emails
25	what you just said, no, I'm not.	25	where they talked about the incident or
	Page 198		Page 200
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	But you were talking about	2	preventing the incident or anything in that
3	putting stone across the whole multiple-acre site	3	regard.
4	as something that should happen. And I disagree	4	But we found this email from
5	with that.	5	December 15th of 2017 from Keith Healy to Matthew
6	BY MR. CLARK:	6	Esposito saying, Matt, keep in mind that AJD will
7	Q. Well, the whole site is a different	7	be looking for a credit on line item number nine,
8	story and we can talk about that.	8	earth work, dash, import fill.
9	Because do you know why do	9	Does that assuming the
10	you know why they use the demolished concrete as	10	email I just read to you is correct, does that
11	fill instead of carting it off the site and	11	seem to comport with your testimony that it does
12	bringing in import fill? Do you know why they	12	save them money to leave the stuff there rather
13	did that?	13	than import fill?
14	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	14	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
15	form.	15	form.
16	THE WITNESS: I have an	16	You can answer.
17	opinion or, I mean, I've been involved in	17	MS. TUTELO: Objection to
	developing New Jersey projects. New Jersey	18	form. THE WITNESS: I
18			I DE MILINESSI I
19	generally wants you to reuse materials on-site.	19	
19 20	generally wants you to reuse materials on-site.  They don't want them to be carted off-site if	20	really I what you just said sort of doesn't
19 20 21	generally wants you to reuse materials on-site.  They don't want them to be carted off-site if possible.	20 21	really I what you just said sort of doesn't matter to what my opinion was.
19 20 21 22	generally wants you to reuse materials on-site. They don't want them to be carted off-site if possible. BY MR. CLARK:	20 21 22	really I what you just said sort of doesn't matter to what my opinion was.  It costs less to keep product
19 20 21 22 23	generally wants you to reuse materials on-site.  They don't want them to be carted off-site if possible.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Does cost go into that at all in this	20 21 22 23	really I what you just said sort of doesn't matter to what my opinion was.  It costs less to keep product on-site you know, concrete, crushed concrete,
19 20 21 22 23 24	generally wants you to reuse materials on-site. They don't want them to be carted off-site if possible. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Does cost go into that at all in this case?	20 21 22 23 24	really I what you just said sort of doesn't matter to what my opinion was.  It costs less to keep product on-site you know, concrete, crushed concrete, recycled concrete, on-site than it would be to
19 20 21 22 23	generally wants you to reuse materials on-site.  They don't want them to be carted off-site if possible.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Does cost go into that at all in this	20 21 22 23	really I what you just said sort of doesn't matter to what my opinion was.  It costs less to keep product on-site you know, concrete, crushed concrete,

Pages 197 - 200 (50) Min-U-Script®

ноп	and v AJD Construction, et al.		Jason B. Kandle, P.E. May 20, 2021
	Page 201		Page 203
_	I Dandla D.E.	_	L Dandla D.E.
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E. objection.
2	They you know, they don't want local jurisdictions don't want you to truck recycled	3	MS. TUTELO: Join.
3 4	concrete around projects I mean around their	4	THE WITNESS: So, let me
5	state. That's the way it is.	5	unpack this. You're asking if they had put stone
6	So, yeah. I mean, I you	6	down
7	know, it's cheaper to keep it on-site than it is	7	Like I said, I was
8	to export it.	8	comfortable with the condition of the site. It
9	I have no idea what	9	was rolled tight. I read that it was maintained
10	Esposito's bid was, how their agreement was	10	regularly, that it was
11	structured around this concept. I don't know how	11	So, you know, I could it
12	that conversation ended up. It really doesn't	12	be safer? Again, anything is possible. Anything
13	have any part of, like, my analysis.	13	could be made safer.
14	BY MR. CLARK:	14	Having put stone down across
15	Q. When you said it's cheaper to keep it	15	the project site, I really didn't consider that.
16	rather than cart it off, especially in New	16	I I'd have to ponder this for a minute.
17	Jersey, did you ever see the movie Back to School	17	What thickness are we
18	with Rodney Dangerfield?	18	talking? Did they roll it? Is there other
19	A. Triple Lindy? Yes.	19	materials in it. You know, is there fabric
20	Q. Yeah. Where he says, And I don't	20	underneath? So, what size aggregate is it?
21	know if you know who runs that business, but it's	21	BY MR. CLARK:
22	not the Boy Scouts. Do you remember that line?	22	Q. Okay. Well, we don't need to
23	A. If I'm it sounds right.	23	hyper-analyze it.
24	Q. Is that somewhat what you're talking	24	A. Is it crush and run, like a CR you
25	about in terms of it's especially expensive in	25	know, some kind of CR
	Page 202		Page 204
1		1	•
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2 3			J. Randle, P.E. I have a lot of questions
2	J. Randle, P.E. New Jersey A. No.	2	J. Randle, P.E. I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in
2	J. Randle, P.E. New Jersey A. No.	2	J. Randle, P.E. I have a lot of questions
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that?	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No.	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question. I've walked on projects where
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state. Q. So, in any event, do you think laying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to someone else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state. Q. So, in any event, do you think laying down gravel in the access road we're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to someone else.  Now, if you were the general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state. Q. So, in any event, do you think laying down gravel in the access road we're not talking about the whole site. We're just talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to someone else.  Now, if you were the general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state. Q. So, in any event, do you think laying down gravel in the access road we're not talking about the whole site. We're just talking about the access road would have been a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to someone else.  Now, if you were the general contractor or the super for the general contractor and the worker came and complained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state. Q. So, in any event, do you think laying down gravel in the access road we're not talking about the whole site. We're just talking about the access road would have been a reasonable way to make the hazard safe?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to someone else.  Now, if you were the general contractor or the super for the general contractor and the worker came and complained about the condition of the site, would you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state. Q. So, in any event, do you think laying down gravel in the access road we're not talking about the whole site. We're just talking about the access road would have been a reasonable way to make the hazard safe?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to someone else.  Now, if you were the general contractor or the super for the general contractor and the worker came and complained about the condition of the site, would you have done anything to make it safe?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  New Jersey A. No. Q carting business and all that? A. No. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: I don't think they have landfills. I'm talking about landfill space in cities. Because they have to take it out of state at times. It's expensive.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Oh, okay. A. Yeah. No. New Jersey's particularly observant of this type of thing. They do not want truckloads of gravel running around their state, going out of state. Q. So, in any event, do you think laying down gravel in the access road we're not talking about the whole site. We're just talking about the access road would have been a reasonable way to make the hazard safe?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  I have a lot of questions that I'd like some guidance on, you know, in terms of understanding the question.  I've walked on projects where stones you know, stone access roads were challenging to walk on because the staggers were too large.  So, like I said, based on my review of the site, it was it was generally rolled tight. It was you know, it was not rutted up, which is always a good sign.  Like I said earlier, I the site is generally clean and, you know, flat.  Q. So, you testified that if you were the owner and a worker came to you and complained about that condition, you would say, Go speak to someone else.  Now, if you were the general contractor or the super for the general contractor and the worker came and complained about the condition of the site, would you have

Min-U-Script® (51) Pages 201 - 204

	7 20, 2021		
	Page 205	5	Page 207
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	You can answer.	2	form.
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think	3	THE WITNESS: No.
4	I already answered that. You know, I'd treat it	4	MS. TUTELO: Join.
5	as an action item and I would evaluate the	5	THE WITNESS: It's all
6	circumstances around the complaint.	6	about
7	BY MR. CLARK:	7	BY MR. CLARK:
8	Q. Okay. "An action item."	8	Q. So, what would you do to make it
9	So, what would you do to make	9	safe?
10	it safe?	10	You said it's an action item.
11	Would you have the rocks	11	What would you do to make it
12	picked up? Would you have it back-bladed? Would	12	safe?
13	you have rocks put there and spread like they did	13	And when we talk about that,
14	so they had a nice area by their administrative	14	we can go to Vill's deposition, at page 44, where
15	trailer or something else to accomplish the	15	he said, Well, let's Question: Let's put
16	action item?	16	the looking at the if someone complained to
17	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	17	you in these photos in P-4, would that be your
18	form.	18	reaction, in terms of, Hey, we're not eating off
19	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	19	this, it's a job site, let's just deal with it,
20	form.	20	or would you say we need to make it safe?
21	MS. MULHERN: You can answer.	21	And he elected to say, I
22	MS. TUTELO: Join.	22	would say let's get it safe.
23	THE WITNESS: Maybe it was a	23	So, what would you do?
24	circumstance where Hoiland needed to be retrained	24	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
25	about what it means to be on a construction site	25	form.
23	about what it means to be on a construction site	25	101111.
	Page 206	6	Page 208
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	as a construction professional.	2	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
3	BY MR. CLARK:	3	form.
4	Q. So, your first reaction would be to	4	MS. TUTELO: Join.
5	blame the worker?	5	THE WITNESS: Okay. So,
6	Rather than make the	6	again, we're talking the possibility of a worker
7	condition safe and do something about it, you	7	coming to me and saying, Let's make it safe, and
8	would say, Hoiland, you're an idiot, you need	8	the fact that you're suggesting that nothing was
9		٥	
	more training	۵	done on a regular basis to maintain the road
	more training.  That would be your response	10	done on a regular basis to maintain the road.  Is that sort of make-believe
10	That would be your response	10	Is that sort of make-believe
10 11	That would be your response to it?	10 11	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we
10 11 12	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	10 11 12	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK:
10 11 12 13	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	10 11 12 13	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well
10 11 12 13 14	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	10 11 12 13 14	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my
10 11 12 13 14 15	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	10 11 12 13 14 15	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was
10 11 12 13 14 15	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to your hierarchy or AJD's hierarchy where, in it	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back to AJD's slide show, step three is the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to your hierarchy or AJD's hierarchy where, in it does it say it says identify hazard, eliminate	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back to AJD's slide show, step three is the management. Again this is all levels of
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to your hierarchy or AJD's hierarchy where, in it does it say it says identify hazard, eliminate hazard, manage hazard.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back to AJD's slide show, step three is the management. Again this is all levels of reasonableness. To eliminate the hazard what
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to your hierarchy or AJD's hierarchy where, in it does it say it says identify hazard, eliminate hazard, manage hazard.  But you would skip the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back to AJD's slide show, step three is the management. Again this is all levels of reasonableness. To eliminate the hazard what does it take?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to your hierarchy or AJD's hierarchy where, in it does it say it says identify hazard, eliminate hazard, manage hazard.  But you would skip the eliminate and manage and say blame the worker?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back to AJD's slide show, step three is the management. Again this is all levels of reasonableness. To eliminate the hazard what does it take?  Well, you know, part of it is
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to your hierarchy or AJD's hierarchy where, in it does it say it says identify hazard, eliminate hazard, manage hazard.  But you would skip the eliminate and manage and say blame the worker?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back to AJD's slide show, step three is the management. Again this is all levels of reasonableness. To eliminate the hazard what does it take?  Well, you know, part of it is managing any kind of potential hazard. And like
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That would be your response to it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. And when you say that, when we go to your hierarchy or AJD's hierarchy where, in it does it say it says identify hazard, eliminate hazard, manage hazard.  But you would skip the eliminate and manage and say blame the worker?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that sort of make-believe we're going on or where are we BY MR. CLARK: Q. Well A. Like I said, I would you know, my evaluation of the project was that the site was clean, the site was rolled.  If you step if you go back to AJD's slide show, step three is the management. Again this is all levels of reasonableness. To eliminate the hazard what does it take?  Well, you know, part of it is

Pages 205 - 208 (52) Min-U-Script®

11011	land V AJD Constitution, et al.		May 20, 202
	Page 209	)	Page 211
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	So, what do we do. We you	2	the jury and you testify under oath that that's
3	know	3	clean and good, you know, the jury gets to
4	BY MR. CLARK:	4	evaluate that testimony and credibility.
5	Q. Well, maybe at the time your report	5	MS. KALOCSAY: Was that a
6	was written, it wasn't proven it's a hazardous	6	question
7	condition. But we now have sworn testimony from	7	MS. MULHERN: No. There's no
8	you talking about the hazardous condition. So,	8	question pending.
9	maybe that's where your supplemental reports come	9	MS. KALOCSAY: or just a
10	in.	10	statement? That was just a statement? Oh, okay.
11	But in any event, go ahead, I	11	MR. CLARK: It sounds like it
12	apologize for	12	was bickering with the witness, actually. So,
13	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	13	yes.
14	form	14	MS. KALOCSAY: There you go;
15	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection.	15	you got that.
16	MS. TUTELO: Objection.	16	MR. CLARK: I don't think
17	MS. MULHERN: to whatever	17	bickering with the witness qualifies as a
18	that was.	18	question. But I digress.
19	And, also, please let	19	BY MR. CLARK:
20	Mr. Randle finish his complete response.	20	Q. So, let's just go back and try to get
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I never	21	back on track here.
22	said the area where Hoiland fell was a hazardous	22	So, Vill says if he were
23	condition.	23	confronted with because Hoiland complained to
24	BY MR. CLARK:	24	Graham, Graham did not deny he complained to him.
25	Q. Yes, you did. You had me circle the	25	And Vill says, I would say let's get it safe.
	Q. 105, you did. 100 had the offole the		That vin says, I would say let's get it sale.
	Page 210	)	Page 212
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	rock in P-4, which we did, and created a new	2	And as far as I understand
3	exhibit for you because you said, yes, that's a	3	your testimony, you would say, Let's blame the
4	tripping hazard. And we circled it. And you	4	worker and tell him he needs more training.
5	said a four- to six-inch-large rock could be a	5	Did we get that wrong or
6	tripping hazard. So	6	would you do
7	MS. MULHERN: Objection.	7	A. You got that wrong.
8	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection. It	8	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
9	completely misrepresents the testimony.	9	form.
10	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	10	BY MR. CLARK:
11	form.	11	Q. Okay. So, what would you do?
12	BY MR. CLARK:	12	A. So, again, you asked me this before
13	Q. See, this is where the whole client	13	this little diatribe here. And what I said was
14	thing comes in. Like, you know, Robson, clients,	14	as a construction professional, I'd create an
15	you want to help your clients. You started off	15	action item and, you know, I would evaluate what
16	by saying, I'm defending the owner here today.	16	was happening on the project.
17	So, when confronted with	17	Q. Okay. Then what?
18	these facts, you become mindful of, Oh, who is my	18	Would you eliminate those
19	client, who am I defending, as opposed to	19	rocks by ordering it to be bladed or
20	that's where also the prior reports thing comes	20	A. Okay. So, like I said in my report,
21	in. Like, we want to get the prior reports and	21	for you to for it to be stated somehow that
22	kind of compare because, like you say, it's all	22	there's someone who is going to be catching rocks
23	about a fact-finding mission.	23	as rocks are rolled over by semis, like the truck
24	So, you'll have to at	24	that Hoiland was walking down the site showing
25	trial, when we have this picture up in front of	25	where to go, if your contention is that someone

Min-U-Script® (53) Pages 209 - 212

May	7 20, 2021		
	Page 213		Page 215
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	needs to be there to rake rocks all day, that's	2	understand the standards for the different
3	not reasonable. That's not a standard. Okay?	3	participants in Hoiland's, you know, claimed fall
4	What is a standard is the	4	incident.
5	fact that we have a safe safety program, we	5	So, you know, for me to go
6	have competent people, we train the people	6	off and do some kind of safety audit wasn't part
7	were trained on-site, including Hoiland. Hoiland	7	of what I was to do.
8	was a trainer himself. So, the proper people	8	Could I do it? Sure,
9	were engaged on this project to perform the work.	9	absolutely. But that's not that's not why I'm
10	And, you know, that's part of	10	here.
11	managing managing the potential hazard.	11	BY MR. CLARK:
12	Right? There's no 100 percent safe. There	12	Q. Okay. So, if you were confronted
13	isn't. But there's levels of reasonableness is	13	like Graham was, Vill says he would make it safe,
14	what happens on projects.	14	you're not sure what you would do? You don't
15	So, like I said, what I'm	15	know what you would do?
16	seeing on this project, Grand LHN, the other	16	A. That's not what I said.
17	participants acted reasonably.	17	I said I would evaluate the
18	BY MR. CLARK:	18	situation, create an action item and go from
19	Q. That's very nice.	19	there. And part of that action item could have
20	But the question is what	20	included a multitude of things.
21	would you do?	21	Q. Could one of it well, can you give
22	Vill said he would make it	22	us an example of three things the action item
23	safe.	23	would have included?
24	What would you do?	24	You've already said one of
25	You're talking about	25	the action items would be to blame the worker and
23	Toute taiking about	23	the action nems would be to braine the worker and
	Page 214		Page 216
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	reasonableness and Grand LHN and trying to rehash	2	get him retrained.
3	your opinions in your report. But we're looking	3	What other multitude
4	for an answer to this question. Vill answered	4	A. I'd evaluate the site to make sure
5	it. He said, I would say let's get it safe. You	5	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
6	said, I would make an action item. But we're now	6	form.
7	trying to find out what that action item would	7	THE WITNESS: to make sure
8	be.	8	that the roadway was rolled tight. I would
9	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	9	evaluate and make sure that there weren't ruts or
10	form.	10	big dips or drops. I would evaluate the site and
11	Are we going into	11	make sure the wash rack was still in place.
12	possibilities here? Because I thought that you	12	BY MR. CLARK:
13	instructed Mr. Randle to not testify concerning	13	Q. Okay. When you say "ruts," is that
14	possibilities. And I just need that to be	14	like the ruts that we see in photo number one of
15	clarified.	15	P-4?
	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean I		A. No.
16		16	
17	analyzed the facts that I was presented.	17	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
18	MR. CLARK: Is that a lifeline to the witness? Did he call for a	18	form.
19	menne to the witness? Did he call for a	19	BY MR. CLARK: Q. What are those? Aren't those truck
~ ~		~ ~	
20	lifeline there?	20	_
21	lifeline there?  THE WITNESS: No. My purpose	21	tire ruts?
21 22	lifeline there?  THE WITNESS: No. My purpose is to analyze the fact pattern that's presented.	21 22	tire ruts? A. Okay. That's what I'm defining as
21 22 23	lifeline there?  THE WITNESS: No. My purpose is to analyze the fact pattern that's presented.  And you see my purpose. That's my purpose.	21 22 23	tire ruts?  A. Okay. That's what I'm defining as rutted is a dozer.
21 22 23 24	lifeline there?  THE WITNESS: No. My purpose is to analyze the fact pattern that's presented.  And you see my purpose. That's my purpose.  My purpose isn't to audit the	21 22 23 24	tire ruts?  A. Okay. That's what I'm defining as rutted is a dozer.  And, again, you know
21 22 23	lifeline there?  THE WITNESS: No. My purpose is to analyze the fact pattern that's presented.  And you see my purpose. That's my purpose.	21 22 23	tire ruts?  A. Okay. That's what I'm defining as rutted is a dozer.

Pages 213 - 216 (54) Min-U-Script®

	•		May 20, 202
	Page 217		Page 219
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Q. A dozer? What did you say?	2	I mean, that's
3	A. Yeah. Sure. Like a bulldozer or	3	probably that Jeep right there is probably a
4	some other type of equipment.	4	Jeep of someone from AJD. It's probably Vill's,
5	Q. Well, what are these ruts?	5	Graham's or one of the guys that worked out of
6	A. Like I said	6	that trailer. And they had a nice little thing
7	Q. It actually looks like he's lying in	7	there so they had a smooth path to walk.
8	the middle of a dozer rut.	8	Who is talking about going
9	MS. MULHERN: You're	9	around and picking up rocks?
10	interrupting Mr. Randle's response.	10	Why didn't they just extend
11	BY MR. CLARK:	11	the grading out into the roadway?
12	Q. Isn't that a dozer rut right there?	12	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
13	A. Like I said, it's all about	13	form.
14	reasonableness. You know, what was on that site	14	BY MR. CLARK:
15	is not unexpected. I didn't I don't consider	15	Q. Do you know why they didn't do that?
16	this a hazardous condition.	16	A. I think we're breaking the rule.
17	Q. I know. And you consider photo	17	We're talking possibilities again.
18	number two a clean condition. You testified to	18	No. And I don't know why
19	that, too, on P-4.	19	they didn't pave the whole site either.
20	A. The site's generally clean. I don't	20	Again, it's not my purpose.
21	know you know, that's what I've said.	21	We could talk till the day is long about your
22	Q. How about this big rut standing	22	possibilities that you would like me to walk
23	behind the left foot of Vill and Graham the	23	down. It's not why I'm here.
24	left feet of Vill and Graham here, this big one?	24	(Pause.)
25	What about that? You're cool with that one?	25	Q. So, what action items would you do if
	Page 218		Page 220
			-
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E. you saw workers in well, like, if you saw
2	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2	· ·
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Like I	3 4	workers in unprotected trenches like is shown in P-9?
4 5	said, we can make anything more safe, but you	5	Would you say those workers
_	can't achieve a hundred percent safety.	_	need more training or what?
7	And for your contention that	6 7	Or is that perfectly
8	there should be someone catching rocks and	8	acceptable to you, too?
9	remember I said this; I'll be saying it again.	9	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
10	It's your contention that someone should be	10	form.
11	catching rocks as they roll off the entry pad or	11	MS. TUTELO: Objection to
12	as Hoiland's directing his driver down the access	12	form.
13	road to show him where to go, if your contention	13	THE WITNESS: That is not
14	is that the expectation is that the GC or somehow	14	acceptable to me.
15	the developer should be out there performing that	15	BY MR. CLARK:
16	duty is unfounded.	16	Q. Do you know what Esposito testified
17	BY MR. CLARK:	17	to when we showed him this stuff? He goes, Well,
18	Q. Where is that contention of mine?	18	I don't know how deep that trench is. And I'm
19	You keep saying I have this	19	like
20	contention that people should pick up rocks.	20	I mean, first of all, it's
21	Where is that?	21	clearly over that guy's head. Right? Do you
22	I don't see that anywhere.	22	see?
23	I've been asking you about	23	MS. MULHERN: Objection.
23 24	grading out the site like they did by their	23 24	MS. MULHERN: Objection. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Min-U-Script® (55) Pages 217 - 220

way	20, 2021		
	Page 22	!1	Page 22
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	MS. TUTELO: Objection.	2	opinions he's given in this case?
3	BY MR. CLARK:	3	THE WITNESS: Very outside.
4	Q. That's photo number two from P-9.	4	BY MR. CLARK:
5	Do you see that?	5	Q. Didn't you give opinions about
6	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection.	6	Esposito in your report?
7	THE WITNESS: I see the	7	I mean, first of all, not
8	photo.	8	that a deposition is, like, limited in that
9	BY MR. CLARK:	9	regard. There's two limitations. It's privilege
10	Q. Do you say the trench is, like,	10	and court order.
11	clearly over the guy's head?	11	But, in any event, in your
12	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	12	report didn't you give opinions about Esposito?
13	form.	13	Didn't you do something like
14	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	14	if it's a hazard, then it's their fault? Or was
15	form.	15	that the other expert? I forget.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.	16	MS. KALOCSAY: But they were
17	BY MR. CLARK:	17	talking about the pebble, Jerry, not about this.
18	Q. Are you going to equivocate on that	18	BY MR. CLARK:
19	one? Okay. Go ahead.	19	Q. Didn't you give opinions about
20	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	20	Esposito?
21	form.	21	A. Yes. I gave an opinion about
22	THE WITNESS: I haven't	22	Esposito. And I think you almost got close to
23	analyzed this picture.	23	it. But I said that
24	BY MR. CLARK:	24	Q. Yeah. You said if it's a hazard,
25	Q. So, if you're on the site and you see	25	it's their fault.
23	Q. So, if you're on the site and you see	23	to their radic.
	Page 22	22	Page 22
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	this, you're going to say, Oh, we need to analyze	2	A. No.
3	this?	3	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
4	You really need to analyze	4	form.
5	this to determine the safety issue with this	5	THE WITNESS: I said they
6	photo?	6	would be considered the creating employer. I
7	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	7	don't
8	form.	8	BY MR. CLARK:
9	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	9	Q. Oh. When you say they would be
10	form.	10	considered the creating employer, that's where we
11	THE WITNESS: That's not what	11	go back that's that's P-10. Right?
12	I said.	12	MS. KALOCSAY: No. Objection
13	BY MR. CLARK:	13	to form.
14	Q. Okay. So, let's start.	14	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
15	First of all, do you see the	15	form.
16	worker in the middle portion of the picture with	16	MR. CLARK: Ms. Kalocsay, if
17	the black	17	you're going to testify, we're going to have to
18	A. Let me cut this off. I've already	18	swear you in.
19	told you I would have stopped what was happening	19	MS. KALOCSAY: I'm not going
19		20	to testify. But it's just like
20	and stenned in	20	
20	and stepped in.	0.1	MD CI ADK: I thought he gave
21	This does not have anything	21	MR. CLARK: I thought he gave
21 22	This does not have anything to do with the incident that I'm here to opine	22	a no answer to that.
21 22 23	This does not have anything to do with the incident that I'm here to opine on.	22 23	a no answer to that.  MS. KALOCSAY: You've been
21 22 23 24	This does not have anything to do with the incident that I'm here to opine on.  MS. KALOCSAY: I was just	22 23 24	a no answer to that.  MS. KALOCSAY: You've been testifying throughout this whole deposition. We
21 22 23	This does not have anything to do with the incident that I'm here to opine on.	22 23	a no answer to that.  MS. KALOCSAY: You've been

Pages 221 - 224 (56) Min-U-Script®

**24** BY MR. CLARK:

Q. Okay. Oh, yeah.

25

поп	tand v AJD Construction, et al.		Jason B. Kande, F.E. May 20, 2021
	Page 225		Page 227
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	BY MR. CLARK:	2	So, back to where we were,
3	Q. So, when you said they'd be the	3	which is photo number two of P-9.
4	creating employer, you're referring to things	4	Do you see the worker in the
5	like are shown in P-10, when they dug up the road	5	trench sort of in the middle of the picture with
6	for the gas main. Is that right?	6	the black helmet?
7	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	7	A. Yes.
8	the form.	8	Q. Okay. And it appears that that
9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I	9	trench is well over his head. Correct?
10	zoned out. Can you re-ask the question?	10	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
11	BY MR. CLARK:	11	form.
12	Q. You gave an opinion in your report	12	MS. TUTELO: Join.
13	about the Esposito subcontractor. Correct?	13	MS. KALOCSAY: Join.
14	A. As it relates to Hoiland's incident.	14	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Like I
15	Yes.	15	said, I have not analyzed this photo. And you're
16	Q. Okay. And you characterized them as	16	seeing something and I'm I've had cases with
17	a creating employer under OSHA's multi-employer	17	photos involved where it's very challenging at
18	work site policy. Correct?	18	times to understand perspective. And I'm not
19	A. If there was a dangerous condition	19	going to like it was said, this is outside of
20	that has been proven, then they would have been	20	my scope, so
21	considered the creating employer.	21	BY MR. CLARK:
22	Q. And when you said they are the	22	Q. Oh, you've never done a
23	creating employer, you mean they would have	23	trench-collapse case?
24	created the hazardous condition at issue.	24	A. Oh, sure I have.
25	Correct?	25	Q. Oh. So, you've written reports in
	Page 226		Page 228
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	A. If a hazard if a dangerous	2	trench-collapse cases, even though that was out
3	condition was determined, that's what my	3	of the scope of your expertise?
4	analysis that's where my analysis went.	4	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
5	Q. And, so, they would have created it	5	form.
6	by, as depicted in P-10, having dug up the access	6	MO THEFT O. Ohiodia
7		0	MS. TUTELO: Objection.
	road, thus disturbing the soil and, in addition,	7	MS. TOTELO: Objection. MS. KALOCSAY: That's not
8	road, thus disturbing the soil and, in addition, being the contractor in charge of building and		•
8 9		7	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not
	being the contractor in charge of building and	7 8	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.
9	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.	7 8 9	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's
9 10	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the	7 8 9 10	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.
9 10 11	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?	7 8 9 10 11	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not
9 10 11 12	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	7 8 9 10 11	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.
9 10 11 12 13	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.	7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not
9 10 11 12 13 14	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not cause anything related to Hoiland. I'm not sure  BY MR. CLARK:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not cause anything related to Hoiland. I'm not sure  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Yeah. But, Mr. Randle, I mean, come
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Join.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not cause anything related to Hoiland. I'm not sure BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Yeah. But, Mr. Randle, I mean, come on, you're a reasonable person.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Join.  THE WITNESS: Esposito was	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not cause anything related to Hoiland. I'm not sure BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Yeah. But, Mr. Randle, I mean, come on, you're a reasonable person.  I mean, we asked Esposito
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Join.  THE WITNESS: Esposito was the site contractor. They created the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not cause anything related to Hoiland. I'm not sure BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Yeah. But, Mr. Randle, I mean, come on, you're a reasonable person.  I mean, we asked Esposito about this picture. He denied there was a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Join.  THE WITNESS: Esposito was the site contractor. They created the rolled-down access area or access road, whatever	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not cause anything related to Hoiland. I'm not sure  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Yeah. But, Mr. Randle, I mean, come on, you're a reasonable person.  I mean, we asked Esposito about this picture. He denied there was a hazard. He denied there was anything wrong with
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being the contractor in charge of building and maintaining the access road.  Is that essentially the genesis of your opinion that they would be considered a creating employer under those circumstances?  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to the form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Join.  THE WITNESS: Esposito was the site contractor. They created the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KALOCSAY: That's not what he said.  MR. CLARK: He just said it's out of his scope.  MS. KALOCSAY: Out of the scope of what he was doing in this case.  THE WITNESS: This did not cause anything related to Hoiland. I'm not sure BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Yeah. But, Mr. Randle, I mean, come on, you're a reasonable person.  I mean, we asked Esposito about this picture. He denied there was a

Min-U-Script® (57) Pages 225 - 228

grandaddy of all hazards, which causes, as youknow, death on job sites and it's really, really

-	7 20, 2021		
	Page 22	.9	Page 231
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	dangerous, how can we trust them to put this back	2	BY MR. CLARK:
3	the way it's supposed to be, like you testified?	3	Q. But you testified on your projects
4	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	4	you've had access roads where, like, you had
5	form.	5	real, like, trouble walking on them and stuff and
6	MS. KALOCSAY: Join.	6	it was, like, normal and acceptable to you.
7	MS. TUTELO: Join.	7	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
8	MS. MULHERN: If there's any	8	form.
9	way for you to respond to that, you can.	9	THE WITNESS: It was a
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know	10	vehicle access road. It was a ring road around a
11	what the question is.	11	school. So, there were other means for folks to
12	BY MR. CLARK:	12	walk.
13	Q. Isn't safety, like, about a culture?	13	BY MR. CLARK:
14	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	14	Q. In any event, you don't agree with,
15	form.	15	you know, a hundred percent safety and zero
16	THE WITNESS: Some label it	16	accidents. Is that right? It's something that's
17	that way, sure.	17	not achievable in your view?
18	BY MR. CLARK:	18	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
19	Q. When we talk about when we talk	19	form.
20	about the values set forth in the site-specific	20	THE WITNESS: We're going to
21	safety plan where AJD says they're firmly	21	try. You know, as construction professionals,
22	committed to	22	we're going to try. No one likes accidents, no
23	By the way, so, you say	23	one likes injuries. It costs money. It's
24	you're, like, against the zero accident policies.	24	demoralizing.
25	Right?	25	You know, I stand behind
	Page 23	80	Page 232
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. everything I'm saying here. There's always a
	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.		everything I'm saying here. There's always a
2	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2	
2	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make
2 3 4	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.	2 3 4	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we
2 3 4 5	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.	2 3 4 5	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar
2 3 4 5 6	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a	2 3 4 5 6 7	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy. This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy. This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MULHERN: Objection to  form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy. This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy. This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. MULHERN: Objection to  form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy. This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never been achievable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy.  This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.  So, yeah, I mean, like I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MULHERN: Objection to  form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy. This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never been achievable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy.  This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.  So, yeah, I mean, like I said, I I'm comfortable with how, you know, the fact pattern on this case was presented in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never been achievable.  Is that what you're telling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy.  This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.  So, yeah, I mean, like I said, I I'm comfortable with how, you know, the fact pattern on this case was presented in terms of this incident.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never been achievable.  Is that what you're telling us?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy.  This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.  So, yeah, I mean, like I said, I I'm comfortable with how, you know, the fact pattern on this case was presented in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MULHERN: Objection to  form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never been achievable.  Is that what you're telling us?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy.  This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.  So, yeah, I mean, like I said, I I'm comfortable with how, you know, the fact pattern on this case was presented in terms of this incident.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. If AJD's a big boy, running a big job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MULHERN: Objection to  form.  MS. TUTELO: Objection.  MS. KALOCSAY: Join. Join.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. You testified you can never make a site a hundred percent safe and achieve zero accidents. Right?  MS. TUTELO: Objection to form, mischaracterizes his testimony.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. The goal is the goal is no accidents, a hundred percent safe, sure.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. But on your job sites that's never been achievable.  Is that what you're telling us?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everything I'm saying here. There's always a goal. There's always an attempt. You know, we hire qualified people, we train them. We make sure that competent people are on-site, similar to Hoiland. We have them understand that this is a construction site and that there's there are things out there that could be problematic and that's why we train them. And that's why Hoiland's employers were to train them. And that's why we have  You know, AJD is a big boy.  This is a big project. They hired qualified contractors. They had approval from the owner, their safety program. They had money in the budget for a safety program. A lot of things were beating along the right way. The ground was was firm. The ground was rolled tight.  So, yeah, I mean, like I said, I I'm comfortable with how, you know, the fact pattern on this case was presented in terms of this incident.  BY MR. CLARK:

Pages 229 - 232 (58) Min-U-Script®

			May 20, 2021
	Page 233		Page 235
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	expect and shouldn't we expect that the	2	that there should be an injury-free work
3	principals that are running the job site actually	3	environment and where workers are not, you know,
4	have safety training?	4	unreasonably exposed to needless harm?
5	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	5	Is that essentially what
6	form.	6	those core values are?
7	THE WITNESS: I would not	7	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
8	consider Graham a principal.	8	the form of the question.
9	MS. TUTELO: Objection.	9	THE WITNESS: The document
10	THE WITNESS: He's an	10	speaks for itself. I don't I'm not sure I
11	assistant superintendent.	11	can tell you what it says.
12	BY MR. CLARK:	12	BY MR. CLARK:
13	Q. In any event, the policy statement	13	Q. Okay. Is P-9 the three photos in
14	here reflects or expresses core safety values.	14	P-9, are those reflective of a corporate culture
15	Right?	15	that takes worker safety seriously?
16	A. AJD's, sure.	16	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
17	Q. And those core values recognize that	17	the form of the question.
18	they should provide to the extent reasonably	18	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
19	expected an injury-free work environment, free of	19	form.
20	recognized hazards and where workers are not	20	MS. TUTELO: Objection to
21	exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?	21	form.
22	A. You've gotta I didn't catch those	22	THE WITNESS: If I were on a
23	words.	23	project site and I witnessed this, I would call a
24	Could you reread that,	24	timeout and step back and ask all those involved
25	please?	25	immediately to explain and explain the plan of
	Page 234		Page 236
	Page 234		Page 236
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores,	2	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed.
2	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question.	2	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter: "Q. And those core values	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter: "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter: "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis? MS. MULHERN: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter: "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Are you talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter: "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter: "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?") THE WITNESS: That's not what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or reference that that is what it says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?  A. I guess I just want some clarification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or reference that that is what it says. But this policy statement in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?  A. I guess I just want some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question.  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or reference that that is what it says.  But this policy statement in 1.2, this is reflective of core safety values.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?  A. I guess I just want some clarification.  Are you asking about me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or reference that that is what it says. But this policy statement in 1.2, this is reflective of core safety values. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?  A. I guess I just want some clarification.  Are you asking about me personally or are you asking about Punia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or reference that that is what it says.  But this policy statement in 1.2, this is reflective of core safety values. Right? A. AJD is making that statement here. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?  A. I guess I just want some clarification.  Are you asking about me personally or are you asking about Punia? Q. Well, the clarification I would give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. MR. CLARK: Sure. Dolores, can we please read back the question. (The following was read by the court reporter:  "Q. And those core values recognize that they should provide to the extent reasonably expected an injury-free work environment, free of recognized hazards and where workers are not exposed to the unnecessary risk of harm. Right?")  THE WITNESS: That's not what it says. BY MR. CLARK: Q. I didn't say that's what it says. But those are I take that back. I did say that that's what that says or I did imply or reference that that is what it says. But this policy statement in 1.2, this is reflective of core safety values. Right?  A. AJD is making that statement here. I don't think they use the word "core." This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E. how this work was to be completed. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Would that include if you were the vice-president of the construction or the project manager for the developer and had an on-site trailer on-site and were there on a daily basis?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Are you talking to me personally? BY MR. CLARK: Q. Do you want the last question and answer read back and the pending question? Because the pending question was related to your answer in the prior question. Would you like them both read back?  A. I guess I just want some clarification.  Are you asking about me personally or are you asking about Punia? Q. Well, the clarification I would give or offer would be to read back the last question

Min-U-Script® (59) Pages 233 - 236

May	7 20, 2021		
	Page 23	7	Page 239
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	MS. MULHERN: He's saying he	2	seriously?
3	doesn't understand those questions. He needs	3	"A. If I were on a project
4	clarification. So, reading it back is not going	4	site and I witnessed this, I would call a
5	to help.	5	timeout and step back and ask all those
6	MR. CLARK: I don't I	6	involved immediately to explain and explain
7	didn't interpret what he said as not	7	the plan of how this work was to be completed.
8	understanding the prior question, which he	8	"Q. Would that include if
9	answered.	9	you were the vice-president of the
10	MS. MULHERN: He asked for	10	construction or the project manager for the
11	clarification. I'm not sure what else that can	11	developer and had an on-site trailer on-site
12	be interpreted as.	12	and were there on a daily basis?
13	MR. CLARK: You said that he	13	"A. Are you talking to me
14	did not understand the questions, plural. And	14	personally?")
15	there were two questions referred to. One is the	15	MR. CLARK: That's it.
16	pending question and the other is the prior	16	THE WITNESS: Okay. Are you
			speaking to me personally?
17	question.	17	
18	MS. MULHERN: Which you said	18	I'm 18 years in the
19	were contingent.	19	construction industry. You know, I bring a lot
20	MR. CLARK: And he answered	20	of value wherever I go on project sites.  If I were the owner in this
21	the prior question	21	
22	MS. MULHERN: Right.	22	situation, me personally, would be different than
23	MR. CLARK: which he	23	the expectations for other owner entities because
24	stated that he understood it.	24	I'm you know, like I said, I've been building
25	MS. MULHERN: Right. But	25	work a long time and now I'm a construction
	Page 23	8	Page 240
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	then you asked a second and you said that one	2	safety expert.
3	goes with the other and basically one is	3	So, I stand behind what I
4	contingent on the other. So, I'm not sure he	4	said. It doesn't matter what role I was. If
5	understands.	5	I if I personally saw what was happening
6	Again, Mr. Randle, if you	6	there, which, again, this is outside of my scope,
7	understand the question, you can respond. If you	7	this is outside of what I was asked to
8	need clarification, ask counsel for it.	8	analyze I would call a timeout and figure out
9	THE WITNESS: I thought I	9	what you know, what the plan was.
10	did.	10	Is the expectation that Punia
11	You know what, am I speaking	11	was going to walk around the project site and
12	to me personally, my career? Are we talking	12	looks for these types of things and act as the
13	about the standard for or the role the owner	13	general contractor or safety consultant or as the
14	played in the 235 Grand project?	14	site contractor? That's not the expectation of
15	MR. CLARK: Dolores, can we		Punia.
	please read back the question where I asked him a	15	
16	<u> </u>	16	BY MR. CLARK:  On This is the principal of the Especito
17	question about the hazard that is depicted on the	17	Q. This is the principal of the Esposito
18	screen in P-9 and his answer as to what he would	18	defendant. His name is Matthew Esposito. And he
19	do. He gave an answer. He said I would do	19	said that the he said that the kind of thing
20	something.	20	that's depicted in P-9 was common on the job
21	(The following was read by	21	site.
22	the court reporter:	22	So, going back to P-9.
23	"Q. Okay. Is P-9 the	23	There's P-9. And he said that this is common,
	4 1 4 1 10 0 4 6 6		
24	three photos in P-9, are those reflective of a	24	that this is how they would install pipes on the
	three photos in P-9, are those reflective of a corporate culture that takes worker safety	24 25	that this is how they would install pipes on the job.

Pages 237 - 240 (60) Min-U-Script®

	•		May 20, 202
	Page 241		Page 243
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Is that a concern to you?	2	THE WITNESS: Okay. So, do
3	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	3	you understand what the scientific method is?
4	form, misrepresents the testimony.	4	Are you aware of what that means?
5	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	5	BY MR. CLARK:
6	form.	6	Q. Well
7	MS. TUTELO: Join.	7	A. You're not an engineer. Right?
8	THE WITNESS: I think I've	8	Let me finish.
9	already I've sort of agreed with what you're	9	Q. I I wait. Hold on.
10	asking. I don't I'm not sure how much more I	10	A. The way the report is written right
11	can do that.	11	now
12	BY MR. CLARK:	12	MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark,
13	Q. Well, we're taking it to the next	13	don't interrupt him.
14	legal, though, because this is just one picture	14	THE WITNESS: I follow the
15	that was taken	15	scientific method.
16	A. Yeah. My	16	(Unintelligible due to
17	Q. That was taken on the day of the	17	overspeaking.)
18	incident, actually, November 2nd of 2017.	18	THE COURT REPORTER: Whoa,
19	A. My purpose didn't include analysis of	19	whoa, whoa. Wait, Stop. Mr. Randle, please.
20	Esposito's role or their performance on the	20	BY MR. CLARK:
21	project. My purpose was to evaluate Esposito's	21	Q. Mr. Randle, I'm sure
22	role on the Hoiland incident.	22	MS. MULHERN: Mr. Clark,
23	Q. Yeah. But you testified safety is a	23	please let him complete his response. You don't
24	culture, it's a philosophy, it's a way of life on	24	like to be interrupted, Mr. Randle does not like
25	a job site. It goes hand in hand with the	25	to be interrupted. Let Mr. Randle say what it is
	Page 242		Page 244
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	progress of the work. You don't get to say, Oh,	2	he's saying.
3	well, we're just going to microanalyze this one	3	MS. KALOCSAY: He doesn't
4	little situation and call it a pebble, when	4	want to hear the answer.
5	everyone knows it's not a pebble, you know. You	5	MS. MULHERN: Of course not.
6	have to look at the whole picture of their	6	MR. CLARK: The problem is
7	conduct, especially in a case where there's	7	Mr. Randle asked me a question about whether I
8	punitive damages, which this case is.	8	knew about the scientific method.
9	And, so, we did talk about	9	Is he withdrawing that
10	the photo. You can say, Oh, that's a one-time	10	question?
11	incident; you commented on that.	11	THE WITNESS: It wasn't a
12	But here's my question:	12	question. I'm sorry. It was a kind of a
13	Isn't it even worse where he	13	rambling statement.
14	testified under oath, I said it was common to	14	BY MR. CLARK:
15	install pipes.	15	Q. All right. So, why don't you go
16	Question: Like is shown in	16	ahead and we'll all pretend you didn't ask me if I know what the scientific method is.
17	these photos?	17	
18	And the answer, Yes.	18	A. Okay. So, the report process is I'm
19	"These photos" being P-9.	19	given facts on a case. I'm asked the purpose.
20	Isn't that even more of a concern, like a heightened concern?	20	The scientific method requires me to analyze the
21	concern tike a neromeneo concern/	21	facts surrounding my purpose. I create a
21		22	hypothesis I check it
22	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	22	hypothesis. I check it.
22 23	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	23	It doesn't have to do with
22 23 24	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	23 24	It doesn't have to do with analyzing things outside of that with outside
22 23	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.	23	It doesn't have to do with

Min-U-Script® (61) Pages 241 - 244

	Page 245		Page 247
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	evaluate how Esposito performed on the project.	2	in an appropriate gravel and spread it. He says
3	I wasn't asked to define how he defined safe. I	3	it can be done, yes.
4	was asked specifically what I was asked as part	4	Do you agree with that or you
5	of my purpose in this incident.	5	would need to do some kind of scientific-method
6	We spent a lot of time	6	analysis
7	talking about possibility. Frankly, it's getting	7	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection.
8	old. We need to stop that because it's	8	BY MR. CLARK:
9	not it's not part of anything you know,	9	Q before you can give an opinion on
10	when you're asking me things outside of my scope,	10	that?
11	I'm just going to have to pull the string on my	11	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
12	back and say it's outside the scope and we can	12	form.
13	just kind of end this quickly, hopefully.	13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. That
14	Like, I've already agreed	14	wasn't part of my purpose.
15	with you that I would stop what was happening in	15	BY MR. CLARK:
16	that situation. Does that mean Esposito caused	16	Q. So, you don't know. Is that what
17	Hoiland's incident? No.	17	you're saying?
18	Q. When you say that you employed the	18	He said one of the options
19	scientific method, in your analysis here, I'm	19	would be to bring in an appropriate gravel and
20	reminded that you also said your report was	20	spread it to eliminate the tripping hazard that
21	peer-reviewed, meaning it was read by other	21	you identified earlier, that being the rocks from
22	people at Robson Forensic, as opposed to	22	the crushed concrete strewn about the access
23	independent people without a conflict.	23	road.
24	So, I don't know how much the	24	Do you agree that that's one
25	scientific method I don't know if we're I	25	way that it could be made safer?
			······································
	D 040		
	Page 246		Page 248
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	
1 2	J. Randle, P.E.	1 2	J. Randle, P.E.
	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're		
2	J. Randle, P.E.	2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.
2	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be	2	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to
2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.	2 3 4	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to
2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific	2 3 4 5	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.	2 3 4 5 6	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. Randle, P.E. don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question. But could you please provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question. But could you please provide an answer to the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question. But could you please provide an answer to the question? Now, your answer can be, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.  But could you please provide an answer to the question?  Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.  But could you please provide an answer to the question?  Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question. But could you please provide an answer to the question? Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.  MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question. But could you please provide an answer to the question? Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful. A. Yeah. Joseph Punia's assessment of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.  MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.  MS. MULHERN: It's going on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E. MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose. BY MR. CLARK: Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question. But could you please provide an answer to the question? Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful. A. Yeah. Joseph Punia's assessment of stone and spreading it is not relevant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.  MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.  MS. MULHERN: It's going on 4:30. Can we just go off the record for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.  But could you please provide an answer to the question?  Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful.  A. Yeah. Joseph Punia's assessment of stone and spreading it is not relevant to Hoiland's incident. It's not it's not part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.  MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.  MS. MULHERN: It's going on 4:30. Can we just go off the record for a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.  But could you please provide an answer to the question?  Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful.  A. Yeah. Joseph Punia's assessment of stone and spreading it is not relevant to Hoiland's incident. It's not it's not part of my purpose either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.  MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.  MS. MULHERN: It's going on 4:30. Can we just go off the record for a second.  (Discussion was held off the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.  But could you please provide an answer to the question?  Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful.  A. Yeah. Joseph Punia's assessment of stone and spreading it is not relevant to Hoiland's incident. It's not it's not part of my purpose either.  Q. That sounds like you don't want to answer the question. You're saying my question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.  MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.  MS. MULHERN: It's going on 4:30. Can we just go off the record for a second.  (Discussion was held off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.  But could you please provide an answer to the question?  Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful.  A. Yeah. Joseph Punia's assessment of stone and spreading it is not relevant to Hoiland's incident. It's not it's not part of my purpose either.  Q. That sounds like you don't want to answer the question. You're saying my question is irrelevant and it's not part of your purpose.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  don't know if the scientific method that you're referring to is the one that would ordinarily be referred to when one learns about the scientific method.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to whatever that was.  MS. KALOCSAY: Yeah. How can he even answer that?  MS. MULHERN: And there's no response needed, Mr. Randle.  MR. CLARK: Was he directed not to answer a question or was there just not a question? I lost track.  MS. MULHERN: There was no question.  MR. CLARK: Oh, okay.  MS. MULHERN: It's going on 4:30. Can we just go off the record for a second.  (Discussion was held off the record.)  BY MR. CLARK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	J. Randle, P.E.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it's not relevant to my purpose.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Thank you for giving an opinion on the quality of the question.  But could you please provide an answer to the question?  Now, your answer can be, I don't know if that's truthful. You can say I don't know. That's one option of answering a question. Of course, it has to be truthful.  A. Yeah. Joseph Punia's assessment of stone and spreading it is not relevant to Hoiland's incident. It's not it's not part of my purpose either.  Q. That sounds like you don't want to answer the question. You're saying my question

Pages 245 - 248 (62) Min-U-Script®

	•		May 20, 202
	Page 249		Page 251
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	be to bring in gravel and spread it.	2	and what he says? Or what are we doing?
3	What is your opinion in that	3	Q. Punia says one of the options to make
4	regard?	4	the area safe is to put down gravel and spread
5	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	5	it, that it can be done, yes.
6	form.	6	Do you agree with that or you
7	MS. KALOCSAY: Join.	7	don't know or something else?
8	BY MR. CLARK:	8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. Would that be a viable option to	9	Q. Okay. Great.
10	ameliorate the hazard?	10	A. Without further definition, no.
11	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	11	Without time to analyze, no.
12	the form.	12	Q. So, I'm at Punia's deposition
13	THE WITNESS: Didn't we spend	13	testimony page 207, at the bottom, at line 24.
14	about 25 minutes talking about this?	14	And the question is, somewhat paraphrased, but it
15	We can probably go back and	15	says, Pretty much every picture from this job
16	you can hear what I said then and I'll say it	16	site has rocks like the rock in P-4 here. And
17	now. You'd have to define the parameters. And	17	you said that the rocks in the other picture were
18	that would be again, even with that, it would	18	either indigenous to the ground or part of the
19	be outside of my purpose. Just to say let's	19	concrete from the demolition. Is that right?
20	spread some stone, it's again, it's not	20	Answer: Yes.
21	relevant to what happened on this incident.	21	Do you see that testimony?
22	BY MR. CLARK:	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. This is the first time I asked you	23	Q. And then it continues, So would that
24	about that testimony at 138.	24	be the same with respect to the rock on photo
25	Why do you think I brought	25	number two of P-4, the larger-appearing one
23	Why do you unlik I blought	2.5	number two or 1 4, the larger appearing one
	Page 250		Page 252
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	that testimony up previously? I didn't do that.	2	behind the man kneeling in the orange vest and
3	A. Are you asking me to give an opinion	3	white helmet?
4	about what you're thinking? I'm not sure I can	4	Yes.
5	do that.	5	And then the question is,
6	MR. CLARK: Okay. We'll have	6	Now, if I understood your testimony right, this
7	the question read back.	7	particular rock would be of some safety concern
8	(The following was read by	8	to you.
9	the court reporter:	9	Did we understand that
10	"Q. This is the first time I	10	correctly in that regard?
11	asked you about that testimony at 138.	11	And his answer is on 209
12	"Why do you think I brought	12	where he says, Yes.
13	that testimony up previously? I didn't do	13	Would that be a concern
14	that.")	14	because it could pose a tripping hazard or a
15	BY MR. CLARK:	15	fall-down hazard to someone that might be walking
16	Q. The question is very simple.	16	on the site and encounter it? Is that right?
17	Why do you think I brought up	17	Answer: Yes.
18	this testimony at 138 previously? I am telling	18	And then, Question: Well, do
19	you I didn't do that.	19	you think that the rock below the left in the
20	A. You had a line of questions	20	picture it looks about a foot or two below the
	concerning stone and spreading it.		left foot of Don's left foot, this one here I'm
21		21	
22	•	22	circling, which is next to, it looks like a piece
	anything about his testimony at 138.	23	of wood, could this rock pose a safety hazard of
23	A Okaz I don't so vovim ost-in-		folling down?
24	A. Okay. I don't so, you're asking	24	falling down?
	A. Okay. I don't so, you're asking if I have an opinion about what Punia's thinking		falling down?  Answer: From the way the

Min-U-Script® (63) Pages 249 - 252

	Page 2	253	Page 255
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	picture is the way it looks in that picture,	2	MS. MULHERN: Objection to
3	yes.	3	form.
4	And then that kind of	4	THE WITNESS: This is
5	continues at 213, line 21. So, you agree that	5	irrelevant. I mean, I have my own opinions and I
6	the rocks depicted in this photo, the ones you	6	
7	identified, could pose a safety hazard?	7	
8	Answer: Yes.	8	Q. Do need the pending question read
9	My question to you is do you	9	back to answer it?
10	agree with that	10	A. Do I disagree with Punia?
11	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	11	
12	form.	12	
13	BY MR. CLARK:	13	
14	Q that these rocks shown in photo	14	
15	two of P-4 are safety hazards	15	
16	MS. KALOCSAY: Objection to	16	
17	the form.	17	
18	BY MR. CLARK:	18	
19	Q tripping hazards?	19	
20	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	20	
21	form.	21	
22	THE WITNESS: No. On this	22	
23	construction site, it's not a hazardous	23	
24	condition.	24	
25	BY MR. CLARK:	25	
	Page 2	254	Page 256
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	Q. So, you disagree with the principal	2	Q. Okay. Are you refusing to answer the
3	of your client, Mr. Punia, who is the	3	question?
4			
_	vice-president of construction for your client,	4	When I ask you an opinion and
5	vice-president of construction for your client, Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are	4	
	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are		you answer by saying my opinion
5		5	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing
5 6	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job	5	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion.
5 6 7	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.	5 6 7	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your
5 6 7 8	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to	5 6 7 8	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive.
5 6 7 8 9	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.	5 6 7 8 9	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to
5 6 7 8 9 10	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK:	5 6 7 8 9	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right?	5 6 7 8 9 10	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times. Mr. Clark, are you asking him
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times. Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions.	5 66 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times. Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions. Q. So, I guess I misspoke. It's a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times. Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony? MR. CLARK: When a question
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions. Q. So, I guess I misspoke. It's a client of your client.  So, you disagree with the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you answer by saying my opinion A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion. Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive. So, are you refusing to answer it? MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times. Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony? MR. CLARK: When a question is when a question is asked at a deposition
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions. Q. So, I guess I misspoke. It's a client of your client.  So, you disagree with the client of the client that the photos that the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you answer by saying my opinion  A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion.  Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive.  So, are you refusing to answer it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times.  Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony?  MR. CLARK: When a question is when a question is asked at a deposition and a witness says something, that does not mean
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions. Q. So, I guess I misspoke. It's a client of your client.  So, you disagree with the client of the client that the photos that the rocks shown in photo number two of P-4 pose a	5 66 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you answer by saying my opinion  A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion.  Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive.  So, are you refusing to answer it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times.  Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony?  MR. CLARK: When a question is when a question is asked at a deposition and a witness says something, that does not mean the witness answered the question.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions. Q. So, I guess I misspoke. It's a client of your client.  So, you disagree with the client of the client that the photos that the rocks shown in photo number two of P-4 pose a safety hazard on the job site. You disagree with	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you answer by saying my opinion  A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion.  Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive.  So, are you refusing to answer it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times.  Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony?  MR. CLARK: When a question is when a question is asked at a deposition and a witness says something, that does not mean the witness answered the question.  So, I'm wondering if the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions. Q. So, I guess I misspoke. It's a client of your client.  So, you disagree with the client of the client that the photos that the rocks shown in photo number two of P-4 pose a safety hazard on the job site. You disagree with that. Is that right?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you answer by saying my opinion  A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion.  Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive.  So, are you refusing to answer it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times.  Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony?  MR. CLARK: When a question is when a question is asked at a deposition and a witness says something, that does not mean the witness answered the question.  So, I'm wondering if the witness is refusing to answer the question.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Grand LHN, you disagree with him that those are tripping hazards and pose a safety risk on a job site.  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form.  BY MR. CLARK: Q. Is that right? A. Grand LHN isn't my client. It's  Ms. Mulhern's Attorney Mulhern's law firm.  And I I don't understand what you're saying.  Do they steer my opinions? No. My opinions are my opinions. Q. So, I guess I misspoke. It's a client of your client.  So, you disagree with the client of the client that the photos that the rocks shown in photo number two of P-4 pose a safety hazard on the job site. You disagree with	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you answer by saying my opinion  A. It's irrelevant. It has no bearing on my opinion.  Q my opinion don't give your opinion, that would be considered non-responsive.  So, are you refusing to answer it?  MS. MULHERN: Objection to form. I think the same question has been asked and answered multiple times.  Mr. Clark, are you asking him if he disagrees with Mr. Punia's opinion in Mr. Punia's testimony?  MR. CLARK: When a question is when a question is asked at a deposition and a witness says something, that does not mean the witness answered the question.  So, I'm wondering if the witness is refusing to answer the question.  THE WITNESS: No. It's not

Pages 253 - 256 (64) Min-U-Script®

	and v AJD Construction, et al.	Jason B. Randie, F.E. May 20, 2021			
	Page 257		Page 259		
			I Dondlo DE		
1 2	J. Randle, P.E. depositions. I'm you know, I wasn't asked as	1 2	J. Randle, P.E. parties acted reasonably that I was asked to		
3	part of my purpose if I agreed with the	3	opine on, and then I make an opinion about		
4	developer. My purpose is pretty specific. It's	4	Hoiland's actions.		
5	outside of outside of my	5	Q. So, you disagree with Joe Punia that		
6	purpose, it's outside of my scope. I don't see	6	the rocks referenced in his deposition testimony		
7	any relevance to it.	7	at pages 208 to 210 and 212 to 214, which are the		
8	BY MR. CLARK:	8	rocks depicted on photo number two of P-4, you		
9	Q. The problem is witnesses at	9	disagree with him that those are hazardous		
10	depositions don't get to be the judge of what's	10	conditions that pose a safety/tripping hazard.		
11	relevant and proper questions. They're required	11	Is that correct, that you disagree with		
12	to answer questions and give responsive answers	12	A. Yes. This is a construction site.		
13	to the questions to the best of their ability,	13	This is not there is no hazardous condition		
14	unless they're directed not to by, I guess their	14	here.		
15	attorney, which would only be if there's a	15	It hasn't been I'm not		
16	privilege entered or a previously entered court	16	here to prove your case. I'm not here to support		
17	order.	17	Punia's proving of your case. That's not what		
18	So, I'll ask the question one	18	I'm here to do. I'm here to understand the		
19	more time and then we'll just mark it as	19	facts, perform the scientific method and create a		
20	something that we'll file an application with the	20	report within the parameters of Rule 26. That's		
21	court to compel an answer and any attendant	21	what I did. That's my purpose.		
22	relief.	22	Q. So, did you answer that question yes		
23	Joe Punia testified at his	23	and then gave your unrelated colloquy?  I thought I heard a yes in		
24 25	deposition, at pages 208 to 210 and 212 to 214, that the rocks that he referenced depicted in	24 25	there, but I'm not sure. And I'm trying to		
25	that the rocks that he referenced depicted in	25	there, but I'm not suice. And I'm trying to		
	Page 258		Page 260		
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.		
2	photo number two of P-4 are a hazard and a safety	_	J. Randie, I.L.		
	photo number two of 1 1 are a nazara and a surety	2	figure out what the court reporter got as well.		
3	issue and a tripping hazard.				
3 4		2	figure out what the court reporter got as well.		
	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?	2	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you		
4	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said,	2 3 4	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:		
4 5	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty	2 3 4 5	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a		
4 5 6 7 8	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no		
4 5 6 7 8 9	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "		
4 5 6 7 8 9	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So,		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	issue and a tripping hazard.  Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just refusing to answer because you feel it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee pick up on that kind of thing?		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just refusing to answer because you feel it's irrelevant?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee pick up on that kind of thing?  A. It's not a committee. No, no. It's		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just refusing to answer because you feel it's irrelevant?  A. Like I said, I did not based on my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee pick up on that kind of thing?  A. It's not a committee. No, no. It's formatting and languaging. So, it was a typo.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just refusing to answer because you feel it's irrelevant?  A. Like I said, I did not based on my analysis, there was no hazardous condition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee pick up on that kind of thing?  A. It's not a committee. No, no. It's formatting and languaging. So, it was a typo.  Q. Doesn't the scientific method that		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just refusing to answer because you feel it's irrelevant?  A. Like I said, I did not based on my analysis, there was no hazardous condition presented to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee pick up on that kind of thing?  A. It's not a committee. No, no. It's formatting and languaging. So, it was a typo.  Q. Doesn't the scientific method that you employed weed out that kind of thing?		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just refusing to answer because you feel it's irrelevant?  A. Like I said, I did not based on my analysis, there was no hazardous condition presented to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee pick up on that kind of thing?  A. It's not a committee. No, no. It's formatting and languaging. So, it was a typo.  Q. Doesn't the scientific method that you employed weed out that kind of thing?		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you agree or disagree with him in that regard?  A. Let me tell you what I said. I said, as I've already said, my purpose is pretty specific. I mean, we can go through my report in detail and you can decide how that affects Punia.  My purpose was not to evaluate Punia's statement. I'm not prepared here today to pull out excerpts from a 250-page deposition and for you to ask me if I agree with one or another. It's not part of my purpose on this on this report in this case.  Q. So, you don't know whether or not you agree or disagree with him or you're just refusing to answer because you feel it's irrelevant?  A. Like I said, I did not based on my analysis, there was no hazardous condition presented to me.  Q. So, you disagree?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	figure out what the court reporter got as well.  I thought I might have heard a yeah and then you went on. Was it a yes or a yeah?  (The following was read by the court reporter:  "A. Yes. This is a construction site. This is not there is no hazardous condition here. It hasn't been "  MR. CLARK: Got it. So, good. So, after the fifth time he finally answered it. Okay. So, we got the yes.  BY MR. CLARK:  Q. Why did you write in your report that this is a school construction project?  A. I noticed that. That was a typo.  Q. Doesn't your peer-review committee pick up on that kind of thing?  A. It's not a committee. No, no. It's formatting and languaging. So, it was a typo.  Q. Doesn't the scientific method that you employed weed out that kind of thing?  A. In a perfect world, I guess it would.		

Min-U-Script® (65) Pages 257 - 260

way	y 20, 2021		
	Page 261		Page 263
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	peer-review committee would have picked up on	2	MS. MULHERN: No, absolutely
3	that?	3	not.
4	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	4	MS. TUTELO: Objection to
5	form.	5	form.
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think	6	MR. CLARK: Okay. Then we'll
7	you need to read the intro to my	7	have to explore that further.
8	MS. TUTELO: Objection.	8	MS. KALOCSAY: You have one
9	THE WITNESS: report.	9	minute it seems, so
10	Robson is engaged. You know,	10	(Pause.)
11	we don't there's not multiple parties involved	11	MR. CLARK: Okay. I don't
12	or multiple firms involved. We're engaged to	12	have any other questions at this time. Thank you
L3	perform a stated purpose. It could be what it	13	for your time today.
L4	was stated here, it could be a multitude of	14	MS. MULHERN: Mr. Randle, you
15	things.	15	can log off.
16	BY MR. CLARK:	16	(Off the record.)
L7	Q. But could you imagine an article to	17	BY MS. DEROGATIS:
18	be published in the Journal of Engineering and	18	Q. Okay. Hi, sir I just have one
19	Science dealing with the proper construction of a	19	question for you.
20	large building and it was, like, a big military	20	A. Okay.
21	building and they wrote the author wrote that	21	Q. I just want to confirm that when you
22	it was a school construction project, but really	22	have referenced Grand LHN throughout your
23	it was a construction of a military building.	23	deposition, you were referring to Grand LHN III
24	And then could you imagine if a peer-review	24	Urban Renewal, as is noted in page two of your
25	committee missed that and it actually got	25	report. Fair?
	Page 262		Page 26 <sup>4</sup>
1	J. Randle, P.E.	1	J. Randle, P.E.
2	published? Could you imagine something like that	2	A. Yes.
3	ever happening?	3	MS. DEROGATIS: That's it.
4	MS. MULHERN: Objection to	4	Thank you.
5	that question.	5	THE WITNESS: Okay.
6	What does that have to do	6	MS. MULHERN: Does anyone
7	with Mr. Randle's opinions in this case?	7	else have any other questions?
8	And I know that we're coming	8	MS. KALOCSAY: No.
9	up against, you know, the slated end time. So, I	9	MS. MULHERN: All right.
10	don't really want to waste time on questions.	10	You're finished. Thank you very much.
11	I mean, he testified it's a	11	THE WITNESS: All right.
L2	typo, Jerry. You know, I don't know what more	12	Have a good day.
13	there is for you to get from him on that.	13	THE COURT REPORTER: All
	•		right, Counsel, I just need to get on the record
L4	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the	14	
	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his	14 15	who is ordering.
L5	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that		who is ordering. So, Doug, are you?
15 16	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think	15	who is ordering. So, Doug, are you? MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes.
15 16 17	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think that those questions speak to that.	15 16	who is ordering.  So, Doug, are you?  MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes.  Electronic copy only, please.
15 16 17 18	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think that those questions speak to that.  MS. MULHERN: And he	15 16 17	who is ordering.  So, Doug, are you?  MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes.  Electronic copy only, please.  THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Coffey?
L5 L6 L7 L8	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think that those questions speak to that.  MS. MULHERN: And he testified it's a typo. I'm not sure there's much	15 16 17 18	who is ordering. So, Doug, are you? MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes. Electronic copy only, please. THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Coffey? MR. COFFEY: Same, electronic
15 16 17 18 19	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think that those questions speak to that.  MS. MULHERN: And he testified it's a typo. I'm not sure there's much more there.	15 16 17 18 19	who is ordering. So, Doug, are you? MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes. Electronic copy only, please. THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Coffey? MR. COFFEY: Same, electronic only.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think that those questions speak to that.  MS. MULHERN: And he testified it's a typo. I'm not sure there's much more there.  MR. CLARK: Can we stipulate	15 16 17 18 19 20	who is ordering. So, Doug, are you? MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes.  Electronic copy only, please. THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Coffey? MR. COFFEY: Same, electronic only. THE COURT REPORTER: Pauline?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think that those questions speak to that.  MS. MULHERN: And he testified it's a typo. I'm not sure there's much more there.  MR. CLARK: Can we stipulate that this was not a peer-reviewed piece and that	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	who is ordering. So, Doug, are you? MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes.  Electronic copy only, please. THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Coffey? MR. COFFEY: Same, electronic only. THE COURT REPORTER: Pauline? MS. TUTELO: Yes, electronic
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CLARK: Well, he's the one that opened up the day to try to bolster his opinion that his report is peer-reviewed and that he employed the scientific method. So, I think that those questions speak to that.  MS. MULHERN: And he testified it's a typo. I'm not sure there's much more there.  MR. CLARK: Can we stipulate	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	who is ordering. So, Doug, are you? MR. ROSENZWEIG: Yes.  Electronic copy only, please. THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Coffey? MR. COFFEY: Same, electronic only. THE COURT REPORTER: Pauline?

Pages 261 - 264 (66) Min-U-Script®

```
Page 265
 1
              J. Randle, P.E.
                MS. KALOCSAY: Yes, same.
 2
                THE COURT REPORTER: And,
 3
 4
    Lisa?
 5
                MS. DEROGATIS: Not at this
 6
    time.
 7
                THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.
 8
    Thanks, everyone.
 9
10
         (Deposition concluded at 4:52 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                  Page 266
 1
              J. Randle, P.E.
               CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
    SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
    HUDSON COUNTY
 4
              I, Dolores DeFilippis,
 5
    RMR-CCR-CLR, before whom the examination under
 6
    oath of said witness was taken, do hereby certify
 7
    that the witness, whose testimony appears in the
 9
    foregoing examination under oath, was duly sworn,
10
    and that the transcribed deposition of said
11 witness is a true record of the testimony given
12 by the witness; that the proceedings herein are
    recorded fully and accurately; that I am neither
13
14 attorney nor counsel for, nor related to any of
    the parties to the action in which this
15
   examination under oath was taken; and, further,
16
    that I am not a relative of any attorney or
17
18
    counsel employed by the parties hereto, or
19
    financially interested in this action.
20
21
22
               DOLORES DeFILIPPIS, RMR-CCR-CLR
23
               License No. 30X100216300
24
25
```

Min-U-Script® (67) Pages 265 - 266

## **This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

				May 20, 2021
	according (1)	18:21;19:6,11,11;	23:22	105:23;106:5,7,9,12,
\$	73:7	20:9;21:10;22:7;	aging (1)	17;107:20;110:5;
Ψ	accordingly (1)	23:14;28:23;31:14;	56:10	111:23;121:21;
<b>\$15,000</b> (1)	83:24	33:8,25;35:7;58:16	ago (5)	128:15;129:3,12;
12:18	account (3)	administrative (1)	8:22;9:2;123:24;	130:8,25;132:20;
\$238 (2)	170:10,14;172:5	205:14	149:10;189:17	133:3;176:8,13;
128:5;232:25	accurate (1)	administrator's (2)	agree (79)	178:24;182:14;184:3;
\$430 (1)	61:11	191:19;192:24	39:22;42:16;63:4;	185:3;199:22;200:6;
28:10	accurately (1)	admins (2)	65:10,14,23;66:3;	219:4;229:21;232:12;
20.10	266:13	19:9;58:10	67:10,13,14;70:7;	234:22
$\mathbf{A}$	achievable (2)	admin's (1)	71:24;72:3;73:18;	AJD's (10)
	230:19;231:17	26:2	74:3;75:9,14;77:10;	85:5;112:21;168:9;
ability (2)	achieve (2)	admissibility (1)	79:21;80:5;81:23;	178:7;179:23;191:4;
67:11;257:13	218:6;230:8	63:16	85:4,10,13;86:7;87:4;	206:18;208:18;
able (5)	across (7)	advertising (1)	93:13,19,24;95:20;	232:24;233:16
7:23,25;19:15;	34:24;95:10,17,25;	35:14	96:18;100:5,8;101:2,	al (1)
63:19;169:20	194:15;198:3;203:14	advise (1)	10,14,17;103:8;	89:15
absolute (2)	act (1)	12:13	104:12,20;110:12,16,	alarm (2)
77:25;101:25	240:12	affects (1)	20;111:12,22;112:4,	130:22;166:11
absolutely (2)	acted (3)	258:9	13,17;113:6,10;	allow (3)
215:9;263:2	190:21;213:17;	afforded (1)	117:8;126:20;131:9;	16:16;52:14;67:7
absurd (1)	259:2	48:19	132:20;133:3,13;	Alloway (1)
83:19	action (16)	afterwards (1)	134:19,24;135:12;	98:12
accept (2)	185:8;186:20;	76:23	136:9,17;137:6,10;	allowed (1)
21:24;127:5	205:5,8,16;207:10;	again (85)	138:4,6,14;139:2;	33:3
acceptable (9)	212:15;214:6,7;	15:10;24:3;25:18;	152:14;153:17;	allowing (1)
73:3;172:11,11,21;	215:18,19,22,25;	26:8,15,23;29:7,11,	191:21;231:14;247:4,	48:10
173:9;174:18;220:8,	219:25;266:15,19	20;30:24;31:3,16;	24;251:6;253:5,10;	allows (3)
14;231:6	actions (1)	34:2;35:15;40:14;	258:4,13,17	21:7;82:14,14
accepted (1)	259:4	41:18;42:8;45:9;	agreed (10)	almost (3)
172:8	active (5)	50:10;54:23;60:19;	128:21;188:21;	40:7;140:12;223:22
access (79)	59:22;70:21;94:16;	61:3;64:5;68:25;	191:5;194:18,19;	alone (1)
16:12;19:9,12;	95:2;168:23	69:22;74:6;75:5,15;	195:19;196:10;241:9;	155:13
20:10;65:2;71:12,15;	activities (2)	76:16;77:2,23;78:3,6;	245:14;257:3	along (8)
85:15;98:7;113:5,13,	73:22;145:12	79:16,19;80:23;	agreeing (1)	14:16;31:12;73:6;
19;114:2,4;115:11,17,	actually (16)	83:22;90:15;91:16;	123:9	88:25;89:11,25;
19,19,23;117:21;	21:14;23:23;45:15,	94:25;97:24;98:24;	agreement (8)	114:4;232:17
118:3,5,12,14,18;	21,25;56:6,11;58:9;	100:3;102:18;104:19;	16:15;87:11;88:3;	always (4)
119:7,16,20,23;120:5,	112:24;161:6;171:13;	107:12;108:16;109:3,	138:18;189:2,4;	67:14;204:12;
6,16,19;121:12,13,15;	211:12;217:7;233:3;	9,17;120:19;124:25;	190:7;201:10	232:2,3
122:24;123:2,9,19,22,	241:18;261:25	126:2;129:17;133:7;	agreements (1)	ameliorate (1)
25;124:5,9,10,10,15;	add (3)	135:20;136:25;139:9;	43:17	249:10
125:2,7;134:21;	26:6;80:24;106:2	145:6;148:2;149:9,	agreement-type (1)	among (2)
135:8;136:4;137:8;	added (1)	20;157:9;158:19;	42:14	5:3;89:20
139:14;140:10;	28:25	160:15;163:14;	ahead (9)	analysis (13)
145:17;153:11;	adding (3)	166:16;168:22;171:7,	21:9;23:3;90:14;	30:22;145:18;
155:12,15;161:17;	30:21,23;149:18	24;179:25;188:7;	128:18;146:11;	153:19:170:8:171:8,
163:19;164:7;168:11;	addition (5)	190:9;203:12;208:6,	177:22;209:11;	25;201:13;226:4,4;
172:18;173:8;174:15;	97:16;101:6;147:8;	19;212:12;216:24;	221:19;244:16	241:19;245:19;247:6;
185:23;192:10;	148:13;226:7	218:9;219:17,20;	air (1)	258:21
202:19,21;204:6;	additional (1)	238:6;240:6;249:18,	53:5	analyze (7)
218:12;226:6,9,21,21;	30:22	20	AJ (1)	153:4;214:22;
231:4,10;247:22	address (2)	against (3)	70:6	222:2,4;240:8;
accident (2)	49:18;120:15	183:5;229:24;262:9	AJD (49)	244:20;251:11
109:5;229:24	addressing (1)	aggregate (8)	64:24;66:13;67:3;	analyzed (3)
accidents (4)	130:15	154:13,23,24;	71:3,7,9,13,16,18,21;	214:17;221:23;
230:9,15;231:16,22	adequate (1)	155:3;159:17,25;	75:11;77:3,13;78:13;	227:15
accomplish (1)	134:4	188:8;203:20	79:23;80:15;85:11;	analyzing (2)
205:15	admin (14)	aggressive (1)	89:15;92:12;93:4;	170:11;244:24
403.13		magressive (1)	07.10,72.12,73.7,	170.11,277.27
Min-IJ-Scrint®		FrontinoRenorting, LLC	٠	(1) \$15.000 - analyzing

111uy 20, 2021				
and/or (2)	24:4;232:14	94:5;248:17	165:11;185:22	146:14;204:9;258:20
161:25;163:21	approximate (3)	assign (1)	100.11,100.22	basic (5)
ankle (2)	6:15;61:16;63:8	15:19	В	93:5;104:4;140:12;
178:20;197:16	approximately (1)	assigned (4)		162:13;163:3
ankles (1)	137:15	14:13;27:2;70:23;	back (71)	basically (4)
176:21	April (1)	128:20	15:12;18:25;37:6,7,	14:18;171:9;
answered (14)	43:9	assist (2)	10,16;38:15;46:12,	192:25;238:3
32:3;72:23;73:24;	arbitration (1)	45:6,19	15;49:12;53:10,12;	basis (5)
80:16;81:20;87:8;	59:9	assistant (6)	65:18;66:23;75:4;	60:13;110:17;
131:21;205:4;214:4;	arbitration/mediation (1)	121:22;123:7;	79:17,18;80:4;86:11,	208:9;236:7;239:12
237:9,20;256:14,21;	36:9	121.22,123.7, 129:11;176:16;185:2;	16,20,22,24;87:9,18,	bearing (1)
260:12	area (50)	233:11	20,24;105:8;106:24;	256:6
Anything's (3)	94:16;95:2;112:6;	associated (1)	109:6;126:3;138:15;	beat (1)
63:3;188:14,20	113:14;118:21;	67:3	139:11,12;140:8;	17:6
	119:23;122:8,9,13,23;	assume (1)	144:5;145:16;149:10;	beating (1)
apart (1) 72:6	119.23,122.8,9,13,23, 123:12,18;124:14;	6:22	156:22;160:2;162:9,	232:17
			20,23;163:14;189:11,	
Apologies (1) 50:16	125:17,20,23;126:15,	assuming (5)	15;190:3,25;191:3;	became (1) 9:8
	18;131:11,25;134:19,	7:24;25:20;91:22;		
apologize (12)	24;136:4,9;137:18;	105:21;200:9	195:23;201:17;	become (2)
12:2;22:23,25;23:6;	138:15,24;144:23;	attached (1) 118:21	208:17;211:20,21; 224:11;227:2;229:2;	29:14;210:18
53:11;143:17,19,21;	145:17;146:2;147:2,		1 1	becoming (1)
161:21;167:10;	15;148:5;164:9,24;	attempt (4)	234:3,16;235:24;	81:10
190:10;209:12	165:18,23;168:13;	144:17;145:16;	236:14,17,23;237:4;	beginning (5)
apparently (1)	184:7;185:4;187:4,7;	165:17;232:3	238:16;239:5;240:22;	37:12,17;43:4;
48:9	193:2,3,3;205:14;	attendant (1)	245:12;249:15;250:7;	185:10;197:12
appear (14)	209:22;226:21;	257:21	255:9	behalf (3)
96:7;105:22;	246:25;251:4	attention (2)	back-bladed (2)	16:4;116:8,22
151:11,21;152:17,19;	areas (15)	179:5,24	184:8;205:12	behind (4)
153:2,11,13,14,15;	111:14,24;112:8,9,	attorney (14)	back-blading (5)	217:23;231:25;
154:15;159:21;	11,13,15;113:6,7,8;	5:18;25:22;29:2;	161:25;163:20;	240:3;252:2
160:13	147:12,13;148:18;	38:16;44:12;67:4;	164:9;187:4,20	bell (1)
appears (9)	167:23;172:15	84:20;89:22;105:22;	backfilled (3)	121:23
105:19;108:14;	arena (1)	106:23;254:13;	141:7;143:23;144:9	below (2)
151:13;152:7;154:8;	59:8	257:15;266:14,17	backfilling (3)	252:19,20
168:21;188:4;227:8;	argue (1)	attorney/client (1)	138:25;146:2;148:4	belts (1)
266:8	51:13	31:19	background (5)	149:18
application (3)	argued (1)	audit (2)	75:24;117:17;	benefit (1)
44:21;47:9;257:20	118:13	214:24;215:6	124:8;128:7;133:8	13:15
applies (2)	arguing (2)	author (2)	bad (6)	Berenguer (1)
82:24;97:8	51:17;119:16	29:9;261:21	95:19;99:14;100:3,	14:20
apply (5)	around (18)	authority (2)	12;102:20;103:19	Bergman (1)
76:3;89:19;96:12;	56:25;92:17;129:2;	71:4;178:24	baffled (1)	51:9
125:23;126:18	159:13;161:12,17;	avoid (2)	140:4	Besides (2)
appreciate (1)	163:18;172:19;	10:24;13:5	bailiwick (1)	182:11,17
107:6	178:11;179:11;201:4,	awarded (1)	40:25	best (6)
appreciation (1)	4,11;202:16;205:6;	128:21	balance (3)	16:9;61:13,18;
37:17	219:9;231:10;240:11	aware (32)	56:6,19,20	67:11;179:5;257:13
apprehension (3)	arriving (1)	43:8;48:17;50:19;	balancing (1)	better (6)
34:16;35:11,18	262:25	97:6,17;98:11,17;	56:7	37:19;60:17;103:9,
approached (2)	article (4)	104:17;114:12,22;	Bart (2)	20;165:18;173:24
184:18,20	10:10;11:9;13:3;	115:15,25;118:6;	13:25;14:2	beyond (5)
appropriate (11)	261:17	119:5,21,24;123:16,	base (1)	19:19;35:17;81:11,
52:13;93:9;94:22;	aside (8)	20;130:24;131:3;	69:14	25;84:8
95:10,16,25;108:11;	38:22;43:16,19;	142:24;165:4;169:18,	based (19)	bickering (2)
185:17;186:24;247:2,	44:14,16;46:25;47:3;	21;176:5,18;180:17;	20:4;24:11;28:25;	211:12,17
19	117:3	181:4;183:4;185:21,	29:12;32:24;54:25;	bid (1)
appropriately (1)	aspect (1)	24;243:4	64:2;66:5;68:9,14;	201:10
60:25	100:25	away (6)	70:3;77:16,24;	big (13)
approval (2)	assessment (2)	25:21;63:5,13;75:4;	138:18;142:7;145:18;	34:23;60:10,10;
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	Т		Г	May 20, 2021
102:18;128:15;	98:13		151:20;153:25;	6;50:20;51:10,11;
216:10;217:22,24;	brain (1)	C	155:20;156:22;157:5,	54:2,25;59:4;63:15,
232:12,13,24,24;	194:13	C	5;159:11,15;160:21,	20,25;66:14;68:9,20;
261:20	Bram (2)	call (24)	22;161:10,11;165:12;	76:25;79:7;83:4;
bigger (1)	121:24,25	21:21;29:16,18;	168:14,18;171:2;	89:14,17,17;98:5,12,
54:12	Brant (1)	31:19;42:21;49:16,	174:7;175:14;176:11;	18;106:20;107:21;
biggest (1)	9:24	22;50:11;61:25;62:3;	177:10,11,17;179:5,	112:22,25;114:5,20,
76:14	break (11)	63:24;81:10,11;	12,13;180:11;184:14;	25;121:21;123:12;
bill (3)	62:12,14;72:6;	118:4;124:18;125:13,	186:3;187:15,23;	130:24;131:6;132:15;
28:5,8;60:24	85:21;104:23,24;	14;161:8;214:19;	189:8,14;192:2,20,25;	171:15;176:6,19;
billable (1)	105:3,8,15;106:20;	226:22;235:23;239:4;	193:7,17;196:14,15;	177:15;187:18;
60:20	177:19	240:8;242:4	198:8;200:16;205:2,	193:24;198:24;223:2;
billings (1)	breaking (1)	called (15)	21;207:14;215:21;	227:23;228:12;
27:11	219:16	11:17;28:18;54:2,5,	218:5;225:10;229:2,	232:21;242:7,8;
bills (1)	Brett (1)	6,7,8,8,9;56:5;58:23;	9;230:7;232:25;	244:19;256:25;
28:6	13:14	59:15;61:3;62:18;	234:3;235:11;237:11;	258:15;259:16,17;
bit (10)	bridging (1)	89:15	238:7,15;241:11;	262:7
24:7;75:23;78:7,8,	156:23	calling (5)	242:10;245:12;246:8,	cases (43)
19;80:20;92:18;	brief (1)	113:19;117:21;	19;247:3,9;248:13,	7:17;9:15,25;14:11;
128:2;129:10;149:21	85:23	120:15;123:22,24	14;249:15,16;250:4;	16:4;17:17,20;22:9;
black (4)	briefly (1)	calls (2)	251:5;258:8,9;	23:16;29:15;32:6,7,7,
82:23,24;222:17;	90:21	79:12;83:23	262:22;263:15	14,16,20,22;34:13;
227:6	bring (13)	came (10)	capacity (1)	36:3,6;38:13;39:18;
bladed (1)	57:3,6,11,18,20;	11:11;106:22;	99:23	42:2;43:19;45:15,25;
212:19	158:5;178:7,15;	120:11;153:4;160:7,	captures (1)	47:22;55:9,11;57:15;
blading (1)	197:3;239:19;246:25;	10;185:12;186:16;	68:8	58:2;59:11,19,22,22,
185:15	247:19;249:2	204:16,21	car (1)	24;60:4,21,22;62:4;
blame (4)	bringing (4)	can (194)	82:22	111:4;227:16;228:2
206:5,22;212:3;	149:19;164:10;	6:14;8:2;11:22;	care (9)	catalog (1)
215:25	187:5;198:12	13:10,14;15:10;19:9;	118:24;146:13,18,	64:13
blank (1)	broke (3)	20:2;21:8;27:19,22;	20;147:4,9;148:9,14;	catalogued (1)
26:5	188:25;189:3;190:7	29:5,22;30:19,25;	165:25	123:25
blips (1)	brought (7)	32:6,14;33:10,20,21;	career (2)	catch (1)
8:22	49:11;179:4,23;	34:19;36:4;37:5,7,18;	169:19;238:12	233:22
block-size (1)	187:6;249:25;250:12,	38:10;39:3;43:3;44:3;	careful (3)	catching (3)
174:21	17	45:14;49:8,19;52:2,	115:17;117:21;	212:22;218:8,11
board (2)	budget (2)	10,11,13;53:21;	123:24	categories (1)
56:5,20	70:22;232:16	54:15;55:11,16,21;	carrying (3)	52:6
bolster (1)	build (4)	56:5;58:20;61:15,17;	73:6;179:10,12	category (1) 51:25
262:15	65:2;88:18;91:5,19	62:5,13,19,20,25;	cars (3) 83:2,3,3	cause (10)
book (2) 75:4,7	<b>builders (2)</b> 24:15,16	63:3,10;65:7,18;	cart (2)	101:11,19;104:18;
both (4)	Building (10)	66:18;67:6,13;68:17;	199:8;201:16	130:21;166:10,12,21;
48:8;76:3;103:10;	5:10;150:20;160:3,	72:4,6;76:8,17,22,23;	carted (1)	185:7;186:17;228:14
236:17	5;167:13;226:8;	78:11,11,12;79:9,14, 20;80:24;82:17;83:6,	198:20	caused (2)
bottom (2)	239:24;261:20,21,23	7;84:3;85:23;86:2,23,	carting (2)	18:6;245:16
106:13;251:13	buildings (2)	24;87:17,23;88:9;	198:11;202:4	causes (1)
bought (1)	11:10,14	90:25;92:9;94:13;	case (109)	228:24
56:4	built (2)	100:22;103:25;	5:19;7:16,18;14:12,	CD (1)
Bourse (1)	91:8,8	108:18;109:8;115:24;	19;15:18;17:9,22,24;	64:4
5:10	bulldozer (1)	117:8,13;119:11;	18:4,13;20:5,21;21:4;	cents (1)
boxes (2)	217:3	120:8,14,14,16;	24:11;25:3,6,14,19,	84:21
70:25;72:11	bunch (2)	125:25,25;127:5,9,23;	20;27:7,10,14,20;	CEO (1)
Boy (3)	28:15;57:7	128:11;130:18;	28:5,9;29:5,12,13,24;	13:24
201:22;232:12,24	business (4)	131:16;135:5,19;	30:3;33:5;36:24;38:8,	certain (8)
boys (4)	69:8,11;201:21;	136:24;137:6,10;	14,17,22;39:14,16;	15:19;25:7;39:22;
128:16;150:16;	202:4	138:11,24;139:8,23;	40:3,18,25;41:19,23;	69:20;76:24;89:19;
151:14;153:9	businesses (1)	142:13;143:25;144:4,	43:21,23;44:11,17,19;	188:8;190:22
Bradlees (1)	69:11	4,12;145:17;149:24;	47:4,7,23,25;48:2,3,5,	certainly (2)
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

		T	T	
125:17;190:11	cities (1)	170:9,17;171:12,20;	clicking (3)	9:11;260:17,19;
certainty (1)	202:10	173:2,19;175:5,20;	14:7;23:21;159:6	261:2,25
160:21	City (1)	177:3,13,24;180:15;	client (19)	common (24)
<b>CERTIFICATE</b> (1)	174:20	181:2;183:9,16,19;	25:5;26:7,10;39:21;	45:15,21;101:11;
266:2	city-block-wide (1)	185:9;186:9;187:3,	40:18;44:8,11;54:6;	140:13;141:9;145:2;
certification (1)	146:15	25;189:14,25;192:6,	68:19;69:14;210:13,	153:19;164:21;165:5;
5:4	civil (1)	21;193:22;196:2,6,8,	19;254:3,4,12,18,18,	175:9,22,25;176:3;
certify (1)	9:22	19;198:6,22;199:5;	20,20	186:8;194:18,21;
266:7	claimed (2)	201:14;202:12;	clients (8)	195:5,15,20;196:10;
<b>CFR</b> (1)	18:6;215:3	203:21;205:7;206:3,	17:6;24:6;44:6,15;	197:19;240:20,23;
74:13	claims (1)	16;207:7;208:12;	47:2;69:17;210:14,15	242:14
challenge (1)	195:11	209:4,24;210:12;	CLM (1)	communicate (1)
60:24	clarification (7)	211:11,16,19;212:10;	11:17	108:11
challenging (2)	82:10;90:22;	213:18;214:18;	close (1)	communicating (1)
204:7;227:17	236:19,22;237:4,11;	215:11;216:12,19,25;	223:22	95:13
change (1)	238:8	217:11;218:17;	closed (1)	company (6)
89:24	clarified (1)	219:14;220:15;221:3,	59:22	16:16;19:20;27:3;
changed (1)	214:15	9,17,24;222:13;223:4,	closer (1)	60:11,16;104:16
59:20	clarify (5)	18;224:8,16,21;225:2,	9:17	compare (1)
changing (2)	50:8,19;82:11;	11;226:24;227:21;	closes (1)	210:22
110:25;195:18	196:14,15	228:9,16;229:12,18;	29:25	comparing (3)
characterization (1)	CLARK (253)	230:6,17;231:2,13;	close-up (1)	43:22;44:18;47:5
83:12	5:16,18;13:13;	232:23;233:12;234:2,	151:5	compel (1)
characterized (1)	14:22;15:11,23;	14;235:12;236:3,12;	cloud (2)	257:21
225:16	22:12,16;23:5,8;34:8;	237:6,13,20,23;	8:12;19:21	competent (11)
charge (8)	35:25;37:4;38:21;	238:15;239:15;	Club (3)	75:10;129:23;
70:8;77:3;87:14;	42:20;43:15;44:10,	240:16;241:12;243:5,	150:16;151:14;	135:22;142:23;
88:6;104:16;124:2;	25;45:11;46:8,10,14,	12,20,22;244:6,14;	153:9	149:15,15;165:3;
167:2;226:8	21;47:19;48:13,23;	246:12,17,23;247:8,	clutter (1)	170:6;172:10;213:6;
cheaper (2)	49:5,15,23;50:13;	15;248:8;249:8,22;	100:7	232:5
201:7,15	51:7;52:15;53:3,8,9;	250:6,15;253:13,18,	Coast (1)	complain (2)
check (4)	56:13,18;61:24;	25;254:10;255:7,25;	7:8	182:10;197:14
31:15;72:12;	62:11;63:23;64:9,21;	256:15,18;257:8;	Coffey (2)	complained (9)
176:11;244:22	65:9;66:12;75:3,8;	260:10,13;261:16;	264:19,20	157:8,12;179:17;
checked (2)	82:8,11,12,16,18;	262:14,22;263:6,11	coherent (1)	183:6;204:16,21;
28:23;70:25	83:18;84:5,25;85:24;	Clark's (1)	147:19	207:16;211:23,24
chose (1)	86:6,13,19,23;87:10,	83:17	collection (1)	complaint (3)
128:16	19,23;88:14;89:5;	clause (4)	26:25	179:21;184:23;
chunks (10)	91:3,14;92:5,15;98:4;	70:2,5;96:22,25	collective (1)	205:6
151:9,12;152:8,20,	102:25;104:22;	clean (15)	68:13	complaints (6)
20;153:16;154:16;	105:10;106:6,11,16,	99:9;113:9;165:10,	colloquy (2)	176:19,23;180:18;
158:25;168:12;175:8	18;108:9;109:22;	15;166:5,7,18;	90:18;259:23	182:6,24;184:5
circle (3)	111:21;114:13;116:4;	172:10;173:4;185:4;	color (4)	complete (6)
159:12;161:3;	117:19;118:22;	204:14;208:16;211:3;	67:20,24;82:22,23	23:4;83:14,15;
209:25	120:25;121:3;125:16;	217:18,20	comfortable (4)	163:8;209:20;243:23
circled (2)	126:22;127:14,25;	cleaned (2)	55:24;67:9;203:8;	completed (4)
164:6;210:4	128:13;129:7;130:23;	99:18:110:17	232:20	18:2;58:15;236:2;
circling (2)	131:15;134:18;	cleaning (2)	coming (4)	239:7
141:24;252:22	135:11,24;136:16;	173:18,21	34:24;107:7;208:7;	completely (3)
		,		2 0 1
circulated (1) 105:16	137:5,20;138:20;	clear (2)	262:8	48:14;84:22;210:9
	139:15;140:2,18;	26:22;190:13	commented (2)	completion (1)
circumstance (1)	143:2,18;144:19;	clearinghouse (1)	81:21;242:11	73:23
205:24	145:20;146:22;149:2;	15:4	<b>commercial (1)</b> 24:16	<b>complicated (1)</b> 167:14
circumstances (6)	151:23;153:5;154:4;	clearly (6)		
68:14;69:20;	155:25;156:25;159:7;	51:20;106:5;	commitment (1)	comply (1)
129:15;165:23;205:6;	160:24;161:15,23;	111:14,19;220:21;	93:14	83:9
226:13	162:8;163:7;164:2;	221:11	committed (1)	comport (1)
citation (1)	166:3,22;167:17;	click (2)	229:22	200:11
97:12	168:24;169:8,17;	34:5,5	committee (5)	concept (7)
-	1	I	1	1

16:17;73:19;95:20;	confirm (3)	170:5,5,12;174:16,21;	contractual (1)	couple (9)
99:9;104:5;187:16;	64:16;177:11;	176:15;183:24;	66:6	17:3,12;39:17;50:7;
201:11	263:21	186:13;205:25;206:2;	contradicting (1)	110:2;137:18;177:17;
conceptually (3)	confirming (3)	212:14;231:21;232:7;	182:13	178:10;193:6
76:6;78:9;190:22	70:20;149:7;158:19	236:5;239:10,19,25;	contrary (1)	course (8)
concern (13)	conflict (1)	253:23;254:4;259:12;	127:7	44:6;52:14;81:15;
103:25;104:8,9;	245:23	260:8,15;261:19,22,	control (5)	113:2;137:2;177:19;
120:15;130:7,13;	conflicts (2)	23	65:12,17,25;66:8;	244:5;248:16
166:12;185:7;241:2;	10:24;13:6	construction-injury (8)	141:25	court (63)
242:21,21;252:7,13	confronted (3)	25:2;32:16,22;	controlling (6)	13:15;15:14;33:4,
concerned (2)	210:17;211:23;	33:13;36:3;41:19,23;	71:9,21;72:10;	12;36:7;37:21;40:5,
47:20;186:17	215:12	48:4	80:14;185:18,19	23;42:15;43:3;45:23,
concerning (11)	confused (3)	construction-injury-litigation (4)	controls (2)	24;46:24;48:24;
6:7;17:25;18:15,22;	46:22;164:3;196:17	36:16;37:24;42:19,	70:14;94:22	49:10;51:21,23;55:9,
50:21;89:10;128:3,7;	confusing (1)	24	conversation (9)	13;57:2,5;61:2,9;
129:11;214:13;	69:3	consultant (4)	35:17,21;149:9;	63:15;65:22;75:4;
250:21	Connecticut (1)	70:12;77:6;129:18;	181:10,24;183:2;	82:20;83:8,9;84:18;
concerns (3)	18:7	240:13	194:6,10;201:12	86:10,17,21;87:3;
43:17,20;104:2	connection (1)	contain (7)	conversations (1)	88:2;89:18,19,20;
concluded (1)	5:23	36:19;38:3,24;39:5,	182:19	98:12;109:12;126:13;
265:10	consider (6)	8,12;40:5	convicted (1)	144:4,7;162:22;
concrete (27)	117:5;125:9;	contained (1)	12:16	163:12,16;189:19;
74:20;120:9,9;	203:15;217:15,17;	148:15	cool (5)	223:10;234:5;238:22;
150:21;151:9,12,12;	233:8	contention (6)	56:5;161:16;163:9,	243:18;250:9;257:16,
152:2,8,20,20;153:13,	considered (8)	212:25;218:7,10,	17;217:25	21;260:2,6;264:13,19,
15;154:16;159:22;	80:11,13;131:19;	13,18,20	copies (1)	22,25;265:3,7;266:3
160:2,14;168:12;	224:6,10;225:21;	contingent (2)	22:9	cover (1)
173:8;175:8;198:10;	226:12;256:9	237:19;238:4	copy (4)	132:12
200:23,23,24;201:4;	consistent (6)	continue (4)	14:9;42:5;264:18,	covered (2)
247:22;251:19	152:12;153:12,13;	9:9;10:13;49:19; 80:9	24	134:22;136:6
condition (50)	156:14;159:21; 160:13		core (9)	COVID (4)
91:22;113:10; 138:16;139:12;146:4;	constant (3)	continues (3) 179:2;251:23;253:5	93:24;191:5; 233:14,17;234:6,20,	7:4,11;19:4;57:10 <b>cows (1)</b>
164:20;165:9;166:19;	164:23;165:17;		23,25;235:6	45:4
168:3;171:4;172:21;	194:25,165:17,	continuing (2) 51:5;143:15	23,23,233.0 corporate (4)	CR (2)
175:6,10,17,17,19,22;	constantly (1)	contract (5)	25:21;127:2;	203:24,25
176:3;178:7;179:8,	110:25	57:12;69:18,23;	235:14;238:25	cranes (1)
18,23;182:13;183:10;	construct (4)	70:6;91:23	corporations (1)	74:20
184:5;194:18,22;	98:7;130:25;	contractor (54)	39:19	create (9)
195:5,19,20;196:10;	132:21;134:3	18:3,5,10;64:23;	corrected (1)	49:13;102:9;
197:20;203:8;204:17,	construction (94)	65:12,17;66:2,7,9,11;	179:9	108:19;145:16;
22;206:7;208:25;	6:7:7:8.9:17:23;	69:19,25;70:8,10,14,	correctly (4)	212:14;215:18;
209:7,8,23;217:16,18;	24:14;34:13;36:11,	20;71:3,10,21;72:11,	71:20;149:23;	226:22;244:21;
225:19,24;226:3,23;	12;39:7;65:7,8;71:16,	13;75:16;80:14;	199:19;252:10	259:19
253:24;258:21;	17;74:18;75:25;	81:15;82:3;85:12,14;	cost (4)	created (6)
259:13;260:9	80:10,12,17;85:11;	92:24;93:4,9,14,20;	198:23;199:3,6,7	34:7;113:18;210:2;
conditioning (1)	88:12,13;89:15;	97:5,19;98:13;100:9;	costs (4)	225:24;226:5,20
53:5	92:13;114:9,10,23;	123:8,17;128:17,22;	199:10,20;200:22;	creating (8)
conditions (11)	115:10;116:2,24;	129:12;138:18;	231:23	31:4;224:6,10;
75:20;76:10,11;	117:10;126:25;127:4;	165:22;169:3;185:18;	counsel (16)	225:4,17,21,23;
93:21;111:25;146:16;	128:4,5;131:10,20;	187:2;199:18;204:20,	5:3;46:19;62:9;	226:12
151:6;167:22;181:9;	134:4;135:8,9,23;	21;226:8,20;228:22;	64:7,12;67:2;84:24;	creation (1)
182:7;259:10	137:3,17;141:20;	240:13,14	85:17;90:24;105:16,	110:18
conduct (1)	142:19,21,22;145:14;	contractors (6)	25;106:20;238:8;	credibility (2)
242:7	146:13,18;147:5;	72:12;74:10;88:11;	264:14;266:14,18	45:21;211:4
conference (1)	148:9,14;149:11;	128:25;149:17;	count (1)	credit (1)
11:13	165:5,25;166:24,25;	232:14	53:20	200:7
confidentiality (1)	167:2,3,6,12,13,19,21,	contracts (1)	COUNTY (1)	crest (1)
42:13	25;168:4,7,23;169:4;	69:24	266:4	111:8
			l .	<u> </u>

1111y 20, 2021		T	T	T
crime (1)	60:10,23	34:11	deposed (5)	226:3
12:15	date (2)	DeFilippis (2)	5:21;6:2,4;7:15;	determining (1)
cross-examination (1)	28:20;54:14	87:9;266:5	40:21	170:19
45:18	dated (1)	define (11)	deposition (72)	developer (23)
crossing (1)	43:9	10:25;55:11;78:7;	7:24;14:21;16:22;	62:16;65:11,24;
48:22	dates (1)	88:25;97:12;138:13;	17:4;31:5;35:17;43:4;	66:14;80:15;116:11,
crush (2)	114:11	141:18;194:6,7;	45:17;49:6,19,21,24;	25;117:4;121:19;
199:11;203:24	Dave (6)	245:3;249:17	51:3,24;57:5;58:15,	126:25;127:3,16;
crushed (12)	137:25;156:4,6;	defined (5)	18;59:8;63:6;64:6;	128:4;169:5;184:4;
156:11;158:11;	175:24;195:6;196:21	97:18;98:15;	78:25;81:8;83:6,6;	185:12;186:12,15;
159:22;160:14;	day (14)	124:20;173:23;245:3	84:4;89:13,14,20;	199:18;218:15;236:6;
161:25;163:21;	8:2;22:7;23:14,23,	defines (3)	105:17;106:21,21;	239:11;257:4
164:10;187:5;197:10;	24;110:3;132:3;	97:4;118:18;146:24	117:15;119:2;120:4,	developing (1)
199:14;200:23;	169:20;190:11;213:2;	defining (7)	16;121:6;122:8;	198:18
247:22	219:21;241:17;	59:24;92:3;139:10;	124:2;131:17;132:18;	dial (5)
crushing (1)	262:15;264:12	144:17;171:4;192:4;	137:7,10,24,24,25;	66:23;75:22;76:17;
160:4	days (4)	216:22	138:22;156:3,23;	78:7;80:19
culture (4)	6:6;113:25;137:16;	definitely (4)	158.22,150.5,25,	diatribe (1)
229:13;235:14;	178:10	23:24;39:24;81:22;	177:11;178:2;180:2,	212:13
238:25;241:24	deal (6)	110:14		dictate (1)
	9:8;49:20;67:6;	definition (7)	16,18;181:5;194:20; 195:11,15;196:22;	8:4
<b>cup (1)</b> 27:24	157:15;185:3;207:19	115:24;124:24;	207:14;223:8;224:24;	different (40)
curtailed (2)	1	142:19;144:20;	251:12;256:19;	14:24;24:18;26:20;
	<b>dealing (1)</b> 261:19	, ,	, ,	
22:3;25:3		145:15;171:5;251:10	257:24;258:13;259:6;	35:6;41:21;60:21;
curve (1) 21:19	death (1) 228:25	definitions (1) 97:25	263:23;265:10; 266:10	72:22;76:2,3;77:7;
				90:10;96:11;97:13,
customers (1)	debris (5)	<b>delete (1)</b> 33:11	<b>Depositions (10)</b>	15,24;102:4;112:24;
69:12	110:22;112:5;		5:22;6:10;12:12;	124:11,12;141:11,19;
<b>customers'</b> (1) 69:12	173:5,8;187:10	deliveries (2)	33:3;51:14;164:23;	142:5,18,20;143:8;
cut (3)	<b>December (1)</b> 200:5	120:7;123:3	165:17;177:15;257:2, 10	146:20;160:3;165:21,
	decent (2)	demo (3) 156:9;158:10;197:8	DEROGATIS (3)	21,25;168:4,5;
29:21;161:21;	, ,			171:23;174:5;184:16;
222:18 CVa (1)	56:6;102:23 <b>decide (2)</b>	demolished (2) 150:20;198:10	263:17;264:3;265:5 derricks (1)	190:20;196:7;198:7; 215:2;239:22
CVs (1) 58:11	40:18;258:9	demolition (18)	74:20	differently (1)
38.11	decision (1)	112:15;113:8;	describe (1)	10:25
D	35:16	125:9,15;150:11,19,	94:13	difficult (1)
	dedicated (3)	25;151:14;152:12;	described (1)	76:20
daily (3)	8:13;11:22;19:6	153:8,10,12;154:17,	151:22	digging (4)
110:17;236:7;	deep (1)	25;156:16;173:7;	describes (1)	115:11;125:10;
239:12	220:18	175:8;251:19	179:7	138:25;152:7
damage (1)	defeat (1)	demoralizing (1)	describing (1)	digress (2)
179:13	56:10	231:24	39:17	149:21;211:18
damages (2)	defects (1)	denied (2)	descriptive (2)	dimensions (1)
18:6;242:8	111:15	228:20,21	37:11,13	124:22
Dan (7)	defend (2)	deny (4)	designated (1)	diminish (1)
121:20,25;122:3;	48:2,5	176:22;180:17;	136:18	141:13
129:12;176:7;178:11;	defendant (1)	181:5;211:24	despite (2)	dips (1)
197:13	240:18	denying (3)	168:7,10	216:10
Dangerfield (1)	defendants (2)	181:24;182:24,25	detail (3)	direct (9)
201:18	118:13;119:15	depends (4)	80:24;94:14;258:9	26:5;48:15;52:2;
dangerous (4)	defending (8)	25:18;26:15;88:23;	details (1)	97:9;98:2,5,25;
171:4;225:19;	18:3,10,12;66:13,	129:14	108:16	185:15;186:23
226:2;229:2	14;68:21;210:16,19	depicted (6)	determine (11)	directed (4)
dash (2)	defense (9)	226:6;238:17;	43:23;44:19;45:20,	49:7;185:17;
28:19;200:8	7:16,19;17:20,22;	240:20;253:6;257:25;	20;47:7;104:18,18;	246:12;257:14
data (1)	18:5;46:18;84:12;	259:8	107:10;109:2,16;	directing (3)
9:9	111:6,11	deponents (1)	222:5	51:19;52:4;218:12
database (2)	defensive (1)	125:4	determined (1)	direction (1)
	uciciisive (1)	143.4	ucterimineu (1)	un ection (1)
_				-

28:25	Dolores (7)	23:11	157:15;207:18	21;104:7,9
directly (2)	15:11;49:5;86:16;	drops (1)	Eckhardt (2)	employees (7)
64:10;106:25	189:14;234:2;238:15;	216:10	13:25;14:2	75:12;77:14,18;
disagree (21)	266:5	dry (2)	edge (1)	81:17;93:16;102:21;
81:18;101:22;	<b>Don</b> (10)	29:21;113:10	193:7	103:24
102:2;147:15;148:25;	81:24;142:22,23,	due (1)	effect (3)	employee's (1)
198:4;254:2,5,19,22;	24,25;158:23;177:25;	243:16	42:3;84:17;166:24	98:24
255:10,12,13,14,17;	180:8;181:8;197:11	dug (9)	efficiency (1)	employer (19)
258:4,17,23;259:5,9,	done (15)	114:3;125:7;137:7;	110:13	97:5,9,18,18,24;
11	23:23;43:13;81:5;	143:23;144:9;160:15;	effort (3)	98:2,6,15,22,25,25;
disagreement (2)	100:6;118:25;148:4;	172:15;225:5;226:6	34:5;93:20;164:23	185:19;224:6,10;
115:18;131:7	153:18;179:17;180:4;	dug-up (1)	efforts (1)	225:4,17,21,23;
disagrees (1)	184:7;204:23;208:9;	152:6	19:10	226:12
256:16	227:22;247:3;251:5	duly (2)	ego (2)	employers (1)
disclosure (1)	<b>Don's</b> (1)	5:12;266:9	34:23;45:3	232:10
41:17	252:21	duplimap (1)	eight (5)	employment (1)
disclosures (1)	Doug (1)	30:18	5:22;6:10,12;16:21;	6:3
58:4	264:16	during (9)	95:21	empower (2)
discovery (10)	down (26)	31:4;42:22;55:13;	either (8)	102:21;103:14
51:22;57:18;	7:25;24:22;53:6;	85:22;105:14,17;	17:9;19:2;52:6;	encapsulated (1)
107:20;110:5;117:18;	55:19;77:19;78:2;	106:20;127:18;	67:3;94:17;219:19;	59:13
131:5;148:24;149:7,	111:9;141:14;145:10;	150:11	248:20;251:18	encounter (1)
7,7	155:14;161:25;	duties (2)	elected (1)	252:16
discuss (1)	163:21;188:11,17;	119:18;186:11	207:21	end (10)
85:23	189:22;190:16;	duty (3)	electronic (4)	22:18;25:24;28:21;
discussing (1) 50:23	192:13,23;202:19; 203:6,14;212:24;	96:22,25;218:16	27:21;264:18,20,23 eliminate (19)	37:14;151:4;178:20;
Discussion (2)	218:12;219:23;251:4;	$\mathbf{E}$	94:2,22;96:5;	179:12;197:16; 245:13;262:9
86:4;246:21	252:24	19	104:11;144:18;	endeavored (1)
discussions (1)	download (2)	earlier (22)	149:13;187:8;188:11;	
130:9	14:5;18:24	45:2;59:21;62:3,23;	189:23;191:7,10,22;	ended (3)
dispute (7)	downloaded (1)	63:4;113:3;119:2;	192:14;193:13;	107:7;171:25;
6:7;17:23,25;175:6,	20:3	127:16;134:9,23;	206:19,22;208:20;	201:12
9;176:2;182:6	downloading (1)	137:16;143:13;	212:18;247:20	ending (1)
disputes (2)	19:23	162:11,25;191:11;	eliminated (3)	37:17
24:15;183:4	dozer (4)	192:9;194:17;195:18;	93:21;94:4;191:8	endless (1)
disputing (2)	216:23;217:2,8,12	196:9,24;204:13;	eliminating (3)	76:10
123:17;197:19	draft (1)	247:21	95:5;191:17;193:25	ends (2)
disturbing (1)	58:17	early (6)	else (17)	31:2;171:8
226:7	drafted (1)	21:25;68:20;70:17;	30:12,14;43:12;	energy-efficient (1)
dive (1)	14:3	81:7;127:18;188:21	76:22;84:20;154:18;	11:10
78:12	drag (7)	earth (1)	158:14;179:14;	enforce (2)
doc (1)	22:8;23:15;62:22;	200:8	182:18;184:18;	79:23;111:24
54:11	63:10,10,20;64:3	earth-moving (9)	185:13;186:19;	engage (1)
docket (2)	dragging (1)	112:14;113:7,15;	204:18;205:15;	76:23
59:5;89:16	14:7	125:18,21;126:15;	237:11;251:7;264:7	engaged (7)
document (18)	drain (1)	147:13;148:18; 172:15	email (6)	18:14;67:2,4;69:5;
58:21,24;59:10; 60:3,5;62:2;105:23;	188:5 drawings (1)	earth-working (1)	92:17;107:2; 161:11;199:24;200:4,	213:9;261:10,12
106:7;110:10;132:9;	146:16	113:17	101.11,199.24,200.4,	engagement (1) 57:12
173:23,24,25;174:7,9,	drive (6)	easier (2)	emails (1)	engineer (2)
11,19;235:9	14:5,8;23:17;62:24;	19:23;21:23	199:24	41:11;243:7
documents (22)	63:21;64:3	easiest (1)	emotion (1)	engineering (7)
9:6,7;14:14;18:23;	driver (1)	101:15	30:6	10:10,20;11:24;
19:23;20:6;21:5,9;	218:12	easily (1)	employed (4)	41:6,7;151:25;261:18
23:21;51:5;54:8,9,10,	drives (1)	63:20	245:18;260:22;	engines (1)
12,13;58:12;114:25;	63:11	East (1)	262:17;266:18	83:3
115:22;118:19;131:6;	Dropbox (4)	7:7	employee (6)	enough (1)
132:14;146:15	8:15,16;21:14;	eating (2)	16:18;47:12;98:2,	199:16

May 20, 2021	T			T
ensure (1)	15,23;33:2,3;36:4;	125:14;137:17	40:15	fairly (5)
75:18	39:3;53:21;55:2,16;	except (2)	explore (1)	52:16;62:18;63:20;
ensuring (1)	61:15;63:7	5:5;50:7	263:7	72:23;73:16
70:18	estimated (1)	exception (2)	Explorer (2)	faith (1)
entered (2)	55:5	31:22;141:14	21:15;23:12	73:2
257:16,16	estimates (2)	excerpts (4)	export (2)	fall (10)
entities (2)	63:14;188:24	30:17;31:5;54:19;	199:10;201:8	33:11;52:6;94:12;
97:13;239:23	estimator (1)	258:12	exposed (3)	108:3;130:11;134:23;
entrance (1)	23:23	excess (1)	233:21;234:10;	137:8;171:9;178:17;
118:2	et (1)	50:22	235:4	215:3
entry (1)	89:15	Exhibit (6)	express (2)	fall-down (2)
218:11	evaluate (11)	92:12,16;106:4;	79:3;104:2	114:2;252:15
environment (3)	52:12;205:5;211:4;	122:14;161:13;210:3	expressed (1)	falling (3)
233:19;234:9;235:3	212:15;215:17;216:4,	exhibits (2)	255:24	179:8,12;252:24
environmental (1)	9,10;241:21;245:2;	105:15;157:7	expresses (1)	falls (13)
199:4	258:11	existence (1)	233:14	95:8;100:10,12,19,
environmentally (1)	evaluation (2)	60:6	expressing (1)	21;101:5,11,19,24;
199:13	118:19;208:15	existing (1)	51:16	102:9,23;103:6,15
envisioning (1)	evasive (1)	160:4	extend (1)	familiar (11)
39:21	73:2	expect (20)	219:10	10:11;11:18;29:14;
equipment (11)	Even (14)	20:25;63:19;70:5;	extent (2)	59:16;74:12;96:21;
112:15;113:8,15,	7:11;31:14,14,17;	104:9;120:21;121:13;	233:18;234:8	114:19;115:2;116:12,
18;125:18,21;126:16;	41:20;58:17;165:18;	128:22;136:18;165:2;	external (3)	14;124:19
147:14;148:19;	195:6,13;228:2;	166:20;167:21;168:6,	64:4;111:13,18	families (2)
172:15;217:4	242:13,20;246:9;	11,14,22;169:9;	extremely (2)	172:20;174:17
equivocate (1)	249:18	185:5;194:15;233:2,2	29:21;230:25	fantastic (1)
221:18	evening (1)	expectation (3)	_	16:7
especially (6)	26:10	218:14;240:10,14	$\mathbf{F}$	far (15)
103:13;141:19;	event (9)	expectations (1)		36:14,17;37:22,25;
200:25;201:16,25;	41:24;117:20;	239:23	fabric (1)	38:22;73:2;79:18;
242:7	125:17;176:18;	expected (7)	203:19	81:6,11,25;84:8;
Esposito (32)	202:18;209:11;	78:3;93:15;110:21;	face (3)	113:9;148:11;173:5;
65:6,8;67:3;71:19;	223:11;231:14;	168:2;169:2;233:19;	12:17;140:5,25	212:2
114:3;124:8;137:7,	233:13	234:8	Facilities (1)	father (1)
16;138:4;140:7;	everyone (9)	expecting (1)	133:21	41:4
141:6;143:23;144:9;	43:21;52:17;90:16;	120:23	fact (21)	fault (2)
145:25;147:2;148:4;	105:12;107:6;124:15;	expeditiously (1)	6:2,5;40:17;68:9,	223:14,25
152:7;195:7;199:24;	161:12;242:5;265:8	119:13	12;76:7;80:5,25;	fear (1)
200:6;220:16;223:6,	everyone's (1)	expensive (2)	81:24;109:24;113:14;	104:3
12,20,22;225:13;	85:20	201:25;202:11	119:20;124:3,5; 142:16;143:20;171:9;	<b>federal (5)</b> 45:23,24;58:2;61:2,
226:19;228:19;	Everything's (1) 72:19	expert (19)	208:8;213:5;214:22;	43:23,24,38:2,01:2,
240:17,18;245:2,16 Esposito's (3)	evidence (1)	5:25;7:9,16;9:24; 25:16;35:13;39:7;	232:21	fee (3)
201:10;241:20,21	98:9	40:3,10;45:24;51:9,	fact-finding (2)	49:12;84:10,19
Esquire (1)	exact (4)	10;57:6;60:14;	45:20;210:23	feed (2)
14:20	6:13;79:15;114:11;	142:19;147:21;	factor (1)	172:20;174:17
essence (1)	232:25	193:24;223:15;240:2	171:14	feel (13)
102:20	exactly (1)	expertise (1)	facts (8)	49:23;50:2,2,4,13,
essential (1)	154:20	228:3	68:12;76:17;	14,17;51:12;52:16;
110:13	EXAMINATION (4)	experts (8)	187:17;210:18;	53:5;55:24;188:25;
essentially (5)	5:15;266:6,9,16	9:23;10:3;26:19;	214:17;244:19,21;	258:18
15:8,16;226:10;	examined (1)	27:2;45:16;67:9;	259:19	feet (2)
234:25;235:5	5:12	81:13,18	fair (17)	179:8;217:24
established (1)	example (6)	expert's (1)	13:6;21:16;23:18;	fell (2)
47:12	47:24;83:19;94:19;	31:8	29:6;32:23;35:5;40:9;	147:16;209:22
establishing (1)	95:5;137:22;215:22	explain (6)	57:22;63:14;83:12;	field (1)
66:9	excavated (2)	58:16;80:4;235:25,	84:12;94:5;95:7;	129:18
estimate (15)	140:7;141:6	25;239:6,6	96:10,24;199:16;	fifth (1)
6:14;23:24;32:6,13,	excavation (2)	explaining (1)	263:25	260:11
(°64, (0)				351 77 0 1 1/4

				May 20, 2021
figure (2)	firmly (1)	185:17;231:11	169:12,14,23,25;	20:3;25:5;30:10;
240:8;260:2	229:21	follow (5)	170:3,16,22,24;	31:12;128:25;245:7
file (41)	firms (2)	26:19;43:2;115:24;	171:17,19;172:23,25;	free (5)
8:11;15:8,16,25;	39:19;261:12	228:23;243:14	173:12,14,16;174:25;	82:13;110:21;
20:18,20,25;21:21;	first (15)	followed (1)	175:3,12;177:6,8;	111:15;233:19;234:9
22:8;23:10,21;25:11,	5:12;18:9;89:8;	73:14	180:10,21;183:8;	frequently (1)
16;26:16;27:20,21;	93:25;106:8;151:15;	following (18)	184:11,13;186:2,22;	123:13
28:24;29:4,5,5,13;	176:7;178:10;183:14;	15:13;37:20;46:23;	187:14;191:25;	friendly (1)
49:9;53:14,14;54:24;	206:4;220:20;222:15;	65:21;87:2,25;	192:17;193:16;	199:14
57:6;59:5;62:22;	223:7;249:23;250:10	109:11;126:12;144:6;	195:22;196:13;	frivolous (2)
63:20,25;108:25;	fist-size (5)	162:13,21;163:3,15;	197:22;198:15;199:2;	51:20;52:7
109:14;113:14,25;	168:12;172:16,16;	189:18;234:4;238:21;	200:15,18;202:7,24;	front (4)
154:6;177:10;180:24;	173:7;174:14	250:8;260:5	204:25;205:18,20;	117:15;194:12,13;
182:6,9;183:4;257:20	fit (1)	follows (1)	204:23,203:16,20,	210:25
filed (2)	40:19	5:13	25;208:3;209:14;	fully (1)
36:6;83:7	five (5)	follow-up (1)	210:11;212:9;214:10;	266:13
files (12)	12:17;46:2;57:13;	29:25	216:6,18;218:3;	funny (1)
9:3;15:7,16;16:10;	61:8;85:20	foot (5)	219:13;220:10,12,25;	22:17
20:3;21:2;23:15;	five-year (1)	191:14;217:23;	221:13,15,21;222:8,	further (8)
29:12;42:3;57:16,16;	57:24	252:20,21,21	10;224:4,13,15;	78:8;80:20;92:3;
63:11	fix (1)	footing (1)	225:8;226:15,17;	131:24;192:4;251:10;
filing (2)	179:17	179:11	227:11;228:5;229:5,	263:7;266:16
5:4;20:13	flagged (1)	foregoing (1)	15;230:3,11,13,23;	future (2)
5.4,20.15 fill (15)	94:17	266:9	231:8,19;233:6;	109:4,17
152:3,9;156:8;	flat (1)	Forensic (13)	235:8,17,19,21;236:9;	109.4,17
152.5,9,150.8,	204:14	5:24;12:23;13:18;	241:4,6;242:23,25;	G
160:14;197:5,6,7;	flexibility (1)	23:13;32:21;34:14;	247:12;248:3,5;	
198:11,12;200:8,13	27:4	42:23;47:13;52:18;	247.12,248.3,3, 249:6,12;253:12,17,	game (2)
final (5)	flexible (1)	55:14;58:24;69:8;	21;254:9,25;255:3,19,	68:4;69:23
22:24;23:15;25:16;	56:4	245:22	21;254:9,23,233:3,19, 21;256:13;261:5;	gas (12)
26:13;33:9	floor (1)	forget (6)	263:5	114:4,9,10,23;
finally (2)	99:18	86:9;87:6;119:6;	formally (1)	115:12,16;116:2;
148:7;260:11	FluidStance (1)	159:8;181:20;223:15	115:19	125:8;137:17;140:8;
financially (1)	56:5	Forgive (1)	format (2)	141:7;225:6
266:19	focus (6)	163:14	26:3;28:23	gave (7)
find (5)	30:21;79:15,20;	forgot (1)	formatting (1)	47:21;195:11;
25:15;129:10;	119:11;147:24;	32:2	260:20	223:21;224:21;
133:13;199:24;214:7	196:21	form (174)	forms (1)	225:12;238:19;
finders (1)	focused (2)	5:6;13:8;22:11;	258:24	259:23
81:24	79:8;127:18	33:17;34:18;36:22;	forth (4)	GC (5)
fine (12)	folder (39)	38:7;44:2,24;45:8;	35:7;74:13;145:9;	86:7;87:5,11;88:4;
12:17;61:21,23;	9:14;14:4,7;15:21;	65:5;87:16;88:8,21;	229:20	218:14
67:18,25;109:10,23;	19:2,3,18,19,20,21,22,	91:10,25;97:22;	forward (3)	general (60)
149:24;162:3;163:22;	24;20:4,6,6,10,12;	102:17;108:5;111:17;	73:15,17;80:9	16:9;18:3,10;26:17;
164:11;194:3	23:16;28:18;29:6,16;	114:7;115:14;117:12;	found (1)	64:22;65:12,15,17,25;
finger (1)	30:20;31:6;33:10;	118:9;125:12;127:8,	200:4	66:4,7,8,10;69:19,25;
155:10	54:2,5,6,7,8,8,9,18,19,	22;128:10;129:6;	four (6)	70:6,7,10,13,19;71:3;
finish (2)	19,20,21;55:2,3;	130:17;131:13;	7:14;57:13,14;59:3;	72:11,12;73:11,19;
209:20;243:8	174:13	134:13;135:3,15,17;	121:11;159:18	74:6,16;75:16;76:20;
finished (6)	folders (21)	136:13,21;137:13;	four- (6)	77:10;81:15;82:3;
8:8;138:23;144:23;	8:17;14:12,13;15:8,	138:9;139:5,7,20,22;	57:23;154:23,24;	85:12,14;88:10;
145:25;147:3;264:10	16;20:17,25;21:15;	140:15;142:12;	159:17;174:14;210:5	92:24;93:4,9,13,19;
finishes (1)	22:8;23:22;28:15;	144:15;145:5;148:21;	fourth (1)	96:21,25;98:13;99:6;
138:5	29:16;35:8;54:13,15;	151:17,19;152:23;	193:9	100:9;104:13;111:2;
firm (13)	55:4,6,6,8;62:23;	153:22,24;154:3;	four-year (2)	123:8,17;128:17,22,
16:7,16,19;26:19;	63:11	155:18,22;156:20;	61:5,20	25;129:12;138:18;
28:6;35:22;44:13;	folks (7)	159:4;161:20;162:5,	frank (1)	149:17;169:3;186:25;
47:14;67:2,4;111:7;	26:25;35:6,22;	7,16;164:16,18;	17:2	204:19,20;240:13
232:18;254:13	113:19;139:13;	166:15;167:9;168:16;	frankly (6)	generally (37)
	110.11,100.10,	100.12,107.5,100.10,		9

1111y 20, 2021				
7:10;8:24;9:16;	146:8;158:21;162:11,	32:14	31:17;76:15;	Healy's (3)
17:9;24:10,12,23;	25;179:12;204:12;	groups (1)	104:19;107:11;109:3,	131:16;137:24;
25:19,25;26:5;27:6;	211:3;260:11;264:12	109:20	17;114:23;190:17;	194:20
29:11,18;30:14;	Google (1)	grow (1)	212:16;222:19;240:5;	hear (4)
38:19;40:16;51:13;	11:23	54:24	245:15;262:3	120:12;133:17;
57:11;58:9;69:24;	gotta (1)	grows (1)	happens (3)	244:4;249:16
70:22;88:16;89:22;	233:22	54:24	83:5,6;213:14	heard (6)
91:17,18;101:3;	grab (2)	G-Suite (21)	hard (2)	41:20,22;195:25;
110:23;111:9;119:7;	31:6;177:18	8:9,10,19;9:4,14;	22:16,20	199:19;259:24;260:3
125:3;166:17;184:24;	grade (1)	14:4;16:13;19:12,14,	harm (8)	heated (1)
187:22;198:19;	138:6	16;20:14;21:13;22:3;	170:11,18;171:5,	17:8
204:10,14;217:20	graded (3)	25:17;27:13,17;	13,14;233:21;234:11;	heavy (1)
generated (2)	138:23;158:3;197:2	28:17;29:4;53:15;	235:4	179:13
60:4;62:6	grading (4)	58:7;174:13	hazard (56)	height (1)
genesis (1)	162:2;163:21;	guess (14)	94:7,10,12,20,21,	94:24
226:11	218:24;219:11	21:20;23:3;35:18;	22;95:6;96:5,6;145:9;	heightened (1)
geotechnical (1)	Graham (24)	44:12;56:12;61:16;	155:16,24;162:3,12;	242:21
151:25	121:21;122:2,3,3,3,	63:8;115:23;194:12,	163:2,23;164:14;	held (2)
gets (2)	4;129:13;176:8,12,16,	25;236:18;254:17;	168:14;170:11;	86:4;246:21
95:25;211:3	20,22;178:11;181:22;	257:14;260:23	186:16;191:7,7,11,18,	helmet (2)
Girls (3)	182:17,23;183:11;	guidance (1)	23;192:15;193:13,25,	227:6;252:3
150:16;151:14;	197:14;211:24,24;	204:3	25;195:2;197:15;	help (21)
153:9	215:13;217:23,24;	guilty (1)	202:22;206:19,20,20;	18:20;33:14;48:7;
given (8)	233:8	190:12	208:20,23;210:4,6;	68:11,13,19;69:3;
49:25;78:13;89:23;	Graham's (5)	guy (1)	213:11;223:14,24;	80:4,6,24;88:25;
99:22;102:8;223:2;	122:22;179:5;	186:10	226:2;228:21;238:17;	89:11,25;93:4;95:8;
244:19;266:11	180:16;183:15;219:5	guys (4)	247:20;249:10;	115:9;143:20;187:8,
gives (2)	Grand (25)	56:11;92:9;179:10;	252:14,15,23;253:7;	11;210:15;237:5
67:21,24	18:16;62:19;65:11,	219:5	254:22;255:16;258:2,	helped (1)
giving (8)	24;66:15;67:5;70:6,	guy's (2)	3;259:10	192:14
25:21;80:6;84:7;	24;92:13;116:8;	guy's (2) 220:21;221:11	hazard-free (1)	helpful (5)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25;	220:21;221:11	<b>hazard-free (1)</b> 147:16	<b>helpful (5)</b> 68:17;95:9;96:10;
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4,		hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12)	<b>helpful (5)</b> 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2)	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2;	220:21;221:11 <b>H</b>	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 <b>glad (2)</b> 173:17,20	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12;	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1)	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 <b>glad (2)</b> 173:17,20 <b>glib (1)</b>	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 <b>glad (2)</b> 173:17,20 <b>glib (1)</b> 142:16	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1)	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5)	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3)	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2;	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1)	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28)	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1)	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7)	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10)	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2,	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2;
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7)	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13;	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19;	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1)	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14)	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3)	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19;	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16;
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1)	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2,	220:21;221:11  H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3)	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53)	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19;	### Page 12	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21;	### Page 12	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53)	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9	### Page 12	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15,	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25;	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14;	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5)	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20; 72:25;81:6;92:23;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9 ground (6)	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14; 172:3;178:21;182:9,	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5) 24:22;186:10;	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3) 93:25;206:18,18
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20; 72:25;81:6;92:23; 93:3,8;96:3;99:8,12,	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9 ground (6) 139:12;140:8;	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14; 172:3;178:21;182:9, 19;197:17;198:4	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5) 24:22;186:10; 220:21;221:11;227:9	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3) 93:25;206:18,18 higher-up (1)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20; 72:25;81:6;92:23; 93:3,8;96:3;99:8,12, 15,17,21,21;100:4,5,	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9 ground (6) 139:12;140:8; 158:23;232:17,18;	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14; 172:3;178:21;182:9, 19;197:17;198:4 happened (14)	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5) 24:22;186:10; 220:21;221:11;227:9 heading (1)	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3) 93:25;206:18,18 higher-up (1) 104:8
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20; 72:25;81:6;92:23; 93:3,8;96:3;99:8,12, 15,17,21,21;100:4,5, 13,17,20;101:3,9;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9 ground (6) 139:12;140:8; 158:23;232:17,18; 251:18	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14; 172:3;178:21;182:9, 19;197:17;198:4 happened (14) 108:12;109:2,16; 116:2;122:13;132:2; 134:20;145:10,19;	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5) 24:22;186:10; 220:21;221:11;227:9 heading (1) 141:14 health (3) 74:16;110:6;234:24	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3) 93:25;206:18,18 higher-up (1) 104:8 higher-ups (1) 103:25 highlight (1)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20; 72:25;81:6;92:23; 93:3,8;96:3;99:8,12, 15,17,21,21;100:4,5, 13,17,20;101:3,9; 102:10,14,23;103:4,	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9 ground (6) 139:12;140:8; 158:23;232:17,18; 251:18 group (10) 9:21,22;10:3;11:11; 29:13;60:15;62:18;	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14; 172:3;178:21;182:9, 19;197:17;198:4 happened (14) 108:12;109:2,16; 116:2;122:13;132:2; 134:20;145:10,19; 169:20;171:13;	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5) 24:22;186:10; 220:21;221:11;227:9 heading (1) 141:14 health (3)	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3) 93:25;206:18,18 higher-up (1) 104:8 higher-ups (1) 103:25 highlight (1) 122:16
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20; 72:25;81:6;92:23; 93:3,8;96:3;99:8,12, 15,17,21,21;100:4,5, 13,17,20;101:3,9; 102:10,14,23;103:4, 12,21;104:21;108:10,	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9 ground (6) 139:12;140:8; 158:23;232:17,18; 251:18 group (10) 9:21,22;10:3;11:11;	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14; 172:3;178:21;182:9, 19;197:17;198:4 happened (14) 108:12;109:2,16; 116:2;122:13;132:2; 134:20;145:10,19; 169:20;171:13; 185:23;195:9;249:21	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5) 24:22;186:10; 220:21;221:11;227:9 heading (1) 141:14 health (3) 74:16;110:6;234:24	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3) 93:25;206:18,18 higher-up (1) 104:8 higher-ups (1) 103:25 highlight (1) 122:16 highlighted (3)
25:21;80:6;84:7; 102:6;103:14;108:2; 146:19;248:9 glad (2) 173:17,20 glib (1) 142:16 goal (3) 230:14,15;232:3 gobbled (1) 19:7 goes (7) 68:2;104:7;146:17; 183:5;220:17;238:3; 241:25 goings-on (1) 30:4 Good (53) 5:17;12:2;26:3; 40:19;41:10;52:20; 72:25;81:6;92:23; 93:3,8;96:3;99:8,12, 15,17,21,21;100:4,5, 13,17,20;101:3,9; 102:10,14,23;103:4, 12,21;104:21;108:10, 17,18,22;111:3,23;	24;92:13;116:8; 117:25;119:19,22,25; 127:11;128:20;184:4, 20;213:16;214:2; 238:14;254:5,12; 263:22,23 grandaddy (1) 228:24 grateful (1) 41:6 gravel (10) 184:8;192:13; 193:3,14;202:16,19; 247:2,19;249:2;251:4 great (14) 35:12;41:10;48:19; 67:16;76:19;103:2, 19,22;121:9;122:21; 137:21;146:23,25; 251:9 ground (6) 139:12;140:8; 158:23;232:17,18; 251:18 group (10) 9:21,22;10:3;11:11; 29:13;60:15;62:18;	H  hairs (1) 118:15 half (5) 27:18;80:6,7;81:2; 105:5 hand (7) 38:15;71:25;72:2, 17,17;241:25,25 hand-holding (1) 31:11 hands (3) 16:24;17:14;26:2 happen (15) 26:9;107:16; 129:21;156:24; 170:18,19;171:8,8,14; 172:3;178:21;182:9, 19;197:17;198:4 happened (14) 108:12;109:2,16; 116:2;122:13;132:2; 134:20;145:10,19; 169:20;171:13;	hazard-free (1) 147:16 hazardous (12) 164:20;208:25; 209:6,8,22;217:16; 225:24;253:23; 258:21;259:9,13; 260:9 hazards (28) 94:2,2,3;95:18,22; 104:11;108:3;110:18; 111:25;130:15; 134:23;140:10; 142:24;144:18; 149:13;164:5;181:10; 187:9;188:12;189:23; 191:6,17;228:24; 233:20;234:9;253:15, 19;254:6 head (5) 24:22;186:10; 220:21;221:11;227:9 heading (1) 141:14 health (3) 74:16;110:6;234:24 Healy (6)	helpful (5) 68:17;95:9;96:10; 102:14;108:2 helps (2) 30:20;65:19 hereby (1) 266:7 herein (1) 266:12 here's (4) 141:5;161:6;193:2; 242:12 hereto (1) 266:18 Hey (5) 67:19;157:14,16; 178:18;207:18 Hi (1) 263:18 hierarchy (3) 93:25;206:18,18 higher-up (1) 104:8 higher-ups (1) 103:25 highlight (1) 122:16

				<b>,</b>
126:18	28:5,6,10;50:23	imply (2)	indicating (4)	115:12;133:22
highlighting (1)	hours (3)	10:22;234:17	57:8;159:14;193:5,	installed (2)
161:3	30:8;105:5;149:10	import (4)	10	94:18;115:16
highly (1)	house (1)	198:12;199:8;	indication (3)	installer (1)
41:18	18:2	200:8,13	42:2;108:24;109:14	124:23
himself (2)	housekeeping (7)	important (6)	indigenous (1)	instead (2)
184:19;213:8	100:6;110:12,14;	25:6;72:19;99:25;	251:18	198:11;199:9
hire (1)	111:2,3;125:22;	100:25;103:23;	individual (1)	instructed (1)
232:4	126:16	110:14	16:6	214:13
hired (4)	HUD-L-2754-19 (1)	impractical (1)	industry (17)	instructions (1)
70:11;77:3;129:15;	89:16	9:8	11:12,12,21;24:14;	90:13
232:13	HUDSON (1)	inappropriate (3)	27:2;35:13;36:11;	instructor (1)
hiring (1)	266:4	48:14;82:25;83:4	72:19;76:14,19;	56:24
149:16	hundred (10)	inches (2)	146:13;147:5,9;	insulted (1)
histories (1)	21:10;36:13;	155:10;159:19	148:10,15;172:9;	34:10
58:13	137:23;149:11;172:6;	incident (49)	239:19	insurance (1)
history (9)	190:16;218:6;230:8,	24:25;76:8;78:11;	information (17)	11:21
57:13,24;58:19,21,	15;231:15	80:22;85:13;104:15,	8:23;24:11;36:19;	intended (1)
24;61:6,7,20;62:2	hyper-analyze (1)	17;108:25;109:14,20,	38:4,25;39:5,8,12;	173:20
hit (1)	203:23	24;110:2;114:2;	40:6;51:5;57:19;	intending (1)
19:4	hypothesis (1)	122:13;123:18;132:2,	96:17,19;102:12;	194:10
Hoiland (38)	244:22	3,3;134:20;135:21;	108:2,7;127:6	intends (1)
28:19;53:14,14;	т	136:3;137:19;145:7;	in-house (1)	40:10
62:22;63:20,25;	I	146:21;153:4;156:17;	10:6	intent (3)
76:18;81:25;85:12;		161:8;170:7;172:2,9;	initials (3)	50:18,19;149:22
89:15;110:3;135:22;	idea (7)	176:20;181:16;	25:23;27:8;28:21	interact (1)
136:2;142:22,23,24,	92:23;93:8;100:18,	185:23;195:10,13;	initiated (1)	184:21
25;145:7,10;147:16;	20;101:3;195:8;201:9	199:23,25;200:2;	69:25	interest (1)
165:13;168:2;172:2;	ideas (1)	215:4;222:22;225:14;	injured (1)	51:17
180:8;182:17,21;	76:24	232:22;241:18,22;	108:15	interested (1)
183:21;184:19;	identification (3)	242:11;245:5,17;	injuries (5)	266:19
205:24;206:8;209:22;	92:14;95:18;161:14	248:19;249:21	100:2,3;101:20;	internally (1)
211:23;212:24;213:7,	identified (2)	incidents (4)	130:11;231:23	24:5
7;228:14;232:6;	247:21;253:7	102:5;107:10,16,16	injury (13)	interpret (1)
241:22	identify (5)	include (10)	17:24;32:7,13,14;	237:7
Hoiland's (16)	29:5;45:18;94:2;	58:13;62:8;70:2;	34:13;36:12;39:7;	interpreted (1)
76:7;78:11;80:22;	191:6;206:19	88:17;103:16;113:7;	45:15;71:12;93:11;	237:12
137:18;178:2;180:13;	identifying (3)	148:18;236:4;239:8;	101:12;104:15;	interrogatories (1)
183:5;188:7;197:11;	94:20,21;178:12	241:19	186:18	195:8
215:3;218:12;225:14;	idiot (1)	included (3)	injury-free (3)	interrupt (6)
232:10;245:17;	206:8	85:7;215:20,23	233:19;234:8;235:2	85:18;89:7;118:23,
248:19;259:4	III (1)	includes (5)		24;135:25;243:13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	263:23	24:14;71:10;103:3;	input (1) 70:17	24;135:25;243:15 interrupted (5)
Hold (4)				
113:21;130:4;	illustrative (1)	147:13;171:5	inputted (1)	22:19,21,25;
176:11;243:9	103:5	including (6)	60:12	243:24,25
home (6)	image (1)	93:16;167:22;	inputting (1)	interrupting (1)
6:25;7:3,3,5,10;	30:18	168:8;172:15;191:12;	60:20	217:10
45:4	Images (1)	213:7	inquiries (1)	interrupts (2)
homeowners (1)	54:20	inconsistencies (7)	27:12	158:6;197:4
24:15	imagine (10)	43:24;44:20,21;	inspection (3)	intimately (1)
hope (3)	16:14;17:13;60:9,	45:19;47:8,8,21	14:15;54:6,17	33:7
31:23;32:2;149:21	18,22;62:21;132:16;	incorporate (1)	inspector (2)	intimidate (1)
Hopefully (2)	261:17,24;262:2	9:3	128:24;129:24	84:24
75:5;245:13	immediate (1)	Independence (1)	inspectors (1)	into (33)
hoping (1)	112:6	5:10	78:17	9:3;18:25,25;19:2,
149:8	immediately (3)	independent (1)	install (3)	3,16,22;20:6,13;
host (2)	42:9;235:25;239:6	245:23	114:3;240:24;	23:16;30:20,21,23;
9:5,9	impact (1)	indicated (2)	242:15	31:7;34:5;53:4,8;
hour (4)	199:4	108:13;123:23	installation (2)	63:11;66:23;78:12;
		100.10,120.20		00.11,00.20,70.12,

118:2,17;123:3;	Jerry (11)	joined (1)	253:16;254:24;	knows (3)
146:17;149:8;151:3;	5:18;22:23;36:25;	14:21	255:18;263:8;264:8;	178:20;197:16;
170:10,13;171:11,14;	86:12,22;141:25;	Joseph (2)	265:2	242:5
198:23;214:11;	163:13;183:13;	126:24;248:17	keep (14)	
219:11	196:14;223:17;	journal (8)	56:24;58:7,20;75:6;	$\mathbf{L}$
intro (1)	262:12	10:10,20,21;11:8,	126:5;140:19;147:23;	
261:7	Jersey (12)	15,25;13:3;261:18	187:19;199:11;200:6,	label (3)
investigating (1)	12:15,16;40:5;	judge (11)	22;201:7,15;218:19	118:20;124:10;
107:10	63:15;98:12;167:4;	48:24,25;49:3,18,	Keith (3)	229:16
investigation (2)	198:18,18;200:25;	22;50:11;52:9;55:21;	130:7,19;200:5	labeled (1)
109:2,15	201:17;202:2;266:3	83:8;89:17;257:10	kept (1)	124:15
invite (1)	Jersey's (1)	jump (1)	110:21	labels (1)
49:3	202:14	77:18	kick (2)	125:3
inviting (1)	job (99)	juries (2)	194:23;195:3	ladders (1)
90:17	64:23;65:3,11,13,	81:24;83:3	kind (80)	74:18
involve (1)	24;66:2;69:20;70:8;	jurisdiction (1)	9:11;19:5;20:5;	laid (3)
39:18	71:5,7,19;73:15;	89:18	22:3;23:9,10;24:21;	155:14;188:10;
involved (20)	75:12;76:10;79:25;	jurisdictions (1)	30:19,20,22;36:18;	189:22
18:5;24:3;33:7;	81:6;82:4;85:5,9,15;	201:3	38:2,24;39:13;41:4;	landfill (1)
35:6,22;38:19;59:19,	87:13;88:5;91:4,20;	jury (3)	42:13;45:15;49:12;	202:9
24;76:7,25;77:8;78:4;	92:24;93:10,14,16,21;	45:19;211:2,3	51:3;54:23,24;56:2;	landfills (1)
114:10;146:21;	96:17;98:15;99:13,	justified (1)	59:5,20;73:9;75:22;	202:9
198:17;227:17;	25;100:7,11,18;	30:9	79:2,3,17,19;81:5;	landing (1)
235:24;239:6;261:11,	101:4;104:2,8,17;	30.7	83:5;95:16,25;99:17;	94:24
12	110:13;111:12;	K	102:14;103:5,6;	languaging (1)
involves (1)	112:14;121:22;123:3,		108:11,12;109:2,15;	260:20
78:20	13;124:3;129:3;	KALOCSAY (102)	118:15;123:25;	large (7)
ironworking (1)	130:10;131:2;132:10,	56:15;65:4;88:20;	130:13;134:11;	23:21;45:3;129:20,
169:19	12;133:3;136:4,19;	91:9,24;102:16;	140:21;141:24;145:2;	21;178:13;204:8;
irrelevant (4)	150:7;152:3;156:8,9;	108:4;111:16;114:6;	151:4,8,12;152:8;	261:20
248:23;255:5;	157:15;158:3,8,9;	115:13;118:8;125:11;	153:15,15,18;154:12,	larger (2)
256:6;258:19	162:12;163:2;172:12,	131:12;135:2,14;	16;158:25;159:22;	39:19;154:25
issue (9)	19;175:25;176:22;	136:12,20;137:12;	160:13;161:2;163:10;	larger-appearing (1)
49:9;94:23;119:23;	179:22;181:8;184:3,	138:8;139:4,19;	168:25;170:7,18;	251:25
130:3,6;145:22;	4;186:12,14,15,17,19;	140:14;144:3,14;	180:7;191:18;194:4;	last (8)
222:5;225:24;258:3	191:5;194:22;195:16;	145:4;146:11;148:20;	203:25;208:23;	46:2;50:22;86:11,
issues (2)	196:10,25;197:6,7,20;	151:16;152:22;	210:22;215:6;240:19;	22;112:11;193:9;
45:21;49:18	207:19;228:25;	153:21;155:17;	244:12;245:13;247:5;	236:13,23
itchy (1)	230:18;232:24,25;	156:19;159:3;162:6,	253:4;260:18,22	late (2)
147:20	233:3;240:20,25;	19;164:15;166:14;	kinds (1)	26:10;134:16
item (12)	241:25;251:15;254:6,	168:17;169:15,24;	152:19	later (2)
185:8;200:7;205:5,	22;255:16	170:15,21;171:16;	knee (5)	107:7;151:13
8,16;207:10;212:15;	jobs (3)	173:11;174:24;	158:24;160:16;	latitude (1)
214:6,7;215:18,19,22	86:9;87:6;174:17	175:11;176:25;177:5;	164:6;188:7;191:16	48:19
items (2)	Joe (8)	180:20;183:7,13,17;	kneeling (1)	laughed (1)
215:25;219:25	116:5,11;119:19;	184:10;192:18;	252:2	56:16
	138:21;185:21;	193:18;195:21;196:4;	knew (4)	law (6)
J	246:24;257:23;259:5	197:23;202:25;	118:20;142:24;	44:13;63:16;67:2,4;
	Join (30)	205:19;206:14,23;	195:12;244:8	98:19;254:13
Jacuk (2)	135:4,6,18;136:22,	207:24;209:15;210:8;	knowledge (8)	lawsuits (1)
175:23;195:4	23;138:10;156:21;	211:5,9,14;220:24;	36:20;38:5,25;	36:6
JASON (2)	163:5;168:17;169:15;	221:6,12,20;222:7,24;	82:14;154:5;167:6;	lawyer (8)
5:9;142:13	170:25;175:13,15;	223:16;224:12,16,19,	178:8,16	38:12;41:4,9,12;
jazzed (1)	192:18;193:18;	23;225:7;226:14;	knowledgeable (7)	42:5;52:2;84:13,16
34:11	197:23;202:25;203:3;	227:13;228:7,11;	119:18;127:4,11;	layers (1)
Jeep (2)	205:22;207:4;208:4;	229:6,14;230:5;	128:3;169:4;186:11,	190:17
219:3,4	226:18;227:12,13;	235:7,16;241:3;	13	laying (1)
Jeff (1)	229:6,7;230:5,5;	242:22;244:3;246:8;	known (1)	202:18
175:23	241:7;249:7	247:7;248:4;249:7;	63:10	Laz (1)
-				

	T	T	T	Way 20, 2021
161:10	214:19,20	43:3;49:6,8,14;	lunch (2)	manages (2)
Lazaro (2)	light (1)	263:15	85:21;105:15	9:22;75:17
14:20;161:11	48:10	logging (1)	Luncheon (1)	managing (7)
lead (1)	likelihood (1)	64:6	105:13	40:24;70:17;72:14,
118:2	43:18	log-in (1)	luxury (1)	15;208:23;213:11,11
leader (1)	likes (2)	16:13	21:8	manner (2)
9:21	231:22,23	long (12)	lying (1)	67:12;91:8
leading (1)	limitations (1)	7:25;14:4,8;17:14;	217:7	manual (5)
101:19	223:9	27:16;31:25;67:10;		126:8;147:10;
learning (1)	limited (5)	72:13;119:2;190:11;	M	148:16;168:9;172:12
21:19	41:16,16;69:6;	219:21;239:25		many (13)
learns (1)	82:12;223:8	longer (3)	main (6)	5:20;6:4;17:7;30:7;
246:4	limiting (3)	10:4;59:22;85:22	55:6;114:4;115:12,	32:7;53:17;55:16;
least (2)	42:10;51:22,24	longest (1)	16;125:8;225:6	57:15;59:2;81:13,18;
34:24;181:7	Lindy (1)	195:24	maintain (15)	100:23;120:11
leave (2)	201:19	look (26)	58:11,11;65:2;86:8;	mark (1)
38:13;200:12	line (23)	62:20;65:7;102:22;	87:5;88:18;91:6,20,	257:19
led (1)	48:22;106:13;	109:6;114:17,19;	21;98:7;132:21;	marked (7)
118:17	114:9,10,23;116:2;	125:6;140:4,25;	133:4;134:3;174:22;	92:14,17;122:13;
left (11)	121:11;131:25;	149:14;150:2,15,19;	208:9	125:8;160:25;161:8,
96:12;158:24;	137:17;140:8;141:7;	151:8;152:5,6,16;	maintained (4)	14
160:16;164:6;191:14,	156:7;157:7,22;	153:7;157:2,6;	58:9;113:9;173:4;	marked-up (1)
15;217:23,24;252:19,	178:2,6;181:19,22;	158:22,24;159:18;	203:9	122:10
21,21	200:7;201:22;250:20;	188:6;192:22;242:6	maintaining (3)	masonry (1)
legal (1)	251:13;253:5	looked (1)	89:4;172:14;226:9	74:19
241:14	lines (1)	159:23	major (1)	match (2)
legitimate (4)	14:16	looking (19)	128:4	22:4;68:5
10:19,20;260:24,25	Lisa (1)	66:20;94:20;95:4,	majority (2)	matches (1)
Leisenring (2)	265:4	15;109:25;133:20;	13:22,24	155:3
9:24;13:16	list (4)	140:19;151:3;152:18;	make-believe (1)	Material (12)
L-e-i-s-e-n-r-i-n-g (1)	24:24;45:25;59:11;	153:20;157:8,9,13;	208:10	54:5;110:22;112:5;
13:17	62:4	175:18;180:5,16;	makes (4)	156:9,10;158:10,11;
Leisenring's (1)	listen (3)	200:7;207:16;214:3	8:14;17:11;40:19;	160:14;197:8,9;
13:14	37:10,16;78:22	looks (14)	152:11	199:8,9
less (5)	listing (1)	96:4;97:2,2;110:2;	making (9)	materials (10)
22:7;23:14;55:24;	59:22	115:2;149:14;150:11;	8:13;50:4,14,17;	19:16;109:7;114:5;
119:13;200:22	lists (2)	154:22;193:8;217:7;	85:7;94:21;130:9;	120:7;124:21;154:6;
letter (8)	60:4;61:3	240:12;252:20,22;	191:5;234:22	198:19;199:10,14;
42:4,25;43:2,11;	litigants (1)	253:2	Mall (1)	203:19
62:9;64:7,12,19	46:3	loose (2)	5:10	Matt (1)
62:9;64:7,12,19 level (7)		110:22;178:14	man (1)	200:6
, ,	litigation (4)	,	252:2	
66:8;95:3;164:24; 170:11;171:5;172:7,7	36:5;39:9;41:15,20 <b>little (19)</b>	lost (1) 246:14	manage (15)	matter (18) 6:6;14:6,17;15:20;
	10:16;26:22;34:11;	lot (32)	14:11;15:5;16:3,10,	
levels (2)		8:14;17:6;21:8,14,	10;27:5;29:12,15;	36:9;50:24;68:15;
208:19;213:13	37:19;60:17;75:23;		60:16;77:12;79:22;	111:23;139:16;
LHN (20)	78:7,8,18;80:19;	14;25:4;27:4;30:4,5,	85:6;94:3;206:20,22	140:12;144:2,12;
62:19;65:11,24;	92:18;94:13;119:13;	5,6;67:9;72:5,11;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	145:2;146:8;167:18,
66:15;67:5;70:24;	129:10;149:21;	76:9,14;77:6,7;78:24;	managed (5)	24;200:21;240:4
116:8;119:19,22,25;	196:17;212:13;219:6;	83:5;102:4;108:15;	70:10;71:16;80:14;	matters (9)
127:11;128:20;184:4,	242:4	114:24;149:6;159:25;	96:6;191:8	25:2;35:7;36:6,16;
20;213:16;214:2;	LLC (1)	160:3;179:10,13;	Management (10)	37:25;39:23;41:15;
254:5,12;263:22,23	18:17	204:2;232:16;239:19;	54:3,24;71:2,11;	42:19,24
LHN-AJD (1)	load (2)	245:6	77:8;78:14;95:21;	Matthew (2)
70:6	18:25;165:13	lower (2)	108:22;147:5;208:19	200:5;240:18
License (1)	local (1)	96:12;191:14	manager (14)	may (8)
266.22		lucky (1)	9:19;116:11,15,16,	27:8;45:24;46:21;
266:23	201:2			40 4 40 47 65 15
life (1)	location (1)	60:12	19;117:5,7;130:8;	48:4;49:15;93:15;
				48:4;49:15;93:15; 162:9,23 <b>Maybe (13)</b>

9:17;23:20;37:18;	45:2	116:21	179:21;241:10;	225:17
49:12;50:7;75:21;	mentioned (3)	mistakes (1)	245:24;251:15;	multiple (12)
117:6;174:5;188:18,	42:11;62:23;179:9	190:11	262:20;264:10	14:12;15:20;31:16;
25;205:23;209:5,9	metal (1)	mix (1)	MULHERN (168)	78:15,16;100:23;
mean (72)	179:13	7:19	13:7,10;22:10,14,	109:20;179:24;
10:12;12:22;14:10;	method (13)	modes (1)	22;28:19;29:3;33:16;	190:15;256:14;
15:18;25:8,11;36:12;	243:3,15;244:8,17,	74:18	34:17;36:21;38:6,16;	261:11,12
41:2;45:13;60:9;	20;245:19,25;246:2,	modified (3)	43:5,25;44:12,23;	multiple-acre (1)
71:15;77:16;79:6;	5;259:19;260:21;	105:19,21;106:5	45:7;46:6;48:12;49:2,	198:3
81:17;94:23;96:15;	262:17,24	money (5)	15;50:5,16;52:8,23;	multitude (4)
97:23;99:14;107:13;	microanalyze (1)	199:7,18;200:12;	53:7,14;62:10;64:8,	101:6;215:20;
110:24;118:23;	242:3	231:23;232:15	15;75:3;82:8;83:13;	216:3;261:14
128:16;129:15,22;	Microsoft (1)	monitor (1)	84:23;85:17;86:2;	must (1)
133:7;135:25;137:14;	21:20	111:24	87:15;88:7;90:20;	26:16
138:12,17;140:7;	middle (5)	month (1)	92:11;97:21;105:2,7,	myself (4)
141:25;142:15;	22:21;85:18;217:8;	199:23	11,14;106:23;117:11;	8:6;21:6,9;117:5
145:21;149:5;154:7,	222:16;227:5	moot (1)	120:22;127:8,21;	0.0,21.0,2,117.0
22;160:6,9;164:13,	might (13)	48:23	128:9;129:5;134:12;	N
19;165:13,16,20;	27:9;41:21;48:7;	more (38)	135:4,18;136:23;	,
166:25;174:8;177:10;	76:4;83:25;84:17;	9:17,18;10:3;17:23,	138:10;139:6,21;	name (21)
185:6,14;188:13,19;	96:12;119:2,12;	24;25:3;32:18;49:24;	142:11;143:14;	5:17;9:24;13:14,25;
189:4;190:23;192:22;	155:14;179:14;	61:10;75:23;78:7,19;	151:18;153:23;	16:13;24:8;25:8,12,
197:24;198:17;201:4,	252:15;260:3	79:11;82:7;83:11;	155:19;156:21;	16,20,22,22;26:16;
6;214:16;219:2;	military (2)	89:10;94:13;105:21;	161:19;162:4,15;	27:10,21;59:4,12;
220:20;223:7;225:23;	261:20,23	108:16;119:13;133:8;	164:17;167:8;168:15,	106:9;121:24;129:12;
228:17,19;232:19;	million (2)	181:7;187:8,12;	18;169:7,13,22;	240:18
245:16;248:6;255:5;	128:5;232:25	188:11;189:22;	170:23;171:2,18;	named (3)
256:20,25;258:8;	mind (4)	199:10,13,20;206:9;	172:24;173:13;175:2,	25:6;27:20;119:19
262:11	86:15;87:18;159:6;	212:4;218:5;220:6;	13;177:7,22;180:9;	names (1)
meaning (16)	200:6	241:10;242:20;	184:12;185:25;	53:24
7:17;36:6;141:18,	mindful (2)	257:19;262:12,21	186:21;187:13;	naming (4)
18,21,23;142:4,5,5,8;	119:3;210:18	morning (1)	191:24;192:16,20;	24:18,22;26:14;
143:6,8,8,9,12;245:21	mine (3)	5:17	193:15;196:12;	55:4
means (11)	141:19;142:20;	most (12)	197:21;198:14,25;	navigating (1)
12:15;49:2;64:18;	218:18	64:2;69:24;101:11;	200:14;202:6,23;	14:18
97:18;124:11,12,21;	minimize (2)	116:16;119:18;127:3,	204:24;205:17,21;	<b>NDPS</b> (1)
142:14;205:25;	30:7;34:4	10;128:3;169:4;	206:12,25;208:2;	188:18
231:11;243:4	minute (3)	186:11,13;193:7	209:13,17;210:7,10;	near (2)
meant (1)	27:18;203:16;263:9	motion (5)	211:7;212:8;214:9;	191:19;192:24
40:8	Minutes (5)	49:9;83:7;84:6,9,18	216:5,17;217:9;	nearby (1)
measured (1)	27:18;30:8;148:7;	motions (2)	218:2;219:12;220:9,	179:14
94:24	177:17;249:14	51:14,17	23;221:14;222:9;	Nearly (2)
mechanics (1)	mischaracterizes (1)	move (6)	224:3,14;226:16;	101:21;102:3
38:19	230:11	9:3;19:22;20:2,5;	227:10;228:4;229:4,	necessarily (4)
medical (1)	misread (1)	21:9;52:21	8;230:2,12,22;231:7,	33:6;35:4;137:9;
10:20	22:23	moved (1)	18;233:5;235:18;	160:10
meet (1)	misreading (1)	21:6	236:8;237:2,10,18,22,	necessary (2)
69:12	34:15	Movement (1)	25;241:5;242:24;	84:2;90:24
member (1)	misrepresents (2)	56:24	243:12,22;244:5;	need (50)
23:13	210:9;241:4	moves (1)	246:6,10,15,18;	20:11;23:5;24:3;
members (1)	missed (2)	17:9	247:11;248:2;249:5,	30:10;33:7;37:6;44:6;
186:24	106:22;261:25	movie (1)	11;253:11,20;254:8;	46:11;49:8;53:5;
memorize (1)	mission (1)	201:17	255:2,20;256:12;	62:12;63:21;65:8;
117:15	210:23	moving (4)	261:4;262:4,19;	75:5;80:11,13,14;
memory (4)	misspeaking (1)	73:15,16;110:25;	263:2,14;264:6,9	82:9;83:16;89:6,11,
6:11;68:4;69:22,23	12:3	153:10	Mulhern's (3)	12;90:21;104:23;
Men (3)	misspoke (2)	much (12)	67:4;254:13,13	115:16;134:11;
98:6,25;120:11	19:17;254:17	19:22;20:7;21:23;	multi-employer (5)	141:25;143:19,21;
mention (1)	misstate (1)	62:21;85:22;146:17;	92:6;97:7,12,16;	146:3,3,7;147:12;

207:20;214:14;220:6; 222:2,4;238:8;245:8; 247:5;255:8;261:7; 264:14 needed (5) 143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	263:24 notice (1) 40:9 noticed (1) 260:16 noting (1) 43:2 November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	170:2,15,21,23; 171:16,18;172:22,24; 173:11,13,15;174:24; 175:2,11;176:25; 177:5,7;180:9,20,22; 183:7;184:10,12; 185:25;186:21; 187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19; 196:12;197:21;	occurrence (2) 179:22;195:15 occurrences (1) 165:5 off (29) 26:13;29:23;30:3; 56:8;77:18;81:23; 85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	18 ones (4) 18:7;42:8;192:11; 253:6 one-time (1) 242:10 only (8)
7;203:22;206:8; 207:20;214:14;220:6; 222:2,4;238:8;245:8; 247:5;255:8;261:7; 264:14 needed (5) 143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	40:9 noticed (1) 260:16 noting (1) 43:2 November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	171:16,18;172:22,24; 173:11,13,15;174:24; 175:2,11;176:25; 177:5,7;180:9,20,22; 183:7;184:10,12; 185:25;186:21; 187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19;	179:22;195:15 occurrences (1) 165:5 off (29) 26:13;29:23;30:3; 56:8;77:18;81:23; 85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	18:7;42:8;192:11; 253:6 one-time (1) 242:10 only (8)
207:20;214:14;220:6; 222:2,4;238:8;245:8; 247:5;255:8;261:7; 264:14 needed (5) 143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	40:9 noticed (1) 260:16 noting (1) 43:2 November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	173:11,13,15;174:24; 175:2,11;176:25; 177:5,7;180:9,20,22; 183:7;184:10,12; 185:25;186:21; 187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19;	occurrences (1) 165:5 off (29) 26:13;29:23;30:3; 56:8;77:18;81:23; 85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	18:7;42:8;192:11; 253:6 one-time (1) 242:10 only (8)
222:2,4;238:8;245:8; 247:5;255:8;261:7; 264:14 needed (5) 143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	noticed (1) 260:16 noting (1) 43:2 November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	175:2,11;176:25; 177:5,7;180:9,20,22; 183:7;184:10,12; 185:25;186:21; 187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19;	165:5 off (29) 26:13;29:23;30:3; 56:8;77:18;81:23; 85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	253:6 one-time (1) 242:10 only (8)
247:5;255:8;261:7; 264:14 needed (5) 143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	260:16 noting (1) 43:2 November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	177:5,7;180:9,20,22; 183:7;184:10,12; 185:25;186:21; 187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19;	<b>off (29)</b> 26:13;29:23;30:3; 56:8;77:18;81:23; 85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	one-time (1) 242:10 only (8)
264:14 needed (5) 143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	noting (1) 43:2 November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	183:7;184:10,12; 185:25;186:21; 187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19;	26:13;29:23;30:3; 56:8;77:18;81:23; 85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	242:10 only (8)
needed (5) 143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	43:2 November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	185:25;186:21; 187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19;	56:8;77:18;81:23; 85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	only (8)
143:24;144:10; 147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	November (1) 241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	187:13;191:24; 192:16,19;193:15,19;	85:24;86:2,4;94:17;	• , ,
147:2;205:24;246:11 needless (4) 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	241:18 nuances (1) 76:15	192:16,19;193:15,19;		24:5;51:25;63:12;
needless (4) n 93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 n needs (7)	nuances (1) 76:15		119:6;148:23;157:15;	97:8;257:15;264:18,
93:11;104:11; 130:11;235:4 needs (7)	76:15		158:3;161:22;164:24;	21,24
130:11;235:4 needs (7)		198:14,25;200:14,17;	165:15;197:2;198:11;	onset (1)
needs (7)	number (26)	202:6,23;203:2;	201:16;207:18;	39:20
* *	14:12,19;15:18,25;	204:24;205:17,19;	210:15;215:6;218:11;	on-site (13)
43:12;69:13;	20:5,18,20;25:19;	206:12,14,23,25;	222:18;246:19,21;	149:15;185:22;
156:24;179:9;212:4;	27:7;59:5,5;89:16;	207:24;208:2;209:13,	263:15,16	198:19;199:11;
213:2;237:3	161:2;164:4;191:13,	15,16;210:7,8,10;	offense (1)	200:23,24;201:7;
neither (2)	15;200:7;216:14;	212:8;214:9;216:5,	116:16	213:7;232:5;236:6,7;
52:17;266:13	217:18;221:4;227:3;	17;218:2;219:12;	offer (1)	239:11,11
NetSuite (4)	251:25;254:21;	220:9,11,23,24;221:2,	236:23	onto (3)
59:16,25;60:14;	255:15;258:2;259:8	6,12,14,20;222:7,9;	offered (1)	17:10;77:21;165:14
	numbering (2)	224:3,12,14;225:7;	193:24	open (7)
New (16)	25:13;29:13	226:14,16;227:10;	offhand (4)	27:13,16,19;28:11,
12:15,16;40:4; <b>n</b>	numbers (1)	228:4,6;229:4,14;	17:17,21;18:8;	17;29:4;53:15
63:15;98:12;106:23;	183:15	230:2,4,10,12,22;	129:8	opened (1)
149:18;174:20;		231:7,18;233:5,9;	off-hours (1)	262:15
198:18,18;200:25;	0	235:7,16,18,20;236:8;	21:8	operations (1)
201:16;202:2,14;		241:3,5;242:22,24;	office (6)	134:5
210:2;266:3 <b>o</b>	oath (10)	246:6;247:7,11;	6:25;7:3,5,6;19:7;	opine (3)
next (7)	12:8;124:3;181:24;	248:2,4;249:5,11;	185:22	190:6;222:22;259:3
17:10;52:22;90:19;	195:7,12;211:2;	253:11,16,20;254:8,	off-site (3)	opinion (44)
94:2;188:7;241:13;	242:14;266:7,9,16	24;255:2,18,20;	198:20;199:8;	18:14;40:20;50:22,
	object (7)	256:12;261:4,8;	200:25	23;82:14;117:18;
nice (5)	33:17;34:18;38:7;	262:4;263:4	old (5)	124:6,13;125:2;
143:4;192:23;	43:25;106:3,14;127:8	objections (2)	9:3;21:15,20;23:11;	130:2;133:10;141:11,
, ,	objecting (1)	5:5;163:6	245:8	23;142:3,4;146:19,
nine (2)	195:21	objective (1)	once (2)	25;148:23;180:24;
	Objection (196)	93:3	19:21;29:4	181:4;189:6;190:20;
nondisclosure (2)	13:7;22:10;36:21;	obligation (1)	one (72)	193:21;194:3;198:17;
42:11;43:17	44:23;45:7;48:12;	74:10	6:6,6;10:18;17:22;	200:21;223:21;
none (4)	51:18;52:7,12;65:4;	obligations (3)	41:4;48:4;53:4;57:7;	225:12;226:11;247:9;
36:14,16;37:23,25	87:15;88:7,20;91:9,	70:18;97:10;99:2	59:3;68:19;72:17;	248:9;249:3;250:3,
nonexistent (1)	24;97:21;102:16;	observant (1)	73:9,15;82:6;90:10;	25;256:4,5,7,8,9,16;
43:18	106:15;108:4;111:16;	202:15	92:10;102:8;112:24;	258:25,25;259:3;
non-responsive (4)	112:23;114:6;115:13;	observation (1)	113:21;115:3,5;	262:16
81:10;84:8;90:9;	117:11;118:8;125:11;	119:10	118:13;126:7;129:19;	opinions (27)
256:9	127:21;128:9;129:5;	obstruction (1)	132:14;152:18;	29:10;35:12;40:22;
noon (1)	130:16;131:12;	110:22	158:25;159:21;	68:7,8,9,14;79:7;
85:20	134:12,16;135:2,14,	obtained (2)	160:16;163:10;181:7,	145:19;147:21;
nor (4)	16;136:12,20;137:12;	45:16,17	7,13;189:16;191:2,10,	171:11,15;214:3;
52:18,18;266:14,14	138:8;139:4,6,19,21;	obtaining (1)	14,15,17,22;193:2,12;	223:2,5,12,19;254:15,
normal (1)	140:14;142:11; 143:15;144:14;145:4;	46:3	195:24;215:21,24;	15,16;255:5,11,11,12,
231:6	143:15;144:14;145:4; 148:20;151:16,18;	obviously (6)	216:14;217:24,25;	23,23;262:7
normally (2)	148:20;151:16,18; 152:22;153:21,23;	9:7,25;54:15;70:16;	219:5;221:19;231:22,	<b>opportunity (3)</b> 49:25;90:16;147:23
29:17;57:5 note (5)	152:22;155:21,25;	80:15;92:6 occasion (1)	23;237:15;238:2,3; 241:14;242:3;246:3,	49:25;90:16;147:25 opposed (4)
33:18;106:14;	154:2;155:17,19,21;	181:7	4,24;247:18,24;	63:13;155:13;
112:23;134:15;	162:4,6,15;164:15,17;	occurred (3)	248:15,25;251:3,25;	210:19;245:22
143:14	166:14;167:8;168:15;	71:13;107:11;	252:21;257:18;	opposing (1)
noted (1)	169:7,11,13,22,24;	145:12	258:14;262:15;263:8,	31:8
110ttu (1)	107.1,11,13,22,27,	173.12	230.17,202.13,203.0,	31.0

252:2	
option (2) 248:15:249:9         39:244:15:437:388:2         P         59:39:22:2948:8         particular (25:29:15:24:12)         particular (25:29:26:15:24:11)         59:39:22:2948:8         particular (25:29:26:15:24:11)         59:39:22:2948:8         particular (25:29:26:15:24:11)         59:39:22:2948:8         particular (25:29:26:15:26:26:15:24:11)         59:41:51.72:196:13.         30:19:37:42:28:28:12:15:25:16:22:16:22:29:25:22:2         p.10 (11)         114:18.19;115:3;         16:19:10:21:10:10:13:7;         16:19:10:13:7;         42:22:83           orange (1)         141:16:25:147:22:         159:10:16:22:163:22;         159:55:16:15:224:11;         152:55:160:15:224:11;         115:45.6:116:23;         135:21:17;         135:21:17.7         135:21:17.7         22:11:12:27;         135:21:17.7         22:11:12:27;         135:21:17.7         22:11:12:27;         20:21:14         23:51:12:25:16;         22:24.4         135:29:10:11.17         22:11:12:27;         20:21:14         23:51:12:25:16;         22:24.4         135:21:31:17         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         23:51:17.7         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21:4         20:21	1:12;
248:15;249:9 options (4) 105:23;1248:23; 246:25;247:18; 2248:25;251:3 105:23;1248:23; 248:25;251:3 133:12,13;138:6; 128:23;129:19; 133:12,13;138:6; 129:10;162:2;163:22; 159:10;162:2;163:22; 159:10;162:2;163:22; 159:10;162:2;163:22; 179:10;162:2;163:22; 179:10;162:2;163:22; 110:41;12:22; 129:5;162:15;10; 110:41;12:22; 129:5;162:15;10; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;24:15; 120:43;135;135;135;135;135;135;135;135;135;13	15:3
options (4) 246:25;247:18; 246:25;241:18; 248:25;251:3         105:23;124:8,23; 129:19; 133:12,13;138:6; 125:8,10;150:2; 106:8;107:22;110:10:1317; 422:833-22;1325;222         125:23;129:19; 133:12,13;138:6; 125:8,10;150:2; 106:8;107:22;110:10:1317; 422:833-22;1325;222         125:23;129:19; 134:16,25;147:22; 155:55;160:15;224:11; 154:5,6;116:23; 114:21;12         114:16,25;147:22; 155:5;160:15;224:11; 154:5,6;116:23; 114:21;12         125:23;125:5;226:6         115:45,6;116:23; 114:21;12         125:27; 252:20         115:45,6;116:23; 113:22;10; 125:5;160; 122-24; 126:8,10,10,11;136:6         127:24;120:41; 122:10; 122:13; 122:10; 122:13; 122:13; 122:13; 123:13;	<b>(15)</b>
248:25:247:18;   128:23:129:19;   114:18.19:115:3;   16.19:102:10:103:17;   42:283:4     252:2	3,18;
248.25;251:3	16;38:12;
orange (t)         141:16,25:147:22;         159:10:162:2;163:22;         159:10:162:2;163:22;         152:55:26:60:15:224:11;         115:45,6:116:23;         135:21:16         252:7         252:132:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:	;112:19;
252:2	
oration (1)         178:17:182:17:18;         P-19 (7)         121:10:122:7:131:17,         particularly           roder (31)         31:521;93:618,         24:323;19:33;202:11,         17:214:72:18:15:24;         110:4;112:22;         25;132:8,12,17;         39:8;44:22,133:21;         39:8;44:22;           24:38:3,8;24;39:5,13;         40:7;41:15;25;40:5;         240:8;258:12;260:2,         43:9,14;44:2;45:10;         47:16:48:18;50:20;         22         40:8;258:12;260:2,         40:8         122:9,9,23;125:24;         150:23;23;156:7;         178:6;179:25;181:6,         146:20:16         160:20;16:48:18;50:20;         150:33;23:156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         150:32;33;156:7;         178:6;179:25;181:6,         146:20:16         146:20:16         129:9,93;125:24;         150:31;55:30;155:61         129:9,93;125:24;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154;         150:14;23;154	50:10;172:9;
146:15	
order (31)         17:214:7;218:15;24;         126:81,01,0,11;136:6         137:24,25;138:21;         202:14           33:5,12,19;36:18, 24/;33:8,24;39:5,13;         228:2,10,11;232:8;         248:2,10,11;232:8;         248:2,10,11;232:8;         132:9,10,11,17         150:23,23;156:7;         53:17:25;         53:17:25;         53:17:25;         137:24,25;181:6,         157:6,7;177:25;         53:17:25;         137:9,17:25;         53:17:25;         132:9,10,11,17         178:6;179:25;181:6,         178:6;179:25;181:6,         190:20;25;131:60;161:2         193:9;197:12;207:14;         200:14         190:20;25;131:60;161:2         212:9,9,23;125:24;         193:9;197:12;207:14;         266:15,18         200:15,18         190:20;25;131:60;         200:15,33         20         251:13;263:24         parts (1)         26:15,18         23:13;263:24         parts (1)         26:15,18         259:2,115:3;137:23;         259:2,115:3;137:23;         259:2,115:3;137:23;         259:2,115:3;137:23;         259:2,115:3;137:23;         26:15,18         27:16;19:23;         259:2,115:3;137:23;         27:16;19:13;14         27:16;19:13;15         259:2,115:3;137:23;         27:16;19:13;13         27:16;19:13;13         27:16;19:13;13         27:16;19:13;13         27:16;19:13;13         27:16;19:13;13         27:16;19:13;13         27:16;19:11         27:22;19:24;19:19;10         27:17;19:22;28:5         27:17;19:22;28:5         27:17;19:22;28:5<	, , ,
24;38;3,24;39;5,13;   22;82;10,11;232;8;   22;82;10,11;232;8;   33;9,10,11,17   157:6,7;177:5;   53;17:25;   43;9,14;44:2;45;10;   40;8   47;16;48;18;50;20;   51;6,21,24;64;17;   33;8,13,15;90;22;   22;   0utside (16)   10;22;13;5;222;25;   22;10,257:17   0rdered (1)   22;33;227:19;240;6, 33;5   7;244;24,24;245;10, 12;249;19;257:5,5,5,6   7;244;24,24;245;10, 12;249;19;257:5,5,5,6   0ver (19)   22;8;34;12;51;23;   43;19   65;12,17,25;66;8;   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   187:6;188:3   196:24;197:10,11;   21;23;20;21;   21;22;33;20;21;   21;22;33;23;20;22;   21;22;23;33;23;20;22;   22;10;23;20;21;   22;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;2	0;47:8;
24;38:3,8,24;39:5,13;	
40:7:41:15,25:42:25; 240:8;258:12;260:2, 43:9,14;442;45:10; 22 outline (1) 40:8 outside (16) 51:6,21,24;64:17; 83:8,13,15:90:22; 23:10;257:17 ordered (1) 223:3;227:19;240:6, 7:244:24,24245:10, 190:21;19;257:5,5,5,6 over (19) c22:8;34:12;51:23; 65:12,19;264:15 over (19) c22:8;34:12;51:23; 65:12,19;264:15 organization (7) c7:34:10:22;11:227:9 corganization (7) c10:23;11:17,22,22; 12:24;13:5;116:20 c10:18;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4 c1entations (8) c92:13,25;95:12; 100:18;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4 c1entations (8) c92:13,25;95:12; 100:18;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4 c1entations (8) c92:31,025;25; 7; 62:16;05:16;06:6; 166:12,19;97:4,11; 98:14;144:25;146; 78:14;148:25; 166:12,19;79;74;11; 69:12,19;79;74;11; 67:12,10;79;74;11; 67:12,10;79;74;11; 67:12,10;79;74;11; 67:12,10;79;74;11; 67:12,10;79;74;11; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;71; 67:12,10;79;74;74;74;74;74;74;74;74;74;74;74;74;74;	
43:9,14;44:2;45:10; 47:16;48:18;50;20; 51:6,21;24;64:17; 83:8,13,15;90:22; 223:10;257:17 ordered (1) 33:5 ordered (2) 212:19;264:15 over (19) crders (1) 22:8,34:12;51:23; 43:19 ordinarily (2) 77:52;164:3 organically (1) 54:25 10:23;11:17,22,22; 10:23;12:21; 224:13:5;116:20 Orientation (8) 92:13,25;95:12; 10:01;8:101;4:149:16; 168:10;19;4 orientations (8) 92:23,100;21,23; 100:21,24; 100:16,19;101:18; 100:16,19;101:	
47:16;48:18;50:20; 51:6,21,24;64:17; 40:8 outside (16) 33:8,13,15;90:22; 223:10;257:17 10:22;13:5;222:25; ordered (1) 223:10;257:17 10:22;13:5;222:25; ordered (1) 223:10;257:17 10:22;13:5;222:25; ordering (2) 12:19;264:15 over (19) 12:249;19;257:5,5.6 over (19) 187:6;188:3 175:22;194:20; organically (1) 196:24;197:10,11; 21:223;220:21; 21:223;220:21; 21:223;220:21; 21:223;220:21; 21:223;220:21; 21:223;220:21; 21:223;220:21; 21:223;220:21; 21:224;13:5;116:20 Orientation (8) 19:24 19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:19:18; 19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:19:1	
51:6,21,24;64:17;   40:8   P-33 (2)   150:8,152:13   pages (12)   parts (1)	
83:8,13,15;90:22; 223:10;257:17	}
223:10;257:17 ordered (1) 223:3;227:19;240:6, 33:5 ordering (2) 12;249:19;257:5,5,6 over (19) orders (1) 22:83;4212;51:23; 43:19 65:12,17,25;666:8; 72:144:24;42;424;24; 43:19 65:12,17,25;666:8; 175:25;194:20; organically (1) 54:25 0rganization (7) 10:23;11:17,22;22; 12:24;13:5;116:20 0rientation (8) 92:13,25;95:12; 100:18;101:4;149:16; 108:10191:4 0rientations (8) 99:23;100:21,23; 0reming (2) 108:17 Original (2) 0reming (3) 10:22;13:25;25:5 0rganization (7) 10:23;11:7,22;26; 10:24;13:5;116:20 0rientation (8) 92:13,25;95:12; 0rientations (8) 99:23;100:21,23; 0rientations (8) 0rientations (8) 99:23;100:21,23; 0rientations (8) 0ri	
ordered (1)         223:3;227:19;240:6, 33:5         150:14,23;151:4, 13,158:16; 175:23;176:24; 13,15;153:9;155:6; 175:23;176:24;	
33:5         7;244:24,24;245:10, 12;249:19;257:5,5,5,6         13,15;153:9;155:6; 156:15;159:23; 176:24; 195:14;196:22; 257:24;259:7         past (1)           orders (1)         22:8;34:12;51:23; 65:12,17,25;66:8; 75:246:3         P-35 (2) 187:6;188:3         paper (1)         41:8,11,22 patents (3)           ordinarily (2)         72:18;100:15;155:24; P-36 (6)         188:9;189:21; 196:24; 197:10,11; 191:19;192:13,22; 193:9         paper (1)         41:21 path (3)           organization (7)         105:24;197:10,11; 191:19;192:13,22; 22; 193:9         P-37 (7)         244:25;249:17; 244:25;249:17; 22;314:25; 100:18; 101:4;149:16; 166:18;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4         77:11;79:22;85:5         22;168:9;191:2,3 100:18; 101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4         P-38 (18)         paraphrase (2) 182:22;25:14         paraphrase (2) 134:16;26         pause (16)           orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         102:10;103:17; 102:10;103:17; 102:17,17;19; 162:9,24         152:17,17,19; 162:9,24         paraphrase (2)         pause (16)           Original (2)         8:10;9:5,6;16:5; 153:14;154:10; 166:15;157:9;10,13; 153:14;154:10; 98:14;144:25;146:7         153:14;154:10; 153:14;154:10; 153:14;154:10; 153:14;154:10; 154:14;154:10; 154:14;154:10; 154:14;154:10; 154:14;154:10; 154:14;154:10; 154:14;154:10; 154:156;165:16;66:16; 166:16; 165:16;516;616;61:6; 165:16;516;516;516;516;516;516;516;516;516;5	
ordering (2)         12;249:19;257:5,5,5,6         156:15;159:23;         195:14;196:22;         5:21         5:21           orders (1)         22:8;34:12;51:23;         160:15;192:11         175:24;259:7         paper (1)         41:8,11,20           43:19         65:12,17,25;66:8;         187:6;188:3         187:6;188:3         148:25         patents (1)         41:8,11,20           75:5;246:3         175:25;194:20;         188:9;189:21;         57:8         papers (1)         41:8,11,20         patents (1)         41:8,11,20           organically (1)         196:24;197:10,11;         199:19;192:13,22;         199:99:99:13,22;         192:4;194:8;         parameters (5)         24:22;31:path (3)           organization (7)         221:11;227:9         P-37 (7)         224:25;249:17;         244:25;249:17;         40:17:68:           0rientation (8)         overhead (1)         P-38 (18)         178:3;189:9         paraphrase (2)         Pauline (2)           09:23;100:21,23;         243:17         105:17,19;162:9,24         182:22;251:14         22:23,24;23           09:27;05:23         own (6)         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         28:20         parentheses (1)         28:3;19:19           05:14;14;25;16:65         69:18,25;70:17,18,25;         158:12;17,179;         158:17,17,19;	19
212:19;264:15	
orders (1)         22:8;34:12;51:23;         P-35 (2)         paper (1)         41:8,11,20           43:19         65:12,17,25;66:8;         72:18;100:15;155:24;         187:6;188:3         paper (1)         41:8,11,20           ordinarily (2)         72:18;100:15;155:24;         175:25;194:20;         188:9;189:21;         57:8         path (3)           organically (1)         196:24;197:10,11;         212:23;220:21;         193:9         P-37 (7)         244:25;249:17;         24:22;31:           organization (7)         221:11;227:9         P-37 (7)         244:25;249:17;         40:17;68:           10:23;11:17,22,22;         overall (3)         77:11;79:22;85:5         22;168:9;191:2,3         paraphrase (2)         214:22:23           Orientation (8)         overhead (1)         92:12,17,22;94:8;         92:12,17,22;94:8;         paraphrased (2)         182:22;251:14         22:23,24;           orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         105:17,19;162:9,24         paraphrasing (3)         50:12;53           09:23;100:21,23;         243:17         105:17,17;162:9,24         paraphrase (2)         paraphrasing (3)         50:12;53:           0SHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         155:17,17;19;         28:20         parentheses (1)         28:20         paused (1)           Or	
43:19 ordinarily (2) 7:5;246:3 72:18;100:15;155:24; 75:5;246:3 175:25;194:20; 196:24;197:10,11; 54:25 21:22;3;220:21; 10:23;11:17,22,22; 10:23;12:17,22;95 0reganization (7) 221:11;227:9 0reination (8) 92:13,25;95:12; 100:18;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4 0rientations (8) 99:23;100:21,23; 100:27,8;103:14,21; 100:18;10 17 Original (2) 188:19;199:23; 100:18;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4 0rientations (8) 99:23;100:21,23; 100:27,8;103:14,21; 100:18,101:4;149:16; 108:17 Original (2) 108:17:18;103:14;15; 109:19;104:18;15; 109:19;105:23 0riginal (2) 109:18;19;122;3,22; 100:16,19;101:18; 100:16,19;101:18; 100:16,19;101:18; 100:10;103:17; 100:16,19;101:18; 100:10;103:17; 100:16,19;101:18; 100:10;103:17;	
ordinarily (2)         72:18;100:15;155:24;         P-36 (6)         papers (1)         41:21           7:5;246:3         175:25;194:20;         196:24;197:10,11;         191:19;192:13,22;         24:22;31:           54:25         212:23;220:21;         193:9         192:4;194:8;         pattern (5)           10:23;11:17,22,22;         221:11;227:9         P-37 (7)         259:20         214:22;23           10:23;15:16:20         77:11;79;22;85:5         77:11;79;22;85:5         paraphrase (2)         pauline (2)           10:18;101:4;149:16;         150:24         92:12,17,22;94:8;         paraphrase (2)         pause (16)           10:19:1:4         150:24         92:12,17,22;94:8;         paraphrase (2)         pause (16)           10:19:10:19:1:4         71:22         19:100:16,19:10:18;         paraphrase (2)         pause (16)           102:7,8;103:14,21;         243:17         105:17,19;162:9,24         paraphrase (3)         50:12;53:           108:17         8:10;9;5,6;16:5;         153:14;154:10;         178:4         parentheses (1)         28:20           0riginal (2)         8:10;9;5,6;16:5;         153:14;154:10;         28:20         pause (1)           0SHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         158:22;160:17;164:4;         38:20;42:12;71:16;         219:19<	0
7:5;246:3 organically (1) 54:25 organization (7) 10:23;11:17,22,22; 12:24;13:5;116:20 Orientation (8) 92:13,25;95:12; 10:01:8;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4 orientations (8) 99:23;100:21,23; 102:7,8;103:14,21; 108:17 Original (2) 54:9;105:23 OSHA (11) 74:13,15,23;75:7; 96:12,19;97:4,11; 96:12,19;97:4,11; 96:12,19;97:4,11; 96:12,25;23 OSHA's (4) 96:21,25;225:17; 204:16;210:16; 204:16;210:16; 205:18:9;191:2,3 0	
organically (1)         196:24;197:10,11;         191:19;192:13,22;         parameters (5)         24:22;31:           54:25         212:23;220:21;         193:9         P-37 (7)         192:4;194:8;         244:25;249:17;         40:17;68:           10:23;11:17,22,22;         22:21;11:227:9         P-37 (7)         259:20         244:25;249:17;         40:17;68:           Orientation (8)         overhead (1)         P-38 (18)         paraphrase (2)         Pauline (2)           100:18;101:4;149:16; 168:10;191:4         oversight (1)         95:4,21;96:25;99:5,         120:20;121:11;         85:23;108           orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         102:10;103:17;         120:20;121:11;         85:23;108           99:23;100:21,23;         243:17         105:17,19;162:9,24         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         28:20         pause (1)           Original (2)         8:10;9:5,6;16:5;         152:17,17,19;         28:20         pause (1)         85:19           54:9;105:23         owner (23)         156:16;157:9,10,13;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         payer (1)           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         62:16;65:16;66:6;         166:5,8;175:7;187:5;         7;19:12,13,15;         79:21;85:59:6:16;         paye (1)           OSHA (4)	
54:25         212:23;220:21;         193:9         192:4;194:8;         pattern (5)           organization (7)         221:11;227:9         P-37 (7)         244:25;249:17;         40:17;68:         244:25;249:17;         40:17;68:         212:23           10:23;11:17,22,22;         0verall (3)         77:11;79:22;85:5         22;168:9;191:2,3         paraphrase (2)         Pauline (2)           Orientation (8)         0versight (1)         95:4,21;96:25;99:5,         19:100:16,19;101:18;         paraphrased (2)         pause (16)           orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         99:23;100:21,23;         243:17         105:17,19;162:9,24         paraphrasing (3)         50:12;53:           102:7,8;103:14,21;         own (6)         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         180:3;219           0Figinal (2)         186:7;255:5         0wner (23)         156:16;157:9,10,13;         38:14;154:10;         85:19         pave (1)           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         158:22;160:17;164:4;         79:21;85:596:16;         79:21;85:596:16;         85:19         pave (1)           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         192:10;207:17;210:2;         79:21;85:596:16;         68:47:14           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         232:14;238:13;         251:16,25;253:15; <th< td=""><td>4.010.7</td></th<>	4.010.7
organization (7)         221:11;227:9         P-37 (7)         244:25;249:17;         40:17;68:211;22;23           10:23;11:17,22,22;         overall (3)         105:16,24;107:18,         259:20         214:22;23           Orientation (8)         overhead (1)         P-38 (18)         paraphrase (2)         Pauline (2)           100:18;101:4;149:16;         150:24         92:12,17,22;94:8;         paraphrased (2)         pause (16)           168:10;191:4         overspeaking (1)         102:10;103:17;         120:20;121:11;         22:23,24;           99:23;100:21,23;         overspeaking (1)         105:17,19;162:9,24         paraphrasing (3)         50:12;53:           102:7,8;103:14,21;         own (6)         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         180:3;219           108:17         8:10;9:5,6;16:5;         152:17,17,19;         28:20         paused (1)           0riginal (2)         186:7;255:5         153:14;154:10;         38:20;42:12;71:16;         85:19           54:9;105:23         owner (23)         156:16;157:9,10,13;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         85:19           0SHA (11)         69:18,25;70:17,18,25;         166:5,8;175:7;187:5,         79:21;85:596:16;         79:21;85:596:16;         79:21;85:596:16;         68:47:14         19:10:05:12:318;         68:	4;219:7
10:23;11:17,22,22;   10:24;13:5;116:20   77:11;79:22;85:5   22;168:9;191:2,3   22;168:9;191:2,3   paraphrase (2)   134:16;26   pause (16)   134:16;26   134:16;26   pause (16)   134:16;26   134:16;26   pause (16)   134:1	0.76.7.
12:24;13:5;116:20	
Orientation (8)         overhead (1)         P-38 (18)         178:3;189:9         134:16;26           92:13,25;95:12;         150:24         92:12,17,22;94:8;         paraphrased (2)         pause (16)           100:18;101:4;149:16;         71:22         95:4,21;96:25;99:5,         182:22;251:14         22:23,24;           orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         102:10;103:17;         120:20;121:11;         85:23;108           99:23;100:21,23;         243:17         own (6)         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         180:3;219           108:17         8:10;9:5,6;16:5;         152:17,17,19;         28:20         paused (1)           Original (2)         186:7;255:5         153:14;154:10;         28:20         paused (1)           54:9;105:23         owner (23)         156:16;157:9,10,13;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         85:19           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         166:5,8;175:7;187:5,         73:8;75:16,17;77:11;         219:19           98:14;144:25;146:7         116:22;165:22;         192:10;207:17;210:2;         106:4;116:6;118:18,         19;120:5;123:18;           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         239:21,23         232:14;238:13;         254:21;255:15;258:2;         155:4;156:7,8,10;         35:23           others (5)         239:21	12.21
92:13,25;95:12; 150:24 92:12,17,22;94:8; 168:10;191:4 71:22 95:4,21;96:25;99:5, 19;100:16,19;101:18; 102:10;103:17; 102:10;103:17; 102:7,8;103:14,21; 108:17	54.22
100:18;101:4;149:16;         oversight (1)         95:4,21;96:25;99:5,         182:22;251:14         22:23,24;25           168:10;191:4         71:22         19;100:16,19;101:18;         paraphrasing (3)         50:12;53:           orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         102:10;103:17;         120:20;121:11;         85:23;108           102:7,8;103:14,21;         own (6)         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         180:3;219           108:17         186:7;255:5         153:14;154:10;         part (55)         85:19           54:9;105:23         owner (23)         156:16;157:9,10,13;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         85:19           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         158:22;160:17;164:4;         38:20;42:12;71:16;         219:19           96:12,19;97:4,11;         69:18,25;70:17,18,25;         7;191:12,13,15;         79:21;85:5;96:16;         6:8;47:14           98:14;144:25;146:7         116:22;165:22;         192:10;207:17;210:2;         106:4;116:6;118:18,         paycheck (2           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         251:16,25;253:15;         124:7;130:20;131:5;         paying (1)           96:21,25;225:17;         204:16;210:16;         251:16,25;253:15;         155:4;156:7,8,10;         35:23           96:21,25;225:17;         204:16;210:16;         254:21;2	, <u></u>
168:10;191:4         71:22         19;100:16,19;101:18;         paraphrasing (3)         50:12;53:           orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         102:10;103:17;         120:20;121:11;         85:23;108           99:23;100:21,23;         243:17         105:17,19;162:9,24         178:4         130:5;157           102:7,8;103:14,21;         own (6)         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         180:3;219           0riginal (2)         8:10;9:5,6;16:5;         152:17,17,19;         28:20         paused (1)         85:19           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         156:16;157:9,10,13;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         pave (1)           74:13,15,23;75:7;         62:16;65:16;66:6;         166:5,8;175:7;187:5,         73:8;75:16,17;77:11;         pay (2)           96:12,19;97:4,11;         69:18,25;70:17,18,25;         192:10;207:17;210:2;         106:4;116:6;118:18,         paycheck (2           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         216:15;217:19;         19;120:5;123:18;         35:24;47:           96:21,25;225:17;         204:16;210:16;         251:16,25;253:15;         124:7;130:20;131:5;         paying (1)           228:23         232:14;238:13;         254:21;255:15;258:2;         155:4;156:7,8,10;         pays (1)           others (5)	28:3:49:4:
orientations (8)         overspeaking (1)         102:10;103:17;         120:20;121:11;         85:23;108           99:23;100:21,23;         243:17         105:17,19;162:9,24         178:4         130:5;157           102:7,8;103:14,21;         own (6)         P-4 (32)         parentheses (1)         180:3;219           Original (2)         186:7;255:5         153:14;154:10;         part (55)         85:19           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         158:22;160:17;164:4;         38:20;42:12;71:16;         219:19           96:12,19;97:4,11;         69:18,25;70:17,18,25;         166:5,8;175:7;187:5,         73:8;75:16,17;77:11;         pay (2)           98:14;144:25;146:7         116:22;165:22;         192:10;207:17;210:2;         106:4;116:6;118:18,         19;120:5;123:18;           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         216:15;217:19;         19;120:5;123:18;         35:24;47:           96:21,25;225:17;         204:16;210:16;         251:16,25;253:15;         124:7;130:20;131:5;         paying (1)           228:23         232:14;238:13;         254:21;255:15;258:2;         155:4;156:7,8,10;         35:23           others (5)         239:21,23         259:8         158:7,7,8,9,11,17;         pays (1)	
99:23;100:21,23; 243:17 105:17,19;162:9,24 178:4 parentheses (1) 180:3;219 108:17 8:10;9:5,6;16:5; 152:17,17,19; 28:20 paused (1) 28:10;9:5,6;16:5; 153:14;154:10; 28:20 paused (1) 25:19;105:23 owner (23) 156:16;157:9,10,13; 30:11;31:17;35:23	3:23;113:22;
108:17       8:10;9:5,6;16:5;       152:17,17,19;       28:20       paused (1)         Original (2)       186:7;255:5       153:14;154:10;       part (55)       85:19         54:9;105:23       owner (23)       156:16;157:9,10,13;       30:11;31:17;35:23;       pave (1)         0SHA (11)       6:7;13:22,24;18:15;       158:22;160:17;164:4;       38:20;42:12;71:16;       219:19         74:13,15,23;75:7;       62:16;65:16;66:6;       166:5,8;175:7;187:5,       7;191:12,13,15;       79:21;85:5;96:16;       pay (2)         96:12,19;97:4,11;       69:18,25;70:17,18,25;       7;191:12,13,15;       79:21;85:5;96:16;       6:8;47:14         98:14;144:25;146:7       116:22;165:22;       192:10;207:17;210:2;       106:4;116:6;118:18,       paycheck (2         OSHA's (4)       184:17;185:20;186:6;       216:15;217:19;       19;120:5;123:18;       35:24;47:         96:21,25;225:17;       204:16;210:16;       251:16,25;253:15;       124:7;130:20;131:5;       paying (1)         228:23       232:14;238:13;       254:21;255:15;258:2;       155:4;156:7,8,10;       35:23         others (5)       239:21,23       259:8       158:7,7,8,9,11,17;       pays (1)	7:4,11;
Original (2)         186:7;255:5         153:14;154:10;         part (55)         85:19           54:9;105:23         owner (23)         156:16;157:9,10,13;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         pave (1)           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         158:22;160:17;164:4;         38:20;42:12;71:16;         219:19           74:13,15,23;75:7;         62:16;65:16;66:6;         166:5,8;175:7;187:5,         73:8;75:16,17;77:11;         pay (2)           96:12,19;97:4,11;         69:18,25;70:17,18,25;         7;191:12,13,15;         79:21;85:5;96:16;         paycheck (2           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         216:15;217:19;         19;120:5;123:18;         paycheck (2           96:21,25;225:17;         204:16;210:16;         251:16,25;253:15;         124:7;130:20;131:5;         paying (1)           228:23         232:14;238:13;         254:21;255:15;258:2;         155:4;156:7,8,10;         35:23           others (5)         239:21,23         259:8         158:7,7,8,9,11,17;         pays (1)	9:24;263:10
54:9;105:23         owner (23)         156:16;157:9,10,13;         30:11;31:17;35:23;         pave (1)           OSHA (11)         6:7;13:22,24;18:15;         158:22;160:17;164:4;         38:20;42:12;71:16;         219:19           74:13,15,23;75:7;         62:16;65:16;66:6;         166:5,8;175:7;187:5,         73:8;75:16,17;77:11;         pay (2)           96:12,19;97:4,11;         69:18,25;70:17,18,25;         7;191:12,13,15;         79:21;85:5;96:16;         paycheck (2           OSHA's (4)         184:17;185:20;186:6;         216:15;217:19;         19;120:5;123:18;         paycheck (2           96:21,25;225:17;         204:16;210:16;         251:16,25;253:15;         124:7;130:20;131:5;         paying (1)           228:23         232:14;238:13;         254:21;255:15;258:2;         155:4;156:7,8,10;         35:23           others (5)         239:21,23         259:8         158:7,7,8,9,11,17;         pays (1)	
OSHA (11)       6:7;13:22,24;18:15;       158:22;160:17;164:4;       38:20;42:12;71:16;       219:19         74:13,15,23;75:7;       62:16;65:16;66:6;       166:5,8;175:7;187:5,       73:8;75:16,17;77:11;       pay (2)         96:12,19;97:4,11;       69:18,25;70:17,18,25;       7;191:12,13,15;       79:21;85:5;96:16;       paycheck (2         98:14;144:25;146:7       116:22;165:22;       192:10;207:17;210:2;       106:4;116:6;118:18,       paycheck (2         OSHA's (4)       184:17;185:20;186:6;       216:15;217:19;       19;120:5;123:18;       35:24;47:         96:21,25;225:17;       204:16;210:16;       251:16,25;253:15;       124:7;130:20;131:5;       paying (1)         228:23       232:14;238:13;       254:21;255:15;258:2;       155:4;156:7,8,10;       35:23         others (5)       239:21,23       259:8       158:7,7,8,9,11,17;       pays (1)	
74:13,15,23;75:7; 62:16;65:16;66:6; 166:5,8;175:7;187:5, 73:8;75:16,17;77:11; pay (2) 69:12,19;97:4,11; 98:14;144:25;146:7	
96:12,19;97:4,11; 69:18,25;70:17,18,25; 7;191:12,13,15; 79:21;85:5;96:16; 16:8;47:14 98:14;144:25;146:7 116:22;165:22; 192:10;207:17;210:2; 106:4;116:6;118:18, paycheck (2 16:15;217:19; 204:16;210:16; 251:16,25;253:15; 124:7;130:20;131:5; paying (1) 258:23 23:14;238:13; 259:8 158:7,7,8,9,11,17; pays (1)	
98:14;144:25;146:7	
OSHA's (4)       184:17;185:20;186:6;       216:15;217:19;       19;120:5;123:18;       35:24;47:         96:21,25;225:17;       204:16;210:16;       251:16,25;253:15;       124:7;130:20;131:5;       paying (1)         228:23       232:14;238:13;       254:21;255:15;258:2;       155:4;156:7,8,10;       35:23         others (5)       239:21,23       259:8       158:7,7,8,9,11,17;       pays (1)	
96:21,25;225:17; 204:16;210:16; 251:16,25;253:15; 124:7;130:20;131:5; paying (1) 228:23 232:14;238:13; 254:21;255:15;258:2; 155:4;156:7,8,10; 35:23 pays (1) 24:7;130:20;131:5; paying (1) 254:21;255:15;258:2; 158:7,7,8,9,11,17; pays (1)	
228:23 232:14;238:13; 254:21;255:15;258:2; 155:4;156:7,8,10; 35:23 26thers (5) 239:21,23 259:8 158:7,7,8,9,11,17; pays (1)	15
others (5) 239:21,23 259:8 158:7,7,8,9,11,17; pays (1)	
60:15;93:17; owners (5) P-4.1 (3) 163:13;171:4;180:7; 47:13	
104:23;140:10; 24:16;116:15,17; 161:8,13;175:18 191:14;193:7;197:5, <b>PE (263)</b>	7.1.0.1
186:18 129:2,3 <b>P-9 (12)</b> 6,6,7,9;201:13; 5:1,9;6:1;	
Otherwise (3) ownership (1) 220:4;221:4;227:3; 208:22;213:10;215:6, 9:1;10:1;1	
	;15:1;16:1;
	;19:1;20:1;
	;23:1;24:1; ;27:1;28:1;
out (50)	,21.1,28.1;

-	1	I	I	, ,
29:1;30:1;31:1;32:1;	224:1;225:1;226:1;	perfect (1)	96:13;103:4,5,11;	pinch (1)
33:1;34:1;35:1;36:1;	227:1;228:1;229:1;	260:23	108:10,11,13,14,20;	26:9
37:1;38:1;39:1;40:1;	230:1;231:1;232:1;	perfectly (4)	114:25;115:4,7;	pink (1)
41:1;42:1;43:1;44:1;	233:1;234:1;235:1;	90:7;162:2;163:22;	152:18;157:23;	24:21
45:1;46:1;47:1;48:1;	236:1;237:1;238:1;	220:7	158:23,23;159:6;	pinning (1)
49:1;50:1;51:1;52:1;	239:1;240:1;241:1;	perform (7)	160:17,25;162:10,24;	119:8
53:1;54:1;55:1;56:1;	242:1;243:1;244:1;	69:15,16;74:11;	164:4;166:8;175:18;	pipes (2)
57:1;58:1;59:1;60:1;	245:1;246:1;247:1;	149:12;213:9;259:19;	191:13,15;193:9;	240:24;242:15
61:1;62:1;63:1;64:1;	248:1;249:1;250:1;	261:13	216:14;217:17;221:4,	place (13)
65:1;66:1;67:1;68:1;	251:1;252:1;253:1;	performance (1)	8;222:6;227:3,15;	26:12;70:21;76:11,
69:1;70:1;71:1;72:1;	254:1;255:1;256:1;	241:20	242:10;251:24;253:6,	12;140:21;141:15;
73:1;74:1;75:1;76:1;	257:1;258:1;259:1;	performed (2)	14;254:21;255:15;	167:16;172:4,5;
77:1;78:1;79:1;80:1;	260:1;261:1;262:1;	137:17;245:2	258:2;259:8	181:25;183:2;186:6;
81:1;82:1;83:1;84:1;	263:1;264:1;265:1;	performing (1)	photograph (4)	216:11
85:1;86:1;87:1;88:1;	266:1	218:15	102:13,15;107:25;	places (1)
89:1;90:1;91:1;92:1;	pebble (3)	performs (1)	161:13	110:20
93:1;94:1;95:1;96:1;	223:17;242:4,5	66:11	photos (20)	plaintiff (7)
97:1;98:1;99:1;100:1;		perhaps (6)	54:18;125:6;	5:19;7:20;17:20;
101:1;102:1;103:1;	111:13,19;121:14	45:5;90:10;147:17,	152:12,17,25;153:10,	24:24;25:23;48:3;
104:1;105:1;106:1;	peer (4)	18;148:6;154:18	14;154:10,17;157:9,	111:10
107:1;108:1;109:1;	10:5,9,15,18	perimeter (1)	13;160:15;161:8;	plaintiffs' (2)
110:1;111:1;112:1;	peer-review (4)	118:16	207:17;227:17;	7:17;111:5
113:1;114:1;115:1;	10:2;260:17;261:2,	periodic (1)	235:13;238:24;	plaintiff's (2)
116:1;117:1;118:1;	24	50:3	242:17,19;254:20	105:16,22
119:1;120:1;121:1;	peer-reviewed (10)	perjury (3)	pick (4)	plan (9)
122:1;123:1;124:1;	10:21;11:8;12:5,22;	12:14,16;124:4	158:4;197:3;	85:22;110:6;
125:1;126:1;127:1;	13:2,4;245:21;	permissible (1) 90:8	218:20;260:18	112:20,22;229:21;
128:1;129:1;130:1;	260:25;262:16,23		picked (3)	234:24;235:25;239:7; 240:9
131:1;132:1;133:1;	penalties (1) 124:4	permit (1) 82:20	163:10;205:12; 261:2	planning (1)
134:1;135:1;136:1; 137:1;138:1;139:1;	pending (12)	permitted (2)	picking (1)	127:19
140:1;141:1;142:1;	66:20;86:20,24;	158:2;196:25	219:9	play (3)
143:1;144:1;145:1;	87:21,24;196:21;	person (19)	picture (24)	35:23;79:6;171:10
145:1;147:1;148:1;	211:8;236:14,15,24;	10:23;12:16;75:10;	94:21;95:9,10,16,	played (3)
149:1;150:1;151:1;	237:16;255:8	119:17,17;128:3;	25;96:8,10;99:8,17;	130:20;187:17;
152:1;153:1;154:1;	Pennsylvania (1)	129:19,23;135:22;	114:21;120:8;150:9;	238:14
155:1;156:1;157:1;	5:11	141:9;142:23;149:15;	210:25;221:23;	playing (1)
158:1;159:1;160:1;	people (26)	169:4;170:6;172:10;	222:16;227:5;228:20;	68:5
161:1;162:1;163:1;	10:6,17;12:23;13:5;	186:11,13;195:13;	241:14;242:6;251:15,	please (26)
164:1;165:1;166:1;	44:17;47:4;78:4;85:8;	228:18	17;252:20;253:2,2	34:9,10;37:10;53:2;
167:1;168:1;169:1;	93:5;124:2;134:25;	personal (6)	pictures (13)	67:18,20;82:16;
170:1;171:1;172:1;	135:13;136:10;	8:11;19:3,14,19,22,	114:15;150:7,19;	83:14,16;87:24;
173:1;174:1;175:1;	149:15;155:24;165:3;	24	151:5,15;153:8;	92:10;134:15;144:5;
176:1;177:1;178:1;	167:20;173:9;213:6,	personally (7)	154:25;155:4,5,6;	147:24;161:11,11;
179:1;180:1;181:1;	6,8;218:20;232:4,5;	236:11,21;238:12;	156:16,17;168:21	162:20;189:15;
182:1;183:1;184:1;	245:22,23	239:14,17,22;240:5	piece (6)	209:19;233:25;234:3;
185:1;186:1;187:1;	people's (1)	perspective (3)	154:9;159:24;	238:16;243:19,23;
188:1;189:1;190:1;	27:4	128:8;166:13;	173:7;252:22;260:25;	248:11;264:18
191:1;192:1;193:1;	per (1)	227:18	262:23	plural (1)
194:1;195:1;196:1;	28:6	Philadelphia (1)	pieces (2)	237:14
197:1;198:1;199:1;	percent (19)	5:11	153:12;158:25	pm (1)
200:1;201:1;202:1;	21:11;32:8,9,10,17;	philosophy (1)	pigeonholing (1)	265:10
203:1;204:1;205:1;	36:13;39:4;111:4,10,	241:24	149:8	point (24)
206:1;207:1;208:1;	11;149:11;160:20;	phone (2)	pigheaded (1)	12:2;20:7;22:19;
209:1;210:1;211:1;	172:6;190:16;213:12;	49:3;52:9	21:24	31:21;41:10,10;43:7;
212:1;213:1;214:1;	218:6;230:8,15;	phonetic (1)	pile (1)	48:21;81:9;95:11,17;
215:1;216:1;217:1;	231:15	30:18	30:19	96:2,8;107:15;
210 1 210 1 220 1	231:13	30.10	30.17	70.2,0,107.13,
218:1;219:1;220:1;	percentage (3)	photo (45)	piles (1)	112:20;118:12;
218:1;219:1;220:1; 221:1;222:1;223:1;				

143:25;144:12; 9:21;16:6,9;24:13; pride (1) 20 128:6,15,21;129:2,21; 147:22;165:14;189:6 26:24 proceedings (1) 130:7,20;138:17; 26:18;27:5;31:5; primarily (4) 266:12 pointed (2) 33:24;34:6;75:18,19; 139:14:140:10; 124:8.23 99:13;101:9;104:21; 18:15,22;68:19,23 process (18) 141:12.20:142:25: pointing (1) 10:2;19:18;21:23; 145:12,13,17;164:21; 112:3 principal (3) 133:12 practices (10) 233:8;240:17;254:2 26:11,13;30:11;33:7; 165:14,24;166:17; police (1) 15:6;99:6;100:6; principals (1) 38:20;40:16;56:3,10; 168:3;172:10;175:19; 165:2 111:23;139:17; 233:3 57:9:59:21:60:16: 176:8,10;184:3,24; policies (3) 140:12:144:2.13: principle (1) 70:14:80:7:149:25: 185:19:186:14.25: 95:13;100:24; 244:18 145:3;146:8 148:13 190:19;194:16,19; processes (1) 229:24 preclude (1) principles (4) 195:5;199:15;203:15; 110:17 74:7;93:24;98:18; 76:12 policy (7) 208:15;212:16;213:9, 35:22;97:7,12,17; pre-excavation (1) produce (2) 191:5 16;214:25;232:13; 225:18;233:13; 138:16 printed (1) 33:5;40:20 235:23;236:5;238:14; 234:19 prefer (2) 58:2 produced (7) 239:3,10,20;240:11; 49:18;90:11 ponder (1) prior (23) 47:17;52:19; 241:21;245:2;260:15; 203:16 prepared (9) 6:2;7:4;9:15;31:17; 107:20;110:5;112:24; 261:22 32:6;34:12;39:22; **pool** (6) 112:14;113:7,15; 119:17;127:2 projects (16) 125:18,21;126:15; 18:21;19:8,9,11; 45:15,16,25;46:4; product (12) 80:10,18;95:14; 23:14;28:23 147:13:148:18: 48:11,18;87:20; 14:15;15:22;16:11; 97:15;107:17;124:20; 258:11 pop (3) 113:25;137:18; 28:18;29:17;31:8; 149:12;165:4;167:14; 107:18;114:15; preparing (1) 176:20;210:20,21; 34:22;35:3,9;54:7; 172:5;198:18;201:4; 157:10 78:25 236:16;237:8,16,21 200:22;255:24 204:5;213:14;230:24; portion (1) priority (2) production (5) 231:3 presented (6) 222:16 72:17;73:12 42:21;61:25;62:4; 11:13;214:17,22; prong (1) 232:21;255:6;258:22 prison (1) 63:24;64:4 185:18 **pose** (7) profession (1) 252:14,23;253:7; president (1) 12:17 proper (15) 254:6.21:255:15: 13:22 private (1) 21:7 71:24:73:5:74:4: 259:10 press (1) 36:9 professional (5) 78:4;82:23;131:3; position (3) 79:6 privilege (2) 142:22,23;170:5; 132:22;133:5;134:5, 51:13,18;52:7 presupposes (2) 223:9;257:16 206:2:212:14 9,10;164:10;213:8; privileged (8) possibilities (13) 73:8;143:9 professionals (2) 257:11;261:19 36:19;38:3,25;39:5, 167:13;231:21 61:14,17;63:5,9,13; pretend (1) properly (1) 188:22;189:6;190:4, 73:17 244:16 8,12;40:6;51:20 program (12) 6;214:12,14;219:17, pretty (13) probabilities (2) 59:15;60:2;62:7; proponent (2) 22 21:24;34:23;41:6; 63:14;188:23 70:11,21;75:17; 95:13;102:19 possibility (2) probably (23) 149:16;167:15; proposition (5) 76:4;81:6;129:20; 208:6:245:7 164:21:179:21:186:7: 9:17:12:13:17:3: 174:13:213:5:232:15. 65:15:66:4:73:11: possible (10) 187:22;251:15;257:4; 31:13,20;32:8;35:4; 77:11;104:13 43:18;49:17;63:3; 258:7 56:12;57:21;84:11; programs (1) prosecute (2) 113:10;173:5;188:14, prevent (13) 116:16;120:11; 149:18 47:25:48:3 20;189:8;198:21; 93:10;95:8;99:13; 146:14;160:21,22; progress (14) prospect (1) 203:12 100:2;101:15;102:5, 166:20;174:9;188:17; 71:24;72:7;73:5,16; 34:12 Possibly (6) 14;103:15;104:19; 195:24;219:3,3,4; 74:4,5;131:3;132:22; prospective (1) 42:7;43:22;61:12; 107:11;109:3,16; 249:15 133:5;134:5,9,10,11; 174:18 242:2 105:20;114:21; 130:11 problem (13) protected (2) 189:12 preventable (3) 35:14;43:20;44:16; progresses (2) 38:13;51:6 101:21,25;102:4 73:22;112:7 posted (2) 47:4,15,18;48:9; protection (2) 93:10;96:17 project (91) prevented (3) 123:22;128:14; 38:17:95:3 161:18;163:19;244:6; 100:10;162:13; posters (1) 18:15;62:17;70:15; protective (20) 96:17 163:3 257:9 71:10,16,17;72:15; 33:19;36:18,24; potential (3) Preventing (10) problematic (1) 73:13,22;77:9,12,21; 38:3,8,24;39:4,13; 130:15;208:23; 99:15:100:4,12; 232:8 78:5;88:13;93:6; 40:7;41:14,25;43:9, 213:11 101:5;103:6;108:3, procedure (1) 97:13;99:2;100:25; 13,19;44:2;45:10; potentially (1) 12;188:15,16;200:2 57:10 110:7,24;111:3; 48:18;50:20;51:6; 49:20 previous (3) proceed (2) 113:5,19;115:23; 64:17 PowerPoint (2) 142:10;155:4,5 53:2;82:17 116:3.7.11.14.15.19: protruding (1) 92:14;191:4 previously (4) proceeding (4) 117:5,6;118:12,16,17, 178:14 proud (3) 250:2.13.18:257:16 40:11;72:25;73:6, 21;122:25;127:18; practice (15)

		1		· · ·
34:22;35:2;45:3	261:13	244:13	180:1;181:1;182:1;	182:11;183:14;
prove (1)	purposes (4)	ran (1)	183:1;184:1;185:1;	189:11,15,18;190:3;
259:16	39:9,10;40:4;137:6	183:23	186:1;187:1;188:1;	195:23;200:10;203:9;
proven (3)	pursuant (1)	<b>Randle (299)</b>	189:1;190:1;191:1;	234:3,4;236:14,17,23;
208:24;209:6;	89:14	5:1,9,17;6:1;7:1;	192:1;193:1;194:1;	238:16,21;245:21;
225:20	pursue (1)	8:1;9:1;10:1;11:1;	195:1;196:1;197:1;	250:7,8;255:8;260:5;
provide (8)	51:5	12:1;13:1;14:1;15:1;	198:1;199:1;200:1;	261:7
49:10;85:14;88:11;	put (31)	16:1;17:1;18:1;19:1;	201:1;202:1;203:1;	readily (3)
103:13;113:4;233:18;	12:14;19:8;20:12;	20:1;21:1;22:1;23:1;	204:1;205:1;206:1;	29:5;60:3;62:6
234:7;248:11	24:20;31:5,6,8;33:9;	24:1;25:1;26:1;27:1;	207:1;208:1;209:1,	reading (8)
proving (1)	35:7;40:9;56:10;75:4;	28:1;29:1;30:1;31:1;	20;210:1;211:1;	86:16,19,22;87:20;
259:17	105:18,23;120:16;	32:1;33:1;34:1,19;	212:1;213:1;214:1,	147:23;148:23;
<b>public (1)</b> 40:11	139:11;140:8;145:9,	35:1;36:1;37:1,5;	13;215:1;216:1;	180:13;237:4
publication (1)	15;159:9;161:2; 184:8;190:16;192:13,	38:1,9;39:1;40:1; 41:1;42:1,22;43:1,16;	217:1;218:1;219:1; 220:1;221:1;222:1;	readjusted (1) 19:5
11:12	23;203:5,14;205:13;	44:1;45:1;46:1,11;	223:1;224:1;225:1;	real (3)
publish (1)	207:15;229:2;251:4	47:1;48:1,16,21;49:1;	226:1;227:1;228:1,	76:21;129:25;231:5
11:4	putting (12)	50:1;51:1;52:1,12;	17;229:1;230:1;	realize (1)
published (4)	43:16,19;44:14,15;	53:1,10;54:1;55:1;	231:1;232:1;233:1;	163:13
11:8,15;261:18;	46:25;47:3;64:11;	56:1;57:1;58:1;59:1;	234:1;235:1;236:1;	realizes (1)
262:2	96:6;161:25;163:21;	60:1;61:1;62:1,4,12;	237:1;238:1,6;239:1;	52:17
pull (6)	188:17;198:3	63:1;64:1;65:1;66:1;	240:1;241:1;242:1;	really (26)
33:9;132:9;177:10,	,	67:1;68:1;69:1;70:1;	243:1,19,21,24,25;	17:8;24:10;25:4,22;
15;245:11;258:12	Q	71:1;72:1;73:1;74:1;	244:1,7;245:1;246:1,	26:14;59:24;75:23;
pulled (3)		75:1;76:1;77:1;78:1;	11;247:1;248:1;	77:25;79:11;88:22;
28:17;31:14;165:13	qualified (6)	79:1;80:1;81:1;82:1,	249:1;250:1;251:1;	117:10;124:11,14;
pulling (3)	70:19;128:16,22;	9,17,19;83:1,14,20,	252:1;253:1;254:1;	129:24;141:3,3;
30:8;35:8;129:19	149:17;232:4,13	24;84:1,6,23;85:1;	255:1;256:1;257:1;	171:10;196:5;200:20;
pulverized (1)	qualifier (2)	86:1,14;87:1;88:1;	258:1;259:1;260:1;	201:12;203:15;222:4;
152:2	37:12,13	89:1;90:1,21;91:1;	261:1;262:1;263:1,	228:25,25;261:22;
pun (2)	qualifiers (1)	92:1;93:1;94:1;95:1;	14;264:1;265:1;266:1	262:10
173:20;194:10	37:18	96:1;97:1;98:1;99:1;	Randle's (5)	re-ask (3)
Punia (21)	<b>qualifies (1)</b> 211:17	100:1;101:1;102:1;	50:23;62:2;63:25;	72:21;79:19;225:10
116:5,6,11,18;	quality (2)	103:1;104:1;105:1,2; 106:1,19;107:1;	217:10;262:7	reason (12) 17:4;29:24;46:4;
119:19;126:24; 138:21;185:21;	17:25;248:10	108:1;109:1;110:1;	range (1) 32:11	52:17;63:12;111:9;
236:21;240:10,15;	quickly (2)	111:1;112:1;113:1;	rarely (1)	113:3,12;137:2,3;
246:24;251:3;254:3;	26:11;245:13	114:1;115:1;116:1;	57:18	188:10;189:21
255:10,12,13,14;	quite (1)	117:1;118:1;119:1;	rate (1)	reasonable (28)
257:23;258:9;259:5	24:7	120:1;121:1;122:1;	28:8	70:4;73:24;77:17,
Punia's (9)		123:1;124:1;125:1;	rather (5)	20,22;78:2;85:15;
128:23;137:23;	R	126:1;127:1;128:1;	49:20;51:4;200:12;	88:12;105:6;144:17;
248:17;250:25;		129:1;130:1;131:1;	201:16;206:6	145:11,13,13,14,16;
251:12;256:16,17;	rack (7)	132:1;133:1;134:1;	reach (1)	149:12;164:25;165:9,
258:11;259:17	77:22;113:18;	135:1;136:1;137:1;	58:15	19;166:4,7,18;172:5;
punitive (1)	115:22;118:15;	138:1;139:1;140:1;	reaction (4)	188:24;190:19;
242:8	165:11;187:24;	141:1;142:1;143:1;	157:14,16;206:4;	202:22;213:3;228:18
purple (1)	216:11	144:1;145:1;146:1;	207:18	reasonableness (7)
24:21	racks (1)	147:1;148:1;149:1;	read (62)	77:24;170:20;
purpose (36)	165:6	150:1;151:1;152:1;	15:12,13;37:6,7,10,	172:8;208:20;213:13;
40:20;46:3;66:16;	rail (1)	153:1;154:1;155:1;	16,20;46:12,14,23;	214:2;217:14
68:25;69:2,2,4,6;	94:18	156:1;157:1;158:1;	65:18,21;66:18;	reasonably (12)
84:4;107:9;141:10,	railing (2) 95:5,8	159:1;160:1;161:1;	67:20,25;79:17;	23:13;75:19,19;
11;190:19;214:21,23,	95:5,8 railings (1)	162:1;163:1;164:1; 165:1;166:1;167:1;	86:11,23;87:2,9,18,	135:10;136:14;
23,24;219:20;241:19, 21;244:19,21;245:5;	99:10	163:1;166:1;167:1;	24,25;109:11;126:3, 12;144:4,6;149:22,	139:13;140:9;147:16; 213:17;233:18;234:8;
247:14;248:7,20,23;	rake (1)	171:1;172:1;173:1;	23;158:15,18,18;	259:2
247:14;248:7,20,23; 249:19;257:3,4,6;	213:2	174:1;172:1;175:1;	162:20,21;163:8,14,	reasoning (3)
258:7,10,14;259:21;	rambling (1)	174.1,173.1,176.1, 177:1;178:1;179:1;	15;164:22;165:16;	51:8,8,9
	·	1//.1,1/0.1,1/7.1,	13,10 1.22,103.10,	51.0,0,7
-	•		•	•

<u> </u>	T	T		T
reasons (1)	263:22	rely (1)	234:5;238:22;243:18;	respective (1)
42:17	referencing (4)	47:14	250:9;260:2,6;	5:3
rebar (3)	14:14;132:9,17;	remember (18)	264:13,19,22,25;	respond (16)
165:13;179:10,12	189:16	11:16;18:7;21:5;	265:3,7	34:20;38:10;44:3;
recall (9)	referred (4)	109:19,21;114:4,8;	reports (57)	48:16;67:10;82:12,
112:10;113:13,24;	143:12;154:11;	121:20;127:15;130:9;	8:4,6,20;9:14;	13;83:24;90:16,22,
116:5;127:19;181:12,	237:15;246:4	134:8;167:11;180:13;	12:21;14:3,24;15:5;	25;117:13;142:13,14;
13,15;196:9	referring (7)	181:10;182:12;	22:9;23:15;24:8,22;	229:9;238:7
received (6)	138:24;142:9;	188:24;201:22;218:9	25:16,25;29:19;30:5,	responded (1)
18:8;21:5,6;54:14;	166:8;196:16;225:4;	remind (2)	9,13,15,18;32:5,13,	48:21
182:6;255:6	246:3;263:23	119:4;120:3	20;33:6,9,11,13,19;	responding (1)
recently (1)	reflect (1)	reminded (1)	34:13;35:12;36:2,15,	84:3
48:24	84:15	245:20	17;37:23,25;38:23;	response (17)
recess (2)	reflected (1)	removed (2)	42:22;43:14,22,22;	29:8;47:17;73:3;
105:13;177:23	148:11	112:6;173:5	44:17,19;45:3,16;	82:19,21;83:16,23,25;
reclaimed (2)	reflective (3)	removing (1)	46:4;47:5,6,22;48:11,	112:11;142:9,16;
112:15;113:8	234:20;235:14;	164:11	18;51:23;52:18;	206:10;209:20;
recognizable (2)	238:24	render (3)	110:3;209:9;210:20,	217:10;236:24;
111:14,20	reflects (2)	83:14,15,15	21;227:25	243:23;246:11
recognize (4)	52:16;233:14	Renewal (1)	represent (6)	responses (1)
94:7,10;233:17;	refresh (2)	263:24	67:5;106:12;	29:8
234:7	114:14;115:9		107:19;109:23;	responsibilities (9)
	,	repackage (2)	126:23;174:4	_
recognized (2)	refusing (4)	72:4;89:25	,	70:24;71:22;98:14,
233:20;234:9	256:2,10,23;258:18	repeat (1) 90:24	representation (3)	15,20,23;119:19;
recollection (7)	regard (9)		127:6;162:11,25	127:17;186:12
45:5;114:15;	60:6;63:16;74:24;	repeated (1) 37:13	representative (1)	responsibility (17)
115:10;116:10;	119:25;200:3;223:9;		127:3	71:8,13,18;77:12,
130:14;174:3,6	249:4;252:10;258:5	rephrase (1) 90:24	represented (4)	13;79:22,24;81:16;
record (23)	regardless (1) 108:21		105:24;106:7,9,17	82:3;85:6,14;87:12;
42:20;50:6,8;52:16,		report (69)	representing (1) 106:4	88:4;91:6,21;98:6; 178:25
21;56:8;61:25;63:24;	regular (2)	8:10;15:21,24;		
64:11,16;84:14;	58:12;208:9	24:12;25:9;26:13;	represents (1)	responsible (12)
85:25;86:3,5;105:19;	regularly (2)	27:20;28:18,22;29:6,	5:18	65:2;78:14;86:8;
106:14;148:11;	185:4;203:10	18,19;30:2,20,23;	reprisal (1)	87:5;88:18;89:4;91:5,
160:25;246:19,22;	regulations (1)	31:2,7;39:7,12;40:3,4,	104:3	19;98:10;130:25;
263:16;264:14;	98:18	5,8,21;41:16,17;	requested (2)	132:20;133:4
266:11	rehash (1)	43:23;47:23;54:19,	161:3;164:6	responsive (5)
recorded (1)	214:2	20;57:12;62:19;	requests (2)	67:12;81:22;83:10;
266:13	related (3)	66:17,24;67:8,18,21,	18:22;64:13	90:3;257:12
records (4)	228:14;236:15;	24;68:8;69:4,7;79:3;	require (1) 63:16	restate (2)
43:8;45:9;64:16;	266:14	109:6,20,24,25;		52:11;125:25
130:25	relates (1)	122:16;147:21,24;	required (6)	restaurant (1)
recycled (4)	225:14	151:25;182:15;	75:11;88:11;90:2;	18:6
154:12;160:2;	relationship (1)	208:24;209:5;212:20;	96:16;101:8;257:11	restore (2)
200:24;201:3	66:6	214:3;223:6,12;	requirement (1)	138:5,15
red (5)	relative (1)	225:12;243:10;	165:11	resume (1)
82:23,24;101:20;	266:17	244:18;245:20;255:6;	requires (3)	159:9
161:2;164:6	relatively (1)	258:8,15;259:20;	74:4;134:10;244:20	retained (6)
reduce (1)	172:10	260:14;261:9;262:16;	reread (1)	7:18;17:19;47:25;
100:6	relevance (1)	263:25	233:24	48:2,3,5
Redweld (1)	257:7	reported (1)	reserved (1)	retaining (1)
57:7	relevant (5)	104:16	5:6	77:19
reel (1)	248:7,18;249:21;	reporter (31)	resisting (1)	retract (2)
142:2	256:25;257:11	13:15;15:14;37:21;	48:10	61:19;143:16
reference (3)	relied (1)	43:3;46:24;65:22;	resolve (1)	retrained (2)
31:9;138:2;234:18	57:20	86:10,17,21;87:3;	52:10	205:24;216:2
referenced (6)	relief (4)	88:2;109:12;126:13;	respect (3)	return (1)
62:3;122:15;	49:10;84:6,9;	144:4,7;162:22;	108:2;144:21;	146:3
124:14;257:25;259:6;	257:22	163:12,16;189:19;	251:24	reuse (1)
	1	1		1

		T		Way 20, 2021
198:19	18;119:16,20,23;	176:21;178:13,17;	202:16;232:24;233:3	19;99:22;100:23;
reused (1)	120:5,16,19;121:12,	179:8;187:10;191:12,	runs (1)	101:3;102:2,5,7,7,19;
199:14	13;122:25;123:2,9,19,	13;192:10,15,23;	201:21	103:13,24;104:4,16;
review (10)	22,25;124:5,9,11,15;	193:4;196:25;197:5,	rush (1)	108:18,21;110:6,13;
10:9,16,18;43:12;	125:3,7,10;134:21;	7,14;205:11,13;	90:18	111:23;126:8;127:4,
54:10;113:14;123:12;	136:4;137:8;153:11;	212:19,22,23;213:2;	rut (3)	17;128:4,6,6,7,23;
145:18;154:5;204:10	155:12,16;161:17;	218:8,11,20;219:9;	217:8,12,22	129:13,18;134:10;
reviewed (5)	163:19;164:8;168:11;	247:21;251:16,17;	ruts (5)	143:6,8,10,12;147:5,
12:23;13:4;54:16;	172:18;173:8;174:15;	253:6,14;254:21;	216:9,13,14,21;	10;148:10,16;149:11,
132:15;156:3	182:14;185:23;	255:15;257:25;259:6,	217:5	18;162:13;163:4;
reviewers (1)	192:10;202:19,21;	8	rutted (2)	166:13;168:9,9;
10:5	208:9;218:13;225:5;	Rodney (1)	204:12;216:23	169:3,5,5;170:12;
reviewing (5)	226:7,9,21;231:10,10;	201:18	C C	172:12;174:18,21;
43:21;44:18;47:5;	247:23	role (10)	S	186:14;191:4;193:24;
105:15;151:25	roads (3)	45:20;75:18;	0 (100)	213:5;215:6;218:6;
rid (1)	119:7;204:6;231:4	102:22;128:23;	safe (102)	222:5;229:13,21;
199:20	roadway (13)	214:25,25;238:13;	71:14;74:4,24;	231:15;232:15,16;
Right (92)	131:10,19;132:4;	240:4;241:20,22	75:18,19,19;79:25;	233:4,14;234:20,24;
6:15,24;7:21;10:7;	134:21;135:7;152:16,	roles (1)	81:17;82:5;85:8,15;	235:15;238:25;240:2,
12:9,11;15:9,18;	21;156:17;164:10;	184:16	86:8;87:5,13;88:5;	13;241:23;252:7,23;
16:13,14;17:15;	187:10;188:17;216:8;	roll (2)	91:8,22;99:6,12;	253:7,15;254:6,22;
19:13;27:13;42:3;	219:11	203:18;218:11	112:16;113:4;125:22;	258:2
49:19;52:21;56:8,14;	roadways (6)	rolled (7)	126:17;130:11;	safety/tripping (2)
62:19,24;63:5;69:9,	130:10;131:2;	187:22;203:9;	132:21;134:10,24;	255:16;259:10
19,21;74:13;80:3;	132:21;133:4,25; 134:4	204:11;208:16;	135:10,12;136:6,9,15, 19;138:6,13,24;	Safety's (1) 100:24
98:7;99:4;104:5; 105:7;109:21;113:16;	Robson (37)	212:23;216:8;232:18 rolled-down (1)	139:10,13,16;140:9,	salary (1)
114:14;115:9;116:9;	5:24;6:3,5,10;7:8,	226:21	12;141:18,19,21,23;	47:13
118:11;123:9,14;	13,16;8:20;9:20;10:4,	roller (1)	142:4,5,6,8,19;	same (15)
125:18;132:4,15,22;	6,18;11:3;12:23;	187:20	143:24;144:2,10,13,	12:24;73:9;124:4;
136:18;139:17;	13:18,19;16:4,6;	rolling (1)	17,22;145:3,16;146:3,	152:8,18,19;153:15;
140:13;141:5;147:6;	18:20;23:13;27:7;	176:21	4,24;147:2,12;148:5,	154:16;159:22;
150:12;153:6;154:7,	32:21;34:14;42:23;	ROSENZWEIG (1)	17;149:9;157:17,19;	160:13;251:24;
11;155:16;156:6;	47:13,13,17;48:9;	264:17	167:22,22;172:6,14;	255:23;256:13;
160:9,24;166:9;	52:18;55:13;58:23;	roughly (1)	173:23;174:8;187:8,	264:20;265:2
176:9,13;177:21;	69:8;111:5;183:24;	53:18	12;190:16;191:6;	sandwich (1)
178:10;183:17;191:8;	210:14;245:22;	round (1)	194:6,10;202:22;	107:7
193:8;199:20;201:23;	261:10	161:3	204:23;205:10;206:7;	save (4)
213:12;217:12;219:3;	Robson's (1)	routes (2)	207:9,12,20,22;208:7;	8:7;161:4,7;200:12
220:21;224:11;225:6;	7:6	111:13,19	211:25;213:5,12,23;	saved (1)
229:25;230:9;231:16;	Robyn (2)	routinely (1)	214:5;215:13;218:5;	8:12
232:17;233:15,21;	56:13;264:25	111:24	230:8,16,25;245:3;	saves (1)
234:11,21;237:22,25;	rock (25)	<b>Rule</b> (7)	246:25;251:4	199:17
243:7,10;244:15;	142:25;153:4;	58:4;75:4;148:7,13,	safely (1)	saw (6)
251:19;252:6,16;	154:9;155:12,12,14,	15;219:16;259:20	74:11	115:22;194:23;
254:11,23;264:9,11,	15;158:24;160:10,22;	ruler (1)	safer (12)	195:3;220:2,2;240:5
14	161:3;165:2;172:16;	155:10	161:25;163:20;	saying (21)
ring (2)	173:8;179:23;191:18;	<b>rules (22)</b>	164:9;189:7,8,12;	10:21;45:12;
121:23;231:10	194:23;195:3;210:2,	40:5;49:10;63:15;	190:14,24,24;203:12,	112:10;126:8;144:22;
risk (5)	5;251:16,24;252:7,19,	74:16,16,17;79:23;	13;247:25	167:11,20;168:4;
172:5,11;233:21;	23	82:20;83:9;84:18;	safety (119)	187:19;200:6;208:7;
234:11;254:6	rocks (58)	89:19,20;93:5;96:11;	70:8,11,12,14,17,	210:16;218:9,19;
RMR-CCR-CLR (1)	81:25;150:3;	125:22,22;126:16,17;	20,23;71:2,8,10,17,	232:2;237:2;244:2;
266:6	152:19;153:14,15;	134:22;162:13;163:4;	25;72:16;73:8,12,14,	247:17;248:22;
road (66)	154:16;156:7,8;	228:23	19;74:7,16;75:10,17;	254:14;256:5
65:2;71:12,14,15;	157:23;158:2,7,8,8;	run (1)	77:3,4,5,8,12,25;	scaffolding (1)
98:7;113:13,20;	159:21,22;161:16;	203:24	78:14,17;79:22,23;	74:19
114:2,4;115:11,17,20,	163:18;164:7;172:17,	running (5)	85:6;92:13,25;93:5,	scenario (1)
22;117:22;118:3,5,14,	17;174:14,15;175:8;	129:2;186:15;	15,25;95:13;96:11,16,	26:17
		1		

Schedule (4)	10:16;23:12;63:25;	shirt (2)	81:14;119:5;136:9	site-specific (6)
55:2;72:8,14;73:7	173:3;263:9	67:20,24	sit (7)	112:20,21;148:16;
School (4)	self-contradictory (1)	shoring (1)	7:25;55:19,20;	168:8;229:20;234:24
201:17;231:11;	10:17	96:6	109:21;181:23;186:6;	sitting (2)
260:15;261:22	semis (1)	short (2)	190:21	55:24;155:15
Science (1)	212:23	27:6;177:23	site (144)	situation (10)
261:19	send (5)	shortly (1)	18:5;54:6,17;71:8;	49:11;131:8;164:4;
scientific (14)	26:13;43:11;62:9;	92:18	73:13;75:9;77:21;	165:23;170:12;
153:19;243:3,15;	64:12,18	shot (1)	79:23;82:4;85:5,9,11,	186:16;215:18;
244:8,17,20;245:19,	sense (12)	150:24	15;87:13;88:5,13;	239:22;242:4;245:16
25;246:2,4;259:19;	8:13,14;13:3,4;	show (15)	91:4;92:7,24;93:10,	six (6)
260:21;262:17,24	17:11;40:12;64:3;	32:4;50:6,8;56:8;	14,16,22;96:18;	6:9;94:8;95:15,17;
scientific-method (1)	140:13;141:9;145:2;	92:16;98:9;99:8,17;	97:17;98:16;100:2,	155:10;159:19
247:5	152:11;153:19	107:18;110:4;150:6,	18;101:4;104:2,8,17;	six-inch (2)
scope (18)	sent (8)	14;168:21;208:18;	110:6;111:12;112:14;	155:14,15
33:12;51:22,24;	17:7;21:10;26:7;	218:13	113:5;115:23;116:8;	six-inch-large (3)
66:9;81:11;82:2;	28:22;29:2,2;64:7;	showed (2)	120:7;123:3;127:4;	173:7;174:14;210:5
88:23;89:9;91:23;	199:23	152:13;220:17	128:4;129:4,11;	six-inch-long (1)
222:25;227:20;228:3,	September (1)	showing (3)	130:10;131:10,20;	172:17
10,12;240:6;245:10,	7:14	96:11;122:8;212:24	135:8,9,23;136:4,19;	six-inch-size (5)
12;257:6	serious (2)	shown (22)	138:17;142:25;	154:23,24;155:11;
Scouts (1)	31:11;186:18	28:14;100:19;	145:14;147:5,10;	159:17;168:12
201:22	seriously (3)	102:13,15;103:6;	148:9;150:7;156:9,	size (7)
scrap (1)	104:10;235:15;	115:4;120:23;125:10;	10;157:15;158:10,11;	9:7;28:24;155:2;
112:4	239:2	151:14;164:4;187:5,	162:12;163:2;165:10;	188:6,8;190:22;
screen (9)	serve (2)	6,7;191:13,19;	166:5,7,17;167:12,19,	203:20
107:19,21;120:23,	40:3;69:12	192:13;220:3;225:5;	25;168:3,7,20,22;	sized (1)
24;121:5;122:10;	served (1)	242:16;253:14;	169:2,5;170:6,12;	159:25
181:20,21;238:18	39:10	254:21;255:15	172:11,12,19;174:16;	sizes (1)
scroll (1)	server (1)	side (5)	175:10,19,25;176:22;	160:3
160:2	8:12	39:10;40:9;79:2;	178:11,24;179:23;	skateboard (1)
sealing (1)	servers (1)	111:6,6	181:8;184:2;186:14;	56:20
5:4	9:6	sidewalk (3)	187:22;194:22;	skip (1)
search (1)	service (2)	166:2,2;168:5	195:16;196:11;197:8,	206:21
29:23	69:15,16	sidewalks (1)	9,13,20;198:3,7,11;	skipped (1)
second (9)	set (2) 74:12;229:20	76:2	202:20;203:8,15;	100:15
49:21;51:25;53:4;		sign (2)	204:10,14,22;205:25;	slash (1) 53:14
92:10,22;113:21; 179:20;238:2;246:20	setting (1) 42:15	99:19;204:12	207:19;208:15,16; 212:24;216:4,10;	slated (1)
secondly (1)	settled (1)	signature (2) 26:6;28:25	217:14;218:24;	262:9
89:10	30:2	significant (1)	217.14,218.24, 219:19;221:25;	slide (22)
secrets (2)	settles (2)	43:24	225:18;226:20;230:8;	92:21;95:19;96:3,
25:21;41:22	17:9;30:3	signing (1)	232:7,25,25;233:3;	11;99:21;101:18;
section (11)	seven (2)	5:4	235:23;239:4;240:11,	102:9,11,20,24;103:4,
67:21,23;74:13;	95:4;122:7	signs (1)	14,21;241:25;251:16;	102.9,11,20,24,103.4,
97:20;132:20;133:21;	shanty (1)	93:10	252:16;253:23;254:7,	105:20;107:22;108:8;
136:6;147:11;148:16;	96:18	similar (15)	22;255:16;259:12;	162:9,24;208:18
172:13,13	share (3)	8:15;14:10;16:25;	260:8	slides (5)
seeing (12)	107:21;159:9,9	23:10,11;26:14;	sites (26)	103:9,12,12,20,21
22:5;28:13;44:17;	shared (13)	47:16;111:7;125:3,4;	75:25;76:10;100:7,	slip (1)
47:4;121:6;153:9;	19:2,18,20,21;20:4,	138:16;152:25;153:2;	11;107:17;108:22;	108:3
159:19;160:14;165:9;	6,10,12,16,17,25;	192:11;232:5	110:16,24;111:3;	slips (12)
166:17;213:16;	33:10;57:17	simple (7)	129:2;164:21;165:4,	99:13,14,15;
227:16	sharing (2)	19:25;33:23;82:2;	24;166:24,25;167:3,3,	100:18,21;101:4,10,
seek (1)	181:20,21	85:2;136:10;145:24;	7,21;168:4;183:24;	19,24;102:9,22;103:5
49:9	shifting (3)	250:16	191:6;199:15;228:25;	slower (1)
seem (2)	49:12;84:10,19	simpler (1)	230:18;239:20	56:3
34:11;200:11	shine (1)	20:7	site's (1)	slowly (1)
seems (5)	48:10	simply (3)	217:20	92:18

	T	T	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
smaller (1)	142:17;152:2;200:20;	spill (1)	start (7)	stop (6)
155:2	208:10;227:5;241:9	99:18	7:13;22:18;81:23;	71:4;165:2,15;
smarting (1)	sought (1)	spin (1)	150:7;157:6;190:17;	243:19;245:8,15
11:13	84:10	159:13	222:14	stopped (2)
smooth (1)	sound (3)	splitting (1)	started (4)	192:24;222:19
219:7	23:18;61:10;116:12	118:15	22:17;119:7;163:9;	story (2)
snapshots (1)	sounded (1)	spoils (1)	210:15	79:2;198:8
30:16	48:25	150:4	starting (2)	straightforward (2)
society (1)	sounds (9)	spoke (1)	121:11;153:8	141:4,6
56:2	21:13;22:7;118:10,	32:24	state (5)	straying (1)
socks (2)	24;119:6;185:3;	spread (8)	51:17;201:5;	84:7
24:21,21	201:23;211:11;	191:18;193:14;	202:11,17,17	streamline (1)
software (3)	248:21	205:13;247:2,20;	stated (9)	33:14
59:15,25;62:6	South (1)	249:2,20;251:4	40:20;66:16;69:4;	Street (2)
soil (1)	5:10	spreading (2)	97:19;121:25;212:21;	92:13;117:25
226:7	space (2)	248:18;250:21	237:24;261:13,14	strewn (7)
somebody (1)	186:7;202:10	staff (1)	statement (13)	161:17;163:18;
184:17	spaces (1)	18:19	96:24;102:3;	164:7;168:13;172:17;
somehow (5)	26:5	stage (2)	107:15;180:14;	174:15;247:22
40:6;41:16,16;	speak (8)	17:10;127:19	182:14;192:5;211:10,	Strike (2)
212:21;218:14	40:18;44:7,15;47:2;	staggers (1)	10;233:13;234:19,22;	11:6;183:22
someone (23)	106:19,25;204:17;	204:7	244:13;258:11	string (1)
10:22;29:22;48:5;	262:18	stair (1)	stay (4)	245:11
108:14;157:8,12;	speaking (16)	95:3	56:4;63:5,13;79:8	strong (2)
158:4;165:2;178:19;	16:4;36:4;39:24;	stairs (1)	stayed (1)	35:13;129:25
179:14;184:18,23;	88:17;91:16,18;	99:9	41:5	structured (1)
185:13;186:19;197:3;	101:3;102:7;182:7;	<b>stairwell (1)</b> 94:16	Steel (2)	201:11
204:18;207:16;	184:6;188:12;189:24;		98:6,25	stuff (7)
212:22,25;218:8,10; 219:4;252:15	191:12;195:20; 238:11;239:17	stakeholders (2) 77:7;186:24	steer (1) 254:15	21:22;174:23; 190:13;199:20;
someone's (1)	speaks (3)	stamp (1)	step (6)	200:12;220:17;231:5
197:15	177:10;180:24;	54:14	27:3;35:20;208:17,	stum (1)
sometimes (7)	235:10	stand (12)	18;235:24;239:5	194:5
30:2;41:21;55:2,3;	specialized (1)	7:24;8:2;11:20;	stepped (2)	stumbled (2)
60:12;159:8;181:21	167:15	35:24;39:16,24;45:4;	142:25;222:20	194:5,9
somewhat (4)	specific (11)	55:18,19,22;231:25;	steps (5)	subcontractor (10)
12:11;83:19;	74:17;76:4,17;	240:3	31:16;100:10;	64:25;88:17;89:3;
201:24;251:14	78:19;79:11;81:4,4;	standard (22)	104:10,18;185:22	91:5,12,16,19;97:5,
somewhere (6)	110:6;181:15;257:4;	12:12;24:11;74:12;	sticking (1)	19;225:13
7:6;32:10;143:25;	258:8	80:21;97:14;143:25;	193:4	subcontractors (3)
144:11,24;146:7	specifically (6)	144:11,23;145:8;	still (7)	24:16;71:23;93:17
sorry (32)	105:20;147:10,11;	146:6,13,17,20;147:4,	20:24,25;21:18;	subfolder (2)
26:21;34:23;56:17;	148:17;182:23;245:4	9;148:9,14;165:25;	53:13;123:21;216:11;	15:22,24
89:6,6;100:15;	specifications (2)	174:20;213:3,4;	248:24	subfolders (8)
106:22;107:5;109:8,	73:7;132:10	238:13	stipulate (1)	15:20,21;21:16;
19;113:23;120:25;	specificity (1)	standards (20)	262:22	29:14;53:17,25,25;
121:24;122:6;127:24;	79:13	14:14;44:21,22;	stipulated (1)	55:7
128:18;135:8,25;	specs (3)	47:9,10;54:18;57:20;	5:2	sub-folders (1)
144:3;145:21;147:17;	132:12;133:20;	74:24;76:3;77:24;	stone (13)	14:24
159:8;163:7;173:22;	146:16	80:10,11,17;98:18;	162:2;163:21;	subject (16)
177:2;181:19;189:10;	speculate (2)	137:3;172:4,4;	164:10;187:5;190:17;	33:19;36:18,24;
190:9;194:17;225:9;	61:16;63:8	174:13,22;215:2	194:15;198:3;203:5,	38:2,7,23;39:4,13;
244:12;264:24	spell (1)	standing (4)	14;204:6;248:18;	40:6;43:9;44:2;45:10;
sort (23)	13:14	7:21;55:25;155:13;	249:20;250:21	48:18;64:17;108:25;
7:7;9:12,23;18:21;	spend (3)	217:22	stones (11)	109:15
		-4	185:16;187:23;	substantial (2)
24:19;31:4;34:16;	139:10;149:6;	stands (2)		, ,
37:11;39:18;56:3;	249:13	94:25;248:25	188:2,2,6,9,10;	65:11,25
				, ,

	1			T
successful (1)	157:2;158:20;160:20;	242:9	166:17;201:25;204:4;	266:8,11
169:19	171:3,6;182:18;	talked (10)	207:18;232:22	thanks (11)
suggesting (2)	186:5;187:17;190:12;	41:5;134:22;136:3;	terribly (1)	23:6;28:2;64:14;
44:9;208:8	191:2;215:8,14;	137:23;155:6;156:15;	17:14	85:16;88:15;105:10,
suitable (4)	216:4,7,9,11;217:3;	176:23;192:9;195:2;	territory (1)	11;107:8;156:2;
75:12;77:14;79:25;	227:24;228:15;	199:25	7:7	177:21;265:8
85:8	229:17;230:16;232:5;	talking (41)	terse (1)	that'll (1)
suite (2)	233:16;234:2;235:10;	9:10;10:24;12:4;	55:3	58:18
21:21;59:18	237:11;238:4;241:10;	32:19;42:18;72:7,9;	testified (53)	theme (1)
super (3)	243:21;250:4;259:25;	74:7;81:24;95:21;	5:12;55:13;77:2;	111:2
121:22;129:11;	262:20	112:19;119:7;120:19;	116:23;117:20,24;	therefore (1)
204:20	surface (19)	131:11;135:21;159:2;	118:6;119:20;120:4,	131:19
superintendent (10)	78:20;80:21;86:8;	162:14;163:4;175:7;	18;122:7;126:24;	thickness (1)
75:10,16;77:4;	87:6;88:12,19;91:6,7,	181:9;187:9;193:13;	127:13,13,16;130:8;	203:17
78:15;111:22;123:8;	20,21;113:6;136:18;	194:8;196:17;198:2;	131:17,25;134:8,23;	thinking (3)
169:2;176:16;184:25;	147:12;151:5;184:9;	201:24;202:9,20,20;	136:5;138:21;143:6;	10:15;250:4,25
233:11	188:11;189:23;	203:18;208:6;209:8;	148:3;156:7;162:10,	third (5)
superintendents (2)	192:23;193:8 surfaces (17)	213:25;219:8,17; 223:17;236:10;	25;163:25;168:13;	94:3;103:18;
78:16;113:4	` ′	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	175:21,23,24;182:21,	149:19;158:23;
<b>SUPERIOR</b> (1)	74:25;75:12;77:14;	238:12;239:13;245:7;	25;189:13;191:11;	171:25
266:3	79:24;80:12,13; 81:16;82:4;85:7;	249:14 tasks (1)	194:17;195:4,6,10,14; 197:12;204:15;	third-degree (1) 12:15
<b>supers (1)</b> 185:2		74:17	The state of the s	third-party (4)
supervision (1)	87:13;88:5;112:17; 125:23;126:17;136:7;	team (4)	217:18;220:16;229:3; 230:7;231:3;241:23;	70:12;77:5;78:16;
129:19	148:18;172:14	77:5;129:18,20;	242:14;257:23;	129:23
supervisor (2)	surprising (1)	186:24	262:11,20	though (3)
9:19;184:2	186:5	technical (1)	testifies (1)	50:10;228:2;241:14
supplement (1)	surrounding (2)	24:12	181:18	thought (11)
45:14	111:14;244:21	telling (3)	testify (13)	22:24;30:6;41:3;
supplemental (2)	surveillance (1)	133:15;230:20;	40:10,23;50:21;	42:11;181:20;193:23;
90:13;209:9	195:2	250:18	55:9;57:5;61:14;	214:12;224:21;238:9;
supplementation (1)	suspended (1)	temporary (11)	160:21,22;174:7;	259:24;260:3
46:17	83:7	80:12;94:18;131:2,	211:2;214:13;224:17,	thoughts (1)
support (5)	suspenders (1)	10,19;132:4,21;133:4,	20	85:21
18:19;19:10;30:23;	149:18	25;134:3,21	testifying (8)	three (14)
133:21;259:16	swear (1)	ten (8)	55:25,25;61:14;	12:17;55:17;143:7;
supposed (2)	224:18	46:2;113:25;	78:21;124:3,4;196:9;	149:10;150:24;
71:25;229:3	swore (2)	131:25;137:16;178:2,	224:24	151:15;160:17;161:2;
supreme (1)	195:7,12	7;181:19,23	testimony (75)	164:4;175:18;208:18;
98:12	sworn (5)	tend (1)	39:11;40:4,9;44:19;	215:22;235:13;
Sure (89)	5:12;12:8;209:7;	17:5	46:4;47:6,21;57:12,	238:24
6:16;13:16,23;	224:25;266:9	ten-foot (1)	24;58:13,18,19,20,24;	throughout (5)
14:10;16:15,17;	system (8)	77:18	61:3,6,7;62:2;64:2;	122:25;176:21;
21:11;26:3;28:24;	20:13;21:15;23:10;	tenured (1)	78:13;106:21;119:9,	194:18;224:24;
29:7;31:25;32:17,25;	24:18;25:13;26:14,	10:4	22,25;120:17;121:17;	263:22
37:2;46:13;60:11;	20;29:13	terminate (1)	122:23;123:5,11,16;	thumb (6)
62:18;63:3;65:20;		69:19	124:2;131:22;137:11;	14:5,8;23:16;62:23;
68:16;69:14,16;	T	termination (2)	148:10;156:12;	63:21;64:3
71:13;73:13,21;		70:2,5	158:12,13;162:17;	thus (2)
75:11;77:13,16;	talk (31)	terminology (1)	176:3,5,19;180:7,13;	148:11;226:7
78:24;79:24;83:20;	10:9;31:19;44:6;	141:15	181:5;182:3,4,13;	tied (1)
85:7;87:12;88:5;	63:8;76:6,6,18,19,23;	terms (28)	183:5,15,20;192:7;	21:22
92:11;95:7,12,14,19;	78:8,10;83:2;108:18;	7:8;8:23;10:10;	195:18;196:22;	tight (5)
100:24;101:7;105:4;	117:22;136:2;147:20;	25:2;27:5;29:22;30:8;	197:10,12;200:11;	187:22;203:9;
107:13;111:18,20;	184:17;185:13;	40:24;57:16;59:23;	209:7;210:9;211:4;	204:11;216:8;232:18
112:8;113:12;114:11;	186:19;188:22,23;	66:9;70:18,24;72:8;	212:3;230:11;241:4;	till (1)
125:19;126:3,7;	190:22;191:16,16;	76:11;78:20;83:16;	249:24;250:2,11,13,	219:21
130:9,21;133:6;	193:24;198:8;207:13;	84:18;124:21,21;	18,23;251:13,21;	timeline (2)
147:22;153:3;154:12;	219:21;229:19,19;	156:15;157:14;164:5;	252:6;256:17;259:6;	72:15;106:23

				May 20, 2021
timelines (1)	track (5)	10;59:8;63:17;210:25	turn (2)	97:17;98:11,14;
72:8	27:11;51:3;54:15;	trick (4)	34:12;53:5	124:3;132:19;181:24;
timely (2)	211:21;246:14	140:3,5,23;141:2	turned (1)	195:7,12;211:2;
54:16;73:15	trade (1)	trier (4)	51:23	225:17;226:12;
timeout (3)	41:22	68:11;80:5,25;	TUTELO (39)	242:14;266:6,9,16
235:24;239:5;240:8	trailer (9)	143:20	106:3,8,13;130:16;	underneath (3)
times (22)	96:18;186:5;	trip (4)	134:15,17;135:6,16;	178:18;179:8;
5:20;6:4;17:6,7;	191:20;192:24;	100:2,3;108:3;	136:22;154:2;155:21;	203:20
21:9;25:4,18;30:16;	205:15;218:25;219:6;	155:24	163:5;169:11;170:2,	understands (2)
31:4,7;40:21,23;	236:7;239:11	trip-and-fall (2)	25;172:22;173:15;	83:21;238:5
42:12;55:16;57:19;	train (4)	162:12;163:2	175:15;180:22;	understood (4)
116:8;143:7;179:24;	213:6;232:4,9,10	Triple (1)	200:17;203:3;205:22;	6:22;43:5;237:24;
190:15;202:11;	trained (4)	201:19	207:4;208:4;209:16;	252:6
227:18;256:14	23:13;40:14;165:4;	tripping (15)	220:11;221:2;226:18;	uneasiness (1)
tire (1)	213:7	110:18;111:25;	227:12;228:6;229:7;	166:21
216:21	trainer (1)	155:16,24;164:5;	230:4,10;233:9;	unexpected (3)
tires (1)	213:8	168:14;188:12;	235:20;241:7;261:8;	165:24;168:20;
188:5	training (10)	189:23;210:4,6;	263:4;264:23	217:15
title (7)	102:19;128:6;	247:20;252:14;	twisting (2)	unexpeditiously (1)
13:23;25:9,11;	129:13,16;169:3,6;	253:19;254:6;258:3	178:20;197:16	119:14
27:10;116:15;117:10;	206:9;212:4;220:6;	trips (9)	Two (34)	unfair (1)
124:10	233:4	100:19,21;101:4,	6:6;52:6;55:17;	178:4
titled (5)	transcribed (1)	10,19,24;102:9,23;	84:21;92:21;105:5;	unfounded (1)
28:19;30:13;	266:10	103:5	115:3,6,7;137:8,15;	218:16
116:19;117:4;124:9	transcript (4)	trouble (1)	143:7;150:23;166:8;	uniform (5)
today (12)	18:8;32:3;79:18;	231:5	171:22;182:19;	155:14;184:9;
18:13;51:21;75:5;	121:7	truck (5)	184:16;189:16;	188:11;189:22;193:8
127:16;134:9;137:7;	transcripts (3)	120:9;188:5;201:3;	191:13,15;199:23;	Unintelligible (1)
168:8;181:23;195:18;	16:22;17:4;45:17	212:23;216:20	217:18;221:4;223:9;	243:16
210:16;258:12;	transferring (1)	truckloads (1)	227:3;237:15;251:25;	universal (1)
263:13	8:23	202:16	252:20;253:15;	167:6
toes (2)	transition (2)	trucks (2)	254:21;255:15;258:2;	unless (1)
27:4;35:20	8:21;9:2	152:20;185:15	259:8;263:24	257:14
together (2)	transmitted (1)	true (6)	type (10)	unnecessary (2)
31:14;134:11	18:23	51:21;71:20;73:10,	8:3,6;9:6;24:4;	233:21;234:11
told (7)	traverse (2)	11;250:22;266:11	25:3;26:16;57:19;	unpack (1)
9:12;34:25;84:13,	174:16;178:13	trust (3)	58:12;202:15;217:4	203:5
16;106:23;148:7;	traversed (1)	21:19;228:22;229:2	types (2)	unprotected (1)
222:19	123:13	truth (1)	112:5;240:12	220:3
toleration (1)	treat (2)	12:8	typically (3)	unreasonably (1)
172:7	185:8;205:4	truthful (2)	18:24;19:20;27:7	235:4
took (3)	trench (12)	248:14,16	typo (4)	unrelated (1)
123:3;181:25;183:2	96:5;138:25;	try (23)	260:16,20;262:12,	259:23
tool (1)	143:23;144:9;150:4;	9:9;17:6;27:3;	200.10,20,202.12,	unsafe (4)
102:14	152:6,6;153:10;	51:13,14;54:13;56:4,	20	71:4;73:21;93:21;
tools (1)	220:18;221:10;227:5,	9;61:13;78:21,21;	U	111:25
74:19	9	79:9,15;88:25;	•	unusual (4)
topics (1)	trench-collapse (2)	119:11;141:13;	ultimate (2)	39:6,11;40:2;41:19
101:7	227:23;228:2	147:24;164:24;	71:7;178:25	up (70)
total (1)	trenched (1)	165:18;211:20;	Ultimately (1)	7:21,24;8:3;11:11;
6:9	125:7	231:21,22;262:15	30:25	12:18;18:25;19:7;
			umbrella (2)	
totally (1) 141:3	trenches (2) 74:20;220:3	trying (18) 25:15;50:25;51:2;	9:23;20:17	24:20;27:19;35:7; 43:2;55:19;58:17;
	trenching (3)	56:24;63:12;79:8;	uncomfortable (4)	43:2;35:19;38:17; 62:20;65:7;80:4;
touched (2)	0 , ,		50:4,15,17;51:2	
33:25;113:3	146:2;148:4;160:16	102:21;133:12; 140:20,23;141:2;	under (26)	89:24;96:6;102:10;
touching (1)	trench-safety (1)	140:20,23;141:2;	9:22;12:8;20:17;	107:7,19,22;111:8; 114:3,15;115:11;
22.25			9 7.7. 17: <b>8</b> :7 <b>0</b> :17:	1 14:3 13:113:11:
33:25	228:23			
33:25 towards (3) 25:3;151:4;185:17	228:23 <b>trial (8)</b> 5:7;39:10;40:4,8,	178:12;185:4;214:2, 7;259:25	38:17;40:4;51:7,8,8; 69:18,20;89:18;	120:16;121:4;122:17; 125:7,10;126:11;

132:9;137:7;152:7;	30:25	80:2;85:8;110:21;	67:21	116:20;122:13;
157:10;158:4;160:2,	vehicle (1)	134:25;135:13;	weed (1)	136:25;137:2;160:4;
25;161:8;163:10;	231:10	136:10,19;137:10;	260:22	259:20
166:5;172:15;173:18,	venture (1)	172:19;173:9;197:13;	week (1)	without (7)
21;177:10,15;178:20;	120:10	204:7;219:7,22;	35:24	76:21;104:2;140:9;
179:12,24,25;185:4;	version (4)	231:12;240:11	weekly (2)	192:3;245:23;251:10,
193:4;197:3,16;	105:20;122:10;	walked (1)	60:13;179:21	11
201:12;204:12;	195:9,11	204:5	weeks (4)	witness (171)
205:12;210:25;	versus (9)	walking (37)	137:8,15,18;199:23	5:25;6:2,5;13:9,12;
218:20;219:9;225:5;	20:2;36:8,8,9;	74:24;75:11;77:14;	weird (2)	15:17;33:22;34:21;
226:6;250:2,13,17;	47:22;89:15;98:13;	78:20;79:24;80:12,	48:25;141:15	38:11;44:4;46:20;
260:18;261:2;262:9,	111:6;171:8	21;81:16;82:4;85:7;	well-lit (1)	47:11;49:7,11;50:2,
15	vest (1)	86:8;87:5,12;88:5,12,	99:9	21;51:19;52:2,5;
updated (1)	252:2	18;91:6,7,20,21;	weren't (5)	55:18;56:17;65:6;
58:21	viable (1)	112:16;125:22;	158:3;181:6;	66:5;82:20;83:2,9;
upload (2)	249:9	126:17;135:22;136:6,	188:22;197:2;216:9	84:7,12,20;86:15;
19:15;20:10	vice-president (7)	18;147:12;148:17;	what's (13)	87:7,17;88:10,22;
uploaded (1)	116:24;117:9;	168:13;172:14,18;	28:8,14;57:9;61:2;	89:23,24;90:2,9,11;
27:12	126:25;176:15;236:5;	178:11;179:22;181:8;	67:19;78:2;79:3;	91:2,11;92:2,9;97:23;
uploading (1)	239:9;254:4	212:24;231:5;252:15	87:22;148:25;159:12;	102:18;105:4;108:6;
19:24	view (3)	walkway (2)	168:20;172:8;257:10	109:18;111:18;114:8;
upon (1)	83:3;164:14;231:17	121:14,14	wherever (1)	115:4,15;117:14;
142:7	Vill (12)	walkways (6)	239:20	118:10;121:21;
Urban (1)	156:4,6;158:20;	111:13,19;130:10;	white (1)	125:13;126:20;
263:24	175:24;195:6;211:22,	131:2;134:4;167:22	252:3	127:10,24;128:12;
use (18)	25;213:22;214:4;	wall (2)	Whoa (3)	130:19;131:14;
8:9;21:20;30:17;	215:13;217:23,24	77:19;96:18	243:18,19,19	134:14;135:7,20;
35:4;45:18;54:2;55:3;	Vill's (4)	wants (2)	whole (13)	136:14,25;137:14;
59:15;67:7,17;83:16;	137:25;196:21;	90:17;198:19	7:24;80:8;84:4;	138:12;139:9,24;
106:4;115:17;117:10;	207:14;219:4	warning (2)	108:15;194:5,16;	140:16;142:15;
121:13;125:3;198:10;	violated (1)	93:10;99:19	198:3,7;202:20;	143:16;144:16;145:6;
234:23	80:21	wash (9)	210:13;219:19;	146:12;148:22;
used (16)	violation (1)	77:22;113:18;	224:24;242:6	151:21;152:24;
9:5;19:6;22:4,4;	97:11	115:22;118:15;165:6,	Who's (1)	155:23;156:22;159:5;
97:12,14;115:23;	vis-à-vis (2)	11;187:23;188:5;	44:11	161:4;163:24;164:19;
117:16;120:6,20;	65:12;66:2	216:11	whose (2)	166:16;167:10;
122:24;125:4;152:3;	visitors (1)	waste (2)	129:12;266:8	168:19;169:16;170:4;
156:10;158:10;197:8	93:17	112:5;262:10	wife (1)	171:3;173:17;175:4,
using (2)	visual (1)	watching (1)	56:4	16;177:9;180:12,23;
70:19;167:14	152:25	20:3	wife's (1)	184:15;186:4,23;
usually (2)	volume (1)	water (2)	56:23	187:16;189:15;192:3;
24:8;57:3	174:21	27:24;177:18	wind (2)	193:20;197:24;
utilized (1)	voluminous (1)	way (39)	29:22;35:7	198:16;199:3;200:19;
262:24	146:14	12:7;29:11;30:9;	Windows (2)	202:8;203:4;205:3,
₹7	<b>VPN</b> (1)	31:12;34:10;56:9,10;	21:15;23:12	23;207:3,5;208:5;
V	21:22	66:22;72:22;79:12;	wise (1)	209:21;211:12,17;
	***	92:18;133:14;144:16;	111:8	214:16,19,21;216:7;
vacuum (2)	W	147:19;149:10,13;	withdraw (2)	218:4;220:13;221:7,
23:20;29:7		164:11,22;166:21;	196:3,20	16,22;222:11;223:3;
valid (2)	W-2 (2)	179:15;181:13;	withdrawing (1)	224:5;225:9;226:19;
107:14,14	16:18,20	191:10,17,22;193:13;	244:9	227:14;228:13;
value (1)	wait (2)	194:24;195:3;201:5;	within (34)	229:10,16;230:14,24;
239:20	243:9,19	202:22;229:3,9,17,23;	10:17;14:13;15:18,	231:9,20;233:7,10;
values (7)	waived (1)	232:17;241:24;	20,22,24;20:13;21:2;	234:12;235:9,22;
229:20;233:14,17;	5:5	243:10;247:25;	25:16;26:19;29:6,15,	236:10;238:9;239:16;
234:6,20,25;235:6	wake (1)	252:25;253:2	16,17;33:11;39:14;	241:8;243:2,14;
various (2)	24:20	ways (3)	52:6;54:11,12,14,17,	244:11;247:13;248:6;
42:17;74:17	walk (19)	23:11;25:7;102:4	20;60:15,15;70:5;	249:13;253:22;255:4,
vary (1)	75:13;77:15,21;	weather (1)	91:22;113:2,12;	22;256:20,21,23,24;
-	1	l	I	<u> </u>

				May 20, 202
261:6,9;264:5,11;	174:16;176:21;	174:20	102:10;103:17;	257:24;259:7
266:7,8,11,12	186:18;220:2,3,5;		105:20;107:22,22;	21JN0007 (2)
witnessed (2)	233:20;234:10;235:3	${f Z}$	148:7;162:10,24;	20:22;28:19
	working (13)		256:25	22 (1)
witnesses (1)	6:5;7:16;20:11;	zero (3)	150 (4)	156:7
257:9	21:8;74:24;77:20;	229:24;230:8;	9:17;175:22;	235 (3)
wondering (2)	112:17;125:23;	231:15	194:21,22	18:16;92:13;238:14
177:16;256:22	126:17;128:5;148:17;	zoned (1)	15th (1)	24 (1)
wonky (1)	149:16;172:14	225:10	200:5	251:13
28:24	workout (1)	Zoom (1)	16 (1)	25 (2)
wood (1)	56:7	159:10	99:19	9:23;249:14
	workplace (2)	zoomed (1)	165 (1)	250-page (1)
woodworking (1)	101:11,20	122:18	197:13	258:12
	works (6)	122.10		256.12 26 (2)
	, ,	1	166 (2)	, ,
word (7)	23:10;40:16;64:11;	1	177:25;178:6	58:4;259:20
22:24;24:25;35:5;	72:19;83:12;194:16	1 2 (1)	17 (4)	29 (4)
	work's (1)	1.2 (1)	116:23;117:9;	74:13;176:24;
234:23	73:20	234:20	175:23;195:5	181:6;183:16
` /	world (3)	1:15 (3)	170 (2)	2nd (1)
55:4;117:16;233:23	76:14;146:24;	106:23,24;107:5	179:3,24	241:18
work (70)	260:23	100 (2)	18 (1)	2
	worry (1)	160:20;213:12	239:18	3
14:15;15:22;16:11;	53:2	105 (1)	19 (1)	
	worse (3)	138:21	100:14	3.03 (1)
29:17;30:8;31:8;34:5,	103:10,20;242:13	1099 (1)	19106 (1)	133:21
22;35:3,8;39:21;54:7;	write (5)	16:19	5:11	30 (5)
60:7;66:10,11;71:4,	11:7;40:3;173:24;	11 (2)	1926 (1)	9:23;176:24;
19,25;73:5,6;74:4,11;	174:10;260:14	96:25;157:22	74:13	181:19,22;183:16
76:11;79:12;92:7;	writer (2)	111 (1)	1926.16 (1)	300 (1)
97:17;99:6,13;	173:25;174:9	5:10	98:11	137:24
110:16;111:24;112:6,	written (14)	116 (1)	1926.32 (1)	30th (1)
7,8,9,10,16;113:9;	8:20;9:15;36:3,5,	195:14	97:18	43:9
114:16;125:9;131:3;	15;37:24;39:9;42:22;	12 (6)		30X100216300 (1)
132:22;133:5;134:5,	51:22;66:23;148:25;	53:22,23,25;55:5;	2	266:23
9;138:5,19;146:8;	209:6;227:25;243:10	62:22;99:5		33 (1)
	wrong (5)	12:30 (2)	2017 (2)	137:25
167:21,22;169:20;	34:10;84:22;212:5,	104:23,24	200:5;241:18	34 (1)
200:8;213:9;225:18;	7;228:21	12:50 (1)	2021 (1)	177:4
233:19;234:8;235:2;	wrote (8)	105:9	43:10	35 (1)
236:2;239:7,25;	10:23;11:9;34:14;	120 (1)	207 (1)	177:4
242:2;255:24	43:23;47:22,23;	9:13	251:13	177.1
vorked (9)	261:21,21	13 (1)	208 (2)	4
21:2;32:20;59:11;	201.21,21	157:7	257:24;259:7	•
	Y	138 (4)	,	4:30 (1)
60:5;62:5;111:5;	<b>_</b>	249:24;250:11,18,	209 (1)	246:19
116:17;176:13;219:5	(2)	23	252:11 <b>20-minute (1)</b>	4:52 (1)
` /	year (2)		` '	1 1
169:18;184:4;	8:21;9:2	14 (2)	105:8	265:10
	years (10)	175:23;195:4	21 (1)	43 (1)
204:16,21;206:5,22;	7:14;9:16;12:17;	140 (6)	253:5	195:14
208:6;212:4;215:25;	46:2,2;57:13,14;59:3;	9:17;14:23,24;	210 (3)	44 (6)
222:16;227:4;235:15;	61:8;239:18	23:20;32:5,9	179:25;257:24;	157:6,7;175:24;
	yellow (7)	145 (2)	259:7	195:6,14;207:14
vorkers (23)	122:8,9,14,24;	175:21;194:20	212 (2)	45 (3)
79:25;88:13;93:11;	125:24;126:18;136:5	148 (2)	257:24;259:7	156:7;157:23;
95:14;96:5;103:14;	Yep (2)	175:21;194:20	2-12-21 (1)	196:22
110:21;121:13;	53:2;188:4	149 (2)	28:20	46 (3)
123:13;130:12;	Yoga (1)	175:22;194:21	213 (1)	175:24;195:6;
		15 (12)	253:5	196:22
136:17;145:17;	56:23	15 (12)	233.3	170.22

14y 20, 2021	 	1
5		
0 (0)		
<b>0</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 111:10,11		
0-50 (1)		
111:8		
6		
(a) (a)		
0 (2)		
32:8,9 (3 (1)		
117:24		
5 (1)		
120:4		
5 (2)		
120:19;121:10		
7		
7		
0 (13)		
32:8,10,19,21;33:6,		
12;36:2,15;37:23;		
39:3,25;42:21;158:16		
8		
10 (0)		
13 (2)		
136:6;172:13 . <b>13B (2)</b>		
147:11;148:16		
0 (15)		
32:17,19,21;33:6,		
13;36:2,15;37:23;		
39:3,25;42:22;56:11,		
16,16;131:17		
(1)		
131:25 <b>4 (2</b> )		
32:9,12		
32.5,12 36 (2)		
132:8,17		
9		
7 (1)		
137:23		
B (2)		
32:10,12		
,		