SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY

DOCKET NO. BER-L-7134-18

LINDA B. BREHME,

Plaintiff,

-v-

THOMAS IRWIN; NEW JERSEY MANUFACTURERS INSURANCE COMPANY; JOHN DOES 1-5; ABC CORPORATIONS 1-5,

Defendants.

-----

VIDEOTAPED DE BENE ESSE DEPOSITION OF: LOUIS RIZIO, M.D.

DATE: WEDNESDAY, JUNE 22, 2022

METROPOLITAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

240 West Passaic Street

Maywood, New Jersey 07607

(201) 909-0666

	Page 2		Page 4
	raye z		raye 4
1		1 I N D E X 2 WITNESS DIRECT V	OIR CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
2		DIRE	Sik ekoss kedikeet keekoss
3		3	
4		4 LOUIS RIZIO,	
5	TRANSCRIPT of the Videotaped De Bene	M.D.	
6	Esse deposition of LOUIS RIZIO, M.D., called for	5 D-M M	120
7 8	Oral Examination in the above-entitled matter,	By Mr. Mazzie 6,13	129
° 9	said deposition being taken pursuant to Superior Court Rules of Civil Practice and Procedure, by	By Mr. Clark 10 56	
10	and before MARIBEL SYPNIEWSKI, a Certified Court	7	
11	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New	8	
12	Jersey, VIA ZOOM on Wednesday, June 22, 2022,	9 EXHIBITS	INTION DAGE
13	commencing at 6:36 in the evening.	0 NUMBER DESCR	LIPTION PAGE
14	commencing at 0.50 in the evening.	2	
15		3	
16		4	
17		5	
18		6 7	
19		8	
20		9	
21		0	
22		1	
23		2	
24		3 4	
25		5	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	Page 3 APPEARANCES:	1 VIDEOGR	-
2	A P P E A R A N C E S:		APHER: Good evening.
	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC	2 Today's date is Jun	-
2 3	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.	<ol> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> </ol>	APHER: Good evening. e 22nd, 2022. Approximate
2	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue	<ol> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. ii</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> </ol>	APHER: Good evening. te 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.	<ol> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video data</li> </ol>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18.
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue Belmar, New Jersey 07719 Attorney for Plaintiff. FOSTER & MAZZIE, LLC	<ul> <li>2 Today's date is Jun</li> <li>3 time is 6:36 p.m. ii</li> <li>4 Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>5 This is the video de</li> <li>6 Would all attorney</li> <li>7 the parties that the</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue Belmar, New Jersey 07719 Attorney for Plaintiff. FOSTER & MAZZIE, LLC BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.	<ul> <li>2 Today's date is Jun</li> <li>3 time is 6:36 p.m. ii</li> <li>4 Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>5 This is the video de</li> <li>6 Would all attorney</li> <li>7 the parties that the</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue Belmar, New Jersey 07719 Attorney for Plaintiff. FOSTER & MAZZIE, LLC BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ. 10 Furler Street	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that they</li> <li>the court reporter w</li> <li>witness.</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which vill please swear in the
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue Belmar, New Jersey 07719 Attorney for Plaintiff. FOSTER & MAZZIE, LLC BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ. 10 Furler Street Totowa, New Jersey 07512 Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of	<ul> <li>2 Today's date is Jun</li> <li>3 time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>4 Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>5 This is the video de</li> <li>6 Would all attorney</li> <li>7 the parties that the</li> <li>8 the court reporter v</li> <li>9 witness.</li> <li>0 MR. CLAF</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18. the position of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue Belmar, New Jersey 07719 Attorney for Plaintiff. FOSTER & MAZZIE, LLC BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ. 10 Furler Street Totowa, New Jersey 07512	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18. the position of Dr. Louis Rizio. the spresent and remotely state the y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue Belmar, New Jersey 07719 Attorney for Plaintiff. FOSTER & MAZZIE, LLC BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ. 10 Furler Street Totowa, New Jersey 07512 Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of Thomas Irwin.	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. ii</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that they</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank you</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S: CLARK LAW FIRM, PC BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ. 811 16th Avenue Belmar, New Jersey 07719 Attorney for Plaintiff. FOSTER & MAZZIE, LLC BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ. 10 Furler Street Totowa, New Jersey 07512 Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of Thomas Irwin. A L S O P R E S E N T:	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that they</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yc</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that they</li> <li>the court reporter weight</li> <li>the court reporter weight</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank you</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18. the position of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which vill please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda bu. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf nas Irwin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video de</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter video</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yet</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. reposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yct</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the distance</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse et number BER-L-7134-18. reposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf nas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yet</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the</li> <li>testified as follows</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse tet number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. is present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda bu. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf nas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and :
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video de</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter video</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yet</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the in</li> <li>testified as follows</li> <li>THE WITH</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda bu. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and :: NESS: Sure. It's Louis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that they</li> <li>the court reporter weight</li> <li>the court reporter weight</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank you</li> <li>MR. MAZ2</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the stified as follows</li> <li>THE WITM</li> <li>Rizio, R-i-z-i-o. F</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and :: VESS: Sure. It's Louis or the purpose of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video de</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yc</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the</li> <li>testified as follows</li> <li>THE WITN</li> <li>Rizio, R-i-z-i-o. F</li> <li>evaluations, my bu</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. teposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and : NESS: Sure. It's Louis or the purpose of these siness address is 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yet</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the</li> <li>testified as follows</li> <li>THE WITN</li> <li>Rizio, R-i-z-i-o. F</li> <li>evaluations, my bu</li> <li>Fairfield Road, Fair</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. reposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and : VESS: Sure. It's Louis or the purpose of these siness address is 277 irfield, New Jersey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yct</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the</li> <li>testified as follows</li> <li>THE WITN</li> <li>Rizio, R-i-z-i-o. F</li> <li>evaluations, my bu</li> <li>Fairfield Road, Fair</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate in the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. teposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and : NESS: Sure. It's Louis or the purpose of these siness address is 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video di</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter view</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yet</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the</li> <li>testified as follows</li> <li>THE WITN</li> <li>Rizio, R-i-z-i-o. F</li> <li>evaluations, my bu</li> <li>Fairfield Road, Fai</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>for a second?</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and : NESS: Sure. It's Louis or the purpose of these siness address is 277 irfield, New Jersey. RK: Can we go off the video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video d</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter v</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yo</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the</li> <li>testified as follows</li> <li>THE WITN</li> <li>Rizio, R-i-z-i-o. F</li> <li>evaluations, my bu</li> <li>Fairfield Road, Fai</li> <li>for a second?</li> <li>VIDEOGR</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and : NESS: Sure. It's Louis or the purpose of these siness address is 277 irfield, New Jersey. RK: Can we go off the video APHER: The time is 6:38.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A P P E A R A N C E S:</li> <li>CLARK LAW FIRM, PC</li> <li>BY: GERALD CLARK, ESQ.</li> <li>811 16th Avenue</li> <li>Belmar, New Jersey 07719</li> <li>Attorney for Plaintiff.</li> <li>FOSTER &amp; MAZZIE, LLC</li> <li>BY: CARL MAZZIE, ESQ.</li> <li>10 Furler Street</li> <li>Totowa, New Jersey 07512</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant, Estate of</li> <li>Thomas Irwin.</li> </ul> A L S O P R E S E N T: <ul> <li>LAZARO BERENGUER, ESQ.</li> <li>GERALD SHARPE, Intern</li> <li>MARK STIEVE, Videographer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Today's date is Jun</li> <li>time is 6:36 p.m. in</li> <li>Irwin, et al. Docket</li> <li>This is the video d</li> <li>Would all attorney</li> <li>the parties that the</li> <li>the court reporter v</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MR. CLAF</li> <li>Gerald Clark from</li> <li>Brehme. Thank yo</li> <li>MR. MAZZ</li> <li>of defendant, Thor</li> <li>L O U I S</li> <li>duly sworn by the</li> <li>testified as follows</li> <li>THE WITN</li> <li>Rizio, R-i-z-i-o. F</li> <li>evaluations, my bu</li> <li>Fairfield Road, Fai</li> <li>for a second?</li> <li>VIDEOGR</li> </ul>	APHER: Good evening. the 22nd, 2022. Approximate the matter of Brehme verse ext number BER-L-7134-18. eposition of Dr. Louis Rizio. s present and remotely state y represent and after which will please swear in the RK: Hi, everyone. It's the Clark Law Firm for Linda ou. ZIE: Carl Mazzie on behalf mas Irwin. R I Z I O, having been Notary, was examined and : NESS: Sure. It's Louis or the purpose of these siness address is 277 irfield, New Jersey. RK: Can we go off the video APHER: The time is 6:38.

Page 6	Page 8
1 (Whereupon we're off the video and	1 you recertify every 10 years.
2 written record at this time.)	2 Q Okay. And your current
3 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:40.	3 certification is good through what?
4 We're back on video record.	4 A So I just recertified and I'm good
5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE:	5 now 'til should be 2032.
6 Q Good evening, Dr. Rizio.	6 Q Okay. Doctor, do you have
7 A Good evening.	7 admitting privileges at any hospital?
8 Q Dr. Rizio, could you please give	8 A Yes.
9 the jury the benefit of your education?	9 Q What hospitals do you have
10 A Sure. I did my undergraduate work	10 admitting privileges?
11 at Rutgers University in New Brunswick. This was	11 A So St. Barnabas is currently the
12 followed by medical school at Rutgers University,	12 only hospital that I admit to. And I also work
13 the former UMDNJ of New Jersey in Newark. After	13 at a surgery center in Short Hills called Short
14 I finished my medical school training, I did a	14 Hills Surgery Center. So I do outpatient surgery
15 residency in orthopedics at the Tufts University	15 there.
16 Medical Center. That was followed by a	16 Q And, Doctor, could you briefly
17 fellowship in sports medicine at the University	17 tell the jury what orthopedic surgery is?
18 of Miami.	18 A So, orthopedic surgery deals with
19 Q And, Doctor, are you licensed?	19 disorders, chronic and traumatic, of the entire
20 A Yes.	20 musculoskeletal system from the neck down to the
21 Q And what states are you licensed	21 feet, bone issues, muscle issues, ligament
22 in?	22 issues, joint issues, you know, chronic
A New Jersey and New York.	23 degenerative conditions, acute conditions, and
24 Q Do you maintain a practice in both	all of those things.
25 states?	25 Q You indicated that you currently
Dogo 7	
Page 7	Page 9
1 A No. I haven't in practiced in New	Page 9
_	
<ol> <li>A No. I haven't in practiced in New</li> <li>York for a while. I just keep my license going.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, are you Board</li> </ol>	1 maintain a private practice?
1 A No. I haven't in practiced in New 2 York for a while. I just keep my license going.	1 maintain a private practice? 2 A Yes.
<ol> <li>A No. I haven't in practiced in New</li> <li>York for a while. I just keep my license going.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, are you Board</li> <li>certified?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A No. I haven't in practiced in New</li> <li>York for a while. I just keep my license going.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, are you Board</li> <li>certified?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Just briefly explain to the jury</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A No. I haven't in practiced in New</li> <li>York for a while. I just keep my license going.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, are you Board</li> <li>certified?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Just briefly explain to the jury</li> <li>what Board certification is.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> <li>sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A No. I haven't in practiced in New</li> <li>York for a while. I just keep my license going.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, are you Board</li> <li>certified?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Just briefly explain to the jury</li> <li>what Board certification is.</li> <li>A Sure. So it's a little different</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> <li>sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,</li> <li>probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A No. I haven't in practiced in New</li> <li>York for a while. I just keep my license going.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, are you Board</li> <li>certified?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Just briefly explain to the jury</li> <li>what Board certification is.</li> <li>A Sure. So it's a little different</li> <li>for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> <li>sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,</li> <li>probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly</li> <li>sports medicine or sports medicine type things.</li> </ol>
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> <li>sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,</li> <li>probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly</li> <li>sports medicine or sports medicine type things.</li> <li>But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I</li> </ol>
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> <li>sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,</li> <li>probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly</li> <li>sports medicine or sports medicine type things.</li> <li>But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I</li> <li>see orthopedic problems of multiple types.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A No. I haven't in practiced in New</li> <li>York for a while. I just keep my license going.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, are you Board</li> <li>certified?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Just briefly explain to the jury</li> <li>what Board certification is.</li> <li>A Sure. So it's a little different</li> <li>for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a</li> <li>two step process where, upon completion of your</li> <li>residency, you can sit for a written examination.</li> <li>If you pass that examination, you're allowed to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> <li>sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,</li> <li>probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly</li> <li>sports medicine or sports medicine type things.</li> <li>But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I</li> <li>see orthopedic problems of multiple types.</li> <li>Q And do you conduct surgery?</li> </ol>
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,	<ol> <li>maintain a private practice?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And, what is the primarily focus</li> <li>of your practice?</li> <li>A Right. So I have a full-time</li> <li>orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in</li> <li>sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,</li> <li>probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly</li> <li>sports medicine or sports medicine type things.</li> <li>But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I</li> <li>see orthopedic problems of multiple types.</li> <li>Q And do you conduct surgery?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ol>
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QAnd do you do spinal surgery?
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A15A16Idon't.
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14Q15A16QQDo you treat patients with spinal
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A16Q17conditions?
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18QAnd you said you are Board	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A16QQDo you treat patients with spinal17conditions?18AYes.
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18Q19certified?	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A16QQDo you treat patients with spinal17conditions?18A19QAnd if one of your patients needs
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18Q19certified?20A20A20A20A20A20A2020A20 <th>1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A16Q17conditions?18A19Q20surgery, what would you do?</th>	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A16Q17conditions?18A19Q20surgery, what would you do?
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination, you're allowed to13sit for an oral examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18Q19certified?20A21Q21Q21And how long is Board	1maintain a private practice?2A2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A16Q17conditions?18A19Q20And if one of your patients needs20surgery, what would you do?21A21A21A22I usually refer them to one of my
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18Q19certified?20A21Q22certification good?	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?15A16Q17conditions?18A19Q20And if one of your patients needs20surgery, what would you do?21A22colleagues who's a spine surgeon.
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18Q19certified?20A21Q23A3So in our specialty, we we're	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQDo you treat patients with spinal17conditions?18A19QQAnd if one of your patients needs20surgery, what would you do?21A23QQOkay. Doctor, we're here today
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18Q19certified?20A21Q23A3So in our specialty, we we're24good for 10 years. We have to recertify we	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4And, what is the primarily focus4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQAnd do you do spinal surgery?13A16QDo you treat patients with spinal17conditions?18A19QQAnd if one of your patients needs20surgery, what would you do?21A23QQOkay. Doctor, we're here today24for a lawsuit that was filed by a Linda Brehme.
1ANo. I haven't in practiced in New2York for a while. I just keep my license going.3QAnd, Doctor, are you Board4certified?5AYes.6QJust briefly explain to the jury7what Board certification is.8ASure. So it's a little different9for each medical specialty, but orthopedic has a10two step process where, upon completion of your11residency, you can sit for a written examination.12If you pass that examination given by the academy,14peers or, you know, administration. And you15could do that after being in practice for two16years. If you pass that, you become Board17certified by the Board of orthopedic surgery.18Q19certified?20A21Q23A3So in our specialty, we we're	1maintain a private practice?2A3Q4of your practice?5A6orthopedic practice. I am a subspecialist in7sports medicine, which I spend, I would say,8probably about 70 percent of my time doing mostly9sports medicine or sports medicine type things.10But I see general orthopedics, I see fractures, I11see orthopedic problems of multiple types.12Q13A14QQDo you treat patients with spinal17conditions?18A19QQAnd if one of your patients needs20surgery, what would you do?21A23QQOkay. Doctor, we're here today

## 3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	Page 10		Page 12
1	my office?	1	And then you could do down a pathway in which
2	MR. CLARK: I'm sorry, I have voir	2	you're asked more specific questions in the
3	dire questions on the qualifications.	3	specific areas; is that right?
4	MR. MAZZIE: Go ahead.	4	A Not exactly what you're what
5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. CLARK:	5	you're saying. You can't not to my
6	Q Dr. Rizio, you said you're Board	6	knowledge, there's no pathway to say I only want
7	certified in orthopedics, correct?	7	to be tested 90 percent on shoulders. There is a
8	A Yes.	8	possibility to do a hand subspecialty
9	Q With a subspecialty in sports	9	examination, there's a sports medicine, I think,
10	medicine?	10	subspecialty examination, but that began sometime
11	A Yes.	11	after I was in practice. I took the general
12	Q Okay. And you do not have	12	examination. I don't do a subspecialty pathway.
13	advanced training in spine surgery, correct?	13	Q And there is a subspecialty
14	A If you mean by fellowship	14	pathway for specialty in spine, are you aware of
15	training, I don't.	15	that?
16	Q And you do not have any admitting	16	A I believe so.
17	privileges at any hospitals that would permit you	17	Q But you did not go down that
18	to do spine surgery; is that correct?	18	pathway; is that right?
19	A Well, I have admitting privileges	19	A No.
20	at hospitals that would allow me to do spine	20	Q Okay. That is right, yes? No,
21	surgery if I chose, but I don't do that.	21	you, didn't go down the pathway?
22	Q To get hospital privileges in	22	A I did not go down that pathway.
23	spine surgery, don't hospitals in the area, such	23	Q Sometimes when someone answers yes
24	as St. Barnabas, Hudson Regional, Bayonne, for	24	or no, it could be ambiguous, but you cleared it
25	example, require you to fill out an application	25	up. Thank you. It's so and so if you have
	Page 11		Page 13
1	and detail the amount of spine surgeries that an	1	a patient that needs spine surgery, you would
2	applicant has completed, the type of spine	2	you refer that to a colleague, you would not do
3	surgeries and special training they've had in	3	that yourself, right?
4	spine surgeries, isn't that the kind of thing	4	A Right, as I said before.
5	required?	5	Q I don't know. I wrote down you
6	A I'm not exactly sure about the	6	said you usually refer to colleagues. Are there
7	details of that. I do know that when I fill out	7	are there times when you would actually do a
8	my credentialing packages, I ask for privileges	8	spine surgery and not refer to a colleague if
9	to do certain procedures. Since I don't do	9	that was what was needed?
10	spine, I check I don't check that off. So if	10	A I don't know why you wrote that
11	there's additional qualifications required, I	11	down. But, no, I don't perform spine surgery.
12	never get that information, because I don't ask	12	Q Okay. Well, I just wrote down
13	for it.	13	usually. I was just taking notes, that's all.
14	Q And with regard to Board	14	Okay. Thank you. I don't have any other voir
15	certification, that includes an oral examination,	15	dire questions there on the qualifications.
16	correct?	16	Thanks.
17	A Initially, yes. I mean, you could	17	MR. MAZZIE: For purpose of the
18	still do an oral exam. There's different	18	record, I offer Dr. Rizio as a board certified
19	pathways to maintain your certification. That's	19	orthopedic surgeon.
20	one of them. But the initial certification	20	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE:
21	requires an oral examination.	21	Q Doctor, going back, I had asked
22	Q And you could do a general test or	22	you, did you conduct a physical exam of the
23	you can have a certain percentage, maybe 90	23	plaintiff in this matter, Linda Brehme at my
24	percent of your cases in a certain area, for	24	office's request?
	avample charldon traces that 1-1. 1 - f 1.	25	$\Delta$ Ves
25	example, shoulders, knees, that kind of thing.	25	A Yes.

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	Page 14		Page 16
1	MR. CLARK: I apologize. Just	1	get usually I get records through the entire
2	with respect to the offer of him as an expert, as	2	treatment course. Sometimes I get records that
3	long as he's not being offered as an expert in	3	predate the accident. But, yes, I get a lot of
4	spine spine. Thank you.	4	different records and films.
5	MR. MAZZIE: You mean you mean,	5	Q You say films, are you referring
6	expert in spine, Counsel?	6	to, what, when you say films?
7	MR. CLARK: Yes. As a specialist	7	A X-rays, MRIs, CAT scans, tests
8	in spine, yes. As long as he's not being offered	8	that were done, you know, related to the to
9	as that, I don't have any objection to him	9	the injuries or to or tests that were done on
10	testifying and giving opinions. That doesn't	10	the person at anytime that relate to the matter.
11	seem you're offering him as that. It was just as	11	Q Now. Looking at your report, can
12	an orthopedist, Board certified orthopedic. Just	12	you tell the jury when you conducted the exam of
13	a routine aside.	13	Ms. Brehme?
14	Q So, Doctor, again, you did examine	14	A Sure. January 14, 2020.
15	Ms. Brehme at my office's request, the plaintiff	15	Q So that would be a little over
16	in this matter?	16	two-and-a-half years ago?
17	A Yes.	17	A That's right.
18	Q Do you know the date of the	18	Q Can you briefly tell the jury,
19	examination?	19	although it's not brief in this case, the medical
20	A Off the top of my head, I don't	20	records that you reviewed?
21	recall.	21	A Sure. So, you know, just looking
22	Q I guess I should ask that. Do you	22	back at this report, there was some fifty
23	have an independent recollection of your	23	something different records. They included legal
24	evaluation of Ms. Brehme?	24	legal documents, like interrogatories,
25	A I remember some general details,	25	accident reports, insurance and billing
	Page 15		
	rage 15		Page 17
1	but not every exact detail of the meeting.	1	Page 17 documents, reports and evaluations by other
1 2	-	1 2	
	but not every exact detail of the meeting.		documents, reports and evaluations by other
2	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you	2	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology
2 3	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual	2 3	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty
2 3 4	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a</li> </ul>	2 3 4	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.
2 3 4 5	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual	2 3 4 5	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens? A At the time of the examination and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after? A I usually try to review them
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens? A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after? A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens? A At the time of the examination and how I perform it? Q Well, my office's request that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after? A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens? A At the time of the examination and how I perform it? Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after? A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	but not every exact detail of the meeting. Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office. A Okay. Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens? A At the time of the examination and how I perform it? Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment. A Right. Okay. Right. So I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after? A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after? A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care. Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after? A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well. Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an examination is, I don't I don't provide</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an examination is, I don't I don't provide counseling. I don't provide a treatment plan.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.</li> <li>A Right. So standard, what I would do in my office is, basically, I take a history.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an examination is, I don't I don't provide counseling. I don't provide a treatment plan. So that aspect of the an orthopedic visit is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.</li> <li>A Right. So standard, what I would do in my office is, basically, I take a history. I ask the patients some questions. I ask them</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an examination is, I don't I don't provide counseling. I don't provide a treatment plan. So that aspect of the an orthopedic visit is not in that evaluation, which is, you know, whole</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.</li> <li>A Right. So standard, what I would do in my office is, basically, I take a history. I ask the patients some questions. I ask them about what's going on. Get a general sense of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an examination is, I don't I don't provide counseling. I don't provide a treatment plan. So that aspect of the an orthopedic visit is not in that evaluation, which is, you know, whole separate portion of a typical office visit.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.</li> <li>A Right. So standard, what I would do in my office is, basically, I take a history. I ask the patients some questions. I ask them about what's going on. Get a general sense of what happened, what was done, where they're at</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an examination is, I don't I don't provide counseling. I don't provide a treatment plan. So that aspect of the an orthopedic visit is not in that evaluation, which is, you know, whole separate portion of a typical office visit.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.</li> <li>A Right. So standard, what I would do in my office is, basically, I take a history. I ask the patients some questions. I ask them about what's going on. Get a general sense of what happened, what was done, where they're at now. And then I perform a physical exam which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>but not every exact detail of the meeting.</li> <li>Q All right. I'm going to show you your report that you provided to my office.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q Before we get into the actual your actual report, Doctor, can you give a general description of how these exams occur, what happens?</li> <li>A At the time of the examination and how I perform it?</li> <li>Q Well, my office's request that you schedule an appointment.</li> <li>A Right. Okay. Right. So I would get a request from your office to perform an examination, review records and give my thoughts or opinions on the on the matter. The difference really with this type of an examination is, I don't I don't provide counseling. I don't provide a treatment plan. So that aspect of the an orthopedic visit is not in that evaluation, which is, you know, whole separate portion of a typical office visit.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>documents, reports and evaluations by other physicians, emergency room records, radiology reports, nerve conduction studies, if done. Yes, we also reviewed physical therapy records, doctors' treatments records. You know, pretty much any anything that relates to the care.</li> <li>Q And, Doctor, did you review these records before or after?</li> <li>A I usually try to review them before. I try to get a look at them before so I have an idea what's going on. If I need to go back or if there's, you know, just a tremendous amount of records, I may have to review some after the exam as well.</li> <li>Q In this case or in every case, how does the exam get conducted? What do you do? Just walk us through the process.</li> <li>A Right. So standard, what I would do in my office is, basically, I take a history. I ask the patients some questions. I ask them about what's going on. Get a general sense of what happened, what was done, where they're at</li> </ul>

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	Page 18		Page 20
1	would look at their films or X-rays, MRIs that I	1	Q Is it likely to have surgery on
2	have available at the time. And then, you know,	2	her wrists?
3	write my conclusions, but not counsel the	3	A Yeah. And that she had some pins
4	patient.	4	and needles in both hands. She takes Percocet
5	Q In this case, you took a history	5	four times a day and had only been taking it once
6	from plaintiff?	6	a day previously. And she continues to take
7	A Yes.	7	Flexeril as needed, but didn't say that she took
8	Q And what did that history reveal?	8	it everyday. And that she was taking it more
9	A So looking back at my report, she	9	frequently since the accident, but was also
10	she was a 67-year-old woman when I had seen	10	taking Flexeril prior to the accident. And
11	her that had been in a car accident in 2016. She	11	Gabapentin was a new medication that she was on
12	was rear-ended. She reports going to the	12	now that she wasn't on previously.
13	emergency room following the accident. Reports	13	Q Percocet, what kind of medication
14	injuring her neck, low back, both wrists, left	14	is that?
15	knee and ribs.	15	A That's a narcotic pain medication.
16	Working as a salesperson or	16	Q And Flexeril, what kind of
17	scheduling person for a water company that and	17	medication is that?
18	she never returned to work. She reported having	18	A Muscle relaxer.
19	prior injuries to her neck and thoracic spine,	19	Q And Gabapentin?
20	her midback, and thought that she did not have	20	A Gabapentin is a kind of like a
21	any treatment or studies of her lumbar spine	21	neurologic a drug that affects the neurologic
22	prior to the accident. She denied any lumbar	22	system. It's often it could be used for I
23	complaints, although she did have surgery of the	23	believe it could be used for seizures. It could
24	neck and midback prior to this.	24	be maybe originally it was used for some
25	Then she went on to have some	25	depression, some other things, but often it's
			1 , 6,
	Page 19		Page 21
1	physical therapy and ultimately had surgery on		
1	physical merapy and unmatery had surgery on	1	used as an adjunct for pain management.
2	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere	1 2	used as an adjunct for pain management. Q After you took the history, did
2	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere	2	Q After you took the history, did
2 3	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she	2 3	Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?
2 3 4	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported	2 3 4	Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff? A Yes.
2 3 4 5	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q After you took the history, did</li><li>you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did</li> <li>you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did</li> <li>you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating</li> <li>a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did</li> <li>you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating</li> <li>a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the</li> <li>cervical spine showed a near full range of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion. No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff? A Yes. Q And what did your exam reveal? A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion. No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff? A Yes. Q And what did your exam reveal? A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion. No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff? A Yes. Q And what did your exam reveal? A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion. No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff? A Yes. Q And what did your exam reveal? A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion. No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff? A Yes. Q And what did your exam reveal? A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion. No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms. Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a new issue. The left knee was fine, was no longer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> <li>No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.</li> <li>Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and sensory exams, what does that consist of?</li> <li>A So, you look for so, you know,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a new issue. The left knee was fine, was no longer an issue, and the ribs were no longer an issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> <li>No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.</li> <li>Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and sensory exams, what does that consist of?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a new issue. The left knee was fine, was no longer an issue, and the ribs were no longer an issue. And she felt that her wrists were still continued to cause her some discomfort. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> <li>No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.</li> <li>Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and sensory exams, what does that consist of?</li> <li>A So, you look for so, you know, basically, you look for strength. You do</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a new issue. The left knee was fine, was no longer an issue, and the ribs were no longer an issue. And she felt that her wrists were still continued to cause her some discomfort. I believe there's a typo in my report. And that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> <li>No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.</li> <li>Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and sensory exams, what does that consist of?</li> <li>A So, you look for so, you know, basically, you look for strength. You do resisted strength testing in different muscle</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a new issue. The left knee was fine, was no longer an issue, and the ribs were no longer an issue. And she felt that her wrists were still continued to cause her some discomfort. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> <li>No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.</li> <li>Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and sensory exams, what does that consist of?</li> <li>A So, you look for strength. You do resisted strength testing in different muscle groups to try to elicit any weakness or asymmetry</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a new issue. The left knee was fine, was no longer an issue, and the ribs were no longer an issue. And she felt that her wrists were still continued to cause her some discomfort. I believe there's a typo in my report. And that she felt stiff, difficulty with gripping and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> <li>No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.</li> <li>Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and sensory exams, what does that consist of?</li> <li>A So, you look for strength. You do resisted strength testing in different muscle groups to try to elicit any weakness or asymmetry in her strength. Sensation typically, you know,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	her lumbar spine. Didn't have surgery anywhere else in her treatment. And I don't believe she had any epidural injections is what she reported to me. She was still having back pain, having numbness in multiple locations in the left lower extremity and she said it felt weak. She feels that she felt that she was tripping and falling frequently due to weakness in the leg. Difficulty with bending, lifting, pain at night. But she thought that her pain was constant, although worse at night. Difficulty with household chores, walking, standing, sitting for long periods of time. Her neck was back to her baseline from her prior surgery. Not an issue, or not a new issue. The left knee was fine, was no longer an issue, and the ribs were no longer an issue. And she felt that her wrists were still continued to cause her some discomfort. I believe there's a typo in my report. And that she felt stiff, difficulty with gripping and grasping more on the right. She felt that she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q After you took the history, did you conduct a physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did your exam reveal?</li> <li>A So, in general, she was ambulating a little slowly in the office. Her exam of the cervical spine showed a near full range of motion. She had some lack of flexion and extension most likely due to her prior fusion.</li> <li>No tenderness on exam, no muscular spasm. And her upper extremities had good or normal strength and sensation, motor and sensory exam, and the deep tendon reflexes were appropriate and in both arms.</li> <li>Q When you say motory (Phonetic) and sensory exams, what does that consist of?</li> <li>A So, you look for so, you know, basically, you look for strength. You do resisted strength testing in different muscle groups to try to elicit any weakness or asymmetry in her strength. Sensation typically, you know, can you feel this, can you feel that. It</li> </ul>

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	Page 22		Page 24
1	can judge strength. It's a little different.	1	with almost no palpation pressure. So when you
2	Q You also do did deep tendon	2	press on it and, you know, you provide different
3	reflexes	3	levels of force, there was almost no force
4	A Yes.	4	involved with, you know, her. She complained of
5	Q as well?	5	pain.
6	A Yes.	6	The incision that she had was
7	Q And what is the purpose of that?	7	healed. You know, when I palpated the muscles
8	A So, deep tendon reflexes are a	8	around the spinal column, there was no spasm that
9	sign of an intact loop between the peripheral and	9	I could detect. Did straight leg test, which was
10	central nervous system. It's not something that	10	negative in both legs.
11	usually can be, you know, altered in any	11	Q What is a straight leg test?
12	significant way. It's an involuntary response or	12	A So straight leg test is a test
13	to testing.	13	that we do. Basically, we lift their legs up to
14	Q So it's like the proverbial tap on	14	elicit a stretch on the sciatic nerve. And if
15	the knee with a hammer and your leg moves?	15	there's compression on the sciatic nerve at any,
16	A Yes. Absolutely.	16	you know or, you know, the nerve roots in the
17	Q And that's to test the nerves are	17	leg on any course throughout the spine and down
18	working?	18	to the lower extremities, it would elicit pain.
19	A Right. So, I mean, in basic	19	Sometimes it would elicit some temporary numbness
20	you know, basic way of looking at it is you're	20	or feelings of shocks or lightening in certain
21	providing you're providing a stimulus to the	21	patients. But it's a way of sort of
22	person externally. That has to be registered by	22	provocatively stressing or stretching the nerve
23	the central nervous system, the spinal cord and	23	to see if there's some irritation to it.
24	brain, and then it has to come back and provide a	24	Q And when you do that, what
25	response. So, it kind of indicates a complete	25	position is the plaintiff in?
	Page 23		Page 25
1	loop of intact neurologic function from external	1	
	1 8		A You could do it either lying or
2	to internal.	2	A You could do it either lying or sitting in in this instance. So you could do
2 3		1	sitting in in this instance. So you could do
	Q And her upper extremity and neck	2	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and
3		2 3	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting?
3 4	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited	2 3 4	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting?
3 4 5	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion?	2 3 4 5	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is
3 4 5 6	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right.	2 3 4 5 6	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of
3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q And her upper extremity and neck</li> <li>exam, that was normal except for the limited</li> <li>range of motion?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q All right. Did you evaluate her</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And what did that reveal?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q All right. Did you evaluate her</li> <li>lumbar spine?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And what did that reveal?</li> <li>A So, it was hard for me to get a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q All right. Did you evaluate her</li> <li>lumbar spine?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And what did that reveal?</li> <li>A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And what did that reveal?</li> <li>A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And what did that reveal?</li> <li>A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful.</li> <li>And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion?</li> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And what did that reveal?</li> <li>A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful.</li> <li>And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to get a really good motion examination on her.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right. Q And negative means that it was normal?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to get a really good motion examination on her. Normally, I would have a person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right. Q And negative means that it was normal? A Correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to get a really good motion examination on her. Normally, I would have a person try to reach their toes and see how far they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right. Q And negative means that it was normal? A Correct. Q What else did you do?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to get a really good motion examination on her. Normally, I would have a person try to reach their toes and see how far they could go, bend side-to-side and rotate, but this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right. Q And negative means that it was normal? A Correct. Q What else did you do? A I tested her I tested her
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to get a really good motion examination on her. Normally, I would have a person try to reach their toes and see how far they could go, bend side-to-side and rotate, but this seemed a little bit difficult because of her, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right. Q And negative means that it was normal? A Correct. Q What else did you do? A I tested her I tested her strength in her lower extremity, muscle groups,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to get a really good motion examination on her. Normally, I would have a person try to reach their toes and see how far they could go, bend side-to-side and rotate, but this seemed a little bit difficult because of her, you know, apprehension or concerns. She had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right. Q And negative means that it was normal? A Correct. Q What else did you do? A I tested her I tested her strength in her lower extremity, muscle groups, sensation to light touch. I didn't find any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And her upper extremity and neck exam, that was normal except for the limited range of motion? A That's right. Q All right. Did you evaluate her lumbar spine? A I did. Q And what did that reveal? A So, it was hard for me to get a good range of motion exam on her, because she felt that she was too unsteady and too painful. And, you know, kind of was afraid or didn't want to do certain things. I didn't feel it was appropriate for me to push her into doing something. So, you know, I was kind of unable to get a really good motion examination on her. Normally, I would have a person try to reach their toes and see how far they could go, bend side-to-side and rotate, but this seemed a little bit difficult because of her, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sitting in in this instance. So you could do it in both ways and Q How would you do it sitting? A So, what you do is, a person is sitting, their knees are bent over the side of the bed and you would, basically, straighten their knee. And the thing is, is that, you know, if you think about it, your back is flexed 90 degrees when you're sitting down and you straighten the leg, it's almost as if you're lifting the leg straight up, you know, above their body if they were lying flat. So it can be done either way. Q Okay. And hers was negative? A That's right. Q And negative means that it was normal? A Correct. Q What else did you do? A I tested her I tested her strength in her lower extremity, muscle groups,

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

	Page 26		Page 28
1	areas of the of the left leg. And, again,	1	little bit. So if you have chronic nerve
2	reflexes were good and normal, or intact.	2	compression, you have chronic nerve irritation,
3	Q Did you examine anything else?	3	or if you sever a nerve, the muscle will atrophy
4	A Right. So, ribs were not tender.	4	from lack of electrical impulse and firing and is
5	She stated that they had gotten better. Her left	5	use, and, you know, you could see that visually.
6	knee, you know, for the sake of time was all the	6	Q So, the muscles actually fade
7	test that I would do for motion, swelling,	7	away, so-to-speak?
8	tenderness and ligament testing were all	8	A That's right. They actually
9	negative. And then I examined her wrist and her	9	you know, they shrink.
10	hands. There were no no signs of muscle	10	Q Okay. Doctor, what films did you
11	atrophy, no swelling, no redness, no tenderness.	11	was that all you did in your exam?
12	I failed to identify a Phalen's or a Tinel's test	12	A Those were the those were the
13	in the wrist.	13	areas that I examined, yes.
14	Q Could you tell the jury what a	14	Q Did you review imaging studies?
15	Tinel's or explain to the jury what a Tinel	15	A A lot.
16	and a Phalen's test is?	16	Q Okay. What imaging studies did
17	A Yes. So a Tinel is just where you	17	you review?
18	would tap on the wrist over the course of the	18	A CAT scan of the lumbar spine from
19	median nerve or carpal tunnel. And usually when	19	2016, thoracic spine MRI 2013, thoracic spine
20	you percuss a nerve that has clinical signs of	20	2017, MRI MRI of the lumbar spine 2013, MRI of
20	compression, it would either elicit pain, it	21	the cervical spine 2013, MRI of the cervical
21	could elicit numbness and tingling in the nerve	22	spine 2017, MRI of the pelvis 2017, MRI of both
23	distribution. It would show an irritated nerve	23	wrists 2017, another CAT scan of the lumbar spine
23	from clinical compression. A Phalen's is similar	24	in 2017, and an MRI in the lumbar spine of 2017.
24	-	24	Q Doctor, the CAT scan of the first
20	in the sense that you try to compress the nerve	2.5	Q Doctor, the CAT scan of the first
	Page 27		Page 29
1	by flexing the wrist or and seeing if it	1	image that you list is a CAT scan December 16,
2	reproduces the symptoms after, you know, a	2	2016.
3	certain amount of time, usually like 20 or 30	3	A Right.
4	seconds.	4	Q You're aware that the motor
F	Q And both those were negative?		
5	Q And both those were negative?	5	vehicle accident in this case occurred on
6	-	5 6	vehicle accident in this case occurred on December 16, 2016?
	A That's right.		
6	-	6	December 16, 2016?
6 7	<ul><li>A That's right.</li><li>Q And you also indicated that you</li></ul>	6 7	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show?
6 7 8	A That's right. Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well? A Yes.	6 7 8	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I
6 7 8 9	A That's right. Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well? A Yes.	6 7 8 9	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were
6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I
6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> <li>intact. What does that mean?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> <li>intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I</li> <li>found no deficits on strength of the different</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> <li>intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I</li> <li>found no deficits on strength of the different</li> <li>muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> <li>intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I</li> <li>found no deficits on strength of the different</li> <li>muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> <li>intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I</li> <li>found no deficits on strength of the different</li> <li>muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you</li> <li>found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> <li>intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I</li> <li>found no deficits on strength of the different</li> <li>muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you</li> <li>found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were both equal, you</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you</li> <li>did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly</li> <li>intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I</li> <li>found no deficits on strength of the different</li> <li>muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you</li> <li>found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were not equal, you</li> <li>know. And even if they were not equal, there was</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration typically take?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I found no deficits on strength of the different muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were not equal, there was no muscle group that showed, you know, a lower</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration typically take? A You know, it's hard to put an
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I found no deficits on strength of the different muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were not equal, there was no muscle group that showed, you know, a lower grading of strength than what would be expected.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration typically take? A You know, it's hard to put an exact number. But, I mean, you know, typically
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I found no deficits on strength of the different muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were not equal, there was no muscle group that showed, you know, a lower grading of strength than what would be expected.</li> <li>Q Doctor, you made a note that</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration typically take? A You know, it's hard to put an exact number. But, I mean, you know, typically degeneration occurs over many months to many
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I found no deficits on strength of the different muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were not equal, there was no muscle group that showed, you know, a lower grading of strength than what would be expected.</li> <li>Q Doctor, you made a note that showed no atrophy. What's atrophy?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration typically take? A You know, it's hard to put an exact number. But, I mean, you know, typically degeneration occurs over many months to many years. You know, the I think that you would
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I found no deficits on strength of the different muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were not equal, there was no muscle group that showed, you know, a lower grading of strength than what would be expected.</li> <li>Q Doctor, you made a note that showed no atrophy. What's atrophy?</li> <li>A So atrophy occurs with lack of use</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration typically take? A You know, it's hard to put an exact number. But, I mean, you know, typically degeneration occurs over many months to many years. You know, the I think that you would say that the process is occurring well before
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A That's right.</li> <li>Q And you also indicated that you did motor and sensory exam as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And they were normally say grossly intact. What does that mean?</li> <li>A That's right. So I found no I found no deficits on strength of the different muscle groups in her hand in the forearm region.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you say you found no deficit. They were both equal?</li> <li>A Well, they were not equal, there was no muscle group that showed, you know, a lower grading of strength than what would be expected.</li> <li>Q Doctor, you made a note that showed no atrophy. What's atrophy?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	December 16, 2016? A Yes. Q What did that CAT scan show? A So, I didn't see any fractures. I didn't see any dislocations. There were degenerative changes at multiple levels in the lumbar spine. Q The degenerative changes in the lumbar spine you saw on December 16, 2016, could they occur as a result of a trauma on that day? A No. Q How long does degeneration typically take? A You know, it's hard to put an exact number. But, I mean, you know, typically degeneration occurs over many months to many years. You know, the I think that you would

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

	Page 30		Page 32
1	like that would lag behind what's actually	1	Q And when we say lumbar spine, we
2	happening in the body. So when you see changes	2	refer to L1, L2, L3, where is that in the body?
3	or pre-advanced changes, I mean, you could assume	3	A So that's the lower portion of
4	they've been there for quite some time.	4	your spine extending from the bottom, like, five
5	Q Doctor, with regard to the	5	vertebral bodies to your pelvis and then sacrum.
6	thoracic spine, what were your findings?	6	Q And when you say thoracic spine,
7	A So the 2013 study showed, again,	7	are you referring to T11, T12, where is that?
8	multiple areas of degenerative disc disease. It	8	A That's the midportion of your
9	looked like there was a compression deformity, an	9	spine that, you know, several, you know,
10	old one at T7, which could have been an old	10	vertebral bodies through the midportion of the
11	traumatic deformity. It could have been an	11	spine. It's sort of the chest region.
12	osteoporotic compression. It could be a	12	Q And when we refer to cervical
13	degenerative wedging of the vertebral body.	13	A Your neck. You know, the base of
14	There was, you know, a bunch of disc herniations	14	your head down to the upper chest area.
15	and bulges at different levels in the cervical	15	Q Did you review an MRI of the
16	and thoracic and upper lumbar spine. You know,	16	cervical spine of April 14, 2013 as well?
17	disc bulging also where you could view the upper	17	A Yes.
18	midportion of the lumbar spine, you know, from	18	Q What did that reveal?
19	the thoracic study. Looked like there was some	19	A So there was a fusion previous
20	disc bulging at L1-2 and L2 L3-4, although it	20	fusion was evident at C5-6.
21	wasn't, you know it was not dedicated to those	21	Q When you say a previous fusion,
22	areas.	22	what does that mean?
23	Q And this was on films taken in	23	A Well, you could see so the
24	April of 2013?	24	vertebral bodies at C5 and 6 have been fused
25	A That's right.	25	together with, like, hardware, bone grafting, the
	Page 31		Page 33
1	Q And you also reviewed an MRI of	1	disc had been removed and they try to create,
2	the thoracic spine of February 1st, 2017?	2	basically, a fusion at that motion segment so it
3	A Yes.	3	•
4		5	doesn't move anymore.
	Q And what did that show?	4	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative?
5	A Again, degenerative changes	1	doesn't move anymore.
5 6	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative	4	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure.
	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar	4 5	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the
6	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple	4 5 6 7 8	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well?
6 7 8 9	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine	4 5 6 7 8 9	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes.
6 7 8	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail?
6 7 8 9 10 11	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>doesn't move anymore.</li> <li>Q That was an operative?</li> <li>A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure.</li> <li>Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did that entail?</li> <li>A Again, so, the disc fusion was</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A Again, degenerative changes</li> <li>throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative</li> <li>changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar</li> <li>segments that are visualized. Again, multiple</li> <li>disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine</li> <li>that really looked very similar in appearance, if</li> <li>not the same, as they did in 2013.</li> <li>Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of</li> <li>April 14th, 2013 as well?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q What did that reveal?</li> <li>A So, again, degenerative disc</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar spine. More even more advanced changes were	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was that the degenerative findings had worsened at
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar spine. More even more advanced changes were noted at L3-4 and L4-5 to include more	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was that the degenerative findings had worsened at the C4-5 and C6-7 region and a disc bulge at
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar spine. More even more advanced changes were noted at L3-4 and L4-5 to include more significant disc space narrowing. Disc	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was that the degenerative findings had worsened at the C4-5 and C6-7 region and a disc bulge at C3-4.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar spine. More even more advanced changes were noted at L3-4 and L4-5 to include more significant disc space narrowing. Disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at L2-3, L3-4,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was that the degenerative findings had worsened at the C4-5 and C6-7 region and a disc bulge at C3-4. Q Doctor, I'm going to skip down to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar spine. More even more advanced changes were noted at L3-4 and L4-5 to include more significant disc space narrowing. Disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at L2-3, L3-4, L5-S1. And, again, some disc herniations that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was that the degenerative findings had worsened at the C4-5 and C6-7 region and a disc bulge at C3-4. Q Doctor, I'm going to skip down to you also looked at MRIs of March 23rd, 2017 of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar spine. More even more advanced changes were noted at L3-4 and L4-5 to include more significant disc space narrowing. Disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at L2-3, L3-4, L5-S1. And, again, some disc herniations that looked like they were there in the lower	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was that the degenerative findings had worsened at the C4-5 and C6-7 region and a disc bulge at C3-4. Q Doctor, I'm going to skip down to you also looked at MRIs of March 23rd, 2017 of the plaintiff's wrist?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Again, degenerative changes throughout the thoracic spine. Degenerative changes in the lower cervical, upper lumbar segments that are visualized. Again, multiple disc abnormalities throughout the thoracic spine that really looked very similar in appearance, if not the same, as they did in 2013. Q And you saw a lumbar spine MRI of April 14th, 2013 as well? A Yes. Q What did that reveal? A So, again, degenerative disc disease at, basically, every level of the lumbar spine. More even more advanced changes were noted at L3-4 and L4-5 to include more significant disc space narrowing. Disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at L2-3, L3-4, L5-S1. And, again, some disc herniations that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't move anymore. Q That was an operative? A Yeah. Yes. That's a surgical procedure. Q And then you saw an MRI of the cervical spine from February 1st, 2017 as well? A Yes. Q And what did that entail? A Again, so, the disc fusion was still there, obviously. A lot of degenerative disc disease at C4-5 and C6-7. There was a retrolisthesis of C7 on T1. Some disc herniations were noted at C4-5 and C6-7. Disc bulging at C3-4 was seen. The big difference in my opinion between the 2017 and 2013 MRIs was that the degenerative findings had worsened at the C4-5 and C6-7 region and a disc bulge at C3-4. Q Doctor, I'm going to skip down to you also looked at MRIs of March 23rd, 2017 of

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

	Page 34		Page 36
1	A So there was signal abnormalities	1	Q Take a I believe it's a
2	in the what we call the TFCC complex, which is	2	December 21st, 2017, if you could find that in
3	a kind of like a soft tissue meniscus-type	3	your records.
4	structure that adjoins the two bones of the	4	A That's January. January, February
5	wrist. And when I say degenerative signal,	5	15, and I have they're in order.
6	usually what you see is, you see signal change in	6	Q Full chronological order. See if
7	the normal structure of the ligament which occurs	7	it's here.
8	from collagen breakdown and degeneration with	8	
		9	A I'm sorry, what date? Q I believe it's December 21st.
9	age. But no tears that I could see, no		
10	fractures.	10	A Oh, I have December 21st, I have
11	Q And the degeneration that you saw	11	here. I thought you said February 21st.
12	in these films of March 23rd, 2017, would that	12	December 21st.
13	have occurred from the motor vehicle accident of	13	Q In those records, did the doctor
14	December 16, 2016 to March 23rd, approximately,	14	take a history from the plaintiff?
15	three months?	15	A Yes.
16	A I don't think so, no. I don't	16	Q And is there any kind of notation
17	believe so.	17	about the plaintiffs prior condition?
18	Q You also saw an MRI film of the	18	A Yes. So the you know, the
19	lumbar spine of February 1st, 2017; is that	19	first thing they mention that she was in a motor
20	correct?	20	vehicle accident. She was hit from behind. And
21	A Yes.	21	following the accident, she had some neck and
22	Q And what did that MRI show?	22	back pain and had developed a different low back
23	A Again, degenerative disc findings	23	pain above the tailbone.
24	at all levels. Disc herniations, again, were	24	Q So it indicates that there's a
25	noted in the lower thoracic and upper lumbar	25	different low back pain?
	Page 35		Page 37
1		1	_
1	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at	1	A Right.
2	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much	2	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look
2 3	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any	2 3	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of
2 3 4	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.	2 3 4	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of
2 3 4 5	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference. Q Was there any indication on the	2 3 4 5	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference. Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes. Q Are one of those medications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference. Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes. Q Are one of those medications Percocet?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference. Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes. Q Are one of those medications Percocet? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes. Q Are one of those medications Percocet? A Yes. Q And what is the what is the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes. Q Are one of those medications Percocet? A Yes. Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes. Q Are one of those medications Percocet? A Yes. Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication? A So, the notation here, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Right. Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016? A Yes. Q Are one of those medications Percocet? A Yes. Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication? A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look</li> <li>in those records. Is there a listing of</li> <li>medication that the plaintiff was taking as of</li> <li>December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications</li> <li>Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the</li> <li>indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the</li> <li>recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet</li> <li>every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications</li> <li>Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications</li> <li>Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013.</li> <li>So it would appear that she's taking two pills a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications</li> <li>Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013.</li> <li>So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications</li> <li>Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay. Doctor, after reviewing the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> <li>Q Is there any other medication that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay. Doctor, after reviewing the medical records you know, I'm going to ask you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications</li> <li>Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> <li>Q Is there any other medication that she's taking as of December 21st that would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay. Doctor, after reviewing the medical records you know, I'm going to ask you a couple questions about some medical records.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> <li>Q Is there any other medication that she's taking as of December 21st that would address pain or discomfort?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay. Doctor, after reviewing the medical records you know, I'm going to ask you a couple questions about some medical records.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> <li>Q Is there any other medication that she's taking as of December 21st that would address pain or discomfort?</li> <li>A Yes. So she's she also takes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay. Doctor, after reviewing the medical records you know, I'm going to ask you a couple questions about some medical records. Doctor, I'm going to show you the records of Prestige Medical and Rehabilitation's Dr. Gellis'</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> <li>Q Is there any other medication that she's taking as of December 21st that would address pain or discomfort?</li> <li>A Yes. So she's she also takes Cyclobenzaprine or Flexeril, which is a muscle</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay. Doctor, after reviewing the medical records you know, I'm going to ask you a couple questions about some medical records.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> <li>Q Is there any other medication that she's taking as of December 21st that would address pain or discomfort?</li> <li>A Yes. So she's she also takes Cyclobenzaprine or Flexeril, which is a muscle relaxer and is taking that twice a day as needed.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>spine, disc herniation at L4-5, disc bulging at multiple levels. And the MRI looked pretty much the same as the one in 2013. I didn't see any difference.</li> <li>Q Was there any indication on the lumbar MRI of February 1st, 2017 taken, approximately, a month-and-a-half after the accident of any type of acute trauma to the spine?</li> <li>A I did not see any.</li> <li>Q Okay. And, again, you reviewed the CAT scan film taken on the day of the accident.</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And did you see any acute evidence of an injury or trauma to the lumbar spine in that film?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay. Doctor, after reviewing the medical records you know, I'm going to ask you a couple questions about some medical records. Doctor, I'm going to show you the records of Prestige Medical and Rehabilitation's Dr. Gellis'</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Doctor, I'd also ask you to look in those records. Is there a listing of medication that the plaintiff was taking as of December 21st, 2016?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Are one of those medications Percocet?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what is the what is the indication for the medication?</li> <li>A So, the notation here, the recording of her Percocet usage is one tablet every 12 hours or twice a day and as needed. And this has been going on since December of 2013. So it would appear that she's taking two pills a day, everyday, and then on certain days she may be taking extra.</li> <li>Q Is there any other medication that she's taking as of December 21st that would address pain or discomfort?</li> <li>A Yes. So she's she also takes Cyclobenzaprine or Flexeril, which is a muscle</li> </ul>

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 3		Page 40
1 A March of 2014.	1 symptoms on the left leg with numbress	s going from
2 Q So prior to this accident she was	2 the groin down both legs, it says, at time	
3 taking pain medication?	3 she reports having had bilateral footdrop	
4 A Yes.	4 constant low back pain and had started t	
5 Q Based on the records that you saw?	5 bit better and had less numbress, but the	
6 A Yes.	6 symptoms recurred. Was contemplating	
7 Q Did the plaintiff did you ask	7 Therapy for the wrists. And was recom	
8 the plaintiff at her exam if she had previously	8 do a carpel tunnel release, but don't beli	eve she
9 been taking pain medication?	9 had that done. Was having numbress in	n both
10 A Yes.	10 hands, looks like trouble writing with or	ne hand,
11 Q And did she tell you she had	11 and had injections as well.	
12 previously been taking pain medication?	12 And then there's some problem	ns
13 A Yes.	13 related to her head, having headaches, h	aving
14 Q And did she indicate the	14 some balance and ringing in her ear pro	blems,
15 frequency?	15 confusion, she's forgetful, difficulty	
16 A Yeah. Let me just look back at my	16 concentrating, sleep problems.	
17 record for so, according to her, at the time	17 Q Outside of your specialty?	
18 of the exam, she had been she had been takin		
19 Percocet once a day before the accident and ha	19 Q Doctor, did the doctor condu	ict
20 been taking Flexeril as needed before the	20 MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I	
21 accident.	21 apologize. What is the date of that note	from
22 Q I'm also going to ask you to take	22 Van Slooten?	
a look at the records of the neurologist. Dr.	23 MR. MAZZIE: The first day,	May
24 Van Slooten, D-6.	24 10, 2017.	
25 A Okay.	25 MR. CLARK: Thank you. S	orry to
Page 3		- 11
5		Page 41
1 Q Look at the first date of	1 interrupt.	Page 41
_		-
1 Q Look at the first date of	1 interrupt.	-
1 Q Look at the first date of 2 treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co	-
<ol> <li>Q Look at the first date of</li> <li>treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is</li> <li>May 10th, 2017.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>interrupt.</li> <li>Q Doctor, did he go on to co</li> <li>physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did he find on he</li> </ol>	onduct a nis exam?
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4AYes.	<ol> <li>interrupt.</li> <li>Q Doctor, did he go on to co</li> <li>physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ol>	onduct a nis exam?
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A4He did.	<ol> <li>interrupt.</li> <li>Q Doctor, did he go on to co</li> <li>physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did he find on h</li> <li>A So, examination so from</li> <li>neurologic perspective, noted a mild</li> </ol>	nduct a his exam? n a reduced
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8QQAnd what did his history reveal?	<ol> <li>interrupt.</li> <li>Q Doctor, did he go on to co physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did he find on h</li> <li>A So, examination so from</li> <li>neurologic perspective, noted a mild</li> <li>range of motion of her neck, negative</li> </ol>	onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A9A0Did his history reveal?9A011222334445546777897789410	<ol> <li>interrupt.</li> <li>Q Doctor, did he go on to co</li> <li>physical exam of the plaintiff?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what did he find on he</li> <li>A So, examination so from</li> <li>neurologic perspective, noted a mild</li> <li>range of motion of her neck, negative</li> <li>leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral</li> </ol>	onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight
<ol> <li>Q Look at the first date of</li> <li>treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is</li> <li>May 10th, 2017.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he take a history of the</li> <li>plaintiff from the plaintiff?</li> <li>A He did.</li> <li>Q And what did his history reveal?</li> <li>A So, again, notes that she was in a</li> <li>motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,</li> </ol>	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on he6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.	nduct a nis exam? n a reduced e straight lly, no
<ol> <li>Q Look at the first date of</li> <li>treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is</li> <li>May 10th, 2017.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did he take a history of the</li> <li>plaintiff from the plaintiff?</li> <li>A He did.</li> <li>Q And what did his history reveal?</li> <li>A So, again, notes that she was in a</li> <li>motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,</li> <li>her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.</li> </ol>	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on he6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11QWhat is there any signification	nduct a nis exam? n a reduced e straight lly, no
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A9A10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11QWhat is there any signifi12to those findings?	n a reduced e straight ly, no icance
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A9A10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13ASo, yes. I mean, you know	n a reduced e straight ly, no icance v,
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A So, again, notes that she was in a10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on he6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A4So, yes. I mean, you know14similar to what I saw, she had a decree	nduct a nis exam? n a reduced e straight lly, no icance w, eased range
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A4Yes.5Q5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A9A10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree	n a reduced e straight ly, no icance v, eased range e related to
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A4Yes.5Q5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A9A10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on he6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that	n a reduced e straight ly, no icance w, eased range e related to the back
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A4Yes.5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A So, again, notes that she was in a10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medic	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no	n a reduced e straight ly, no icance w, e related to the back o signs of
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4AYes.5QDid he take a history of the6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7AHe did.8QAnd what did his history reveal?9ASo, again, notes that she was in a10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medic18history.	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compression	n a reduced e straight ily, no icance v, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A9A10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medic18history.19Q	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compressing19sciatic nerve or any other nerve in the	n a reduced e straight ily, no icance v, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4AYes.5QDid he take a history of the6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7AHe did.8QAnd what did his history reveal?9ASo, again, notes that she was in a10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medic18history.19Q20A20A20A20A20A20A20A20A20A20A20A20A2020A20A2020A20A20202020202120202020202020202020 <tr< td=""><td>1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on he6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compression20extremities.</td><td>onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight ly, no ficance w, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the e lower</td></tr<>	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on he6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compression20extremities.	onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight ly, no ficance w, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the e lower
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4AYes.5QDid he take a history of the6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7AHe did.8QAnd what did his history reveal?9ASo, again, notes that she was in a10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medic18history.19Q19Q20A21had similar symptoms. She so after so it's	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compression19sciatic nerve or any other nerve in the20Q21QQDoctor, I note that he also	onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight ly, no ficance w, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the e lower
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4AYes.5QDid he take a history of the6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7AHe did.8QAnd what did his history reveal?9ASo, again, notes that she was in a10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medic18history.19Q20A4Well, past medical history, she21had similar symptoms. She so after so it's22a little so the complex past medical history	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compressi20extremities.21Q22motor strength evaluation.	onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight ly, no ficance w, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the e lower
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4AYes.5QDid he take a history of the6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7AHe did.8QAnd what did his history reveal?9ASo, again, notes that she was in a10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medical18history.19Q19Q20A21had similar symptoms. She so after so it's22a little so the complex past medical history23in her complaints related to the accident	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compressing19sciatic nerve or any other nerve in the20extremities.21Q23A24Yes.	onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight lly, no ficance w, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the e lower
1QLook at the first date of2treatment by Dr. Van Slooten. I believe it is3May 10th, 2017.4A4Yes.5Q6plaintiff from the plaintiff?7A8Q9A9A10motor vehicle accident, had injury to her neck,11her left knee and left wrist. Wrists also.12Looks like she had low back pain as well, had13gone to the emergency room, had some studies,14went back to her medical doctor for treatment.15And he saw her because there was a question of16maybe having a concussion, but sought out som17physical therapy. Notes say complex past medical18history.19Q19Q10What is the past history?20A21had similar symptoms. She so after so it's22a little so the complex past medical history23in her complaints related to the accident	1interrupt.2QDoctor, did he go on to co3physical exam of the plaintiff?4AYes.5QAnd what did he find on h6ASo, examination so from7neurologic perspective, noted a mild8range of motion of her neck, negative9leg raise of the lumbar spine bilateral10tenderness around the sciatic nerve.11Q12to those findings?13A14similar to what I saw, she had a decree15of motion of her neck to some degree16her previous spinal fusion. And that17examination showed excuse me, no18nerve tension or stretch or compressi20extremities.21Q22motor strength evaluation.	onduct a his exam? n a reduced e straight lly, no ficance w, eased range e related to the back o signs of on in the e lower

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

	Page 42		Page 44
1	A Again, there's five over five	1	is, "Well, this gives a basis for his opinion."
2	strengths.	2	So what I want to do on cross is go through the
3	MR. CLARK: I'm sorry to interrupt	3	contrary records to demonstrate that he didn't
4	here. It's I guess it's somewhat of an	4	review and that it contradicts his basis. So,
5	objection, but not really an objection. He's	5	like you say, "No. It's a negative test, so,
6	reading in other medical records from other	6	therefore, it supports my opinion. So I'm going
7	doctors which is selected from the thousands of	7	to go through all the positive tests in the case
8	pages here. We have numerous records that we'll	8	and to cross-examine him to say that, "Well, you
9	want to use on redirect and I just don't want	9	didn't consider that", or "That goes the other
10	I think in fairness, we should be able to do the	10	way", on what you're saying. So that's it.
11	same thing on the other records, which because	11	Thank you.
12	I just I just like I don't think there	12	MR. MAZZIE: You could ask him and
13	should be an objection, "Well, you can't do	13	I'll object or not object, depending on its
14	that", because it's being done right now.	14	appropriateness. Okay. We can go back on the
15	MR. MAZZIE: Are we off the record	15	record.
16	or on the record, Counsel.	16	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:26.
17	MR. CLARK: So I'm either asking	17	We'll go back on video record.
18		18	Q Again, Doctor, I might have asked
19	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, let's go off	19	you this before we went off the record there
20	the video record if you're going to make a	20	briefly, but what's the significance of the
20	speech.	20	findings on the neurological and motor tests?
22	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:24.	22	A So the significance is, is that at
22	We're off video record.	23	time of this evaluation that this doctor
23	MR. MAZZIE: All right. We're off	24	performed, strength was normal and there were no
25	the video record now.	25	atrophy on his exam.
2.5			
	Page 43		
	Tage 45		Page 45
1	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like	1	Q Going to ask you to look at the
1 2		1 2	
	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like		Q Going to ask you to look at the
2	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm	2	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the
2 3	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and	2 3	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff
2 3 4	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm	2 3 4	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the
2 3 4 5	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and	2 3 4 5	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly	2 3 4 5 6	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these findings are in terms of his ultimate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section. MR. MAZZIE: Yeah. March 9 the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these findings are in terms of his ultimate conclusions. So in that regard, it is permitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section. MR. MAZZIE: Yeah. March 9 the field at the bottom, Counsel, it indicates one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these findings are in terms of his ultimate conclusions. So in that regard, it is permitted. You can't just ask him to read without having it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section. MR. MAZZIE: Yeah. March 9 the field at the bottom, Counsel, it indicates one of 26, two of 26. And I'm on Page 4 of 26. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these findings are in terms of his ultimate conclusions. So in that regard, it is permitted. You can't just ask him to read without having it related to his findings. But, we'll go on and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section. MR. MAZZIE: Yeah. March 9 the field at the bottom, Counsel, it indicates one of 26, two of 26. And I'm on Page 4 of 26. Do you see what we're looking at?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these findings are in terms of his ultimate conclusions. So in that regard, it is permitted. You can't just ask him to read without having it related to his findings. But, we'll go on and we'll do what we have to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section. MR. MAZZIE: Yeah. March 9 the field at the bottom, Counsel, it indicates one of 26, two of 26. And I'm on Page 4 of 26. Do you see what we're looking at? MR. CLARK: No. Could we go off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these findings are in terms of his ultimate conclusions. So in that regard, it is permitted. You can't just ask him to read without having it related to his findings. But, we'll go on and we'll do what we have to do. MR. CLARK: Okay. So, if I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section. MR. MAZZIE: Yeah. March 9 the field at the bottom, Counsel, it indicates one of 26, two of 26. And I'm on Page 4 of 26. Do you see what we're looking at? MR. CLARK: No. Could we go off record so just so we don't have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. CLARK: Yeah, so, it's like it's like back whatever. Like, what is the case? I forget the case, but you know what I'm talking about. So, like, I want to do I'm going to want to do the same thing on cross, and I don't think it would be fair for the defense to object and say, "You can't read and factor in other expert's findings", because that's exactly what's being done here. MR. MAZZIE: No, no. MR. CLARK: My position would be to either stop this or we're going to do the same thing, because there's numerous positive findings in the records. Thank you. MR. MAZZIE: Well, the difference is, unlike what happened today, Counsel, without getting into that, the doctor's actually explaining what the significance of these findings are in terms of his ultimate conclusions. So in that regard, it is permitted. You can't just ask him to read without having it related to his findings. But, we'll go on and we'll do what we have to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Going to ask you to look at the medical records of D-8 and they're the medical records from the Hackensack neurology. Plaintiff was first seen, it appears from review of the records, on March 9, 2017? A Yes. Q And did the doctor conduct evaluation? Again, I'm going to stick to the areas that are relevant to your specialty of orthopedics. It appears on Page 4 of 26, the doctor conducted evaluation as well. A Yes. Q And in there he again conducted a motor examination? A Yes. MR. CLARK: Sorry, the date, what's the date? I said March 9, '17, but I have 1/04 in that section. MR. MAZZIE: Yeah. March 9 the field at the bottom, Counsel, it indicates one of 26, two of 26. And I'm on Page 4 of 26. Do you see what we're looking at? MR. CLARK: No. Could we go off

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

	Page 46		Page 48
1	We're now off video record.	1	A Yes.
2	(Whereupon we're off the video and	2	Q And can you starts on Page 4.
3	written record.)	3	Doctor, on the page that you just have in your
4	VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 7:32.	4	hands
5	We're back on video record.	5	A Uh-huh.
6	Q I was asking you to look at the	6	Q there are some finding by Dr.
7	evaluation conducted by the doctor at Hackensack	7	Bear (Phonetic) at the upper part of the page,
8	Neurology Group. One of the exams was a motor	8	smaller print?
9	examination.	9	A Okay.
10	A Yes.	10	Q And what are those findings?
11	Q And what did that exam reveal in	11	A So I think you're referring to
12	terms of the motor exam?	12	MR. CLARK: I'm sorry, could we go
13	A So in the motor exam portion of	13	off the record for a second, please?
14	his exam, upper extremity five over five	14	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:35.
15	strength, normal tone, no atrophy, left upper	15	We're now off video record.
16	extremity the same, right lower extremity	16	(Whereupon we're off the video and
17	everything was normal except for a half grade or	17	written record at this time.)
18	a five minus out of five strength in the right	18	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:37.
19	foot, no atrophy, normal tone. In the left lower	19	We're back on video record.
20	extremity, everything was normal.	20	Q Doctor, I'm giving you the
21	Q And did he do a reflex test as	21	Hackensack Medical Hackensack University
22	well?	22	Medical Center records and you had reviewed those
23	A Yes.	23	in connection with your evaluation of the
24	Q And were the results of the reflex	24	plaintiff, correct?
25	test?	25	A Yes.
	Page 47		Page 49
1	A Looks like the reflexes were of a	1	Q And are there any notations about
2	normal grade in all upper and lower extremities.	2	what the findings were in these records?
3	Q And how about the sensation test,	3	A Right. So there's there's the
4	was that done?	4	history noting low back pain with no paresthesias
5	A Yes.	5	and the history of bulging discs. And she had
6	Q And what did that reveal?	6	been in a car accident and had low back pain, but
7	A He found normal sensation on light	7	no neck pain to palpation.
8	touch in all extremities.	8	Q Did a doctor make any findings as
9	Q What's the significance of that	9	to what her injuries were?
10	evaluation which occurred three-and-a-half months	10	A Well, there's a diagnosis section
11	post accident?	11	where he
12	A The significance would be that	12	MR. CLARK: Just same thing. I'm
13	most most, if not all findings, are within a	13	going to do the same thing on cross, so I hope
14	normal range.	14	there's not an objection saying I'm getting into
15	Q Ask you to look at one other	15	some other doctor's diagnosis, that's all.
16	record in the case with these records have	16	MR. MAZZIE: Jerry, you already
17	been marked previously as P-14. Records are from	17	you already. Off the record.
18	the Hackensack Medical Center.	18	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:38.
19	<ul><li>A Okay.</li><li>Q And these were records that you</li></ul>	19	We're now off video record.
0.0	Q And these were records that you	20	(Whereupon we're off the video
20	· · ·	0.1	
21	reviewed in conjunction with your examination of	21	record at this time.)
21 22	reviewed in conjunction with your examination of the plaintiff, correct?	22	MR. MAZZIE: This is the exact
21 22 23	reviewed in conjunction with your examination of the plaintiff, correct? A Yes.	22 23	MR. MAZZIE: This is the exact record that you had Dr. Landa read into the
21 22	reviewed in conjunction with your examination of the plaintiff, correct?	22	MR. MAZZIE: This is the exact

	Page 50		Page 52
1	MR. CLARK: I'm not sure of that.	1	Q Okay. Well, Doctor, in your
2	I'm just saying he's now going to read a	2	report, you ultimately come to a conclusions
3	diagnosis of another doctor, which I'm assuming	3	as to the injuries, if any, that the plaintiff
4	is complex, which would seem to go against your	4	sustained in this case.
5	position that you can't do that. So I'm going to	5	A Yes.
6	do the same thing on cross and I just think in	6	Q And what were your conclusions?
7	fairness there shouldn't be an objection to that.	7	A So with regards to the cervical
8	Like you can't say, "Now you're back to the	8	spine, I thought that she had a sprain or strain
9	opinion of another doctor", because that's what	9	that had had healed. There was no evidence
10	he's	10	that she had a permanent injury as a result of
11	MR. MAZZIE: Jerry, Jerry, I'm	11	the accident. There was significant obviously
12	reading he's looking at the same document that	12	significant preexisting problems with her spine
13	you had put up and had Dr. Landa read. So I	13	that required surgery. I didn't see any
14	don't know what your objection is.	14	difference between the pre and post accident MRIs
15	MR. CLARK: My objection is you	15	to indicate a change related to the accident,
16	know the name of the case, whatever it is. The	16	other than worsening arthritic changes.
17	complex diagnosis case. And I tried to move this	17	She reported to me that she was
18	document into evidence today, but you didn't	18	back to her baseline. So, you know, would seem
19	agree. So it's not in at this point. You may	19	that she was back to where she was, so there
20	ultimately agree, but you haven't yet. So, I	20	wasn't a permanent exacerbation of an injury.
21	don't know.	21	And the lost of motion was, you know, likely due
22	MR. MAZZIE: Correct. Correct.	22	to her prior fusion.
23	But your objection is noted.	23	Q And that was all as to the
24	MR. CLARK: Thanks.	24	cervical spine?
25	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:39.	25	A Right.
	Page 51		D
	raye Ji		Page 53
1		1	
1 2	We're back on video record.	1	Q What else did you conclude?
2	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made	2	<ul><li>Q What else did you conclude?</li><li>A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar</li></ul>
2 3	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?	2 3	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain
2 3 4	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this	2 3 4	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed
2 3 4 5	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.	2 3	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident.
2 3 4	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that	2 3 4 5 6	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post
2 3 4 5 6	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room	2 3 4 5	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records? A Yes. Q And you indicated a history of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records? A Yes. Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We're back on video record. Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room? A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes. Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records? A Yes. Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the  you know, there's according to, you know,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior complaints. And, you know, she had surgery. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the  you know, there's according to, you know, additional history performed by people in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior complaints. And, you know, she had surgery. And I don't doubt that the surgery might have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the  you know, there's according to, you know, additional history performed by people in the emergency room. Again, she did have the car</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior complaints. And, you know, she had surgery. And I don't doubt that the surgery might have been helpful or useful. But it, in my opinion, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the  you know, there's according to, you know, additional history performed by people in the emergency room. Again, she did have the car accident, she had back pain, that was her</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior complaints. And, you know, she had surgery. And I don't doubt that the surgery might have been helpful or useful. But it, in my opinion, it was related to her underlying arthritis and, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the  you know, there's according to, you know, additional history performed by people in the emergency room. Again, she did have the car accident, she had back pain, that was her complaint. She was able to ambulate afterwards.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior complaints. And, you know, she had surgery. And I don't doubt that the surgery might have been helpful or useful. But it, in my opinion, it was related to her underlying arthritis and, you know, in the lack of any other changes in her MRI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the  you know, there's according to, you know, additional history performed by people in the emergency room. Again, she did have the car accident, she had back pain, that was her complaint. She was able to ambulate afterwards. She had no other complaints. She, specifically,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior complaints. And, you know, she had surgery. And I don't doubt that the surgery might have been helpful or useful. But it, in my opinion, it was related to her underlying arthritis and, you know, in the lack of any other changes in her MRI from prior to post accident.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, was there a diagnosis made in the emergency room?</li> <li>A Yeah. I mean, according to this diagnosis there was, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you indicated that there were other findings in the emergency room records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you indicated a history of bulging discs?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Is there anything else significant in those records that informs your opinions I'll be asking you about in a few minutes?</li> <li>A So just, you know just in the  you know, there's according to, you know, additional history performed by people in the emergency room. Again, she did have the car accident, she had back pain, that was her complaint. She was able to ambulate afterwards. She had no other complaints. She, specifically, denied chest pain, shortness of breath, numbness,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q What else did you conclude? A Similarly, the thoracic and lumbar spine, I felt that she had a sprain or strain related to the accident. Again, had healed without permanent injury related to the accident. You know, fortunately we had pre and post accident MRIs to look at. I don't see any difference in the in the findings on those studies. She's got significant arthritic changes from the thoracic to the lumbar spine and, you know, obviously also of her neck and not related to the accident. Those findings, arthritic change are not related to the accident. And I couldn't find any convincing evidence or objective studies to indicate that she suffered a new injury or permanent exacerbation of her prior complaints. And, you know, she had surgery. And I don't doubt that the surgery might have been helpful or useful. But it, in my opinion, it was related to her underlying arthritis and, you know, in the lack of any other changes in her MRI from prior to post accident.

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	Page 54		Page 56
1	you know, EMG and nerve conduction study findings	1	tears of ligaments on an MRI?
2	of carpal tunnel syndrome bilaterally or	2	A Yes.
3	unilaterally are often found incidentally on EMGs	3	Q In this case, did you see any
4	performed for other reasons. The absence of	4	tears of any ligaments in this case?
5	acute complaints of carpal tunnel pain in the	5	A No.
6	emergency room speaks against a traumatic carpal	6	Q I believe that's all I have,
7	tunnel syndrome and	7	Doctor, thank you.
8	Q For a moment, just going back to	8	A Thank you.
9	the emergency, is there any complaint of a wrist	9	MR. CLARK: Can we just go off the
10	injury in the hospital records?	10	video?
11	A I don't recall that. No. And,	11	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:46.
12	you know, in my exam at the time, you know,	12	We're now off video record.
13	didn't find any clinical evidence of nerve	13	(Whereupon we're off the video and
14	compression on exam. So, you know, I would say	14	written record at this time.)
15	she had a contusion to the wrist to give her the	15	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:55.
16	benefit of the doubt, but there's really no	16	We're back on video record.
17	complaints related to the wrist at all in the	17	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CLARK:
18	emergency room.	18	Q Dr. Rizio, I just have some, go
19	Q And, Doctor, these conclusions	19	through there. Let me get my stuff organized.
20	that you've come to, these were all within a	20	You were hired here by the defense, correct?
21	reasonable degree of medical probability?	21	A Yes.
22	A Yes.	22	Q And this would be you consider
23	Q Doctor, a couple of questions	23	this like a forensic exam for litigation; is that
24	about the term that you used, sprain and strain.	24	right?
25	What is a sprain and strain?	25	A Yes.
20	what is a sprain and strain.	20	11 105.
	Page 55		Demo 57
	rage 55		Page 57
1	_	1	Q And at this point in your career,
1 2	-	1 2	
	A So, typically, sprains and strains		Q And at this point in your career,
2	A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.	2	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the
2 3	<ul><li>A So, typically, sprains and strains</li><li>refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li><li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that</li></ul>	2 3	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains</li> <li>refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that</li> <li>there was a tearing of the ligaments or the</li> </ul>	2 3 4	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent
2 3 4 5	A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments. Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?	2 3 4 5	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so. Q And as of that deposition in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so. Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so. Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments. Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles? A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so. Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments. Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles? A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so. Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct? A Yes. Q And to do the exams, you get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career,</li> <li>or at least as of the deposition you gave in the</li> <li>Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the</li> <li>Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get</li> <li>you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so. Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct? A Yes. Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct? A Yes, I believe so. Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct? A Yes. Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it can be a little bit different, right? But, I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> <li>A I can, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it can be a little bit different, right? But, I mean, even with an ankle sprain, I mean, there's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> <li>A I can, yes.</li> <li>Q And you testified in the Faduz</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it can be a little bit different, right? But, I mean, even with an ankle sprain, I mean, there's grading of ankle sprains of, you know, one, two,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> <li>A I can, yes.</li> <li>Q And you testified in the Faduz case in 2021 that for court appearances you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it can be a little bit different, right? But, I mean, even with an ankle sprain, I mean, there's grading of ankle sprains of, you know, one, two, three, four, whatever. The different ones you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> <li>A I can, yes.</li> <li>Q And you testified in the Faduz case in 2021 that for court appearances you charged 3500 to \$4,000 for a half day; is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it can be a little bit different, right? But, I mean, even with an ankle sprain, I mean, there's grading of ankle sprains of, you know, one, two, three, four, whatever. The different ones you want to use. But, you know, the most minor</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> <li>A I can, yes.</li> <li>Q And you testified in the Faduz case in 2021 that for court appearances you charged 3500 to \$4,000 for a half day; is that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it can be a little bit different, right? But, I mean, even with an ankle sprain, I mean, there's grading of ankle sprains of, you know, one, two, three, four, whatever. The different ones you want to use. But, you know, the most minor injury would just be a little bit of a stretch of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> <li>A I can, yes.</li> <li>Q And you testified in the Faduz case in 2021 that for court appearances you charged 3500 to \$4,000 for a half day; is that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A So, typically, sprains and strains refer to injuries to muscle and ligaments.</li> <li>Q Does a sprain always indicate that there was a tearing of the ligaments or the muscles?</li> <li>A So, I mean, you know, you could have a stretch, doesn't necessarily mean you have to have a tear of the of the ligament or the or the muscle itself. I mean, it could stretch, it could, you know, cause some soreness, of course, but you don't have to have a tear of those structures.</li> <li>Q So, for example, if I sprained my ankle and it swells up, does that mean that I tore a ligament?</li> <li>A Well, so in the ankle, I mean, it can be a little bit different, right? But, I mean, even with an ankle sprain, I mean, there's grading of ankle sprains of, you know, one, two, three, four, whatever. The different ones you want to use. But, you know, the most minor injury would just be a little bit of a stretch of the ligament. It's not completely or partially</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q And at this point in your career, or at least as of the deposition you gave in the Faduz (Phonetic) case on June 8th of 2021, doing these defense medical exams was about 10 percent of your professional time, correct?</li> <li>A Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q And as of that deposition in the Faduz case from June of 2021, you were doing about 200 of these exams a year, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to do the exams, you get you charge and get paid about 750 to \$1,000; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And if there are more voluminous records you'll charge even more?</li> <li>A I can, yes.</li> <li>Q And you testified in the Faduz case in 2021 that for court appearances you charged 3500 to \$4,000 for a half day; is that correct?</li> </ul>

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	Page 58		Page 60
	_		
1	Q The name of the case is Heather	1	A Back then more so, but I also get
2	Fiducia, Essex County, Docket Number L1977-19.	2	requests for evaluations from attorneys like
3	It took place on June 8th, 2021 commencing at 10	3	yourself.
4	a.m.	4	Q Okay. So if you do about 200
5	A You said never mind, I'm sorry.	5	exams a year, that comes out to at the price
6	You said Fiducia this time. You were saying	6	we said, that comes out to charging about
7	Faduz. I didn't know what you were I didn't	7	\$135,000 a year for exams; is that about right?
8	understand what you were saying. I understand	8	A That's right.
9	now.	9	Q But that doesn't include
10	Q All right. You see it, I'm	10	testifying in court. So of the 200 people, the
11	holding it up to the camera.	11	200 exams like this that you do, if you testify
12	A Yeah, yeah, yeah. You pronounced	12	in court, say, a half day court or two hour dep,
13	it differently this time, so I understood you	13	deposition, you could potentially make well in
14	better.	14	the millions a year doing these, correct, if we
15	Q Okay.	15	do the math?
16	A Thank you.	16	A No.
17	Q All right. And the vast majority	17	MR. MAZZIE: Objection.
18	of these exams you do are on behalf of defense	18	Objection.
19	attorneys or defense companies, right?	19	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:59.
20 21	A So, like, I have both defense and	20 21	We're now off video record.
21	plaintiff work, but the majority, the higher percentage is on defense side, yes.		(Whereupon we're off the video
22		22	record at this time.)
23	Q Okay. And you used to do these defense medical exams for a defense medical exam	23 24	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, in order to
24 25		24	make in the millions as you suggest, would
20	company called Exam Works, correct?	25	require him to appear about 200 times a year in
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Page 59	1	Page 61
1	A I did a long time ago, yes.	1	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd
2	<ul><li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li><li>Q All right. And when you did the</li></ul>	2	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record.
2 3	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the	2 3	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8
2 3 4	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than	2 3 4	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record.
2 3	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?	2 3	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?</li> <li>A Well, so at that time I was doing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your
2 3 4 5 6 7	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd</li> <li>statement. We can go back on the record.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the</li> <li>defense exam company Exam Works, you started your</li> <li>own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a	2 3 4 5 6	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating company, correct? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating company, correct? A Yes. Q And you have a website for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating company, correct? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> <li>A Many years later, but, yes.</li> <li>Q And that's still an operating company, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you have a website for that company, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating company, correct? A Yes. Q And you have a website for that company, right? A Yes. Q And the website advertises that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> <li>A Many years later, but, yes.</li> <li>Q And that's still an operating company, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you have a website for that company, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar. Q Okay. And the vast majority now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> <li>A Many years later, but, yes.</li> <li>Q And that's still an operating company, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you have a website for that company, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I did a long time ago, yes. Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct? A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar. Q Okay. And the vast majority now according to your testimony in the Fiducia case,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> <li>A Many years later, but, yes.</li> <li>Q And that's still an operating company, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you have a website for that company, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and defense companies in need of these medical exams,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?</li> <li>A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.</li> <li>Q Okay. And the vast majority now according to your testimony in the Fiducia case, it's the vast majority is for the defense.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> <li>A Many years later, but, yes.</li> <li>Q And that's still an operating company, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you have a website for that company, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and defense companies in need of these medical exams, you, basically, have to that effect on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?</li> <li>A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.</li> <li>Q Okay. And the vast majority now according to your testimony in the Fiducia case, it's the vast majority is for the defense, right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> <li>A Many years later, but, yes.</li> <li>Q And that's still an operating company, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you have a website for that company, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and defense companies in need of these medical exams, you, basically, have to that effect on the website, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?</li> <li>A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.</li> <li>Q Okay. And the vast majority now according to your testimony in the Fiducia case, it's the vast majority is for the defense, right?</li> <li>A I would say it's about 80 percent</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating company, correct? A Yes. Q And you have a website for that company, right? A Yes. Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and defense companies in need of these medical exams, you, basically, have to that effect on the website, correct? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?</li> <li>A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.</li> <li>Q Okay. And the vast majority now according to your testimony in the Fiducia case, it's the vast majority is for the defense, right?</li> <li>A I would say it's about 80 percent to 85 percent defense, 15 to 20 percent</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8</li> <li>o'clock. We are back on video record.</li> <li>Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct?</li> <li>A Many years later, but, yes.</li> <li>Q And that's still an operating company, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you have a website for that company, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and defense companies in need of these medical exams, you, basically, have to that effect on the website, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?</li> <li>A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.</li> <li>Q Okay. And the vast majority now according to your testimony in the Fiducia case, it's the vast majority is for the defense, right?</li> <li>A I would say it's about 80 percent to 85 percent defense, 15 to 20 percent plaintiff.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating company, correct? A Yes. Q And you have a website for that company, right? A Yes. Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and defense companies in need of these medical exams, you, basically, have to that effect on the website, correct? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, is that object to the form. Off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: You act as if you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A I did a long time ago, yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And when you did the defense medical exams for Exam Works, the percentage of defense exams was even higher than your exams now, correct?</li> <li>A Well, so at that time I was doing exams for them which, yes, was a higher percentage. But don't forget, I also have a practice where I would testify if my patients needed me to and they got injured and I was able to do independent work. I wasn't bound to only doing work for Exam Works. So for Exam Works specifically you're absolutely right, but the percentages overall I would say were very similar.</li> <li>Q Okay. And the vast majority now according to your testimony in the Fiducia case, it's the vast majority is for the defense, right?</li> <li>A I would say it's about 80 percent to 85 percent defense, 15 to 20 percent plaintiff.</li> <li>Q And the plaintiff exams would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	court. So, that's, you know, an absurd statement. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We are back on video record. Q Dr. Rizio, after you left the defense exam company Exam Works, you started your own company called Expert Exam Services, correct? A Many years later, but, yes. Q And that's still an operating company, correct? A Yes. Q And you have a website for that company, right? A Yes. Q And the website advertises that expert exam services better serve attorneys and defense companies in need of these medical exams, you, basically, have to that effect on the website, correct? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, is that object to the form. Off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8 o'clock. We're now off video record.

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

	Page 62		Page 64
1	doing, it's fine, but to say your web page	1	A That's not what I asked you. I
2	suggests X is inappropriate. It says what it	2	asked if you're asking me about the deposition I
3	says.	3	gave with Capozzi. And you're paraphrasing it.
4	VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:01.	4	And I was trying to explain it better. Can you
5	We're back on video record.	5	tell me if that's what I'm referring to or you're
6	Q Doctor, do you need the question	6	referring to?
7	read back?	7	Q Well, what we could do is we could
8	A Yes.	8	go off the record. We'll get you a copy of the
9	MR. CLARK: Could the court	9	deposition transcript. And if you'd like, you
10	reporter please read back the question.	10	could just read the question and answer exactly.
11	(Whereupon the previous question	11	So why don't we go off the record and we'll do
12	was read back.)	12	that.
13	("Question: And the website	13	A Sure.
14	advertises that expert exam services better serve	14	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:04.
15	attorneys and defense companies in need of these	15	We're now off video record.
16	medical exams, you, basically, have to that	16	(Whereupon we're off the video and
17	effect on the website, correct?")	17	written record at this time.)
18	A So I don't think that's the exact	18	(Whereupon we're back on the
19	language, but I don't recall. But I would say	19	written record at this time.)
20	that my web page says that I assist attorneys,	20	MR. CLARK: He's on cross, so I
21	insurance companies or parties involved in this	21	just want to make sure that there's no
22	type of work resolve their matters. I don't	22	consultation with the person during cross, that's
23	think that I say that I only represent one group.	23	correct?
24	Q And do you you also do you	24	MR. MAZZIE: Right. I don't know
25	remember you gave testimony in the Peruchi	25	how he would be consulting with me when I'm on a
	Dama (2)		
			Page 65
1	Page 63	1	Page 65
1	(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket	1	different floor and he's in a different room, but
2	(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?	2	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay.
2 3	(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021? A Peruchi?	2 3	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I
2 3 4	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket</li> <li>Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where</li> </ul>	2 3 4	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket</li> <li>Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where</li> <li>you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room,
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket</li> <li>Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where</li> <li>you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket</li> <li>Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where</li> <li>you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I</li> <li>think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket</li> <li>Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where</li> <li>you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I</li> <li>think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on</li> <li>a Petrucci.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I</li> <li>think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I</li> <li>think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where</li> <li>you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I</li> <li>think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on</li> <li>a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money,</li> <li>basically, right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I understand revenue is money, though, right?" And</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand something, I think it was really an effort to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I understand revenue is money, though, right?" And answer, "Exactly." Does that sound</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand something, I think it was really an effort to clarify what you're asking about, but he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I understand revenue is money, though, right?" And answer, "Exactly." Does that sound A So I see what you're doing.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand something, I think it was really an effort to clarify what you're asking about, but he understands. He's here. He's a very pretty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I understand revenue is money, though, right?" And answer, "Exactly." Does that sound A So I see what you're doing.</li> <li>Right. So you're paraphrasing a conversation I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand something, I think it was really an effort to clarify what you're asking about, but he understands. He's here. He's a very pretty fairly intelligent guy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I understand revenue is money, though, right?" And answer, "Exactly." Does that sound A So I see what you're doing.</li> <li>Right. So you're paraphrasing a conversation I had with, I think I can't remember Capozzi</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand something, I think it was really an effort to clarify what you're asking about, but he understands. He's here. He's a very pretty fairly intelligent guy. MR. CLARK: All right. So we can go back on. I'm going to be on Page 15 of the Petrucci deposition starting at line 25.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I understand revenue is money, though, right?" And answer, "Exactly." Does that sound A So I see what you're doing.</li> <li>Right. So you're paraphrasing a conversation I had with, I think I can't remember Capozzi or something like that, that attorney, is that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand something, I think it was really an effort to clarify what you're asking about, but he understands. He's here. He's a very pretty fairly intelligent guy. MR. CLARK: All right. So we can go back on. I'm going to be on Page 15 of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>(Phonetic) case which was Morris County Docket Number 1177-19 on May 25th, 2021?</li> <li>A Peruchi?</li> <li>Q Peruchi. Maryann Peruchi, where you were hired by the defense in that case.</li> <li>A I think you mean Petrucci, but I think I gave I believe I gave a deposition on a Petrucci.</li> <li>Q And you got into this type of work</li> <li> you're doing this work for the money, basically, right?</li> <li>A You know, we all work for compensation and for our expertise. So, yes, this this helps me earn extra income, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. In fact, you testified, "I did it for the revenue to keep working. Yes, I understand revenue is money, though, right?" And answer, "Exactly." Does that sound A So I see what you're doing.</li> <li>Right. So you're paraphrasing a conversation I had with, I think I can't remember Capozzi or something like that, that attorney, is that who you're talking about?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different floor and he's in a different room, but that's okay. MR. CLARK: No, that's fine. I don't know you know, I want to make sure that the doctor knows that too. So, he's in the room, the record will reflect. So he heard it. So he knows. Okay. So also, Mr. Mazzie, you know, the doctor started asking me questions and you know it doesn't work that way. I don't know if you want to talk to him or anything, because I mean, he's going to ask me questions, so I'm just going to ignore him and go on. MR. MAZZIE: Well, if he's MR. CLARK: Unless it's clarification or something, but MR. MAZZIE: He doesn't understand something, I think it was really an effort to clarify what you're asking about, but he understands. He's here. He's a very pretty fairly intelligent guy. MR. CLARK: All right. So we can go back on. I'm going to be on Page 15 of the Petrucci deposition starting at line 25.

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

	Page 66		Page 68
1	Q Doctor, I'm going to read to you	1	We're back on video record.
2	from the Petrucci deposition on Page 15 line 25	2	Q All right, Doctor. And you would
3	and I just want to make sure I read it correctly,	3	also charge a separate fee for doing addendum
4	because you have that you were concerned about	4	reports, that is additional reports on the same
5	that:	5	case; is that right?
6	"Question: And why did you get	6	A Yes.
7	into that type of work?	7	Q Okay. All right. Let's go to
8	Answer: So at the time, you know,	8	MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video
9	I was starting my own practice. I was carrying a	9	for a second?
10	lot of expenses. I was looking to supplement the	10	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:29.
11	revenue to help keep my practice going until I	11	We're off video record.
12	built a patient base.	12	(Whereupon we're off the video and
13	Question: So you so you, yes.	13	written record at this time.)
14	So you did it for the money, basically, right?	14	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:29.
15	Answer: I did it for revenue to	15	We're back on video record.
16	keep working. I had a family.	16	Q Doctor, I want to take a look at
17	Question: Yes, I understand.	17	your report and talk about that. First of all,
18	Revenue is money, though, right?	18	do you recall that our office sent a nurse to the
19	Answer: Exactly."	19	defense medical exam in this case with you and
20	Did I read that correctly?	20	Linda, do you recall that?
21	A This time, yes.	21	A Yes, I do.
22	Q And also, just in terms of the	22	Q And do you understand that she was
23	math briefly, if you do about 200 exams a year	23	there observing and recording what was happening?
24	and you charge between 750 and 1,000 just for the	24	A Yes.
25	exam, and you take the middle of that, that would	25	Q Okay. Now, first of all, the exam
	Page 67		Dama 60
	Idge 07		Page 69
1	-	1	-
1 2	be about 875, right? A Yes.	1 2	was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?
	be about 875, right?		was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember
2	be about 875, right? A Yes.	2	was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?
2 3	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out	2 3	was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that? A I don't remember the exact time.
2 3 4	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?	2 3 4	was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that? A I don't remember the exact time. I'll take your word for it.
2 3 4 5	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra. Q I thought from the depositions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>be about 875, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct?</li> <li>A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.</li> <li>Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra. Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review. A No. If it's a supplemental I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra. Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review. A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra. Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review. A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>be about 875, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct?</li> <li>A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.</li> <li>Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review.</li> <li>A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>be about 875, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct?</li> <li>A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.</li> <li>Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review.</li> <li>A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the records before the exam? Like, how does that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>be about 875, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct?</li> <li>A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.</li> <li>Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review.</li> <li>A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Could we just go off</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the records before the exam? Like, how does that work? Do you review it days before or before the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>be about 875, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct?</li> <li>A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.</li> <li>Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review.</li> <li>A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Could we just go off for a second, the video.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the records before the exam? Like, how does that work? Do you review it days before or before the exam starts or how does that work for you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra. Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review. A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately. Q Okay. MR. CLARK: Could we just go off for a second, the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:24.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the records before the exam? Like, how does that work? Do you review it days before or before the exam starts or how does that work for you?</li> <li>A I sid earlier in Mr. Mazzie's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>be about 875, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct?</li> <li>A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.</li> <li>Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review.</li> <li>A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Could we just go off for a second, the video.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:24.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the records before the exam? Like, how does that work? Do you review it days before or before the exam starts or how does that work for you?</li> <li>A As I said earlier in Mr. Mazzie's question, I try to review as much as I can before</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be about 875, right? A Yes. Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct? A Yes. Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct? A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra. Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review. A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately. Q Okay. MR. CLARK: Could we just go off for a second, the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:24. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the records before the exam? Like, how does that work? Do you review it days before or before the exam starts or how does that work for you?</li> <li>A As I said earlier in Mr. Mazzie's question, I try to review as much as I can before the examination. Sometimes some of these records</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>be about 875, right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And 875 times 200 comes out to 175,000, correct?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But you also charge for a to film to review films you charge separately for that, correct?</li> <li>A No. I mean, I charge I review the films as a part of the exam. If I get extra films later, yes, I charge extra.</li> <li>Q I thought from the depositions that you charge \$100 for a film review.</li> <li>A No. If it's a supplemental I charge extra. So a lot of times many times the films will come in separately. So in that case I charge separately.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Could we just go off for a second, the video.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:24.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was scheduled to start at 2:30, do you remember that?</li> <li>A I don't remember the exact time.</li> <li>I'll take your word for it.</li> <li>Q And isn't it true that Linda and the nurse were waiting in the exam room for over a half hour when you came in?</li> <li>A I don't recall how long they were waiting, but they may have been waiting, yes.</li> <li>Q And when you went in the room, didn't you say, "And there's about 5,000 pages of records and sometimes it takes a little bit longer", do you remember saying that?</li> <li>A I don't remember exactly, but I know there were very large amount of records, so I may have said that.</li> <li>Q And do you typically review the records before the exam? Like, how does that work? Do you review it days before or before the exam starts or how does that work for you?</li> <li>A As I said earlier in Mr. Mazzie's question, I try to review as much as I can before</li> </ul>

	Page 70		Page 72
1	have to re-review after the exam. I believe I	1	they did my entire spine. But complaints of pain
2	had to do a little bit of everything here with	2	in my low back, no."
3	this one because there was a lot of records.	3	Isn't that what the exchange was
4	Q All right. Now, you wrote in your	4	during that exam?
5	report that she was 67 when you examined her,	5	A If that's what your nurse
6	right?	6	recorded, that's but she remembers I don't
7	A Yes.	7	remember that specifically, but I'll take your
8	Q I'm on it looks like the history	8	word for it.
9	section of your report. But she was 64 at the	9	Q Well, you don't have to take my
10	time of the crash, right?	10	word, we could play an audio recording of that.
11	A I have to do the math, but let's	11	Would you like us to play it?
12	see. I guess I guess that's probably	12	A You don't have to. Look, if
13	accurate, 64.	13	that's what was said, that's what was said.
14	Q Okay. Now, you wrote in your	14	Q Okay. So, again, Linda said,
15	report that in the history section, "She does	15	quote, "I had MRIs of it because they did my
16	report having prior injuries to her neck and	16	entire spine. But complaints of pain in my low
17	thoracic spine." And then it says, "The feels",	17	back, no." So you're not disputing that she told
18	but I think we'll say she feels. "She feels that	18	you that at the exam?
19 20	she never had any treatment or studies of her lumbar spine prior to the accident." Do you see	19 20	A No.
20	that there in your report?	20	Q Okay. But you wrote in your report, "She feels that she never had any
22	A Yes.	22	treatment or studies of her lumbar spine prior to
23	Q But isn't it true that she told	23	the accident." I read that correctly from
24	you that she did, in fact, have a prior study,	24	report, correct.
25	which included an MRI of her low back?	25	A That's right.
	Page 71		Page 73
1	A I recorded what she told me. And	1	Q And she freely told you that she
2	if she told me that she had a prior study or she	2	had cervical surgery in 1992, right, that's not
3	was certain of it, that's not what I recall and I	3	something that she hid from you?
4	recorded. But there was confusion on her part	4	A I believe that's true, yes.
5	and that's what I recorded.	5	Q And she also told you that she had
6	Q Isn't it true that the exchange	6	thoracic surgery around the same time. And she
7	went like this:	7	might have gotten wrong, it might have been the
8 9	"Dr. Louis Rizio: So you deny so you deny ever having a low back problem before	8	neck in '92 and the midback in '93 or vice versa,
10	this accident?" Question.	9 10	but she as honest with you about those two things, correct?
11	Linda Brehme: I had no pain in my	11	A Yes.
12	low back, no.	12	Q And she also told you that she had
13	Dr. Rizio: That's not quite the	13	a problem with her knee, but that her knee is now
14	same as what I'm asking. I'm asking if you ever	14	fine, correct? As of the time of your exam. She
15	had a problem with it before, even if it was fine	15	didn't say, "Oh, my knee you know, my knee is
16	up until the accident.	16	still a problem." She didn't do that, right?
17	Linda: A problem with it? No.	17	A No.
18	Dr. Rizio: Okay. So never? The	18	Q Okay. Now, in the history section
19	low back was completely fine, you never had an	19	of your report, I'm looking at that and I'm
20	issue?	20	comparing it to what she told you at the defense
21	Linda: It did not bother me.	21	medical exam. And isn't it true that you asked
22	Dr. Rizio: Right. And you never	22	her, quote, "Did you lose any time from work?"
23	had any workup for your low back because of pain,	23	And Linda says, "Yes." And you then ask, "How
24	you never had an accident for low back.	24	long?" And Linda says, "Since the accident, I
25	Linda: I had MRIs of it, because	25	was I worked two weeks after that and the rest

### 19 (Pages 70 to 73)

	Page 74		Page 76
1	of the time I have not worked." And then Dr.	1	wrist braces. So if you want to ask the question
2	Rizio, "So you haven't worked since the	2	in a different form, I'm fine with that.
3	accident?" And Linda says, "No." Do you dispute	3	Q Doctor, I'll ask the question
4	that's what the exchange was at the defense	4	basically the same way as before. She told you
5	medical exam?	5	at the exam, quote or you asked her strike
6	A No.	6	that. I went to the next page. She told you at
7	Q But I didn't see anything in your	7	the exam, quote, "I wear wrist braces at night.
8	report in the history section or otherwise where	8	I have them with me if you want to see them."
9	you noted that. Did I miss something? I could	9	You didn't write that anywhere in your report,
10	have missed it, but I'm not	10	did you?
11	A I have to go through the record.	11	A I don't see it in my report.
12	I thought I put in the record that she never went	12	Q Okay. And she also told you or
13	back to work, but I don't recall. I have to go	13	strike that. Now, in your history section going
14	through it.	14	back to the exam or the history section, you also
15	MR. MAZZIE: Look through your	15	left out the following exchange that occurred at
16	report, Doctor.	16	the defense medical exam. Linda, "Maintenance"
17	A Says that she was working as a	17	strike that.
18	salesperson for a company and never returned to	18	"Dr. Rizio: What, since you had
19	work.	19	the back problem after the accident and the
20	Q Okay.	20	surgery, what can't you do now that you did
20	A Is that what you were asking?	21	before of the low back.
22	Q Yes. So thanks for finding it for	22	Linda: Maintenance around the
23	me, because I didn't find it. Now, she also told	23	house. Maintenance inside my house. I had brand
24	you that, "I wear braces" "I wear wrist braces	24	new snowblower delivered two days before he hit
25	at night. I have them with me if you want to see	25	me. It's still never being started, because I
2.5	at fight. Thave them with me if you want to see	2.5	inc. It's suit never being started, because f
	Page 75		Page 77
1	them." Do you dispute that she told you that at	1	can't do that. I can't walk my dog. Mostly it's
1 2	them." Do you dispute that she told you that at the exam?	1 2	can't do that. I can't walk my dog. Mostly it's pain 24/7. It's pain.
2	the exam?	2	pain 24/7. It's pain.
2 3	the exam? A No.	2 3	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right."
2 3 4	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in	2 3 4	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your
2 3 4 5	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did	2 3 4 5	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any
2 3 4 5 6	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you?	2 3 4 5 6	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for	2 3 4 5 6 7	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>pain 24/7. It's pain.</li> <li>Dr. Rizio: Right."</li> <li>And then that is not in your</li> <li>report, is it? And if it's going to take any</li> <li>time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't</li> <li>have to sit while you're looking.</li> <li>A It doesn't have to take any time,</li> <li>because I said in the statement that she has</li> <li>difficulty with household chores. All of those</li> <li>things are considered household chores in my</li> <li>opinion. I think that adequately covers it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And she also said to you,</li> <li>"It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>pain 24/7. It's pain.</li> <li>Dr. Rizio: Right."</li> <li>And then that is not in your</li> <li>report, is it? And if it's going to take any</li> <li>time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't</li> <li>have to sit while you're looking.</li> <li>A It doesn't have to take any time,</li> <li>because I said in the statement that she has</li> <li>difficulty with household chores. All of those</li> <li>things are considered household chores in my</li> <li>opinion. I think that adequately covers it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And she also said to you,</li> <li>"It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio,</li> <li>"Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you, "It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio, "Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on? THE WITNESS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you, "It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio, "Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not affected by this, physically, emotionally,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on? THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. MAZZIE: Go on the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>pain 24/7. It's pain.</li> <li>Dr. Rizio: Right."</li> <li>And then that is not in your</li> <li>report, is it? And if it's going to take any</li> <li>time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't</li> <li>have to sit while you're looking.</li> <li>A It doesn't have to take any time,</li> <li>because I said in the statement that she has</li> <li>difficulty with household chores. All of those</li> <li>things are considered household chores in my</li> <li>opinion. I think that adequately covers it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And she also said to you,</li> <li>"It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio,</li> <li>"Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I</li> <li>mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not</li> <li>affected by this, physically, emotionally,</li> <li>financially. The biggest thing is I live alone.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on? THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. MAZZIE: Go on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:38. We're back on video record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you, "It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio, "Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not affected by this, physically, emotionally, financially. The biggest thing is I live alone. And maintaining my home we've owned that home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on? THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. MAZZIE: Go on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:38. We're back on video record. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you, "It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio, "Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not affected by this, physically, emotionally, financially. The biggest thing is I live alone. And maintaining my home we've owned that home for 100 years. I don't want to have to give it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on? THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. MAZZIE: Go on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:38. We're back on video record. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. You indicated that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you, "It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio, "Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not affected by this, physically, emotionally, financially. The biggest thing is I live alone. And maintaining my home we've owned that home for 100 years. I don't want to have to give it up because of this. But right now, I'm struggling, which is extremely upsetting." You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on? THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. MAZZIE: Go on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:38. We're back on video record. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. You indicated that the report doesn't indicate that she needed wrist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you, "It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio, "Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not affected by this, physically, emotionally, financially. The biggest thing is I live alone. And maintaining my home we've owned that home for 100 years. I don't want to have to give it up because of this. But right now, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the exam? A No. Q Okay. You didn't note anything in your report about her needing wrist braces, did you? A I can look through it again for you if you want. I don't recall. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. MR. CLARK: Let's go off the video, please, while the doctor is looking. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:37. We're now off video record. A We're good. MR. CLARK: We can go back on? THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. MAZZIE: Go on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:38. We're back on video record. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to the form of the question. You indicated that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pain 24/7. It's pain. Dr. Rizio: Right." And then that is not in your report, is it? And if it's going to take any time, we'll go off the record so the jury doesn't have to sit while you're looking. A It doesn't have to take any time, because I said in the statement that she has difficulty with household chores. All of those things are considered household chores in my opinion. I think that adequately covers it. Q Okay. And she also said to you, "It affects standing, walking." Dr. Rizio, "Sitting for long periods?" Linda, "Sitting. I mean, there isn't any part of my leg that's not affected by this, physically, emotionally, financially. The biggest thing is I live alone. And maintaining my home we've owned that home for 100 years. I don't want to have to give it up because of this. But right now, I'm struggling, which is extremely upsetting." You didn't write that in your report.

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

	Page 78		Page 80
1	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:40.	1	fair to the jury. It's fact-finding function in
2	We're now off video record.	2	this case and getting to the truth of the matter.
3	(Whereupon we're off the video	3	That's my
4	record at this time.)	4	MR. MAZZIE: We'll let the judge
5	MR. MAZZIE: He's not going to	5	decide that.
6	I'm not going to permit it, because I'll stop the	6	MR. CLARK: So Maribel, could
7	dep. We'll do whatever we have to do. You're	7	you please just make sure you have the question
8	not just going to read a purported transcript and	8	cued up so that there can be so we're not
9	then ask the doctor if he wrote verbatim what the	9	waiting to have it read back? I'm assuming the
10	plaintiff said. It's not appropriate. It's not	10	doctor is going to need it read back.
11	anything that's been provided in discovery. And	11	THE WITNESS: I honestly don't
12	I'm not going to let you continue to repeat what	12	need you to read it back.
13	your plaintiff alleges are her problems from	13	MR. CLARK: All right. If you
14	three years ago, and then ask the doctor if he	14	don't need it read back, that's fine.
15	wrote verbatim what the plaintiff said, because	15	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:44.
16	there is no quotations in the report.	16	We're back on video record.
17	MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I'm going	17	Q Doctor, do you dispute that that
18	to respond to that. The doctor spent a lot of	18	is what the exchange was during that portion of
19	time on direct examination talking about how he	19	the defense medical exam of you and Linda, do you
20	took a complete history of the plaintiff, and	20	dispute that?
21	what she told him and what she didn't tell him.	21	A No.
22	And the doctor left out significant issues in	22	Q And that is not in your report;
23	that and we're cross-examining him on that.	23	isn't that correct?
24	Now	24	A What's in my report is that she
25	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel	25	has difficulty with household chores, walking,
	Page 79		Page 81
1	MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I didn't	1	standing and sitting. Relevant to the orthopedic
1 2	MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I didn't interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I	1 2	standing and sitting. Relevant to the orthopedic evaluation, it would be very difficult to write
	MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I didn't interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked	1	standing and sitting. Relevant to the orthopedic evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the
2	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I	2	evaluation, it would be very difficult to write
2 3	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened	2 3	evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the
2 3 4	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked	2 3 4	evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of.
2 3 4 5	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it.	2 3 4 5	evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of. Q Now, with respect to the test of
2 3 4 5 6	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred,	2 3 4 5 6	evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of. Q Now, with respect to the test of the neck that you did, you said on in your
2 3 4 5 6 7	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and	2 3 4 5 6 7	evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of. Q Now, with respect to the test of the neck that you did, you said on in your report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of. Q Now, with respect to the test of the neck that you did, you said on in your report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to palpation about the cervical spine and it showed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> <li>motion, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> <li>motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> <li>motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when</li> <li>she did that test that there was pain; isn't that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And MR. CLARK: I think that if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of the neck that you did, you said on in your report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to palpation about the cervical spine and it showed a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests when you do that when you have where you had Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling, like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when she did that test that there was pain; isn't that true?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And MR. CLARK: I think that if the defendant defense wanted to redirect the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of the neck that you did, you said on in your report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to palpation about the cervical spine and it showed a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests when you do that when you have where you had Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling, like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when she did that test that there was pain; isn't that true?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And MR. CLARK: I think that if the defendant defense wanted to redirect the doctor on that and have him explain whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write every single thing down verbatim. So I have the things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of the neck that you did, you said on in your report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to palpation about the cervical spine and it showed a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests when you do that when you have where you had Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling, like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when she did that test that there was pain; isn't that true?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, you also talked about</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And MR. CLARK: I think that if the defendant defense wanted to redirect the doctor on that and have him explain whether or not it's relevant and why he didn't include it, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> <li>motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when</li> <li>she did that test that there was pain; isn't that</li> <li>true?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, you also talked about</li> <li>the just going back here in my notes, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And MR. CLARK: I think that if the defendant defense wanted to redirect the doctor on that and have him explain whether or not it's relevant and why he didn't include it, I think that would probably be appropriate. But to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> <li>motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when</li> <li>she did that test that there was pain; isn't that</li> <li>true?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, you also talked about</li> <li>the just going back here in my notes, the</li> <li>lumbar physical exam. I wrote here that you said</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And MR. CLARK: I think that if the defendant defense wanted to redirect the doctor on that and have him explain whether or not it's relevant and why he didn't include it, I think that would probably be appropriate. But to bar the jury from knowing that things were said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> <li>motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when</li> <li>she did that test that there was pain; isn't that</li> <li>true?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, you also talked about</li> <li>the just going back here in my notes, the</li> <li>lumbar physical exam. I wrote here that you said</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interrupt when you gave your presentation. So, I believe it's fair cross-examination. And I asked the doctor if he disputes that's what happened there. If he disputes it, we can deal with it. But so far he has not disputed what occurred, what the exchange was. And it's relevant and fair cross-examination. And that's our position. MR. MAZZIE: It's not relevant to repeat everything that your client may have voluntarily said, about it's creating a financial hardship. That has nothing to do with the doctor's evaluation. So, I'll ask the court reporter to please mark this part of the transcript. And since we're doing this on an expedited basis, I'll have the motion filed prior to playing the video. MR. CLARK: And MR. CLARK: I think that if the defendant defense wanted to redirect the doctor on that and have him explain whether or not it's relevant and why he didn't include it, I think that would probably be appropriate. But to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>evaluation, it would be very difficult to write</li> <li>every single thing down verbatim. So I have the</li> <li>things that I noted which she also complained of.</li> <li>Q Now, with respect to the test of</li> <li>the neck that you did, you said on in your</li> <li>report that cervical spine shows no tenderness to</li> <li>palpation about the cervical spine and it showed</li> <li>a near full range of motion, you wrote that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't one of the tests</li> <li>when you do that when you have where you had</li> <li>Linda bend bend her neck up to the ceiling,</li> <li>like, to look up, isn't that what that test is?</li> <li>A That's one of the ranges of</li> <li>motion, yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. And isn't it true that when</li> <li>she did that test that there was pain; isn't that</li> <li>true?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, you also talked about</li> <li>the just going back here in my notes, the</li> <li>lumbar physical exam. I wrote here that you said</li> </ul>

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

	Page 82		Page 84
1	was apprehensive and would not do it. Do you	1	you see the objective section on the page there,
2	recall that you testified to that on direct	2	it begins, "None"
3	examination?	3	A I do. I do.
4	A My recollection was that she was	4	Q Okay. Right. And it says, "None
5	unsteady and was concerned about doing it and it	5	motor tensive with evident neck and back
6	hurt too much, so I didn't force her to do more	6	stiffness", do you see that?
7	than she could.	7	A How many lines down?
8	Q Okay. I just wrote down on direct	8	Q It's right under the objective
9	you said she was afraid or but that's all	9	testing section or the objective section, the
10	right. I'm not going to repeat it. Isn't it	10	first sentence.
11	true that when you had her perform that test and	11	A Okay. So that's on the next page.
12	isn't it true that you said to her, "Now, I want	12	Q Yes. Could you read that first
13	you to go to the side and the other side, okay?"	13	sentence into the record, please?
14	And then she proceeded to do that and said, "I'm	14	MR. MAZZIE: Let me see the
15	losing my balance." And then you said, "Yup, you	15	record.
16	can sit. Is that better?" And Linda said,	16	MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the
17	"Yeah." Isn't that what happened when you did	17	video record while defense counsel is looking at
18	the lumbar test?	18	it.
19	A I believe so. But, like I said,	19	VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 8:51. We're
20	she was unsteady, so I didn't push her. I think	20	now off video record.
21	I testified to that already.	21	(Whereupon we're off the video and
22	Q All right.	22	written record at this time.)
23	MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the	23	Q Okay. Would you please read that
24	video for a second.	24	first sentence into the record?
25	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:47.	25	VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me get us back
	Page 83		Page 85
1	We're now off video record.	1	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video
2	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and	2	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt,
2 3	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)	2 3	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you
2 3 4	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on.	2 3 4	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are.
2 3 4 5	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.	2 3 4 5	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video
2 3 4 5 6	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record.	2 3 4 5 6	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record?
2 3 4 5 6 7	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor	2 3 4 5 6 7	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes. Q First sentence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness." Q And the neck range of motion says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50. We're back on video record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness." Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you remember there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness." Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you remember there was direct examination about Dr. Gellis' records?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are. MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record? MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective? A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. Q First sentence. A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness." Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right? A Oh, I'm sorry, you're going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you remember there was direct examination about Dr. Gellis' records? A This evening?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Yes.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q First sentence.</li> <li>A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness."</li> <li>Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right?</li> <li>A Oh, I'm sorry, you're going further down. On this record it says, yes,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're back on video record. Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, do you remember there was direct examination about Dr. Gellis' records? A This evening? Q Yes. Direct examination from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Yes.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q First sentence.</li> <li>A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness."</li> <li>Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right?</li> <li>A Oh, I'm sorry, you're going further down. On this record it says, yes, normal 45 degrees. This is 20 degrees.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>We're now off video record.</li> <li>(Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Let's go back on.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're now off video record.</li> <li>(Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)</li> <li>MR. CLARK: All right.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, do you remember there was direct examination about Dr. Gellis' records?</li> <li>A This evening?</li> <li>Q Yes. Direct examination from defense counsel, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Yes.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q First sentence.</li> <li>A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness."</li> <li>Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right?</li> <li>A Oh, I'm sorry, you're going further down. On this record it says, yes, normal 45 degrees. This is 20 degrees.</li> <li>Q Okay. And then with the neck it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>We're now off video record.</li> <li>(Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Let's go back on.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're now off video record.</li> <li>(Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)</li> <li>MR. CLARK: All right.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, do you remember there was direct examination about Dr. Gellis' records?</li> <li>A This evening?</li> <li>Q Yes. Direct examination from defense counsel, yes.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q First sentence.</li> <li>A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness."</li> <li>Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right?</li> <li>A Oh, I'm sorry, you're going further down. On this record it says, yes, normal 45 degrees. This is 20 degrees.</li> <li>Q Okay. And then with the neck it goes down to extension, left leg rotation. It</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, do you remember there was direct examination about Dr. Gellis' records? A This evening? Q Yes. Direct examination from defense counsel, yes. A Yes. Q Okay. We're now at the 12/26</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q First sentence.</li> <li>A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness."</li> <li>Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right?</li> <li>A Oh, I'm sorry, you're going further down. On this record it says, yes, normal 45 degrees. This is 20 degrees.</li> <li>Q Okay. And then with the neck it goes down to extension, left leg rotation. It goes down to several different things and all</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>We're now off video record.</li> <li>(Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Let's go back on.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Let's go off. I'm sorry.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:48.</li> <li>We're now off video record.</li> <li>(Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)</li> <li>MR. CLARK: All right.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:50.</li> <li>We're back on video record.</li> <li>Q Doctor, do you remember there was direct examination about Dr. Gellis' records?</li> <li>A This evening?</li> <li>Q Yes. Direct examination from defense counsel, yes.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>on. The time is 8:52. We're back on video record. I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but we're back on record. So I'm ready when you are.</li> <li>MR. CLARK: Are we on the video record?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Can you please read that sentence into the record under objective?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q First sentence.</li> <li>A Yes. "Normal tensive with evident neck and back stiffness."</li> <li>Q And the neck range of motion says flexion was 20 degrees and normal is 45 degrees, right?</li> <li>A Oh, I'm sorry, you're going further down. On this record it says, yes, normal 45 degrees. This is 20 degrees.</li> <li>Q Okay. And then with the neck it goes down to extension, left leg rotation. It</li> </ul>

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

	Page 86		Page 88
1	range?	1	due to seriousness of injury superimposed on
2	A Well, the normal range that he	2	prior injury status postsurgeries, correct?
3	lists, yes.	3	A That's what he wrote.
4	Q Okay. And when we go to the	4	Q Okay. Now, there is another note
5	lumbosacral section, which that would be the low	5	here from January 20 of 2017 from Dr. Gellis as
6	back and the midback, right, lumbosacral?	6	well, do you have that?
7	A No. It would not be the midback.	7	A Yes.
8	It would be the low back.	8	Q Okay. And that has essentially
9	Q All right. And there's range of	9	the same objective findings, including the neck
10	motion there as well, right?	10	back and stiffness and the limited range of
11	A Yes.	11	motion similar to what we talked about earlier,
12	Q And those are appear to all be	12	correct?
13	deficient as well. For example, flexion is 30	13	A I mean, I don't know if you want
14	degrees, normal is 90 degrees, extension is 10	14	me to go over each and every comparison between
15	degrees, normal is 25; is that correct?	15	those, but they're broadly. There are still
16	A Yes.	16	limitations. A lot of it looks like she just
17		17	copied and pasted her last note. But, yes.
	Q Okay. And if we continue down in the plan section from Dr. Gellis' records from	18	
18			Q Okay. By the way, do you do
19	this note, do you see the plan section?	19	you even know Linda? Like, if you bumped into
20	A Yes.	20	her in the street, would you recognize her or
21	Q And can you it says if you	21	anything?
22	read it, it says, "Referral to orthopedist or	22	A I don't know.
23	neurosurgeon due to seriousness of injury	23	Q I mean
24	MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection.	24	A I don't know her, personally. If
25	Off the record.	25	I knew her, personally, I wouldn't have done her
	Page 87		
	Tage 07		Page 89
1	-	1	Page 89 evaluation.
1 2	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54.	1	evaluation.
	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record.	1	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the
2	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video	2	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do
2 3	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.)	2 3	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize
2 3 4	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding,	2 3 4	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do
2 3 4 5	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a	2 3 4 5	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection,
2 3 4 5 6	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding	2 3 4 5 6	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything.	2 3 4 5 6 7	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not going to get it in through the back door.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're back on video record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not going to get it in through the back door. MR. CLARK: Can you let us know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're back on video record. Q Okay. Do you need the question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not going to get it in through the back door. MR. CLARK: Can you let us know when we're back on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're back on video record. Q Okay. Do you need the question read back, Doctor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not going to get it in through the back door. MR. CLARK: Can you let us know when we're back on? MR. MAZZIE: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're back on video record. Q Okay. Do you need the question read back, Doctor? A I don't think so. I think you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not going to get it in through the back door. MR. CLARK: Can you let us know when we're back on? MR. MAZZIE: Okay. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:55.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're back on video record. Q Okay. Do you need the question read back, Doctor? A I don't think so. I think you're asking me if I would recognize Ms. Brehme out in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not going to get it in through the back door. MR. CLARK: Can you let us know when we're back on? MR. MAZZIE: Okay. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:55. We're back on video record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're back on video record. Q Okay. Do you need the question read back, Doctor? A I don't think so. I think you're asking me if I would recognize Ms. Brehme out in public. I'm not sure that I would. I note that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:54. We're now off the video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: It's not a finding, Counsel. I'm not going to let him answer a question that has to do with a non-finding recommendation, that's not evidence of anything. MR. CLARK: We disagree and it's cross-examination, and he can be redirected on that. MR. MAZZIE: No, Counsel. Counsel, you're not when you asked about objective findings, that's fine. I let you ask the question. I'm not going to let you ask questions about referrals or recommendations that has nothing to do with the case and you're not going to get it in through the back door. MR. CLARK: Can you let us know when we're back on? MR. MAZZIE: Okay. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:55.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evaluation. Q Do you see her anywhere on the screen here with all these people that are do you recognize her or anything or recognize MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're now off video record. MR. MAZZIE: I don't understand what the question is, Counsel. You're saying she's in the room, not in the room. This is not a criminal prosecution. I don't understand the question. So note my objection and the witness can answer it. Court reporter, just please make a list of all objections when the transcript is made. We can go back on the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:57. We're back on video record. Q Okay. Do you need the question read back, Doctor? A I don't think so. I think you're asking me if I would recognize Ms. Brehme out in

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Page 9	Э0
--------	----

I

	Page 90		Page 92
1	to bring her into the room, if that's her. I	1	o'clock. We're back on video record.
2	can't tell. So I don't know what you're I	2	Q That's in there, correct?
3	don't know what you're doing, but I don't know	3	A Say it again, the question.
4	her socially. I don't know her, personally, or	4	Q A few sentences down beyond that,
5	any of those thing. If I've ever run into her	5	it also states that she hyperextended her wrists
6	out of the office that day, I have no idea.	6	on the steering wheel, correct?
7	Q So if you bumped into her in the	7	A History section. Yes, in the
8	street, would you recognize her or not?	8	history, that's what's recorded.
9	A I doubt it.	9	Q Okay. And do you remember on
10	Q Okay. Thank you.	10	direct examination that you said that the back
11	MR. CLARK: Let's go off the while	11	exam showed no deficits in the back and there was
12	we pull up the next record.	12	do you recall that?
13	VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 8:58.	13	A Are you talking about this note
14	We're off video record.	14	from Dr. Van Slooten?
15	(Whereupon we're off the video and	15	Q Yeah, we're still on the same
16	written record at this time.)	16	note. And I'm just referring to your direct
17	<i>,</i>	17	examination testimony where I wrote down that you
	MR. CLARK: Okay. We can go back	18	essentially said the back exam showed no deficits
18	on. So we're at the Van Slooten record, if you	19	-
19	want to have that in front of you.		in the back.
20	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:59.	20	A I would have to go through the
21	We're back on video record.	21	exam again, but I can do that for you if you'd
22	Q Okay. Doctor, do you have those	22	like.
23	Van Slooten records in front of you?	23	Q No. I'm just asking whether or
24	A I do.	24	not you recall that you testified on direct
25	Q Do you recall you were asked	25	examination that the record indicated that the
	5 01		
	Page 91		Page 93
1		1	-
1 2	questions on direct examination about the Van	1	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you
2	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?	2	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?
2 3	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes.		back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you
2 3 4	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note	2 3 4	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no
2 3 4 5	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.	2 3 4 5	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall
2 3 4 5 6	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017. A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note</li> <li>from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017. A Okay. Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017. A Okay. Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017. A Okay. Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there? A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so. Q Well, I just we're just going
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017. A Okay. Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there? A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so. Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so. Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note</li> <li>from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first</li> <li>two sentences of the history of present illness</li> <li>on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old</li> <li>female who was involved in a motor vehicle</li> <li>accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her</li> <li>vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so. Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records? A Yes. Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017. A Okay. Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there? A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that? A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so. Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker. A They're ambiguous.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that? That's a yes or no question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9 o'clock. We're now off video record.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that? That's a yes or no question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9</li> <li>o'clock. We're now off video record.</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: This has nothing to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>That's a yes or no question.</li> <li>A Well, my recollection is that I recall the things I commented on, whereas not</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9</li> <li>o'clock. We're now off video record.</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: This has nothing to do with an examination. It's purely history.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>That's a yes or no question.</li> <li>A Well, my recollection is that I recall the things I commented on, whereas not every part of this examination. So what I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9</li> <li>o'clock. We're now off video record.</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: This has nothing to do with an examination. It's purely history. It's inappropriate questioning. We can go back</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>That's a yes or no question.</li> <li>A Well, my recollection is that I recall the things I commented on, whereas not every part of this examination. So what I commented on, I recall it not being a deficit.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9</li> <li>o'clock. We're now off video record.</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: This has nothing to do with an examination. It's purely history. It's inappropriate questioning. We can go back on the record. I'll move to strike.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>That's a yes or no question.</li> <li>A Well, my recollection is that I recall the things I commented on, whereas not every part of this examination. So what I commented on, I recall it not being a deficit.</li> <li>Q Okay. So that's a no or that you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>questions on direct examination about the Van Slooten records?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. So we're at the note from May 10 of 2017.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q And if you could read in the first two sentences of the history of present illness on there?</li> <li>A Sure. "Patient is a 64-year-old female who was involved in a motor vehicle accident on 12/16/16. She was the driver of her vehicle with her seat belt on and she was stopped at a light."</li> <li>Q It also indicates down a few sentences more that she hyperextended her wrist on the steering wheel, correct?</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9</li> <li>o'clock. We're now off video record.</li> <li>MR. MAZZIE: This has nothing to do with an examination. It's purely history. It's inappropriate questioning. We can go back</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>back exam showed no deficits in the back, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>A I recall testifying that her motor function and was normal and there was no atrophy and that that's what I recall commenting on. I don't think I recall like every part of the physical exam. So if there's something else you'd like me to comment on, I'm happy to do so.</li> <li>Q Well, I just we're just going to ask the question. And if you could just listen to the question and answer it, because it would go a little more quicker.</li> <li>A They're ambiguous.</li> <li>Q Just so the question is very simple. Do you recall testifying in direct examination that you said the back exam showed no deficits, do you recall testifying to that?</li> <li>That's a yes or no question.</li> <li>A Well, my recollection is that I recall the things I commented on, whereas not every part of this examination. So what I commented on, I recall it not being a deficit.</li> </ul>

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

	Page 94		Page 96
	-		
1	A I just think the question is	1	(Whereupon we're off the video
2	confusing and I should be able to clarify. But,	2	record at this time.)
3	I'll make you know, from what I remember, what	3	MR. CLARK: So the next one is the
4	I looked at, that's what I said, yes.	4	records from Noguiera.
5	Q In any event you did not say on	5	MR. MAZZIE: One second. Got the
6	direct examination or note to us that the gait stated that she was unable to tandem walk or walk	6	records, Jerry.
7		7	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:05.
8 9	on her heels, you didn't say that on direct examination, correct?	8	We're back on video record.
10	A No, because we didn't cover I	9 10	Q So, Doctor, just looking at your deposition in the Petrucci case. And in that
11	don't recall covering her gait portion of this	11	case you testified that do you recall
12	exam.	12	testifying that because it was a low velocity or
13	Q Well, the heel-to-toe test, that's	13	low speed impact, that you don't think it could
14	a test for problems in the low back, isn't it?	14	have caused the claimed injuries to the woman's
15	A It's a gait and it has many	15	spine, do you remember testifying to that?
16	different potential causes, but we didn't cover	16	A Not specifically, but if it's in
17	the gait part of this exam in the original	17	the record, I believe you. I have no question to
18	questioning. So that's that's my point.	18	doubt you.
19	Q But	19	Q And you recognize the common sense
20	A I'd be happy to go over it.	20	that, generally speaking, the greater the impact
21	Q The gait exam does say that she	21	or the greater the velocity, the more damage it
22	was unable to tandem walk or walk on her heels,	22	could potentially do to a person, you recognize
23	correct, it says that?	23	that, right?
24	A Yes. Now that I'm looking at it	24	A Yes. But as orthopedist for 20
25	and you're asking me specifically about the gait,	25	years, I can tell you I've seen some incredible
	Page 95		
			Page 97
1		1	-
1 2	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving	1 2	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible.
	I totally agree with you.		crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of
2	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving	2	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible.
2 3	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10	2 3	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into
2 3 4	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct?	2 3 4	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low
2 3 4 5	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was.	2 3 4 5	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she
2 3 4 5 6	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to	2 3 4 5 6	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall
2 3 4 5 6 7	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on	2 3 4 5 6 7	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November of 2017, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case you're referring to. So, yes, I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November of 2017, correct? A I believe so, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case you're referring to. So, yes, I agree. Q Okay. So did you take into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November of 2017, correct? A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Thank. Let's just go off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case you're referring to. So, yes, I agree. Q Okay. So did you take into consideration in this case the notations in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November of 2017, correct? A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Thank. Let's just go off the exam or go off the record while we pull up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case you're referring to. So, yes, I agree. Q Okay. So did you take into consideration in this case the notations in the records about the speed of the crash and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November of 2017, correct? A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Thank. Let's just go off the exam or go off the record while we pull up the next record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case you're referring to. So, yes, I agree. Q Okay. So did you take into consideration in this case the notations in the records about the speed of the crash and the crash damage photos in your opinions and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November of 2017, correct? A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Thank. Let's just go off the exam or go off the record while we pull up the next record. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:04.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case you're referring to. So, yes, I agree. Q Okay. So did you take into consideration in this case the notations in the records about the speed of the crash and the crash damage photos in your opinions and conclusions in this case, did you take that into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I totally agree with you. Q Okay. Thank you. Now, moving down and by the way, this exam was in May 10 of 2017, correct? A Yes, it was. Q And that's and with regard to that exam, you testified there were no deficits, you remember that testimony on direct? A No, I remember testifying that on the motor exam there were no deficits and there was no atrophy. I didn't say there were no deficits in every part of the exam, because I don't believe I was questioned on every part of the exam. Q Right. And that exam was in May of 2017 and the lumbar surgery that Dr. Landa talked about and we're speaking about in this case took place several months later in November of 2017, correct? A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Thank. Let's just go off the exam or go off the record while we pull up the next record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	crashes with no injuries. So, you know, lots of things are possible. Q In the Petrucci case you took into account what you said was a low velocity or low speed impact and said that, therefore, she probably suffered a sprain/strain, do you recall that? A Yes. Q Did you take into account the velocity and, by the way, just stepping back, you got the velocity from the in that case from the medical records, right, where she reports in the emergency room? A On which are we talking about now? Q This is the Petrucci case, your deposition on Page 31, which you have, if you want to take a look at. A I just want to know which case you're referring to. So, yes, I agree. Q Okay. So did you take into consideration in this case the notations in the records about the speed of the crash and the crash damage photos in your opinions and

1	MR. MAZZIE: Make note of my	1	MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to
2	objection. Just note it on the record. We can	2	the form of the question. You can answer.
3	continue to proceed.	3	A Okay. So when I formulate my
4	A If they were in the records and I	4	opinions, I look at the totality of the things
5	saw them, that's a part of the things that I	5	that are involved. The major issue here is
6	would look at, yes.	6	regardless of her recollection of speed,
7	Q Okay. So, the records indicate	7	regardless of her recollection of noises and
8	the Noguiera records indicate the speed and the	8	things like that, all of which I do not doubt,
9	impact of the crash, don't they?	9	all of which I don't question, the reality is,
10	A He does. I don't know where he	10	that pre and post accident MRIs are identical.
11	got that information from, though.	11	There's no change in the films. I don't see the
12	Q Well, it's in the history of	12	injury. I don't see a new injury. That's the
13	present illness. Do you see that in the note of	13	major issue here. And all of the questioning,
14	March 9 of 2017, that's the same note that you	14	the subjectives, all of that stuff, I understand
15	were asked about on direct?	15	that they're real. But at the end of the day,
16	MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to	16	it's as if you look at a bone, it's broken or
17	the form of the question. You can go proceed	17	it's not, something changed or it didn't, and in
		18	a significant way that you can account based on
18	with the questioning.		the trauma. And those MRIs are the same.
19	A It is in the PI, but my point is	19 20	
20	how is that information obtained? Was that by	1	Q We've just had two days of
21	the person's recollection? Was there a analysis	21	testimony in this case. And by the time the jury
22	and a speed radar gun? That's all I'm saying. I	22	hears this, it should be Monday, and the jury has
23	don't know 40, 20. I don't know. It's in there,	23	heard from multiple witnesses that testified how
24	I agree.	24	dramatically Linda's life has changed since this
25	Q Okay. Well, when you took into	25	crash.
	Page 99		D 101
	Idge 55		Page 101
1		1	_
1 2	account the reference to the low velocity, low	1	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you
2	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical	2	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is
	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the	2 3	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay
2 3	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with,	2 3 4	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of
2 3 4 5	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was"	2 3 4 5	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection.
2 3 4	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She approximates that the vehicle that struck her was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle, the physical therapist, do you recall looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She approximates that the vehicle that struck her was going 40 miles an hour. She heard a loud bang	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle, the physical therapist, do you recall looking at those records? They're on your list, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She approximates that the vehicle that struck her was going 40 miles an hour. She heard a loud bang and got out of the car. As soon as she got out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle, the physical therapist, do you recall looking at those records? They're on your list, right? A I believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She approximates that the vehicle that struck her was going 40 miles an hour. She heard a loud bang and got out of the car. As soon as she got out of the car, she felt a sharp pain in her low	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle, the physical therapist, do you recall looking at those records? They're on your list, right? A I believe so, yes. Q Do you recall the numerous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She approximates that the vehicle that struck her was going 40 miles an hour. She heard a loud bang and got out of the car. As soon as she got out of the car, she felt a sharp pain in her low back. How did you incorporate that into your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle, the physical therapist, do you recall looking at those records? They're on your list, right? A I believe so, yes. Q Do you recall the numerous notations in there which document how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She approximates that the vehicle that struck her was going 40 miles an hour. She heard a loud bang and got out of the car. As soon as she got out of the car, she felt a sharp pain in her low back. How did you incorporate that into your opinions and conclusions that she only sustained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle, the physical therapist, do you recall looking at those records? They're on your list, right? A I believe so, yes. Q Do you recall the numerous notations in there which document how dramatically Linda's life has changed since this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	account the reference to the low velocity, low speed impact in the emergency room medical records in the Petrucci case, did you have the same concerns or you were totally fine with, "Hey, it's low impact and, therefore, that was" like, did you do the radar gun thing in that case or did you just said, "Oh, it says low speed, low velocity, therefore, that's what it is", is that what you did there? A No. It's always a consideration that, you know, unless you have the accurate number. But it is what it is. It was in the report. It's in the record. It's considered. Q So in the Petrucci in the Noguiera record from March 9 of 2017, how did you incorporate into your opinions and conclusions where she was hit from behind where it says she was hit from behind with stop red light. She approximates that the vehicle that struck her was going 40 miles an hour. She heard a loud bang and got out of the car. As soon as she got out of the car, she felt a sharp pain in her low back. How did you incorporate that into your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, are you kidding me? Are you kidding me? This witness is not going to respond to questions about lay witnesses' alleged observations. It's unheard of what you're doing, Counsel. Note my objection. You can answer the question. Q Did you A Ask the question. I haven't heard a question yet. Q Did you speak to well, the way this works is, you can call the attorney and ask for information about the case and they send you information; is that correct? A I believe so. I mean, if I need records and I wrote it in my report that I need something, then, yes, I can do that. Q And part of the records that you looked at were the records of Patricia Castle, the physical therapist, do you recall looking at those records? They're on your list, right? A I believe so, yes. Q Do you recall the numerous notations in there which document how

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	Page 102		Page 104
1	MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to	1	diagnostic testing part of the diagnosis pie,
2	the form of the question. You can answer the	2	right?
3	question.	3	A That is the diagnostic part, yes.
4	A I don't recall that specifically,	4	Q Okay. And when you look at an MRI
5	but I believe if they were in there, I agree with	5	or you're just looking at the MRI, that doesn't
6	you. I don't doubt it.	6	include history, it doesn't include symptoms or
7	Q Now, when you do a diagnosis in a	7	complaints and it doesn't include a physical
8	case or a diagnosis of a patient, aren't there	8	exam. It's its own part of the pie, correct, the
9	four elements to that? And I may not get them	9	MRI film?
10	exactly and you can you can you can correct	10	A Its own item, yes.
11	it if they're wrong. But aren't there four	11	Q Thank you.
12	elements which are, one, the history, two, the	12	MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the
13	symptoms or the complaints of the patient, three,	13	video for a moment.
14	diagnostic testing, and four, physical exam?	14	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:14.
15	Aren't those essentially the four parts of the	15	We're now off video record.
16	of the pie that go into a diagnosis?	16	(Whereupon we're off the video and
17	A So, I'll just clean it up for you.	17	written record at this time.)
18	So, the history is the same as the complaints.	18	MR. CLARK: I'm just trying to
19	So the one and two in your pie is the same. It's	19	pull up the Noguiera record. Okay.
20	the history, it's the physical exam, diagnostic	20	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:16.
21	tests and then a plan or an assessment. So those	21	We're back on video record.
22	would be my four. Or at least part of the pie,	22	Q Do you the you recall
23	if you will.	23	testifying or strike that. The records from
24	Q All right. So I'm going to pop up	24	Dr. Noguiera that you referenced were dated March
25	here, demonstrative exhibit which we prepared.	25	9 of 2017, that's the date of the record on
	Page 103		Page 105
1	We marked it as P-21. And this is a	1	direct examination, correct?
2	demonstrative exhibit. Instead of drawing it on	2	A Yes.
3	a board in court, we kind of did it in advance.	3	Q And that was, again, many months
4	Do you see this P-21 here?	4	before the lumbar surgery that we're talking
5	A I see it.	5	about in this case which happened in November of
6	Q Okay. So you see there's four	6	2017, right?
7	parts to the pie that's drawn here?	7	A Yes.
8	A I see it.	8	Q Okay.
9	Q But what you're saying is, you	9	MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the
10	would just make it three parts of the pie and put	10	video while I pull up the next
11	symptoms or complaints into the history piece; is	11	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:17.
12	that right?	12	We're now off video record.
13	A What I'm saying is, what we're	13	(Whereupon we're off the video and
14	used to describing as a patient visit, there's a	14	written record at this time.)
15	soap note, subjective, objective, assessment and	15	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:19.
16 17	planning. In your pie, I assume you draw this	16 17	We're back on video record.
17	history, symptoms or complaints seem to me the		Q Doctor, you also testified on direct that some of the medicine that Linda was
18	same things. It's just a you know, semantics	18 19	
19 20	but all of those things are part of the	20	prescribed in taking after this incident was
20	diagnosis, yes.	20	Flexeril; is that right? A Yes.
21	<ul><li>Q Okay. Thank you.</li><li>A Does it matter? I don't know.</li></ul>	21	Q And that's a muscle relaxer,
22	Q Okay. So when you say there's no	22	right?
23	change on the MRIs, which you've talked about,	23	A Yes.
	enunge on the mixis, which you ve taiked about,		11 100.
25	that's just the diagnosis that's the	25	MR. MAZZIE: Objection.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

	Page 106		Page 108
	_		
1	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:19.	1	(Whereupon the previous question
2	We're now off video record.	2	and answer was read back at this time.)
3	(Whereupon we're off the video	3	"Question: Doctor, you also
4	record at this time.)	4	testified on direct that some of the medicine
5	MR. MAZZIE: You indicated that	5	that Linda was prescribed in taking after this
6	medicine that she was prescribed after the	6	incident was Flexeril; is that right?
7	accident was Flexeril. That, in fact, was	7	Answer: Yes.
8	prescribed before the accident, Counsel.	8	Question: And that's a muscle
9	MR. CLARK: Well, that would be a	9	relaxer, right?
10	speaking objection. I asked the question. The	10	Answer: Yes.")
11	witness answered it. And it was definitely after	11	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:22.
12	the incident, but by the way.	12	We're back on the video record.
13	MR. MAZZIE: It wasn't definitely	13	Q And it's a muscle relaxer to treat
14	after the incident. The records are clear that	14	spasm, correct?
15 16	the medications were all prescribed before the	15	A That's what muscle relaxers are
16 17	accident.	16	for, yes.
17	MR. CLARK: No, that's not true. She had I have a whole medication chart here.	17	Q And spasm is objective evidence of
18 19	She had I have a whole medication chart here. The doctor correctly testified it was after.	18 19	spinal injury, correct? A It's subjective evidence of a lot
20	÷	20	•
20	MR. MAZZIE: No, he didn't, Counsel, but the record will reflect what it	20	of things. But, yes, that's one thing. It's
21	reflects.	22	also objective evidence of muscle injury. So,
22			yes.
23 24	MR. CLARK: Yeah. January 30	23 24	MR. CLARK: Let's just go off,
24	we're off the video, right? January 31 of '18, Gabapentin, Neurontin 600 milligram tablets by	24	please, of the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 9:23. We're
2.5	Gabapentin, Neurontin 600 minigram tablets by	23	VIDEOGRAPHER. Its 9.25. Wele
	Page 107		Page 109
1	Dr. Finger, 1/31/18.	1	now off video record.
2	MR. MAZZIE: That's not what you	2	(Whereupon we're off the video and
3	asked, Counsel. That's not what you asked. So	3	written record at this time.)
4	let's just get on the record. Let's move this	4	MR. CLARK: Okay. We can go back.
5	along, because, quiet frankly, the judge would	5	VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 9:24.
6	have cut you off a long time ago, Counsel. I am	6	We're back on video record.
7	getting aggravated. This is nonsense.	7	Q Doctor, again, do you remember at
8	MR. CLARK: Your objection. You	8	the defense medical exam that you said you
9	just said it was before. First of all, it's an	9	reviewed about 5,000 pages of records or at least
10	improper objection.	10	thousands of pages, do you remember that?
11	MR. MAZZIE: First of all,	11	A Yes.
12	Counsel, you misrepresented what it was. You	12	Q Okay. And you understand that she
13	said Flexeril and you said it was after the	13	had a the surgery the fall down and then
14	accident. That's not what that's not what he	14	the surgery in 1992/1993, right?
15	testified to.	15	A There was there was surgery,
16	MR. CLARK: It was prescribed on	16	yes.
17	1/31/2018 by Dr. Cammisa, 10 milligrams twice	17	Q Okay. And in the thousands of
	daily. So	18	pages of records, other than that full spinal MRI
18		19	in 2013, you didn't see any records of any
18 19	MR. MAZZIE: Fine, Counsel. Let's	1 19	
		20	treatment for those 23 years from 1993 until
19	MR. MAZZIE: Fine, Counsel. Let's	1	
19 20	MR. MAZZIE: Fine, Counsel. Let's move the circus along at this point.	20	treatment for those 23 years from 1993 until
19 20 21	MR. MAZZIE: Fine, Counsel. Let's move the circus along at this point. MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I'm going	20 21	treatment for those 23 years from 1993 until December 16 of 2016; isn't that correct?
19 20 21 22	MR. MAZZIE: Fine, Counsel. Let's move the circus along at this point. MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I'm going to pull up Cammisa's records. Hold on.	20 21 22	treatment for those 23 years from 1993 until December 16 of 2016; isn't that correct? A I don't recall.
19 20 21 22 23	MR. MAZZIE: Fine, Counsel. Let's move the circus along at this point. MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I'm going to pull up Cammisa's records. Hold on. MR. CLARK: Maribel, could you	20 21 22 23	treatment for those 23 years from 1993 until December 16 of 2016; isn't that correct? A I don't recall. Q Well, if you look at the first

	Page 110		Page 112
1	A I'm saying I'm saying I don't	1	don't remember seeing any records like that. We
2	recall she received treatment, that's what I'm	2	don't have to go off the record if it's okay with
3	saying.	3	you.
4	Q Okay. Let me just ask the	4	Q Okay. Well, will you accept my
5	question again and	5	representation or would you just state that there
6	A Please.	6	are no such records in there or do you insist on
7	Q if I interrupt you, I apologize	7	it being, "I don't recall?"
8	but let me	8	A I don't I don't remember seeing
9	A Please ask it.	9	any of those records. I don't think that there
10	Q Try not to interrupt, just that	10	are. If there are, I'm sure someone will show
11	way it's more clear. In the thousands of pages	11	them to me.
12	that you reviewed, other than a full body MRI,	12	Q All right. Let's go off the video
13	full spine MRI in 2013 that we've all been	13	so that we can be certain of that.
14	speaking about, from 1993 until 2016, you didn't	14	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:28.
15	see any records of any ongoing or continued	15	We're now off video record.
16	treatment for those twenty approximate, 23	16	MR. CLARK: All right, Doctor, if
17	years, did you?	17	you would please take a look at the list, because
18	A That's what I said, I don't recall	18	you're answering the question, "I don't recall",
19	seeing that.	19	I'm looking for a yes or no answer to the
20	Q Okay. And if you look at the 10	20	question?
20	items in your report, the list of the items that	20	THE WITNESS: I'll give you what
21	you reviewed, there are no such records in there;	22	you want. Let's just go back on the record,
23	isn't that true?	23	please.
23	A Which 10 items? I think I	23	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:28.
24	reviewed a lot more than 10. I'm not sure which	25	We're back on the video record.
20	reviewed a for more than 10. Thi not sure which	23	we le back on the video record.
	Page 111		Page 113
1	10, what, films or 10 documents.	1	Q Okay. And so you were ready to
1 2	10, what, films or 10 documents. Q In your report in your report	1 2	Q Okay. And so you were ready to give a yes or no answer, Doctor?
2	Q In your report in your report	2	give a yes or no answer, Doctor?
2 3	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's	2 3	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes.
2 3 4	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's	2 3 4	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in
2 3 4 5	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records.	2 3 4 5	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct?
2 3 4 5 6	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like	2 3 4 5 6	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said?	2 3 4 5 6 7	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct? A Like I said before, I don't recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said?</li> <li>A That's what I reviewed, yes.</li> <li>Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I don't recall seeing any.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said?</li> <li>A That's what I reviewed, yes.</li> <li>Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I don't recall seeing any.</li> <li>Q All right. So what we're going to do, we're going off the video and you could take</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said?</li> <li>A That's what I reviewed, yes.</li> <li>Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I don't recall seeing any.</li> <li>Q All right. So what we're going to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're back on video record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said?</li> <li>A That's what I reviewed, yes.</li> <li>Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I don't recall seeing any.</li> <li>Q All right. So what we're going to do, we're going off the video and you could take a look at the list again and try to see if we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. And do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said? A That's what I reviewed, yes. Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct? A Like I said before, I don't recall seeing any. Q All right. So what we're going to do, we're going off the video and you could take a look at the list again and try to see if we could answer it more definitely instead of, "I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. And do you have any reason to dispute that in the thousands
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q In your report in your report you listyou are right, it's actually it's like 29, 52 I mean, there's a whole there's a whole paragraph and listing of medical records. It goes up to 52. And then paragraph 52 has like A through I items that you reviewed, that's correct, what I just said?</li> <li>A That's what I reviewed, yes.</li> <li>Q Yes. And in all those records, did you other than the 2013 film of the full spine, did you see any records of any ongoing or continued treatment for those 23 years from the fall down with the on the ice to the crash of December 16 of 2016, there's no such records in that list, correct?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I don't recall seeing any.</li> <li>Q All right. So what we're going to do, we're going off the video and you could take a look at the list again and try to see if we could answer it more definitely instead of, "I don't recall whether or not there are any such</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	give a yes or no answer, Doctor? A Yes. Q There are no such records in there, correct? A No. Q No, they're not or no, correct? A No, there is not. Q Okay. Great. A No, there is not. Q Thank you. MR. CLARK: Let's just go off the video, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're now off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video and written record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Let's go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:29. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. And do you have any reason to dispute that in the thousands of pages of records that you reviewed after the

### 29 (Pages 110 to 113)

	Page 114		Page 116
1	diagnostic tests. Two EMGs, 20 hospital stays	1	that treatment is just a big coincidence. It
2	20 days in the hospital	2	just happened to have happened starting after
3	MR. MAZZIE: Objection.	3	this crash, is that what you're telling this
4	Q 57 doctor appointments, a total	4	jury?
5	of 77 medical appointments and over 100 physical	5	MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection.
6	therapy appointments. Do you have any reason to	6	Form of the question. You can answer it.
7	dispute that those are approximations of those	7	A I don't think I said that
8	totals numbers of treatment after this crash of	8	specifically. I said that I believe her surgery
9	December of 2016?	9	was for maybe degenerative causes or something
10	A No.	10	else. I believe her initial treatment was for a
11	Q Okay. And you're you're	11	sprain or a strain. I believe that's what I
12	you're what you're saying or what you're	12	said.
13	telling this jury is that all that treatment and	13	Q All right. So you agree that she
14	the surgery she had is from the degenerative	14	had at least a sprain/strain in the spine from
15	condition or the normal part of the aging of the	15	this crash?
16	spine, is that what you're saying? Like, you're	16	A Yes.
17	saying the treat like, you're not disputing	17	Q And you agree that she had pain
18	that this treatment was reasonable and necessary.	18	and some limitations from this crash at least to
19	You're just saying it wasn't from that crash; is	19	at least at a certain point?
20	that right?	20	A At least initially, yes.
21	A Yes. I'm saying that there were	21	Q Okay. So then she's going on for
22	no changes in her MRIs, there was no obvious new	22	days or weeks do you so she's going on for
23	finding or traumatic injuries and I don't dispute	23	days or weeks or perhaps months after the crash
24	that she had a lot of treatment.	24	with pain that you at least you agree that at
25	Q Okay. And there's no changing in	25	least for some portion of time was from the
	Page 115		Dago 117
			PADE II/
1	-	1	Page 117
1	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic	1	crash; is that right?
2	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms	2	crash; is that right? A Yes.
2 3	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct,	2 3	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and
2 3 4	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier?	2 3 4	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations,
2 3	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from
2 3 4 5	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what	2 3 4	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what
2 3 4 5 6 7	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the	2 3 4 5 6 7	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?
2 3 4 5 6	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment	2 3 4 5 6	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury? A I'm just telling the jury that her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury? A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury? A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic. Q Now, what are you charging for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury? A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> <li>It's trial testimony, Counsel.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat MR. MAZZIE: Ask a question. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	crash; is that right? A Yes. Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury? A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic. Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition? A \$2500. Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition. It's trial testimony, Counsel. Q Yeah. Deposition. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat MR. MAZZIE: Ask a question. You can't give a narrative, Counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> <li>It's trial testimony, Counsel.</li> <li>Q Yeah. Deposition. I don't know.</li> <li>I think the French word they call it de bene esse</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat MR. MAZZIE: Ask a question. You can't give a narrative, Counsel. Q So, Doctor, what you're telling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> <li>It's trial testimony, Counsel.</li> <li>Q Yeah. Deposition. I don't know.</li> <li>I think the French word they call it de bene esse deposition. I'm not sure. Maybe that's a Latin</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat MR. MAZZIE: Ask a question. You can't give a narrative, Counsel. Q So, Doctor, what you're telling this jury is that despite the complete lack of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> <li>It's trial testimony, Counsel.</li> <li>Q Yeah. Deposition. I don't know.</li> <li>I think the French word they call it de bene esse deposition. I'm not sure. Maybe that's a Latin word. But in any event, you give that same</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat MR. MAZZIE: Ask a question. You can't give a narrative, Counsel. Q So, Doctor, what you're telling this jury is that despite the complete lack of or virtual complete lack of records of ongoing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> <li>It's trial testimony, Counsel.</li> <li>Q Yeah. Deposition. I don't know.</li> <li>I think the French word they call it de bene esse deposition. I'm not sure. Maybe that's a Latin word. But in any event, you give that same testimony a lot, don't you, where you say that a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat MR. MAZZIE: Ask a question. You can't give a narrative, Counsel. Q So, Doctor, what you're telling this jury is that despite the complete lack of or virtual complete lack of records of ongoing treatment for 23 years after that fall, and entirely new problems with the low back, and all that treatment, including that surgery taking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> <li>It's trial testimony, Counsel.</li> <li>Q Yeah. Deposition. I don't know.</li> <li>I think the French word they call it de bene esse deposition. I'm not sure. Maybe that's a Latin word. But in any event, you give that same testimony a lot, don't you, where you say that a person get in a crash, a car crash, there is a claim spine injury and you frequently say that, "Oh, that's just from a degenerative condition</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the MRIs, but if we go back up to the diagnostic chart, that is only one piece of the pie in terms of arriving at a diagnosis; isn't that correct, you recall testifying to that earlier? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Great. So so what you're telling this jury is that the despite the almost complete lack of ongoing medical treatment for 23 years in her life, and then this substantial crash occurs, and we have the photos of the crash MR. MAZZIE: Counsel. Counsel, you want to ask a question without giving a narrative? MR. CLARK: I'm sorry. I'll I'll repeat MR. MAZZIE: Ask a question. You can't give a narrative, Counsel. Q So, Doctor, what you're telling this jury is that despite the complete lack of or virtual complete lack of records of ongoing treatment for 23 years after that fall, and entirely new problems with the low back, and all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>crash; is that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q But then she woke up one day and still had the same pain and the same limitations, but now that pain and limitations was from something else, not the crash, is that what you're telling this jury?</li> <li>A I'm just telling the jury that her findings on her MRI appear to be degenerative and not traumatic.</li> <li>Q Now, what are you charging for this deposition, again, for this deposition?</li> <li>A \$2500.</li> <li>Q Okay. And MR. MAZZIE: Not a deposition.</li> <li>It's trial testimony, Counsel.</li> <li>Q Yeah. Deposition. I don't know.</li> <li>I think the French word they call it de bene esse deposition. I'm not sure. Maybe that's a Latin word. But in any event, you give that same testimony a lot, don't you, where you say that a person get in a crash, a car crash, there is a claim spine injury and you frequently say that,</li> </ul>

# 30 (Pages 114 to 117)

	Page 118		Page 120
1	thing that you say?	1	I'm I'm just beyond annoyed at this point, but
2	MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection to	2	you could ask him questions.
3	the form of the question. You can answer it.	3	Q Do you remember the Pope case,
4	A I believe that that's a common	4	Docket Number 1643-17 where you testified in the
5	occurrence, yes.	5	in the this courthouse in the Bergen County
6	Q Okay. And are you aware of case	6	Courthouse in 2018, do you remember that case?
7	reports that kind of report and give the sum and	7	A I don't recall the case,
8	substance of cases? Are you aware that these	8	specifically, but I could say broadly that any
9	things exist? That kind of talk about what the	9	legal documents that you're going to read to me
10	case is, what the claims were and what the	10	that I said something, I will not dispute.
11	defense doctor says? Are you aware that they're	11	MR. MAZZIE: No. No. I object.
12	out there?	12	Q Okay.
13	A I believe so, yes.	13	MR. MAZZIE: It's not a legal
14	Q All right. Do you recall a case	14	document he's reading.
15	Pope from Bergen County, Docket Number 1643-17	15	MR. CLARK: I'm going to send him
16	where there was a rear-ended passenger in a motor	16	the case report and see if it refreshes his
17	vehicle accident and the defense expert, Dr.	17	recollection.
18	Rizio, concluded in his report that the Pope's	18	MR. MAZZIE: No, you're not,
19	treatment was solely due to preexisting	19	Counsel. We're at trial. No, you're not. Pull
20	degenerative condition, and at most you said they	20	it up on the screen, do something. We're not
21	suffered soft tissue injuries or sprain/strain	21	wasting more time. It's near 10 o'clock. We've
22	that later resolved, do you remember that case?	22	been at cross-examination for more than two
23	MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection.	23	hours.
24	You're reading something as if it's an	24	MR. CLARK: Okay. I can I can
25	authoritative document. You can ask him,	25	pull it up on the screen, if that's what you want
	Page 119		Page 121
1	specifically, about the case, Counsel, but you're	1	me to do. I could pull it up on the screen or I
2	not going to, like, read narratives like you're	2	could send it to him, whatever your pleasure is.
3		1	• •
	doing and have him answer. Try to move this	3	If we were in court, I would hand it to him.
4	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the	4	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the
4 5	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to	4 5	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel.
4 5 6	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just	4 5 6	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off
4 5 6 7	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could	4 5 6 7	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment.
4 5 6 7 8	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the	4 5 6 7 8	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37.
4 5 6 7 8 9	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his	4 5 6 7 8 9	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record.
4 5 7 8 9 10	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.)
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection. MR. CLARK: Well, I want to see if	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection. MR. CLARK: Well, I want to see if he remembers the case, because there's testimony	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. We're on the record. The both parties have to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection. MR. CLARK: Well, I want to see if he remembers the case, because there's testimony 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. We're on the record. The both parties have to agree. The court rules are very clear on that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection. MR. CLARK: Well, I want to see if he remembers the case, because there's testimony  MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, you read a whole diatribe of nonsense. You didn't ask him,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. We're on the record. The both parties have to agree. The court rules are very clear on that. The court reporter doesn't the court reporter
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection. MR. CLARK: Well, I want to see if he remembers the case, because there's testimony  MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, you read a whole diatribe of nonsense. You didn't ask him, "Do you remember case such versus such? Do you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. We're on the record. The both parties have to agree. The court rules are very clear on that. The court reporter doesn't the court reporter has sworn to give un-bias testimony. So we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection. MR. CLARK: Well, I want to see if he remembers the case, because there's testimony  MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, you read a whole diatribe of nonsense. You didn't ask him, "Do you remember case such versus such? Do you remember" you didn't ask him anything to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. We're on the record. The both parties have to agree. The court rules are very clear on that. The court reporter doesn't the court reporter has sworn to give un-bias testimony. So we un-bias court reporting stuff. Let's go off the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	along, Counsel. Just note my objection to the record. I'll let him answer, but I'm going to move to strike. Just MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, I could ask him about the case. We can also send him the reports to see if he needs it to refresh his recollection or if he'll take the representation about what it says. We can e-mail them over. MR. MAZZIE: The reports have nothing to do with the doctor, nothing to do with the testimony. It's a summary by somebody else. You're not going to do anything of that nature. You could ask him questions. Just note my continuing objection. MR. CLARK: Well, I want to see if he remembers the case, because there's testimony  MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, you read a whole diatribe of nonsense. You didn't ask him, "Do you remember case such versus such? Do you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If we were in court, I would hand it to him. MR. MAZZIE: Pull it up on the screen, Counsel. MR. CLARK: Okay. Let's go off the video for a moment. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:37. We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. MAZZIE: No, you know what, I'm tired of going off the record. I want to be on the record at this point. Leave it on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. MR. MAZZIE: No. I want to go on the record. MR. CLARK: We're off the record. We're on the record. The both parties have to agree. The court rules are very clear on that. The court reporter doesn't the court reporter has sworn to give un-bias testimony. So we

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

	Page 122		Page 124
1	MR. MAZZIE: We're about to go off	1	help to refresh your recollection?
2	the deposition.	2	A This just makes me recall that I
3	MR. CLARK: Okay. We're going to	3	was there and I gave an opinion. And, again,
4	go back on the video record now that we have it	4	this is somebody else's summary of things that I
5	up.	5	said. And I don't deny what anybody I don't
6	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:38.	6	deny that this document exists. I don't deny
7	We're going back on video record.	7	that I was there. So, yes, as far as that
8	Q All right, Doctor. Does this help	8	enhances my recollection, yes, thank you.
9	to refresh your recollection, this Pope case,	9	Q Okay.
10	Bergen, two thousand this docket number? And	10	A Very helpful.
11	if we go down to here where it indicates you're	11	Q Great. And do you remember the
12	the defense expert and address of Livingston?	12	Grecco case, or the Montero case, or the Skidmore
13	A Yes.	13	case? And I'm not going review them all, but do
14	Q Okay. And do you recall	14	you dispute that those are out there as well with
15	testifying that Pope's treatment was solely due	15	the same kind of thing?
16	to preexisting degenerative condition and at	16	MR. MAZZIE: Objection.
17	most the expert says they suffered soft tissue	17	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:41.
18	injuries that later resolved, do you recall that?	18	We're now off video record.
19	A So I just have to ask. So this	19	MR. MAZZIE: Are you asking if he
20	isn't my summary, right, this is someone else's	20	disputes the reports that were prepared by
21	summary of what I said? I agree that that	21	somebody else? Are you asking about his specific
22	summary exists, yes, and I agree that I probably	22	testimony?
23	said that in this case.	23	MR. CLARK: I'm going to ask him
24	Q Thank you.	24	about the cases and his testimony. And if he
25	A But this isn't my document.	25	doesn't remember, we can go through it to refresh
	Page 123		Page 125
		1	2
1	Q Correct. It's being used to	1	his recollection, but that would take a bit
1 2	Q Correct. It's being used to refresh your recollection.	1 2	-
			his recollection, but that would take a bit
2	refresh your recollection.	2	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way.
2 3	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand	2 3	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't
2 3 4	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you.	2 3 4	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but
2 3 4 5	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the	2 3 4 5	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one
2 3 4 5 6	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you	2 3 4 5 6	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the
2 3 4 5 6 7	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same	2 3 4 5 6 7	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to see the entire document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense counsel's request, we're putting the Grecco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to see the entire document. MR. CLARK: I could e-mail it to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense counsel's request, we're putting the Grecco report do you remember this case, Kathleen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to see the entire document. MR. CLARK: I could e-mail it to you if you'd like.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense counsel's request, we're putting the Grecco report do you remember this case, Kathleen Grecco, docket number it's Middlesex, 8606
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to see the entire document. MR. CLARK: I could e-mail it to you if you'd like. MR. MAZZIE: No. You could scroll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense counsel's request, we're putting the Grecco report do you remember this case, Kathleen Grecco, docket number it's Middlesex, 8606 from 2011 docket number on February 18, 2018, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to see the entire document. MR. CLARK: I could e-mail it to you if you'd like. MR. MAZZIE: No. You could scroll up the page. I want to see the entire document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense counsel's request, we're putting the Grecco report do you remember this case, Kathleen Grecco, docket number it's Middlesex, 8606 from 2011 docket number on February 18, 2018, was a drunk driver case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to see the entire document. MR. CLARK: I could e-mail it to you if you'd like. MR. MAZZIE: No. You could scroll up the page. I want to see the entire document. Q Here we go. See if this refreshes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense counsel's request, we're putting the Grecco report do you remember this case, Kathleen Grecco, docket number it's Middlesex, 8606 from 2011 docket number on February 18, 2018, was a drunk driver case. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	refresh your recollection. A Okay. I think I didn't understand you. Q Thank you. Now, do you recall the Bratcher case, Morris 3387-05 from 2008 where you were also the defense expert and gave the same kind of testimony you're giving here, do you recall that case? A No. Can you put it up on the screen, please? Q Sure. We can put it on the screen or we could send it to you, but if you want to put it up on the screen, we'll do that. This is the Bratcher case. Do you see this here? MR. MAZZIE: Counsel, I want to see the entire document. MR. CLARK: I could e-mail it to you if you'd like. MR. MAZZIE: No. You could scroll up the page. I want to see the entire document. Q Here we go. See if this refreshes your recollection about the case. You could see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	his recollection, but that would take a bit longer. So I'm asking it in a more combined way. I think the doctor already conceded it or doesn't dispute that. So I can do it the long way, but you're the one MR. MAZZIE: You can do it the long way, Counsel, because I want to see the documents. I want to see what you're referencing. You're making broad sweeping statements. MR. CLARK: Okay. So we'll do it that way then. Let us know when we're back on the video. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:42. We're back on video record. Q All right, Doctor. At Defense counsel's request, we're putting the Grecco report do you remember this case, Kathleen Greeco, docket number it's Middlesex, 8606 from 2011 docket number on February 18, 2018, was a drunk driver case. MR. MAZZIE: Note my objection, Counsel. That has nothing to do off the

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

	Page 126		Page 128
1	We're off video record.	1	acute injury suffered at the time of the
2	(Whereupon we're off the video	2	accident, do you recall that case?
3	record at this time.)	3	A Yes.
4	MR. MAZZIE: Has nothing to do	4	Q Okay. Do you recall the Shivitz
5	with anything the facts of the case. You can ask	5	case and the report you wrote dated September 17,
6	him if he remembers his testimony.	6	2019 which involved a 33 33-year-old male
7	MR. CLARK: Okay. Well, we can go	7	where you said the person in the crash who's
8	down to the testimony. We can go back on.	8	claming a spinal injury only suffered a
9	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:43.	9	sprain/strain that has gone to heal without
10	We're back on video record.	10	evidence of permanent injury, do you recall that
11	Q Doctor, do you recall being called	11	case as well?
12	as a defense orthopedic expert in that case?	12	A I believe so, yes, I recall.
13	A I do now. Thank you.	13	Q All right. Thanks.
14	Q Okay. And do you recall	14	MR. CLARK: Let's please go off
14	testifying that the defense expert orthopedic	15	the video for a moment.
16	surgery said Grecco had no residual medical	16	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:47.
10	issues, do you recall that?	17	We're off video record.
18	-	18	(Whereupon we're off the video
10	A Like I said, this document says that I said it, someone else recorded it. I	19	record at this time.)
20	agree with you. It's there. So that that's	20	MR. CLARK: I don't think I have
20		20	
21	the extent of the recollection and I appreciate	22	more questions. I'm just going through my notes. Sorry. Okay. That's all I have, but we'll go
	you showing me the document.	1	
23	Q Okay. Thank you.	23 24	back on and say that.
24	MR. CLARK: Go off the video,	24	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time 9:49. We're back on video record.
25	please.	25	we re back on video record.
	Page 127		Page 129
1	VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 9:44.	1	Q That's all the questions I have
1 2	VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 9:44. We're off video record.	1 2	Q That's all the questions I have right now. Thank you for your time tonight,
		1	—
2	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video	2	right now. Thank you for your time tonight,
2 3	We're off video record.	2 3	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE:
2 3 4	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.)	2 3 4	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you
2 3 4 5	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of	2 3 4 5	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE:
2 3 4 5 6	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have	2 3 4 5 6	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first
2 3 4 5 6 7	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we	2 3 4 5 6 7	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a report in the Petrucci case from November 26 of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a report in the Petrucci case from November 26 of 2019, do you recall that case? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes. Q And when was she first prescribed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a report in the Petrucci case from November 26 of 2019, do you recall that case? A Yes. Q Do you recall writing in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes. Q And when was she first prescribed Percocet?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a report in the Petrucci case from November 26 of 2019, do you recall that case? A Yes. Q Do you recall writing in your report that Maryann Petruccio (Phonetic) suffered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes. Q And when was she first prescribed Percocet? A This record states December of 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a report in the Petrucci case from November 26 of 2019, do you recall that case? A Yes. Q Do you recall writing in your report that Maryann Petruccio (Phonetic) suffered a cervical sprain/strain as a result of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes. Q And when was she first prescribed Percocet? A This record states December of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a report in the Petrucci case from November 26 of 2019, do you recall that case? A Yes. Q Do you recall writing in your report that Maryann Petruccio (Phonetic) suffered a cervical sprain/strain as a result of the accident that has gone on to heal without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes. Q And when was she first prescribed Percocet? A This record states December of 2013. Q That was by Dr. Gellis? A I believe so. The start date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We're off video record. (Whereupon we're off the video record at this time.) MR. CLARK: Well, I now have actual reports from the doctor. Same kind of theme, sprain/strain, spinal, auto. So do we want to pop them up or do you want me to send them to you or how MR. MAZZIE: Put them up on the screen. MR. CLARK: Okay. Hold on. We can go back on. VIDEOGRAPHER: The team is 9:46. We're back on video record. Q Doctor, do you recall issuing a report in the Petrucci case from November 26 of 2019, do you recall that case? A Yes. Q Do you recall writing in your report that Maryann Petruccio (Phonetic) suffered a cervical sprain/strain as a result of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right now. Thank you for your time tonight, Doctor, and your patience. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAZZIE: Q Doctor, on cross-examination you were asked about when the plaintiff was first prescribed Flexeril. A Yes. Q And you had been asked on direct and you looked at Dr. Gellis' records. A Yes. Q When was the plaintiff first prescribed Flexeril, was it before or after the accident? A Before in 2014. March of 2014. Q So before the accident? A Yes. Q And when was she first prescribed Percocet? A This record states December of 2013. Q That was by Dr. Gellis?

1	Q Okay. And would you be surprised	1 CERTIFICATE
2	if she was continued to be prescribed Flexeril	2
3	after the accident?	3 I, MARIBEL SYPNIEWSKI, a
4	A No. You don't get one	4 Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the
5	prescription for these medications in perpetuity.	5 State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that prior
6	You have to keep renewing them when they run out.	6 to the commencement of the examination, the
7	So, no, I'm not surprised at all.	7 witness was duly sworn by me to testify the
8	Q Now, Doctor, you were asked about	8 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
9	certain comments made at the time of the	9 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the
10	plaintiff's IME?	10 foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of
11	A Yes.	11 the testimony as taken stenographically by and
12	Q And it was noted that you didn't	<ul><li>before me at the time, place and on the date</li><li>hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my</li></ul>
13	have everything in the report verbatim.	
14	A That's right.	14   ability.     15   I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am
15	Q Do you ever put in your report	
16	everything that a party you're examining says	
17	verbatim?	J 1
18	A I don't. And I don't know anyone	<ul><li>18 that I am neither a relative nor employee of such</li><li>19 attorney or counsel, and that I am not</li></ul>
19	that does.	20 financially interested in the action.
20	Q And would putting verbatim	20 manciary interested in the action. 21
21	comments about things that the plaintiff claims	22
22	they can't do, such as, they can't work, or they	23 MARIBEL SYPNIEWSKI, C
23	can't fly off building, would that have anything	LICENSE NO. 30XI0020320
24	to do with your evaluation as an orthopedic	2.4
25	surgeon?	24 25 Marile Japonuna
	surgeon.	
	Page 131	
	-	
1	A No.	
2	Q I have nothing further.	
3	MR. CLARK: No more questions here	
4	too either. Thank you very much.	
5	MR. MAZZIE: That's it. Off the	
6	record.	
7	VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes	
8	today's video deposition with Dr. Rizio. The	
9	time is, approximately, 9:51. We're now off	
10	video record.	
11	(Concluded at 9:51 p.m.)	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24		

34 (Pages 130 to 132)

# Page 133

	addendum 68:3	<b>ankle</b> 55:14,16	appropriateness	21:21
<u>A</u>	additional 11:11	55:18,19	44:14	<b>atrophy</b> 26:11
<b>a.m</b> 58:4	51:18 68:4	annoyed 120:1	approximate 5:2	27:22,22,23
<b>ABC</b> 1:9	address 5:20	<b>answer</b> 63:18	110:16	28:3 44:25
<b>ability</b> 132:14	37:21 122:12	64:10 66:8,15	approximately	46:15,19 93:5
<b>able</b> 42:10 51:21		· · ·	34:14 35:7	40:13,19 93:3 95:11
59:10 94:2	adequately 77:12	66:19 87:6	131:9	
abnormalities		89:14 93:12		attorney 3:5 63:22 101:11
31:9 34:1	adjoins 34:4	100:2 101:6	approximates 99:19	
above-entitled	adjunct 21:1	102:2 107:24		132:16,19
2:7	administration	107:25 108:2,7	approximations	attorneys 3:8
absence 54:4	7:14	108:10 111:22	114:7	5:6 58:19 60:2
absolutely 22:16	admit 8:12	111:25 112:19	<b>April</b> 30:24	61:16 62:15,20
59:13	admitting 8:7,10	113:2 116:6	31:13 32:16	audio 72:10
absurd 61:1	10:16,19	118:3 119:3,5	area 10:23 11:24	authoritative
academy 7:13	advance 103:3	answered	32:14	118:25
accept 112:4	advanced 10:13	106:11	areas 12:3 26:1	auto 127:7
accident 16:3,25	31:18	answering	28:13 30:8,22	available 18:2
18:11,13,22	advertises 61:15	112:18	45:9	Avenue 3:4
20:9,10 29:5	62:14	answers 12:23	arms 21:15	aware 12:14
34:13 35:8,13	afraid 23:14	anybody 124:5	arriving 115:3	29:4 118:6,8
36:20,21 38:2	81:24 82:9	anymore 33:3	arthritic 52:16	118:11
38:19,21 39:10	<b>age</b> 34:9	anytime 16:10	53:9,12	B
39:23 47:11	aggravated	apologize 14:1	arthritis 53:20	<b>B</b> 1:5 3:14 4:9
49:6 51:20	107:7	40:21 110:7	<b>aside</b> 14:13	
52:11,14,15	aging 114:15	<b>appear</b> 37:16	asked 12:2	<b>back</b> 6:4 13:21
53:4,5,7,12,13	<b>ago</b> 16:16 59:1	60:25 86:12	13:21 44:18	16:22 17:12
53:22 70:20	78:14 107:6	117:9	64:1,2 73:21	18:9,14 19:5
71:10,16,24	<b>agree</b> 50:19,20	appearance	76:5 79:3	19:15 22:24
72:23 73:24	95:1 97:19	31:10	83:25 87:13	25:9 36:22,22
74:3 76:19	98:24 102:5	appearances	90:25 98:15	36:25 38:16
91:12 100:10	116:13,17,24	57:19	106:10 107:3,3	39:12,14 40:4
106:7,8,16	121:21 122:21	<b>appears</b> 45:4,10	129:6,9 130:8	41:16 43:2
107:14 118:17	122:22 126:20	applicant 11:2	<b>asking</b> 42:17	44:14,17 46:5
127:23 128:2	ahead 10:4	application	46:6 51:15	48:19 49:4,6
129:14,16	<b>al</b> 5:4	10:25	64:2 65:8,18	50:8 51:1,20
130:3	alleged 101:4	appointment	71:14,14 74:21	52:18,19 54:8
account 97:4,9	alleges 78:13	15:12	89:22 92:23	56:16 60:1
97:25 99:1	<b>allow</b> 10:20	appointments	94:25 124:19	61:2,4 62:5,7
100:18	allowed 7:12	114:4,5,6	124:21 125:2	62:10,12 64:18
accurate 70:13	altered 22:11	appreciate	aspect 15:20	65:22,25 68:1
99:11 132:10	ambiguous	126:21	assessment	68:15 70:25
act 61:24	12:24 93:14	apprehension	102:21 103:15	71:9,12,19,23
action 132:17,20	ambulate 51:21	23:23	<b>assist</b> 62:20	71:24 72:2,17
actual 15:5,6	ambulating 21:6	apprehensive	assume 30:3	74:13 75:16,20
127:6	amount 11:1	82:1	103:16	76:14,19,21
acute 8:23 35:8	17:13 27:3	appropriate	assuming 50:3	80:9,10,12,14
35:15 54:5	69:15	21:14 23:16	80:9	80:16 81:22
128:1	analysis 98:21	78:10 79:23	asymmetry	83:4,6,16 84:5
	l			

Г

#### Page 134

	I	I	I	I
84:25 85:1,3	begins 84:2	69:12 70:2	<b>bulging</b> 30:17	57:19,23 58:1
85:14 86:6,8	behalf 5:13	125:1	30:20 31:21	59:17 63:1,5
87:18,20,23	58:18	bleeding 51:24	33:16 35:1	67:17 68:5,19
88:10 89:16,18	<b>believe</b> 12:16	<b>board</b> 7:3,7,16	49:5 51:11	80:2 87:17
89:20 90:17,21	19:3,21 20:23	7:17,18,21	bumped 88:19	95:18 96:10,11
91:23 92:1,10	34:17 36:1,9	10:6 11:14	90:7	97:3,11,15,18
92:11,18,19	39:2 40:8 56:6	13:18 14:12	<b>bunch</b> 30:14	97:21,24 99:3
93:1,1,17	57:6 63:7 70:1	103:3	business 5:20	99:7 100:21
94:14 96:8	73:4 79:3	<b>bodies</b> 32:5,10		101:12 102:8
97:10 99:23	82:19 95:13,20	32:24	<u> </u>	105:5 118:6,10
104:21 105:16	96:17 101:14	<b>body</b> 17:25	<b>C</b> 3:1 132:1,1	118:14,22
107:24 108:2	101:21 102:5	25:13 30:2,13	<b>C.C.R</b> 132:23	119:1,8,19,23
108:12 109:4,6	116:8,10,11	32:2 110:12	<b>C3-4</b> 33:16,20	120:3,6,7,16
112:22,25	118:4,13	<b>bone</b> 8:21 32:25	C4-5 33:13,15	122:9,23 123:6
113:18,20	128:12 129:23	100:16	33:19	123:9,15,23,25
115:1,23 122:4	Belmar 3:4	bones 34:4	<b>C5</b> 32:24	124:12,12,13
122:7 125:12	belt 91:13	<b>bother</b> 71:21	<b>C5-6</b> 32:20	125:18,21
125:15 126:8	bend 23:21	bottom 32:4	<b>C6-7</b> 33:13,15	126:5,12
126:10 127:13	81:13,13	45:20	33:19	127:17,18
127:15 128:23	bending 19:10	<b>bound</b> 59:11	<b>C7</b> 33:14	128:2,5,11
128:25	81:25	braces 74:24,24	call 34:2 101:11	cases 11:24
background	bene 1:14 2:5	75:5,24 76:1,7	117:18	118:8 124:24
89:25	117:18	brain 22:24	called 2:6 8:13	Castle 101:18
balance 40:14	benefit 6:9	brand 76:23	58:25 61:7	CAT 16:7 28:18
82:15	54:16	Bratcher 123:6	126:11	28:23,25 29:1
<b>bang</b> 99:20	<b>bent</b> 25:6	123:15	<b>camera</b> 58:11	29:8 35:12
<b>bang</b> 99:20 <b>bar</b> 79:24	bent 25:6 BER-L-7134-18	123:15 breakdown 34:8	Cammisa	29:8 35:12 cause 19:20
0			<b>Cammisa</b> 107:17	
bar 79:24	BER-L-7134-18	breakdown 34:8	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's	cause 19:20
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11	<b>BER-L-7134-18</b> 1:3 5:4	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23	<b>Cammisa</b> 107:17 <b>Cammisa's</b> 107:22	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER	<b>breakdown</b> 34:8 <b>breath</b> 51:23 <b>Brehme</b> 1:5 3:14	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12	<b>BER-L-7134-18</b> 1:3 5:4 <b>BERENGUER</b> 3:11	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25	<b>Cammisa</b> 107:17 <b>Cammisa's</b> 107:22 <b>Capozzi</b> 63:21 64:3	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16 116:9
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16 116:9 <b>ceiling</b> 81:13
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15	<b>BER-L-7134-18</b> 1:3 5:4 <b>BERENGUER</b> 3:11 <b>Bergen</b> 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16 116:9 <b>ceiling</b> 81:13 <b>center</b> 6:16 8:13
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16 116:9 <b>ceiling</b> 81:13 <b>center</b> 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20	<b>BER-L-7134-18</b> 1:3 5:4 <b>BERENGUER</b> 3:11 <b>Bergen</b> 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 <b>best</b> 132:13 <b>better</b> 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16 116:9 <b>ceiling</b> 81:13 <b>center</b> 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16 116:9 <b>ceiling</b> 81:13 <b>center</b> 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 <b>central</b> 22:10,23
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13	<b>BER-L-7134-18</b> 1:3 5:4 <b>BERENGUER</b> 3:11 <b>Bergen</b> 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 <b>best</b> 132:13 <b>better</b> 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16	<b>BER-L-7134-18</b> 1:3 5:4 <b>BERENGUER</b> 3:11 <b>Bergen</b> 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 <b>best</b> 132:13 <b>better</b> 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 <b>beyond</b> 92:4 120:1	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14	<b>BER-L-7134-18</b> 1:3 5:4 <b>BERENGUER</b> 3:11 <b>Bergen</b> 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 <b>best</b> 132:13 <b>better</b> 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 <b>beyond</b> 92:4 120:1 <b>big</b> 33:16 116:1	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14 76:4	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 beyond 92:4 120:1 big 33:16 116:1 biggest 77:18	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8 broken 100:16	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8 carrying 66:9	<b>cause</b> 19:20 55:10 <b>caused</b> 96:14 <b>causes</b> 94:16 116:9 <b>ceiling</b> 81:13 <b>center</b> 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 <b>central</b> 22:10,23 <b>certain</b> 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3 37:17 71:3 112:13 116:19 130:9
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14 76:4 basis 44:1,4	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 beyond 92:4 120:1 big 33:16 116:1 biggest 77:18 bilateral 40:3	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8 broken 100:16 brought 75:25	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8 carrying 66:9 case 16:19 17:15	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3 37:17 71:3 112:13 116:19 130:9 certainly 55:24
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14 76:4	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 beyond 92:4 120:1 big 33:16 116:1 biggest 77:18 bilateral 40:3 bilaterally 41:9	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8 broken 100:16	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8 carrying 66:9 case 16:19 17:15 17:15 18:5	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3 37:17 71:3 112:13 116:19 130:9 certainly 55:24 certification 7:7
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14 76:4 basis 44:1,4 79:16 Bayonne 10:24	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 beyond 92:4 120:1 big 33:16 116:1 biggest 77:18 bilateral 40:3 bilaterally 41:9 54:2	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8 broken 100:16 brought 75:25 Brunswick 6:11 building 130:23	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8 carrying 66:9 case 16:19 17:15 17:15 18:5 29:5 43:3,3	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3 37:17 71:3 112:13 116:19 130:9 certainly 55:24 certification 7:7 7:22 8:3 11:15
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14 76:4 basis 44:1,4 79:16 Bayonne 10:24 Bear 48:7	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 beyond 92:4 120:1 big 33:16 116:1 biggest 77:18 bilateral 40:3 bilaterally 41:9 54:2 billing 16:25	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8 broken 100:16 brought 75:25 Brunswick 6:11 building 130:23 built 66:12	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8 carrying 66:9 case 16:19 17:15 17:15 18:5 29:5 43:3,3 44:7 47:16	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3 37:17 71:3 112:13 116:19 130:9 certainly 55:24 certification 7:7 7:22 8:3 11:15 11:19,20
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14 76:4 basis 44:1,4 79:16 Bayonne 10:24	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 beyond 92:4 120:1 big 33:16 116:1 biggest 77:18 bilateral 40:3 bilaterally 41:9 54:2	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8 broken 100:16 brought 75:25 Brunswick 6:11 building 130:23	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8 carrying 66:9 case 16:19 17:15 17:15 18:5 29:5 43:3,3 44:7 47:16 50:16,17 52:4	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3 37:17 71:3 112:13 116:19 130:9 certainly 55:24 certification 7:7 7:22 8:3 11:15
bar 79:24 Barnabas 8:11 10:24 base 32:13 66:12 based 17:24 38:5 100:18 baseline 19:15 52:18 basic 22:19,20 basically 17:19 21:19 24:13 25:7 31:17 33:2 43:25 61:18 62:16 63:11 66:14 76:4 basis 44:1,4 79:16 Bayonne 10:24 Bear 48:7	BER-L-7134-18 1:3 5:4 BERENGUER 3:11 Bergen 1:2 118:15 120:5 122:10 best 132:13 better 26:5 40:5 58:14 61:16 62:14 64:4 82:16 beyond 92:4 120:1 big 33:16 116:1 biggest 77:18 bilateral 40:3 bilaterally 41:9 54:2 billing 16:25	breakdown 34:8 breath 51:23 Brehme 1:5 3:14 5:3,12 9:24,25 13:23 14:15,24 16:13 71:11 89:22 brief 16:19 briefly 7:6 8:16 16:18 44:20 66:23 bring 90:1 broad 125:9 broadly 88:15 120:8 broken 100:16 brought 75:25 Brunswick 6:11 building 130:23 built 66:12	Cammisa 107:17 Cammisa's 107:22 Capozzi 63:21 64:3 car 18:11 49:6 51:19 99:21,22 117:22 care 17:6 career 57:1 Carl 3:6 5:13 carpal 26:19 54:2,5,6 carpel 40:8 carrying 66:9 case 16:19 17:15 17:15 18:5 29:5 43:3,3 44:7 47:16	cause 19:20 55:10 caused 96:14 causes 94:16 116:9 ceiling 81:13 center 6:16 8:13 8:14 47:18 48:22 central 22:10,23 certain 11:9,23 11:24 23:15 24:20 27:3 37:17 71:3 112:13 116:19 130:9 certainly 55:24 certification 7:7 7:22 8:3 11:15 11:19,20

13:18 14:12	65:15	colleagues 9:22	completed 11:2	connection
132:4	clarify 65:18	13:6	completely	48:23
certify 132:5,9	94:2	<b>column</b> 24:8	55:23 71:19	<b>consider</b> 44:9
132:15	<b>Clark</b> 3:3,3 4:6	combined 125:2	<b>completion</b> 7:10	56:22
cervical 21:8	5:10,11,11,22	come 22:24 52:2	complex 34:2	consideration
28:21,21 30:15	10:2,5 14:1,7	54:20 67:16	39:17,22 50:4	97:21 99:10
31:7 32:12,16	40:20,25 42:3	<b>comes</b> 60:5,6	50:17	considered
33:8 52:7,24	42:17 43:1,11	67:3	<b>compress</b> 26:25	77:11 99:13
73:2 81:7,8	43:24 45:16.23	commencement	compression	consist 21:17
127:22	48:12 49:12	132:6	24:15 26:21,24	constant 19:11
<b>change</b> 34:6	50:1,15,24	commencing	28:2 30:9,12	40:4
52:15 53:13	56:9,17 62:9	2:13 58:3	41:18 54:14	consultation
100:11 103:24	64:20 65:3,14	comment 93:8	conceded 125:3	64:22
changed 100:17	65:21 67:19	commented	concentrating	consulting 64:25
100:24 101:24	68:8 75:11,16	93:21,23	40:16	contemplating
changes 29:11	78:17 79:1,18	commenting	concerned 66:4	40:6
29:13 30:2,3	79:19 80:6,13	93:6	82:5	continue 78:12
31:5,7,18	82:23 83:4,8	comments 130:9	concerns 23:23	86:17 98:3
52:16 53:9,21	83:14 84:16	130:21	99:4	continued 13:20
114:22	85:5 87:9,19	<b>common</b> 96:19	conclude 53:1	19:20 110:15
changing 114:25	90:11,17 96:3	117:25 118:4	concluded	111:13 130:2
charge 57:12,16	104:12,18	companies	118:18 131:11	continues 20:6
66:24 67:6,7,9	105:9 106:9,17	58:19 61:17	concludes 131:7	continuing
67:11,13,15,17	106:23 107:8	62:15,21	conclusions 18:3	119:17
68:3	107:16,21,23	company 1:9	43:20 52:2,6	contradicts 44:4
charged 57:20	108:23 109:4	18:17 58:25	54:19 97:24	contrary 44:3
charging 60:6	112:16 113:12	61:6,7,10,13	99:16,24	contusion 54:15
117:11	113:18 115:15	74:18	concussion	contusions
<b>chart</b> 106:18	119:7,18	comparing	39:16	53:25
115:2	120:15,24	73:20	condition 36:17	conversation
<b>check</b> 11:10,10	121:6,16,19	comparison	114:15 117:24	63:20
chest 32:11,14	122:3 123:18	88:14	118:20 122:16	convincing
51:23	124:23 125:11	compensation	conditions 8:23	53:14
<b>chores</b> 19:13	126:7,24 127:5	63:13	8:23 9:17	copied 88:17
77:10,11 80:25	127:12 128:14	complained 24:4	<b>conduct</b> 9:12,25	<b>copy</b> 64:8
<b>chose</b> 10:21	128:20 131:3	81:4	13:22 21:3	cord 22:23
<b>chronic</b> 8:19,22	<b>clean</b> 102:17	complaint 51:21	40:19 41:2	CORPORATI
28:1,2	<b>clear</b> 106:14	54:9	45:7	1:9
chronological	110:11 121:21	complaints	conducted 16:12	correct 10:7,13
36:6	cleared 12:24	18:23 25:25	17:16 45:11,13	10:18 11:16
<b>circus</b> 107:20	<b>client</b> 75:25	39:23 51:22	46:7	25:19 34:20
Civil 2:9	79:10	53:17 54:5,17	conduction 17:3	35:14 40:18
<b>claim</b> 117:23	<b>clinical</b> 26:20,24	72:1,16 102:13	54:1	47:22 48:24
claimed 96:14	54:13	102:18 103:11	confusing 94:2	50:22,22 56:20
<b>claims</b> 118:10	coincidence	103:17 104:7	confusion 40:15	57:5,9,21
130:21	116:1	complete 22:25	71:4	58:25 59:5,25
claming 128:8	collagen 34:8	78:20 115:8,20	conjunction	60:14 61:7,10
clarification	colleague 13:2,8	115:21	47:21	61:19 62:17
	I	I		I

64:23 67:4,8	103:3 121:3,21	daily 107:18	76:16 79:20	deposition 1:14
72:24 73:10,14	121:22,22,24	damage 96:21	80:19 83:21	2:6,8 5:5 57:2
80:23 85:24	132:4	97:23	84:17 109:8	57:7 60:13
86:15 88:2,12	courthouse	date 1:15 5:2	118:11,17	63:7,24 64:2,9
91:17 92:2,6	120:5,6	14:18 36:8	122:12 123:7	65:23 66:2
94:9,23 95:4	<b>cover</b> 94:10,16	39:1 40:21	123:24 125:16	96:10 97:16
95:19 101:13	covering 94:11	45:16,17	126:12,15	117:12,12,15
102:10 104:8	covers 77:12	104:25 129:23	deficient 85:24	117:17,19
105:1 108:14	<b>crash</b> 70:10	132:12	86:13	122:2 131:8
108:18 109:21	97:22,23 98:9	dated 104:24	deficit 27:16	depositions
111:8,16 113:5	99:25 100:25	128:5	93:23	67:12
113:7 115:3	101:25 111:14	day 20:5,6 29:15	deficits 27:13	depression
123:1	113:24 114:8	35:12 37:14,17	92:11,18 93:1	20:25
correctly 66:3	114:19 115:10	37:24 38:19	93:18 95:7,10	describing
66:20 72:23	115:11 116:3	40:23 57:20	95:12	103:14
106:19	116:15,18,23	60:12 90:6	definitely	description 4:10
counsel 14:6	117:1,6,22,22	100:15 117:3	106:11,13	15:7
18:3 42:16,19	128:7	days 37:17	111:22	despite 115:7,20
43:16 45:20	crashes 97:1	69:19 76:24	deformity 30:9	detail 11:1 15:1
60:23 61:20	create 33:1	100:20 114:2	30:11	details 11:7
77:25 78:25	creating 79:11	116:22,23	degeneration	14:25
83:21 84:17	credentialing	de 1:14 2:5	29:17,21,24	detect 24:9
87:6,12,13	11:8	117:18	34:8,11	developed 36:22
89:6,10 101:1	criminal 89:12	deal 79:5	degenerative	diagnosis 49:10
101:5 106:8,21	cross 4:2 43:5	deals 8:18	8:23 29:11,13	49:15 50:3,17
107:3,6,12,19	44:2 49:13	December 29:1	30:8,13 31:5,6	51:2,5 102:7,8
115:12,12,18	50:6 56:17	29:6,14 34:14	31:16 33:12,18	102:16 103:20
117:16 119:1,4	64:20,22	36:2,9,10,12	34:5,23 114:14	102:10 103:20
119:21 120:19	cross-examina	37:5,15,20	116:9 117:9,24	115:3
121:5 123:16	79:3,8 87:10	109:21 111:15	118:20 122:16	diagnostic
121.5 125.10	120:22 129:5	114:9 129:20	127:25	102:14,20
132:17,19	cross-examine	decide 80:5	degree 41:15	102:14,20
counsel's 125:17	44:8	decreased 25:25	54:21	115:1
				diatribe 119:22
counseling	cross-examini 78:23	41:14 dedicated 20:21	<b>degrees</b> 25:10	difference 15:17
15:19 County 1:2 58:2		dedicated 30:21	85:16,16,20,20	33:16 35:4
County 1:2 58:2	<b>CT</b> 113:25 <b>cued</b> 80:8	<b>deep</b> 21:14 22:2 22:8	86:14,14,15 delivered 76:24	33:16 35:4 43:15 52:14
63:1 118:15				
120:5	current 8:2	defendant 3:8	demonstrate	53:8
couple 35:21	currently 8:11	5:14 79:20	44:3	different 7:8
54:23 109:24	8:25	Defendants 1:11	demonstrative	11:18 16:4,23
<b>course</b> 16:2	<b>cut</b> 107:6	<b>defense</b> 43:6	102:25 103:2	21:20 22:1
24:17 26:18	Cyclobenzapr	56:20 57:4	<b>denied</b> 18:22	24:2 27:13
55:11	37:23	58:18,19,20,22	51:23	30:15 36:22,25
<b>court</b> 1:1,22 2:9	D	58:24,24 59:3	deny 71:8,9	55:17,20 65:1
2:10 5:8 57:19	$\mathbf{D}$	59:4,18,21	124:5,6,6	65:1 76:2
60:10,12,12	<b>D</b> 4:1 <b>D-6</b> 38:24	61:6,17 62:15	dep 60:12 78:7	85:23 94:16
61:1 62:9		63:5 68:19	depending	differently
79:13 89:14	<b>D-8</b> 45:2	73:20 74:4	44:13	58:13
	I	l	I	I

	110 15 100 4	111 1 100 0		
difficult 23:22	118:15 120:4	111:1 120:9	e-mail 119:11	Essex 58:2
81:2	122:10 125:19	125:8	123:18	Estate 3:8
difficulty 19:10	125:20	<b>dog</b> 77:1	<b>ear</b> 40:14	et 5:4
19:12,22 40:15	doctor 6:19 7:3	<b>doing</b> 9:8 23:16	earlier 69:21	evaluate 23:7
77:10 80:25	8:6,16 9:23	57:3,8 59:6,12	88:11 115:4	evaluated 17:25
diffusely 23:25	13:21 14:14	60:14 62:1	earn 63:14	evaluation 14:24
dire 4:2 10:3,5	15:6 17:7	63:10,19 68:3	education 6:9	15:21 41:22
13:15	27:21 28:10,25	79:15 82:5	effect 61:18	44:23 45:8,11
direct 4:2 6:5	30:5 33:21	90:3 101:5	62:17	46:7 47:10,25
13:20 78:19	35:19,22 36:13	119:3	effort 65:17	48:23 79:13
82:2,8 83:18	37:2 39:14	<b>door</b> 87:18	either 25:1,14	81:2 89:1
83:20,25 91:1	40:19,19 41:2	doubt 53:18	26:21 42:17	130:24
92:10,16,24	41:21 44:18,23	54:16 90:9	43:12 131:4	evaluations 5:20
93:16 94:6,8	45:7,11 46:7	96:18 100:8	elaborate 27:25	17:1 60:2
95:8 98:15	48:3,20 49:8	102:6	electrical 28:4	evening 2:13 5:1
105:1,18 108:4	50:3,9 51:2	<b>Dr</b> 5:5 6:6,8	elements 102:9	6:6,7 83:19
129:9	52:1 54:19,23	10:6 13:18	102:12	event 94:5
disagree 87:9	56:7 62:6 65:5	35:23 38:23	elicit 21:21	117:20
<b>disc</b> 30:8,14,17	65:8 66:1 68:2	39:2 48:6	24:14,18,19	everyday 20:8
30:20 31:9,16	68:16 74:16	49:23 50:13	26:21,22	37:17
31:20,20,21,22	75:12 76:3	56:18 61:5	else's 122:20	evidence 29:24
33:1,11,13,14	78:9,14,18,22	71:8,13,18,22	124:4	35:15 50:18
33:15,19 34:23	79:4,21 80:10	74:1 76:18	emergency 17:2	52:9 53:14
34:24 35:1,1	80:17 83:7,17	77:3,14 83:18	18:13 39:13	54:13 87:8
discomfort	87:24 89:20	86:18 88:5	51:3,7,19 54:6	108:17,19,21
19:20 37:21	90:22 96:9	92:14 95:16	54:9,18 97:13	127:24 128:10
discovery 78:11	105:17 106:19	104:24 107:1	99:2	evident 32:20
discs 49:5 51:11	108:3 109:7	107:17 118:17	EMG 54:1	84:5 85:13
disease 30:8	112:16 113:2	129:10,22	<b>EMGs</b> 54:3	exacerbation
31:17 33:13	113:21 114:4	131:8	114:1	52:20 53:16
dislocations	115:19 118:11	dramatically	emotionally	exact 15:1 29:20
29:10	119:13 122:8	100:24 101:24	77:17	49:22 62:18
disorders 8:19	125:3,16	draw 103:16	employee	69:3
dispute 74:3	126:11 127:6	drawing 103:2	132:16,18	exactly 11:6
75:1 80:17,20	127:16 129:3,5	drawn 103:7	enhances 124:8	12:4 43:8
113:22 114:7	130:8	driver 91:12	<b>entail</b> 33:10	63:18 64:10
114:23 120:10	doctor's 43:17	125:21	entire 8:19 16:1	66:19 69:14
124:14 125:4	49:15 79:13	drug 20:21	39:24 72:1,16	102:10
disputed 79:6	doctors 42:7	drunk 125:21	123:17,21	exam 9:25 11:18
disputes 79:4,5	doctors' 17:5	due 19:9 21:10	entirely 115:23	13:22 16:12
124:20	<b>document</b> 50:12	52:21 86:23	epidural 19:4	17:14,16,23,24
disputing 72:17	50:18 101:23	88:1 118:19	equal 27:16,17	
114:17	118:25 120:14	122:15	27:18	21:3,5,7,11,13 23:4,12 27:8
distribution	122:25 123:17	duly 5:16 132:7	<b>ESQ</b> 3:3,6,11	28:11 38:8,18
26:23	122:23 123:17	uny 5.10 152.7	esse 1:14 2:6	41:3,5 44:25
<b>DIVISION</b> 1:2		E	117:18	
docket 1:3 5:4	126:18,22 documents	<b>E</b> 3:1,1,10,10 4:1		46:11,12,13,14 54:12,14 56:23
		4:9 132:1,1	essentially 88:8	
58:2 63:1	16:24 17:1	1.7 1.5 2.1,1	92:18 102:15	58:24,25 59:3
	I	1	1	I

	I			
59:12,12 61:6	60:5,7,11	fact 63:15 70:24	104:9 111:11	106:7 107:13
61:6,7,16	61:17 62:16	106:7	<b>films</b> 16:4,5,6	108:6 129:7,13
62:14 66:25	66:23	fact-finding	18:1 28:10	130:2
67:10 68:19,25	exchange 71:6	80:1	30:23 34:12	flexing 27:1
69:6,18,20,25	72:3 74:4	factor 43:7	67:7,10,11,16	flexion 21:9
70:1 72:4,18	76:15 79:7	facts 126:5	100:11 111:1	85:16 86:13
73:14,21 74:5	80:18	fade 28:6	financial 79:11	<b>floor</b> 65:1
75:2 76:5,7,14	<b>excuse</b> 41:17	Faduz 57:3,8,18	financially	fly 130:23
76:16 80:19	exhibit 102:25	58:7	77:18 132:20	focus 9:3
81:23 92:11,18	103:2	failed 26:12	find 25:23 36:2	<b>followed</b> 6:12,16
92:21 93:1,7	exist 118:9	fair 43:6 79:3,8	41:5 53:14	following 18:13
93:17 94:12,17	exists 122:22	80:1	54:13 74:23	36:21 76:15
94:21 95:3,7	124:6	Fairfield 5:21	finding 41:24	follows 5:17
95:10,12,14,15	expected 27:20	5:21	48:6 74:22	foot 39:24 46:19
95:22 102:14	expedited 79:16	fairly 65:20	87:5 114:23	footdrop 39:25
102:20 104:8	expenses 66:10	fairness 42:10	findings 30:6	40:3
109:8	expert 14:2,3,6	50:7	33:18 34:23	force 24:3,3 82:6
examination 2:7	61:7,16 62:14	fall 109:13	41:12 43:8,13	forearm 27:14
6:5 7:11,12,13	118:17 122:12	111:14 115:22	43:19,22 44:21	foregoing
10:5 11:15,21	122:17 123:7	falling 19:9	47:13 48:10	132:10
12:9,10,12	123:24 126:12	<b>family</b> 66:16	49:2,8 51:7	forensic 56:23
13:20 14:19	126:15	far 23:20 79:6	53:8,12 54:1	forget 43:3 59:8
15:9,15,18	expert's 43:8	124:7	87:14 88:9	forgetful 40:15
23:18 41:6,17	expertise 63:13	February 31:2	117:9	form 61:21
45:14 46:9	explain 7:6	33:8 34:19	fine 19:17 62:1	75:10,22 76:2
47:21 56:17	26:15 64:4	35:6 36:4,11	65:3 71:15,19	98:17 100:2
69:23 78:19	79:21	125:20	73:14 76:2	102:2 116:6
82:3 83:18,20	explaining	fee 68:3	80:14 87:14	118:3
83:25 91:1,22	43:18	feel 21:23,23	99:4 107:19	former 6:13
92:10,17,25	extending 32:4	23:15	Finger 107:1	formulate 100:3
93:17,22 94:6	extension 21:10	feelings 24:20	finished 6:14	forth 132:13
94:9 105:1	85:22 86:14	feels 19:7 70:17	firing 28:4	fortunately 53:6
129:4 132:6	extent 126:21	70:18,18 72:21	<b>Firm</b> 3:3 5:11	FOSTER 3:6
examine 14:14	external 23:1	feet 8:21	first 28:25 36:19	found 27:12,13
26:3	externally 22:22	fellowship 6:17	39:1 40:23	27:16 47:7
examined 5:16	externary 22.22 extra 37:18	10:14	45:4 68:17,25	54:3
26:9 28:13	63:14 67:10,11	felt 19:7,8,19,22	84:10,12,24	<b>four</b> 20:5 55:20
70:5	67:15	19:23 23:13	85:12 91:7	102:9,11,14,15
examining	extremely 77:22	53:3 99:22	107:9,11	102:22 103:6
130:16	extremities	female 91:11	109:23 129:6	fractures 9:10
example 10:25	21:12 24:18	<b>Fiducia</b> 58:2,6	129:12,18	29:9 34:10
11:25 55:13	41:20 47:2,8	59:17	five 32:4 42:1,1	frankly 107:5
86:13	extremity 19:7	<b>field</b> 45:20	46:14,14,18,18	freely 73:1
exams 15:7,24	23:3 25:22,24	<b>fifty</b> 16:22	flat 25:13	<b>French</b> 117:18
21:17 46:8	46:14,16,16,20	<b>filed</b> 9:24 79:16	flexed 25:9	frequency 38:15
57:4,9,11	+0.1+,10,10,20	<b>fill</b> 10:25 11:7	<b>Flexeril</b> 20:7,10	frequently 19:9
58:18,24 59:3	F	<b>film</b> 34:18 35:12	20:16 37:23	20:9 117:23
59:4,5,7,23	<b>F</b> 132:1	35:17 67:7,13	38:20 105:20	<b>front</b> 90:19,23
37.4,3,7,23		55.17 07:7,15	36.20 103:20	110111 90.19,23
	1	1	1	1

<b>full</b> 21:8 36:6	<b>go</b> 5:22 10:4	93:10 99:20	40:10 121:3	hid 73:3
81:9 109:18	12:17,21,22	101:3 102:24	hands 20:4	higher 58:21
110:12,13	17:11 23:21	107:21 111:19	26:10 40:10	59:4,7
111:11	41:2 42:19	111:20 116:21	48:4	Hills 8:13,14
full-time 9:5	43:22 44:2,7	116:22 119:2,5	happened 17:22	hired 56:20 63:5
function 23:1	44:14,17 45:23	119:15 120:9	43:16 79:4	history 17:19
80:1 93:4	48:12 50:4	120:15 121:13	82:17 105:5	18:5,8 21:2
Furler 3:7	56:9,18 61:2	122:3,7 124:13	116:2,2	36:14 39:5,8
further 85:19	64:8,11 65:12	124:23 128:21	happening 30:2	39:18,19,20,22
131:2 132:9,15	65:22 67:19	good 5:1 6:6,7	68:23	47:25 49:4,5
<b>fused</b> 32:24	68:7,8 74:11	7:22,24 8:3,4	happens 15:8	51:10,18 70:8
fusion 21:10	74:13 75:11,16	21:12 23:12,18	happy 93:9	70:15 73:18
32:19,20,21	75:18 77:6	26:2 75:15	94:20	74:8 76:13,14
33:2,11 41:16	82:13,23 83:4	gotten 26:5 73:7	hard 23:11	78:20 91:8,22
52:22	83:8 84:16	grade 46:17	29:19	92:7,8 98:12
	86:4 88:14	47:2	hardship 79:12	102:12,18,20
G	89:16 90:11,17	grading 27:20	hardware 32:25	103:11,17
Gabapentin	91:23 92:20	55:19	he'll 119:10	104:6
20:11,19,20	93:13 94:20	grafting 32:25	head 14:20	hit 36:20 76:24
106:25	95:21,22 98:17	grasping 19:23	32:14 40:13	99:17,18
gait 94:6,11,15	102:16 104:12	<b>Great</b> 113:9	51:24	Hold 107:22
94:17,21,25	105:9 108:23	115:6 124:11	headaches 40:13	127:12
Gellis 88:5	109:4,24 112:2	greater 96:20,21	heal 127:23	holding 58:11
129:22	112:12,22	<b>Grecco</b> 124:12	128:9	home 77:19,19
Gellis' 35:23	113:12,18	125:17,19	healed 24:7 52:9	honest 73:9
83:18 86:18	115:1 121:6,17	126:16	53:4	honestly 80:11
129:10	121:24 122:1,4	gripping 19:22	heard 65:6	hope 49:13
general 9:10	122:11 123:22	groin 40:2	99:20 100:23	hospital 8:7,12
11:22 12:11	124:25 126:7,8	grossly 27:10	101:8	10:22 47:24
14:25 15:7	126:24 127:13	group 25:24	hears 100:22	54:10 114:1,2
17:21 21:6	128:14,22	27:19,24 46:8	Heather 58:1	hospitals 8:9
generally 59:24	goes 44:9 85:22	62:23	heel-to-toe	10:17,20,23
96:20	85:23 111:6	groups 21:21	94:13	hour 60:12 69:7
Gerald 3:3,12	going 7:2 13:21	25:22 27:14	heels 94:8,22	99:20
5:11	15:2 17:11,21	guess 14:22 42:4	help 66:11 122:8	hours 37:14
getting 43:17	18:12 33:21	70:12,12	124:1	120:23
49:14 80:2	35:20,22 37:15	gun 98:22 99:6	helpful 53:19	house 76:23,23
107:7	38:22 40:1	guy 65:20	124:10	household 19:13
give 6:8 15:6,15	42:20 43:5,12		helps 63:14	77:10,11 80:25
54:15 77:20	44:6 45:1,8	<u> </u>	hereinbefore	Hudson 10:24
112:21 113:2	49:13 50:2,5	<b>H</b> 4:9	132:13	hurt 82:6
115:18 117:20	54:8 65:11,12	Hackensack	herniation 31:21	hyperextended
118:7 121:23	65:22 66:1,11	45:3 46:7	35:1	91:16 92:5
<b>given</b> 7:13	76:13 77:5	47:18 48:21,21	herniations	
gives 44:1	78:5,6,8,12,17	half 46:17 57:20	30:14 31:22	<u> </u>
giving 14:10	80:10 81:22	60:12 69:7	33:15 34:24	ice 111:14
48:20 115:13	82:10 85:18	hammer 22:15	Hey 99:5	<b>idea</b> 17:11 90:6
123:8	87:6,15,18	hand 12:8 27:14	<b>Hi</b> 5:10	identical 100:10

ignore 65:12         36:24 45:20         interrupt 41:1         Kathleen 125:18         89:25 90:2,3,3           98:13         127:25         110:7,10         66:11,16 130:6         97:18 98:10,23           image 29:1         indication 35:5         involuntary         kiddling 101:2,2         98:13         97:18 98:10,23           28:16         information         involued 24:4         20:13,16,20         98:23 99:11         103:18,22           127:57 12:17         11:12 98:11,20         involued 24:4         20:13,16,20         117:17 121:12           13mage 29:1         initial 11:20         irritato 26:23         36:16 103:3         117:17 121:12           100:5 128:6         36:16 103:3         118:7,9 123:8         knowig 72:4         knowig 72:4           101:1         injured 59:10         100:5,13         55:4,14         19:17,17 13:5,10         11:2 30:20           106:12,14         52:3 55:2         126:17         issue 82:1,2,122         knows 71:4 8:22         11:23 30:20           106:12,14         52:3 55:2         126:17         issue 82:1,2,122         knows 71:4 8:22         13:2:3 31:2           106:6,6,7         118:21 122:18         items 110:21,21         16:8,21 17:23         31:19.22         12:3:3:19.21           106:46,6,7         3			16.04		00 10 10 00 04
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	identify 26:12	indicates 22:25	16:24	K	88:13,19,22,24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0		-	Kathleen 125:18	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				keep 7:2 63:16	
$\begin{array}{                                    $			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	66:11,16 130:6	,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0			kidding 101:2,2	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0 0			kind 11:4,25	,
immediately 69:24         101:12,13 informs 51:14 informs 51:14 initial 11:20         100:5 128:6 irritatel 26:23 irritatel 26:23         23:24 34:3 36:16 103:3 118:7,9 123:8         knowing 79:24 knowledge 12:6           99:5         initial 11:20         irritation 24:23         118:7,9 123:8         36:16 103:3         knowledge 12:6           99:5         initially 11:17         Irwin 1:8 3:8         124:15 127:6         L         13:05:15           107:10         injections 19:4         issue 19:16,17         25:8 26:6         19:17,31:1,13         31:25           indicatent 105:19         is19 49:9         8:22 78:22         126:17         know 7:14 8:22         11-2 30:20           incident 105:19         70:16 96:14         issuig 127:16         item 104:10         14:18 15:21         13:22         12-3 31:21           incidentally         97:1 114:23         item 10:10:21,21         16:8,21 17:5         31:19,21         13:19,21           include 31:19         injuring 18:14         110:24 111:7         17:12 18:2         22:17,23 24:2         13:21 19:21           includes 11:15         108:21 117:23         50:11,11 96:6         27:2,18,19,25         30:14,16,18,21           incidentally         97:1 27:24 128:1,8         Jerry 49:16         27:2,31,22         13:22         12:33:22				20:13,16,20	
69:24         informs 51:14         irritated 26:23         26:16 103:3         knowledge 12:6           97:5 98:9 99:2         116:10         28:2         18:7,9 123:8         18:7,9 123:8         18:7,9 123:8         12:15 17.5           97:5 98:9 99:2         116:20         initiall 11:17         17:10         18:7,9 123:8         12:15 127:6         13:12         13:15 12:12         13:10 5:15         13:12         13:15 12:12         13:15 12:12         13:12         13:15 12:12         13:12         13:15 12:12         13:12         13:12         13:15 12:12         13:1		,		22:25 23:14,17	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-	100:5 128:6	23:24 34:3	0
impact 96:13,20         initial 11:20         irritation 24:23         118:7,9 123:8         knows 65:5,7           97:5 98:9 99:2         116:10         28:2         128:2         124:15 127:6         L         L3:10 5:15         L         L3:20         L3:10 5:15         L         L3:10 5:15         L         L3:10 5:15         L         L3:20         L3:10 5:15         L1:23:0:20         J3:125         L1:23:0:20         J3:125         L1:23:0:20         J3:125         L2:30:20 32:2:2         L2:30:2:1:1         L2:30:2:1         L3:30:20         L3:30:20         L3:30:2:2         <		<b>informs</b> 51:14	irritated 26:23		knowledge 12:6
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>impact</b> 96:13,20	initial 11:20	irritation 24:23		knows 65:5,7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	97:5 98:9 99:2	116:10	28:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	99:5	initially 11:17	Irwin 1:8 3:8		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	improper	116:20	5:4,14		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	107:10	injections 19:4	issue 19:16,17		
inappropriate 62: 2 91:23 incident 105:19         injured 59:10 injuries 16:9 18:19 49:9         100:5,13 issues 8:21,21,22 8:22 78:22         73:15,15 knees 11:25 25:6 knew 88:25         31:25 L1977-19 58:2 L2 30:20 32:2           106:12,14 incidentally         97:1 114:23         issuing 127:16 incidentally         111:7 13:5,10 injuring 18:14         110:21,21 incision 24:6 injuring 18:14         110:24 111:7 injury 35:16 60:9 79:22         13:129 39:10 52:10,20 39:10 52:10,20         14:18 15:21 incide 31:19 include 31:19         13:4 injury 35:16 include 16:23         13:129 55:22 86:23         14:3 48:5 30:24 70:25         110:24 111:7 100:12 108:18         110:24 111:7 106:23,24         14:18 15:21 21:18,22,24,25 21:11,20 23:14         14:5 31:19,21 35:11 21:12,20 21:12 21:18,22,24,25 21:11,20 23:14         14:5 31:19,21 21:12,20 24:22           10:12 108:18 income 63:14 27:24 128:1,8 115:24 income 63:14 27:24 128:1,8 16:25 66:21 instance 25:2 indegendent 14:23 59:11 16:25 66:21 instance 25:2 instance 25:2 indegendent 16:25 66:21 instance 25:2 instance 25:2 insta	impulse 28:4	40:11	19:18,18 71:20		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	injured 59:10	100:5,13	,	
$ \begin{array}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $		injuries 16:9	issues 8:21,21,22	,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	incident 105:19	18:19 49:9	8:22 78:22		
108:6 117:2570:16 96:14issuing 127:1611:7 13:5,10L3 32:2incidentally97:1 114:23item 104:1014:18 15:2131:19,21incision 24:6injury 35:16items 110:21,2116:8,21 17:514-5 31:19,21include 31:19injury 35:16110:24 111:717:12 18:221:18,22,24,25include 16:2355:22 86:2336:4,4 88:523:17,23 24:236:4,4 88:539:24 70:2555:22 86:2336:4,4 88:524:4,7,16,1627:2,18,19,2539:24 70:25100:12 108:18Jerry 49:1627:2,18,19,2530:14,16,18,2117:24127:24 128:1,8Jerry 49:1627:2,18,19,2530:14,16,18,21115:24innervation61:3,23 132:530:14,16,18,2131:9,21income 63:1427:24joint 8:2230:14,16,18,2131:92.3incerdible 96:25instarce 25:2joint 8:2230:14,16,18,21anguage 62:19independent16:25 6:2152:57:3,8 58:350:21 51:16,1751:17,25 52:18indicate 38:14intact 22:9 23:1jury 6:9 7:6 8:1753:17,21 54:1LaZARO 3:1155:3 75:23interested132:2079:24 80:158:7 61:114:13 115:7100:21,2263:12 64:2465:61,01,92,1159:11,11 40:198:7,8132:20100:21,2258:7 61:139:11,11 40:198:7,8132:20110:21,2258:7 61:139:11,11 40:199:16,10Intern 3:12114:13 115:765:4,4,7,9,976:15 78:2298:7,8132:20110:21,22<	106:12,14	52:3 55:2	126:17		
$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	108:6 117:25	70:16 96:14	issuing 127:16		
54:3       118:21 122:18       items 110:21,21       16:8,21 17:5       31:19,21         include 31:19       injuring 18:14       110:24 111:7       16:8,21 17:5       35:1         include 31:19       39:10 52:10,20       January 16:14       21:18,22,24,25       21:18,22,24,25         include 16:23       55:22 86:23       36:4,4 88:5       22:11,20 23:14       23:17,23 24:2         january 16:14       39:24 70:25       88:1,2 100:12       106:23,24       24:4,7,16,16       27:2,18,19,25         jncludes 11:15       108:21 117:23       Jerry 49:16       50:11,11 96:6       27:2,18,19,25       29:20,22,25       115:21       1aga 66:15         including 88:9       128:10       joint 8:22       30:14,16,18,21       30:14,16,18,21       117:19         incorporate       inside 76:23       joint 8:22       30:14,16,18,21       31:19,21       1aga 69:15         jndependent       instance 25:2       issurance 1:9       june 1:15 2:12       50:21 51:16,17       51:17,25 52:18       5:11         jadicated 8:25       132:20       jury 6:9 7:6 8:17       53:77.6       53:77.6       53:71.1       100:21,22       58:7 61:1       19:17 26:1,5         jay:10,22       jay:20,225       interested       132:20       100:21,22       58:7 61:1<	incidentally	97:1 114:23	item 104:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L3-4 30:20
incision 24:6 include 31:19 60:9 79:22 104:6,6,7       injuring 18:14 injury 35:16 39:10 52:10,20 53:5,16 54:10       110:24 111:7 January 16:14 36:4,4 88:5       17:12 18:2 21:18,22,24,25       L4-5 31:19,21 35:1         included 16:23 39:24 70:25       39:10 52:10,20 55:22 86:23       January 16:14 36:4,4 88:5       110:23,24       23:17,23 24:2       14-5 31:19,21         includes 11:15       100:12 108:18       Jerry 49:16       25:8,12 26:6       27:2,18,19,25       27:24 28:4         includes 11:15       108:21 117:23       50:11,11 96:6       27:2,18,19,25       115:21       1ag 30:1         including 88:9       128:10       2:12 3:4,7 5:21       30:14,16,18,21       39:24 70:25       50:13 95:16         incorporate       insist 112:6       insist 112:6       joint 8:22       30:24,7 5:21       30:29,9,13       35:20 36:18       1arga 69:15         inceredible 96:25       instance 25:2       instance 25:2       50:2 57:3,8 58:3       50:17,25 52:18       51:17,25 52:18       51:11         indicate 38:14       interested       79:24 80:1       53:7,52.3       53:12       26:15 77:6       58:7 61:1       19:17 26:1,5         98:7,8       interested       79:24 80:1       58:7 61:1       58:7 61:1       19:17 26:1,5       39:11,11 40:1         27:7 51:6,10       Intern 3:12       114:13 1	•	118:21 122:18	items 110:21,21		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	incision 24:6	injuring 18:14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L4-5 31:19,21
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	include 31:19				
104:6,6,753:5,16 54:10January 16:1423:17,23 24:2lack 21:9 27:23included 16:2355:22 86:2336:4,4 88:524:4,7,16,1627:24 28:439:24 70:25100:12 108:18106:23,2425:8,12 26:6115:21includes 11:15108:21 117:2350:11,11 96:627:2,18,19,2528:5,9 29:1917:24127:24 128:1,8Jersey 1:1,8,2429:20,22,2530:14,16,18,21including 88:9128:106:13,23 132:530:14,16,18,2130:14,16,18,21incore 63:1427:24JOHN 1:935:20 36:18large 69:15incore 63:1427:24judg 21:25 22:149:24 50:14,16large 69:15incredible 96:25instance 25:2s0:4 107:550:21 51:16,1751:17,25 52:18indicate 38:14intact 22:9 23:1jury 6:9 7:6 8:1753:17,21 54:1s3:17,21 54:152:15 53:1526:2 27:1116:12,18 26:1458:7 61:1s3:67 61:158:7,8interested79:24 80:158:7 61:159:11,11 40:198:7,8132:20100:21,2263:12 64:2464:15,19 61:598:7,8132:20100:21,2263:12 64:2459:11,11 40:127:7,51:6,10Intern 3:12114:13 115:765:4,4,7,9,976:15 78:2275:22 92:25internal 23:2115:20,2566:8 69:1585:50	60:9 79:22	0 0	J		L5-S1 31:22
included 16:23 39:24 70:2555:22 86:23 88:1,2 100:1236:4,4 88:5 106:23,2424:4,7,16,16 25:8,12 26:627:24 28:4 53:21 115:8,20includes 11:15 17:24100:12 108:18 108:21 117:23Jerry 49:16 50:11,11 96:627:2,18,19,25 28:5,9 29:1929:20,22,25 30:14,16,18,21115:21 lag 30:1including 88:9 115:24127:24 127:24 128:1,8Jersey 1:1,8,24 2:12 3:4,7 5:21 6:13,23 132:529:9,13 35:20 36:18115:21 lag 30:1incore 63:14 99:16,2327:24 insist 112:6JOHN 1:9 joint 8:2230:14,16,18,21 35:20 36:181arge 69:15 Latin 117:19incredibe 96:25 independent 14:23 59:11instance 25:2 instance 25:2joint 8:22 jung 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:1424:4,7,16,16 25:20 75:21 30:14,16,18,2124:4,7,16,16 25:8,12 26:627:2,18,19,25 28:5,9 29:19 29:20,22,2514:23 59:11 indicate 38:14 55:3 75:23instance 25:2 interested 132:20jung 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:14 26:15 77:6 79:24 80:153:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,14Law 1:2 3:3 51:1indicated 8:25 75:22 92:25interested interested 132:20100:21,22 115:20,2553:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9 66:8 69:1519:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5internal 23:2 16:5 77:22 92:25internal 23:2 internal 23:2116:4 117.7 8 116:4 117.7 865:4,4,7,9,9 66:8 69:1576:15 78:22 76:15 78:22	104:6,6,7	53:5,16 54:10	e e	-	
39:24 70:25 79:2588:1,2 100:12 100:12 108:18106:23,24 Jerry 49:1625:8,12 26:6 (27:2,18,19,25)53:21 115:8,20 (15:21)includes 11:15 17:24108:21 117:23 127:24 128:1,8Jerry 49:16 (50:11,11 96:6)29:20,22,25 (30:14,16,18,21)135:20 (15:21)including 88:9 115:24128:10 innervation 115:24Jersey 1:1,8,24 (2:12 3:4,7 5:21)29:20,22,25 (30:14,16,18,21)14:33 (30:14,16,18,21)incorporate 99:16,23insist 112:6 insist 112:6joint 8:22 joint 8:2230:14,16,18,21 (32:9,9,13)1arge 69:15 Latin 117:19incredible 96:25 14:23 59:11instance 25:2 instance 25:2judge 21:25 22:1 (30:4 107:5)35:20 36:18 (4:13 4:3:3)49:24 50:14,16 (1:13 4:3:3)incredible 96:25 14:23 59:11instance 25:2 instance 25:2judge 21:25 22:1 (5:2 57:3,8 58:3)50:21 51:16,17 (5:2 57:3,8 58:3)51:11 (1:17,25 52:18)indicate 38:14 55:3 75:23interested 132:2026:15 77:6 79:24 80:158:7 61:1 (3:12 64:24)LAZARO 3:11 (4:15,19 61:5)98:7,8 98:7,8 75:22 92:25interested 132:20100:21,22 115:20,2558:7 61:1 (3:12 64:24)59:11,11 40:1 (4:15,19 61:5)100:21,22 76:15 78:22internal 23:2 (16:4,1177 8)114:177 8 (6:8 69:15)39:11,11 40:1 (4:15,19 61:5)	included 16:23	55:22 86:23	36:4,4 88:5	,	27:24 28:4
79:25100:12 108:18Jerry 49:1627:2,18,19,25115:21including 88:9128:10Jersey 1:1,8,2429:20,22,2530:14,16,18,21115:24innervation27:242:12 3:4,7 5:2130:14,16,18,21incorporateinside 76:23joint 8:2230:14,16,18,21Jarge 69:15joint 8:22insist 112:6joint 8:2241:13 43:349:24 50:14,16joint 8:25instance 25:2joint 8:2249:24 50:14,165:11independentinsurance 1:9June 1:15 2:1251:17,25 52:185:11indicate 38:14intact 22:9 23:1jury 6:9 7:6 8:1753:17,21 54:153:17,21 54:152:15 53:1526:2 27:1116:12,18 26:1453:6,10,19,2158:7 61:1interested132:20100:21,2258:7 61:158:7 61:139:11,11 40:146:15,19 61:5intern 3:12114:13 115:763:12 64:2464:15,19 61:576:15 78:22internal 23:2115:20,2566:8 69:1576:15 78:22	39:24 70:25	88:1,2 100:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
includes 11:15108:21 117:2350:11,11 96:628:5,9 29:191ag 30:117:24127:24 128:1,8Jersey 1:1,8,242:12 3:4,7 5:2130:14,16,18,2150:13 95:16115:24innervation27:24108:21 117:2330:14,16,18,2130:14,16,18,21income 63:1427:24joint 8:2230:14,16,18,2132:9,9,1335:20 36:18incredible 96:25insist 112:6judge 21:25 22:149:24 50:14,1650:21 51:16,17independent16:25 62:21jury 6:9 7:6 8:1750:21 51:16,1751:17,25 52:1814:23 59:1116:25 62:2152 57:3,8 58:352:21 53:6,1114:13 115:714:23 59:1116:25 62:21100:21,2253:17,21 54:114:14 19:619:7,8interested132:20114:13 115:753:12 64:2419:17 26:1,527:7 51:6,10Intern 3:12115:20,25115:20,2566:8 69:1539:11,11 40:116:12,18 2,65115:20,25116:2,18 2,6555:4,4,7,9,966:8 69:1539:11,11 40:1	79:25	100:12 108:18	<b>Jerry</b> 49:16	,	115:21
17:24127:24 128:1,8Jersey 1:1,8,2429:20,22,25Landa 49:23including 88:9128:1021:2 3:4,7 5:2130:14,16,18,2132:9,9,13incorporate27:24joint 8:2235:20 36:18117:1999:16,23insist 112:6judge 21:25 22:149:24 50:14,16117:19incredible 96:25instance 25:2judge 21:25 22:150:21 51:16,1714:23 59:1114:23 59:1116:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:352:21 53:6,115:1114:23 59:1116:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:352:21 53:6,115:1114:23 59:1116:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:352:21 53:6,115:1114:23 59:1116:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:352:21 53:6,115:1116:12,18 26:1455:6,10,19,2158:7 61:114:13 115:758:7 61:198:7,8interested132:20100:21,2263:12 64:2419:17 26:1,5116:22,9 23:2115:20,25115:20,2566:8 69:1539:11,11 40:146:15,19 61:576:15 78:2252:2055:4,4,7,9,966:8 69:15	includes 11:15	108:21 117:23	50:11,11 96:6		lag 30:1
including 88:9128:102:12 3:4,7 5:2130:14,16,18,2150:13 95:16115:24innervation27:246:13,23 132:530:14,16,18,213195:16incorporateinside 76:23joint 8:2232:9,9,1335:20 36:18117:1999:16,23insist 112:6judge 21:25 22:149:24 50:14,1614:13 43:3incredible 96:25instance 25:2instance 25:280:4 107:549:24 50:14,1650:13 95:16independentinsurance 1:9June 1:15 2:1250:21 51:16,1751:17,25 52:1851:1114:23 59:1116:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:352:21 53:6,1153:17,21 54:154:12,12,1455:3 75:23interested132:20100:21,2258:7 61:158:7 61:119:17 26:1,598:7,8interested132:20114:13 115:763:12 64:2465:4,4,7,9,966:8 69:1539:11,11 40:146:15,19 61:576:15 78:22115:20,25116:4 117.7 866:8 69:1576:15 78:22	17:24	127:24 128:1,8	Jersey 1:1,8,24		Landa 49:23
115:24innervation 27:246:13,23 132:5 JOHN 1:930:11,10,0,21 32:9,9,13language 62:19 large 69:15incorporate 99:16,23inside 76:23 insist 112:6joint 8:22 judge 21:25 22:135:20 36:18 41:13 43:3large 69:15 Latin 117:19incedible 96:25instance 25:2 instance 25:2judge 21:25 22:1 so:11 10:25 62:2199:24 50:14,16 50:21 51:16,17June 1:15 2:12 51:17,25 52:18indicate 38:1416:25 62:21 interastedJune 1:15 2:12 5:2 57:3,8 58:351:11 so:21 51:16,1752:15 53:15 98:7,826:2 27:11 intelligent 65:20 interested16:12,18 26:14 26:15 77:6 79:24 80:153:17,21 54:1 58:7 61:1indicated 8:25 27:7 51:6,10132:20100:21,22 internal 23:253:12 64:24 15:20,2519:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5100:21,22 115:20,25116:4 117:7 866:8 69:1576:15 78:22 85:22	including 88:9	128:10	2:12 3:4,7 5:21		50:13 95:16
income 63:1427:24JOHN 1:935:20 36:18large 69:15incorporateinside 76:23joint 8:22judge 21:25 22:135:20 36:18Latin 117:1999:16,23insist 112:6judge 21:25 22:149:24 50:14,1650:21 51:16,17incredible 96:25instance 25:2june 1:15 2:1250:21 51:16,1751:17,25 52:18indicate 38:1416:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:352:21 53:6,11swuit 9:2452:15 53:1526:2 27:1116:12,18 26:1453:17,21 54:1state 19:2155:3 75:23intelligent 65:2079:24 80:153:17,21 54:1state 19:2198:7,8interested132:20100:21,2258:7 61:163:12 64:24100:21,22114:13 115:765:4,4,7,9,966:8 69:1539:11,11 40:146:15,19 61:516:5,19 61:576:15 78:2257:22 92:2557:22 92:2557:22 92:25	0	innervation	6:13,23 132:5		language 62:19
incorporate 99:16,23inside 76:23 insist 112:6joint 8:22 judge 21:25 22:141:13 43:3 49:24 50:14,16Latin 117:19 Law 1:2 3:3incredible 96:25 independent 14:23 59:11instance 25:2 insurance 1:9judge 21:25 22:1 S1:15 2:1241:13 43:3 49:24 50:14,16Latin 117:19 Law 1:2 3:314:23 59:11 14:23 59:11intact 22:9 23:1 26:2 27:11judge 21:25 22:1 S2:57:3,8 58:341:13 43:3 49:24 50:14,16Latin 117:19 Law 1:2 3:352:15 53:15 98:7,8intelligent 65:20 interested52:2 57:3,8 58:3 16:12,18 26:1452:21 53:6,11 53:17,21 54:1 55:6,10,19,21LAZARO 3:11 Leave 121:14Latin 117:19Law 1:2 3:3indicated 8:25 27:7 51:6,10interested 132:2026:15 77:6 79:24 80:1 100:21,2258:7 61:1 63:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9Latin 117:19 Law 1:2 3:3100:21,22 15:20,25internal 23:2115:20,25 116:4 117:7 866:8 69:1598:78:22	<b>income</b> 63:14	27:24	<b>JOHN</b> 1:9		large 69:15
99:16,23insist 112:6judge 21:25 22:149:24 50:14,16Law 1:2 3:3incredible 96:25instance 25:2s0:4 107:549:24 50:14,165:11independentinsurance 1:916:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:35:21 53:6,11s0:4 107:5indicate 38:14intact 22:9 23:15:2 57:3,8 58:35:221 53:6,11s0:4 107:552:15 53:1526:2 27:11intact 22:9 23:15:2 57:3,8 58:35:11swsuit 9:2455:3 75:23intelligent 65:2026:15 77:65:6,10,19,21still 18:14 19:698:7,8interested132:20100:21,2258:7 61:1sill 26:4:24100:21,22114:13 115:765:4,4,7,9,966:8 69:1539:11,11 40:146:15,19 61:5115:20,25116:4 117:7 865:4,4,7,9,956:8 69:15	incorporate	inside 76:23	<b>joint</b> 8:22		Latin 117:19
incredible 96:25 independent 14:23 59:11instance 25:2 insurance 1:9 16:25 62:2180:4 107:5 June 1:15 2:12 5:2 57:3,8 58:3 jury 6:9 7:6 8:1750:21 50:14,10 50:21 51:16,17 51:17,25 52:18 52:21 53:6,11 53:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,1451:1indicate 38:14 52:15 53:15 98:7,8 98:7,8 indicated 8:25 27:7 51:6,10 75:22 92:25instance 25:2 internal 23:280:4 107:5 June 1:15 2:12 5:2 57:3,8 58:3 jury 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:14 26:15 77:6 79:24 80:1 100:21,22 114:13 115:751:1 50:21 51:16,17 51:17,25 52:18 52:21 53:6,11 53:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,14 55:6,10,19,21 58:7 61:1 63:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9 66:8 69:1551:1 lawsuit 9:24 lay 101:3 LAZARO 3:11 Leave 121:14 left 18:14 19:6 19:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5 76:15 78:22	-	insist 112:6	judge 21:25 22:1		Law 1:2 3:3
independent 14:23 59:11insurance 1:9 16:25 62:21June 1:15 2:12 5:2 57:3,8 58:350:21 51:10,17 51:17,25 52:18lawsuit 9:24 lay 101:3indicate 38:14 52:15 53:15intact 22:9 23:1 26:2 27:11jury 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:1453:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,14LAZARO 3:11 Leave 121:1452:15 53:15 98:7,8 98:7,8intelligent 65:20 interestedjury 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:1453:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,14LAZARO 3:11 Leave 121:1498:7,8 98:7,8intelligent 65:20 interested79:24 80:1 100:21,2258:7 61:1 63:12 64:2419:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:127:7 51:6,10 75:22 92:25Intern 3:12 internal 23:2114:13 115:7 115:20,2566:8 69:1539:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5	,	instance 25:2	80:4 107:5		5:11
14:23 59:1116:25 62:215:2 57:3,8 58:351:17,25 32:16lay 101:3indicate 38:14intact 22:9 23:1jury 6:9 7:6 8:1752:21 53:6,11LAZARO 3:1152:15 53:1526:2 27:1116:12,18 26:1453:17,21 54:1Lave 121:1455:3 75:23intelligent 65:2026:15 77:655:6,10,19,2119:17 26:1,598:7,8interested79:24 80:158:7 61:119:17 26:1,5132:20114:13 115:763:12 64:2465:4,4,7,9,939:11,11 40:175:22 92:25internal 23:2116:4 117:7 866:8 69:1576:15 78:22			<b>June</b> 1:15 2:12	,	lawsuit 9:24
indicate 38:14 52:15 53:15 98:7,8intact 22:9 23:1 26:2 27:11jury 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:14 26:15 77:6 79:24 80:152:21 53:0,11 53:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,14LAZARO 3:11 Leave 121:14intelligent 65:20 98:7,8intelligent 65:20 interested 132:20jury 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:14 26:15 77:6 79:24 80:153:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,14LAZARO 3:11 Leave 121:14intelligent 65:20 98:7,8intelligent 65:20 interested 132:20jury 6:9 7:6 8:17 16:12,18 26:1453:17,21 54:1 54:12,12,14LAZARO 3:11 Leave 121:14interested 132:20100:21,22 114:13 115:753:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,919:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:175:22 92:25internal 23:2 internal 23:2115:20,25 116:4 117:7 866:8 69:1576:15 78:22 85:22	-		5:2 57:3,8 58:3	-	lay 101:3
52:15 53:15 55:3 75:23 98:7,826:2 27:11 intelligent 65:2016:12,18 26:14 26:15 77:6 79:24 80:1 100:21,2255:17,21 94:14 54:12,12,14Leave 121:14 left 18:14 19:6 19:17 26:1,5indicated 8:25 27:7 51:6,10 75:22 92:25132:20 internal 23:2100:21,22 115:20,2558:7 61:1 63:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9 66:8 69:1519:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5			<b>jury</b> 6:9 7:6 8:17	,	LAZARO 3:11
55:3 75:23 98:7,8intelligent 65:20 interested26:15 77:6 79:24 80:1 100:21,2254:12,12,14 55:6,10,19,21 58:7 61:1 63:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9left 18:14 19:6 19:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5100:21,22 75:22 92:25Intern 3:12 internal 23:2114:13 115:7 115:20,25 116:4 117:7 855:6,10,19,21 58:7 61:1 63:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9 66:8 69:15left 18:14 19:6 19:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5			16:12,18 26:14		Leave 121:14
98:7,8 indicated 8:25 27:7 51:6,10 75:22 92:25interested 132:2079:24 80:1 100:21,22 114:13 115:7 115:20,2555:0;10,19,21 58:7 61:1 63:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9 66:8 69:1519:17 26:1,5 39:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5 76:15 78:22			26:15 77:6		left 18:14 19:6
indicated 8:25 27:7 51:6,10 75:22 92:25132:20 Internal 23:2100:21,22 114:13 115:7 115:20,2530:17.01.1 63:12 64:24 65:4,4,7,9,9 66:8 69:1539:11,11 40:1 46:15,19 61:5 76:15 78:22		U	79:24 80:1		19:17 26:1,5
27:7 51:6,10       Intern 3:12       114:13 115:7       65:12 64:24       46:15,19 61:5         75:22 92:25       internal 23:2       116:4 117:7 8       66:8 69:15       76:15 78:22			100:21,22		
75:22 92:25       internal 23:2       115:20,25       66:8 69:15       76:15 78:22         116:4 117:7 8       66:8 69:15       85:22			114:13 115:7		-
116:4 117:7 8 00:8 09:15 85:22	· · · · ·		115:20,25		
(0, )   merrogatories   110, 117, 30   77, 15, 07, 10   00, 22	106:5	interrogatories	116:4 117:7,8		85:22
106:5 <b>Interrogatories</b> 110.4 117.7,6 73:15 87:19 85.22				/3.13 0/:19	

leg 19:9 22:15	13:23 68:20	81:14 97:17	34:25 41:19	127:21
24:9,11,12,17	69:5 71:11,17	98:6 100:4,16	46:16,19 47:2	math 60:15
25:11,12 26:1	71:21,25 72:14	104:4 109:23	lumbar 18:21,22	66:23 70:11
39:25 40:1	73:23,24 74:3	110:20 111:21	19:2 23:8,25	matter 2:7 5:3
41:9 77:16	76:16,22 77:15	112:17	28:18,20,23,24	13:23 14:16
85:22	80:19 81:13	looked 30:9,19	29:12,14 30:16	15:16 16:10
legal 16:23,24	82:16 88:19	31:10,23 33:22	30:18 31:7,12	80:2 103:22
120:9,13	105:18 108:5	35:2 94:4	31:17,24 32:1	matters 62:22
legs 24:10,13	Linda's 100:24	101:18 129:10	34:19,25 35:6	Maywood 1:24
40:2	101:24	looking 16:11,21	35:16 41:9	Mazzie 3:6,6 4:5
let's 42:19 68:7	line 65:23 66:2	18:9 22:20	53:2,10 70:20	5:13,13 6:5
68:8 70:11	lines 84:7	45:22 50:12	72:22 81:23	10:4 13:17,20
75:11 82:23	list 29:1 89:15	66:10 73:19	82:18 95:16	14:5 40:23
83:4,8 84:16	101:20 109:25	75:12 77:7	105:4	42:15,19,24
90:11 95:21	110:21 111:3	84:17 94:24	lumbosacral	43:10,15 44:12
104:12 105:9	111:16,21	96:9 101:19	86:5,6	45:19 49:16,22
107:4,4,19	112:17	104:5 112:19	lying 25:1,13	50:11,22 60:17
108:23 112:12	listed 123:24	looks 39:12		60:23 61:20,24
112:22 113:12	listen 93:12	40:10 47:1	M	64:24 65:7,13
113:18 121:6	listing 37:3	70:8 88:16	<b>M.D</b> 1:14 2:6	65:16 74:15
121:24 128:14	111:5	loop 22:9 23:1	4:4	75:9,18,21
level 31:17	<b>lists</b> 86:3	lose 73:22	maintain 6:24	77:24 78:5,25
levels 24:3 29:11	litigation 56:23	losing 82:15	9:1 11:19	79:9 80:4
30:15 34:24	little 7:8 16:15	lost 52:21	maintaining	84:14 85:7
35:2	21:7 22:1	lot 16:3 28:15	77:19	86:24 87:5,12
license 7:2	23:22 28:1	29:25 33:12	Maintenance	87:21 89:5,9
132:23	39:22 55:17,22	66:10 67:15	76:16,22,23	91:18,21 96:5
licensed 6:19,21	69:12 70:2	70:3 78:18	<b>major</b> 100:5,13	98:1,16 100:1
life 100:24	93:13	88:16 108:19	majority 58:17	101:1 102:1
101:24 115:9	live 77:18	110:25 114:24	58:21 59:16,18	105:25 106:5
<b>lift</b> 24:13	Livingston	117:21	making 125:9	106:13,20
lifting 19:10	122:12	lots 97:1	male 128:6	107:2,11,19
25:12	LLC 3:6	loud 99:20	management	114:3 115:12
ligament 8:21	locations 19:6	Louis 1:14 2:6	21:1	115:17 116:5
26:8 34:7 55:8	long 7:21 14:3,8	4:4 5:5,18 71:8	MANUFACT	117:15 118:2
55:15,23	19:14 29:17	low 18:14 36:22	1:9	118:23 119:12
ligaments 55:2,4	59:1 69:8	36:25 39:12	March 33:22	119:21 120:11
56:1,4	73:24 77:15	40:4 49:4,6	34:12,14 38:1	120:13,18
light 25:23 47:7	107:6 125:4,7	70:25 71:9,12	45:5,17,19	121:4,12,17
91:14 99:18	longer 19:17,18	71:19,23,24	98:14 99:15	122:1 123:16
lightening 24:20	69:13 125:2	72:2,16 76:21	104:24 129:15	123:20 124:16
limitations	look 17:10 18:1	86:5,8 94:14	Maribel 2:10	124:19 125:6
88:16 116:18	21:18,19 37:2	96:12,13 97:4	80:6 107:23	125:22 126:4
117:4,5	38:16,23 39:1	97:4 99:1,1,5,7	132:3,23	127:10 129:4
limited 23:4	45:1 46:6	99:8,22 115:23	mark 3:13 79:14	131:5
88:10	47:15 53:7	lower 19:6 24:18	marked 47:17	<b>Mazzie's</b> 69:21
Linda 1:5 3:14	68:16 72:12	25:22 27:19	103:1	mean 10:14
5:11 9:24	74:15 75:7	31:7,23 32:3	Maryann 63:4	11:17 14:5,5
	l			l

22:19 27:11	86:6,7	46:8,12,13	<b>name</b> 50:16	41:10,18,19,19
29:20 30:3	<b>middle</b> 66:25	84:5 91:11	57:23,24 58:1	54:1,13
32:22 41:13	Middlesex	93:3 95:10	narcotic 20:15	nerves 22:17
51:4 55:6,7,9	125:19	118:16	narrative	nervous 22:10
55:14,16,18,18	midportion	motory 21:16	115:14,18	22:23
63:6 65:11	30:18 32:8,10	<b>move</b> 33:3 50:17	119:25	neurologic
67:9 77:16	<b>mild</b> 41:7	91:24 107:4,20	narratives 119:2	20:21,21 23:1
85:2 88:13,23	miles 99:20	119:3,6	narrowing	41:7
101:14 111:4	milligram	moves 22:15	31:20	neurological
means 25:17	106:25	moving 95:2	nature 51:25	44:21
median 26:19	milligrams	<b>MRI</b> 28:19,20	119:15	neurologist
medical 6:12,14	107:17	28:20,20,21,22	near 21:8 81:9	38:23
6:16 7:9 15:23	millions 60:14	28:22,24 31:1	120:21	neurology 45:3
15:25 16:19	60:24	31:12 32:15	necessarily 55:7	46:8
35:20,21,23	mind 58:5	33:7 34:18,22	necessary	Neurontin
39:14,17,20,22	minor 55:21	35:2,6 53:21	114:18	106:25
42:6 45:2,2	minus 46:18	56:1 70:25	neck 8:20 18:14	neurosurgeon
47:18 48:21,22	minutes 51:15	104:4,5,9	18:19,24 19:15	86:23 87:25
54:21 57:4	misrepresented	109:18 110:12	23:3 32:13	never 11:12
58:24,24 59:3	107:12	110:13 117:9	36:21 39:10	18:18 39:25
61:17 62:16	missed 74:10	127:24	41:8,15 49:7	58:5 70:19
68:19 73:21	moment 54:8	<b>MRIs</b> 16:7 18:1	51:24 53:11	71:18,19,22,24
74:5 76:16	104:13 121:7	33:17,22 52:14	70:16 73:8	72:21 74:12,18
80:19 97:12	128:15	53:7 71:25	81:6,13 84:5	76:25
99:2 109:8	<b>Monday</b> 100:22	72:15 100:10	85:14,15,21	<b>new</b> 1:1,8,24
111:5 114:5	money 63:10,17	100:19 103:24	88:9	2:11 3:4,7 5:21
115:8 126:16	66:14,18	113:25 114:22	need 17:11	6:11,13,23,23
medication	<b>Montero</b> 124:12	115:1	61:17 62:6,15	7:1 19:17
20:11,13,15,17	month-and-a	multiple 9:11	75:25 80:10,12	20:11 53:16
37:4,11,19	35:7	19:6 25:25	80:14 89:19	76:24 100:12
38:3,9,12	months 29:21	29:11 30:8	101:14,15	114:22 115:23
106:18	34:15 47:10	31:8 35:2	needed 13:9	132:5
medications	95:18 105:3	100:23	20:7 37:14,24	Newark 6:13
37:7 106:15	116:23	muscle 8:21	38:20 59:10	night 19:10,12
130:5	<b>Morris</b> 63:1	20:18 21:20	75:23	69:25 74:25
medicine 6:17	123:6	25:22,24 26:10	needing 75:5	76:7
9:7,9,9 10:10	motion 21:9	27:14,19,24	needles 20:4	Noguiera 96:4
12:9 105:18	23:5,12,18	28:3 37:23	needs 9:19 13:1	98:8 99:15
106:6 108:4	26:7 33:2 41:8	55:2,9 105:22	119:9	104:19,24
meeting 15:1	41:15 52:21	108:8,13,15,21	negative 24:10	<b>noises</b> 100:7
meniscus-type	79:16 81:9,16	muscles 24:7	25:15,17 26:9	non-finding
34:3	85:15,24 86:10	28:6 55:5	27:5 41:8 44:5	87:7
mention 36:19	88:11	muscular 21:11	neither 132:16	nonsense 107:7
METROPOL	<b>motor</b> 21:13	musculoskeletal	132:18	119:22
1:22	27:8 29:4	8:20 17:24	nerve 17:3 24:14	normal 21:12
<b>Miami</b> 6:18	34:13 36:19		24:15,16,22	23:4 25:18
midback 18:20	39:10 41:22,25	<u> </u>	26:19,20,22,23	26:2 34:7
18:24 73:8	44:21 45:14	N 3:1,10 4:1	26:25 28:1,2,3	44:24 46:15,17
	l			

46:19,20 47:2	numerous 42:8	occurs 27:23	112:4 113:1,9	outpatient 8:14
47:7,14 85:13	43:13 101:22	29:21,24 34:7	114:11,25	Outside 40:17
85:16,20,25	<b>nurse</b> 68:18 69:6	115:10	115:6 116:21	overall 59:14
86:2,14,15	72:5	offer 13:18 14:2	117:14 118:6	owned 77:19
93:4 114:15	0	offered 14:3,8	119:7 120:12	<u>Р</u>
normally 23:19	<b>O</b> 3:10 5:15,15	offering 14:11	120:24 121:6	<b>P</b> 3:1,1,10
27:10		office 10:1 15:3	122:3,14 123:3	<b>P-14</b> 47:17
Notary 2:11	<b>o'clock</b> 61:4,23 91:20 92:1	15:14,22 17:19	124:9 125:11	
5:16 132:4		21:7 68:18	126:7,14,23	<b>P-21</b> 103:1,4
notation 36:16	120:21	89:24 90:6	127:12 128:4	<b>p.m</b> 5:3 131:11
37:12	<b>object</b> 43:7	office's 13:24	128:22 130:1	packages 11:8
notations 49:1	44:13,13 61:21	14:15 15:11	<b>old</b> 30:10,10	page 4:10 45:10
97:21 101:23	120:11	<b>Oh</b> 36:10 73:15	once 20:5 38:19	45:21 48:2,3,7
<b>note</b> 27:21 40:21	objection 14:9	85:18 99:7	ones 55:20	61:25 62:1,20
41:21 75:4,9	42:5,5,13	117:24	ongoing 110:15	65:22 66:2
75:21 77:24	49:14,25 50:7	okay 8:2,6 9:23	111:12 115:8	76:6 84:1,11
86:19,24 88:4	50:14,15,23	10:12 12:20	115:21	97:16 123:21
88:17 89:5,13	60:17,18 75:9	13:12,14 15:4	operating 61:9	pages 42:8 69:11
89:23 91:4,18	75:21 77:24	15:13 25:15	operative 33:4	109:9,10,18,24
92:13,16 94:6	86:24 89:5,13	28:10,16 35:11	opinion 33:17	110:11 113:23
98:1,2,13,14	91:18 98:2,16	35:19,25 38:25	44:1,6 50:9	paid 57:12
98:16 100:1	100:1 101:5	43:24 44:14	53:19 77:12	pain 19:5,10,11
101:5 102:1	102:1 105:25	47:19 48:9	124:3	20:15 21:1
103:15 116:5	106:10 107:8	51:6 52:1	opinions 14:10	24:5,18 26:21
118:2,23 119:4	107:10 114:3	58:15,23 59:16	15:16 51:14	36:22,23,25
119:16 125:22	116:5 118:2,23	60:4 63:15	97:23 99:16,24	37:21 38:3,9
noted 31:19	119:4,17	65:2,7 67:3,18	100:4	38:12 39:12
33:15 34:25	124:16 125:22	68:7,25 70:14	oral 2:7 7:13	40:4 49:4,6,7
41:7 50:23	objections 89:15	71:18 72:14,20	11:15,18,21	51:20,23,24
74:9 81:4	objective 53:15	73:18 74:20	order 36:5,6	54:5 71:11,23
130:12	84:1,8,9 85:10	75:4 76:12	60:23	72:1,16 77:2,2
<b>notes</b> 13:13 39:9	87:14 88:9	77:13 78:17	organized 56:19	81:18 99:22
39:17,25 81:22	103:15 108:17	81:11,17,21	original 94:17	116:17,24
128:21	108:21	· · ·	0	117:4,5
	observations	82:8,13 83:23	originally 20:24	painful 23:13
noting 49:4 November 95:18	101:4	84:4,11,23	orthopedic 7:9	palpated 24:7
	observing 68:23	85:9,21 86:4	7:17 8:17,18	palpation 24:1
105:5 127:17	obtained 98:20	86:17 87:21,24	9:6,11 13:19	49:7 81:8
<b>number</b> 4:10 5:4	<b>obvious</b> 114:22	88:4,8,18	14:12 15:20	paragraph
29:20 58:2	obviously 33:12	89:19 90:10,17	81:1 126:12,15	111:5,6
63:2 99:12	52:11 53:11	90:22 91:6	130:24	
118:15 120:4		92:9 93:24	orthopedics	paraphrasing 63:20 64:3
122:10 125:19	occur 15:7 29:15	95:2,21 97:20	6:15 9:10 10:7	
125:20	occurred 29:5	98:7,25 100:3	45:10	paresthesias
<b>numbers</b> 114:8	34:13 47:10	103:6,21,23	orthopedist	49:4
numbness 19:6	76:15 79:6	104:4,19 105:8	14:12 86:22	part 17:25 48:7
24:19 26:22	occurrence	107:21 109:4	87:25 96:24	67:10 71:4
39:24 40:1,5,9	118:5	109:12,17	osteoporotic	77:16 79:14
51:23	occurring 29:23	110:4,20 112:2	30:12	93:7,22 94:17
	l			I

95:12,13 98:5	percuss 26:20	101:19 102:14	pleasure 121:2	108:5 129:7,13
101:17 102:22	perform 13:11	102:20 104:7	point 19:25	129:18 130:2
103:19 104:1,3	15:10,14 17:23	114:5	50:19 57:1	prescription
104:8 114:15	82:11	physically 77:17	94:18 98:19	130:5
partial 55:25	performed	physician physician	107:20 116:19	present 5:6 91:8
partially 55:23	44:24 51:18	129:24	120:1 121:14	98:13
parties 5:7	54:4	physicians 17:2	pop 102:24	presentation
62:21 121:20	periods 19:14	<b>PI</b> 98:19	127:8	79:2
132:17	77:15	<b>pie</b> 102:16,19,22	<b>Pope</b> 118:15	press 24:2
parts 102:15	peripheral 22:9	103:7,10,16	120:3 122:9	pressure 24:1
103:7,10	permanent	104:1,8 115:2	Pope's 118:18	Prestige 35:23
party 130:16	52:10,20 53:5	piece 103:11	122:15	pretty 17:5 35:2
party 150.10 pass 7:12,16	53:16 127:24	115:2	portion 15:22	65:19
Passaic 1:23	128:10	pills 37:16	32:3 46:13	previous 32:19
passenger	permit 10:17	pins 20:3	80:18 94:11	32:21 41:16
118:16	78:6	place 58:3 95:18	116:25	62:11 107:25
pasted 88:17	permitted 43:20	115:25 132:12	position 24:25	108:1
pathway 12:1,6	perpetuity 130:5	plaintiff 1:6 3:5	43:11 50:5	previously 20:6
12:12,14,18,21	person 16:10	3:14 13:23	79:8	20:12 38:8,12
12:22	18:17 21:24	14:15 18:6	<b>positive</b> 43:13	47:17
pathways 11:19	22:22 23:19	21:3 24:25	44:7	price 60:5
patience 129:3	25:5 64:22	36:14 37:4	possibility 12:8	primarily 9:3
patient 13:1	89:24 96:22	38:7,8 39:6,6	possible 97:2	print 48:8
18:4 66:12	117:22 128:7	41:3 45:3	post 47:11 52:14	prior 18:19,22
91:10 102:8,13	person's 98:21	47:22 48:24	53:6,22 100:10	18:24 19:16
103:14	personally 88:24	52:3 58:21	postsurgeries	20:10 21:10
patients 9:16,19	88:25 90:4	59:22,23 78:10	88:2	36:17 38:2
17:20 24:21	perspective 41:7	78:13,15,20	potential 94:16	52:22 53:16,22
59:9,24	Peruchi 62:25	129:6,12	potentially	70:16,20,24
<b>Patricia</b> 101:18	63:3,4,4	130:21	60:13 96:22	71:2 72:22
PC 3:3	<b>Petrucci</b> 63:6,8	plaintiff's 33:23	practice 2:9	79:16 88:2
peers 7:14	65:23 66:2	36:17 130:10	6:24 7:15 9:1,4	132:5
pelvis 28:22	96:10 97:3,15	plan 15:19 86:18	9:6 12:11 59:9	private 9:1
32:5	99:3,14 127:17	86:19 87:25	66:9,11	privileges 8:7,10
people 51:18	Petruccio	102:21	practiced 7:1	10:17,19,22
60:10 89:3	127:21	planning 103:16	pre 52:14 53:6	11:8
percent 9:8	<b>Phalen's</b> 26:12	play 72:10,11	100:10	probability
11:24 12:7	26:16,24	playing 79:17	pre-advanced	54:21
57:4 59:20,21	Phonetic 21:16	please 5:8 6:8	30:3	probably 9:8
59:21	48:7 57:3 63:1	48:13 62:10	predate 16:3	70:12 79:23
percentage	127:21	75:12 79:14	preexisting	97:6 122:22
11:23 58:22	<b>photos</b> 97:23	80:7 84:13,23	52:12 118:19	problem 71:9,15
59:4,8	115:10	85:9 89:14	122:16	71:17 73:13,16
percentages	physical 9:25	107:24 108:24	prepared 102:25	76:19
59:14	13:22 17:4,23	110:6,9 112:17	124:20	problems 9:11
<b>Percocet</b> 20:4,13	19:1 21:3	112:23 113:13	prescribed	40:12,14,16
37:8,13 38:19	39:17 41:3	121:25 123:11	105:19 106:6,8	52:12 78:13
129:19	81:23 93:7	126:25 128:14	106:15 107:16	94:14 115:23

procedure 2:9	13:15	radiologic 29:24	74:13 75:8	49:19,21,23,24
33:6	question 39:15	radiology 17:2	81:20 82:2	51:1 56:12,14
procedures 11:9	62:6,10,11,13	raise 41:9	90:25 92:12,24	56:16 60:20,22
proceed 98:3,17	64:10 66:6,13	range 21:8 23:5	93:2,3,5,6,16	61:2,4,21,23
proceeded 82:14	66:17 69:22	23:12 41:8,14	93:18,21,23,25	62:5 64:8,11
process 7:10	71:10 75:10,22	47:14 81:9	94:11 96:11	64:15,17,19
17:17 29:23	76:1,3 80:7	85:15 86:1,2,9	97:6 101:19,22	65:6,25 67:22
127:25	87:7,15 89:10	88:10	102:4 104:22	67:24 68:1,11
professional	89:13,19 92:3	ranges 81:15	109:22 110:2	68:13,15 74:11
57:5	93:11,12,15,19	85:24	110:18 111:17	74:12 75:14,18
pronounced	94:1 96:17	re-review 70:1	111:23 112:7	75:20 77:6
58:12	98:17 100:2,9	reach 23:20	112:18 115:4	78:2,4 80:16
prosecution	101:6,8,9	read 43:7,21	118:14 120:7	83:1,3,6,11,13
89:12	102:2,3 106:10	49:23 50:2,13	122:14,18	83:16,24,24
proverbial	107:24,25	62:7,10,12	123:5,9,25	84:13,15,17,20
22:14	108:1,3,8	64:10 66:1,3	124:2 126:11	84:22,24 85:2
provide 15:18	110:5 112:18	66:20 72:23	126:14,17	85:3,6,10,19
15:19 22:24	112:20 115:13	78:8 80:9,10	127:16,18,20	86:25 87:2,4
24:2	115:17 116:6	80:12,14 84:12	128:2,4,10,12	87:23 89:8,16
provided 15:3	118:3	84:23 85:9	received 110:2	89:18 90:12,14
78:11	questioned	86:22 89:20	recertified 8:4	90:16,18,21
providing 22:21	95:13	91:7 107:24	recertify 7:24,25	91:20,24 92:1
22:21	questioning	108:2 119:2,21	8:1	92:25 95:22,23
provocatively	91:23 94:18	119:25 120:9	recognize 88:20	95:25 96:2,8
24:22	98:18 100:13	reading 42:6	89:4,4,22 90:8	96:17 98:2
<b>public</b> 2:11	questions 10:3	50:12 61:25	96:19,22	99:13,15
89:23 132:4	12:2 13:15	101:25 118:24	recollection	104:15,17,19
pull 90:12 95:22	17:20 35:21	120:14	14:23 82:4	104:21,25
104:19 105:10	54:23 65:8,11	ready 85:3	93:20 98:21	105:12,14,16
107:22 120:19	87:16 91:1	113:1	100:6,7 119:10	106:2,4,21
120:25 121:1,4	101:3 119:16	real 43:25	119:25 120:17	107:4 108:12
purely 91:22	120:2 128:21	100:15	122:9 123:2,23	109:1,3,6,25
purported 78:8	129:1 131:3	reality 100:9	124:1,8 125:1	112:2,15,22,25
purpose 5:19	quick 43:25	really 15:17	126:21	113:15,17,20
13:17 22:7	quicker 93:13	23:18 31:10	recommendati	119:5 121:9,11
pursuant 2:8	<b>quiet</b> 107:5	42:5 54:16	87:8	121:13,14,15
push 23:16	quite 30:4 71:13	65:17	recommendati	121:16,18,19
82:20	quotations	rear-ended	87:16	121:20,25
put 29:19 50:13	78:16	18:12 118:16	recommended	122:4,7 124:18
74:12 103:10	quote 72:15	reason 113:22	40:7	125:15,24
123:10,12,14	73:22 76:5,7	114:6	record 5:25 6:2	126:1,3,10
127:10 130:15		reasonable	6:4 13:18	127:2,4,15
putting 125:17	R	54:21 114:18	38:17 42:15,16	128:17,19,25
130:20	<b>R</b> 3:1,10 5:15	reasons 54:4	42:20,23,25	129:20,25
	132:1	recall 14:21	44:15,17,19	131:6,10
Q	<b>R-i-z-i-o</b> 5:19	54:11 57:24	45:24 46:1,3,5	recorded 71:1,4
qualifications	<b>radar</b> 98:22	62:19 68:18,20	47:16 48:13,15	71:5 72:6 92:8
10:3 11:11	99:6	69:8 71:3	48:17,19 49:17	126:19
. –		07.071.3	TU.17,17 T7.17	120.17

	1			
recording 37:13	87:25	62:25 63:21	18:13 40:3	review 15:15
68:23 72:10	referrals 87:16	69:1,3,13,14	68:4,4 97:13	17:7,9,13
records 15:15	referring 16:5	72:7 83:17	118:7 119:9,12	28:14,17 32:15
15:24,25 16:1	32:7 48:11	92:9 94:3 95:8	124:20 127:6	44:4 45:4 67:7
16:2,4,20,23	57:23 64:5,6	95:9 96:15	represent 5:7	67:9,13 69:17
17:2,4,5,8,13	92:16 97:19	101:25 109:7	62:23	69:19,22
35:20,21,22,24	reflect 65:6	109:10 112:1,8	representation	124:13
36:3,13 37:3	106:21	118:22 119:23	112:5 119:10	reviewed 16:20
38:5,23 42:6,8	reflects 106:22	119:24 120:3,6	reproduces 27:2	17:4 31:1
42:11 43:14	reflex 46:21,24	124:11,25	request 13:24	35:11 47:21
44:3 45:2,3,5	reflexes 21:14	125:18	14:15 15:11,14	48:22 69:24
47:16,17,20,24	22:3,8 26:2	remembers 72:6	125:17	109:9 110:12
48:22 49:2	47:1	119:19 126:6	requests 60:2	110:22,25
51:8,14 54:10	refresh 119:9,25	remotely 5:6	require 10:25	111:7,9 113:23
57:16 69:12,15	122:9 123:2	removed 33:1	60:25	reviewing 35:19
69:18,23 70:3	124:1,25	renewing 130:6	required 11:5	<b>ribs</b> 18:15 19:18
83:18 86:18	refreshes 120:16	repeat 78:12	11:11 52:13	26:4
90:23 91:2	123:22	79:10 82:10	requires 11:21	<b>right</b> 9:5 12:3,18
96:4,6 97:12	regard 11:14	115:16	21:24	12:20 13:3,4
97:22 98:4,7,8	30:5 43:20	report 15:3,6	residency 6:15	15:2,13,13
99:3 101:15,17	53:23 95:6	16:11,22 18:9	7:11	16:17 17:18
101:18,20	regardless 100:6	19:21 52:2	residual 126:16	19:23 22:19
104:23 106:14	100:7	68:17 70:5,9	resisted 21:20	23:6,7 25:16
107:22 109:9	regards 52:7	70:15,16,21	resolve 62:22	26:4 27:6,12
109:18,19	region 27:14	72:21,24 73:19	resolved 118:22	27:15 28:8
110:15,22	32:11 33:19	74:8,16 75:5	122:18	29:3 30:25
111:5,10,12,15	Regional 10:24	75:23 76:9,11	respect 14:2	37:1 39:24,24
111:24 112:1,6	registered 22:22	77:5,23 78:16	81:5	42:14,24 46:16
112:9 113:4,23	<b>Rehabilitation's</b>	79:25 80:22,24	respond 78:18	46:18 49:3
115:21 129:10	35:23	81:7 99:13	101:3	52:25 55:17
129:25	relate 16:10	101:15 109:24	response 21:24	56:24 57:13
<b>RECROSS</b> 4:2	related 16:8	110:21 111:2,2	22:12,25	58:10,17,19
recurred 40:6	39:23 40:13	118:7,18	rest 73:25	59:2,13,19
red 99:18	41:15 43:22	120:16 125:18	result 29:15	60:7,8 61:13
redirect 4:2 42:9	52:15 53:4,5	127:17,21	52:10 127:22	63:11,17,20
79:20 129:4	53:11,13,20	128:5 130:13	results 46:24	64:24 65:21
redirected 87:10	54:17	130:15	retrolisthesis	66:14,18 67:1
redness 26:11	relates 17:6	reported 18:18	33:14	68:2,5,7 70:4,6
reduced 41:7	relative 132:16	19:4 52:17	returned 18:18	70:10 71:22
refer 9:21 13:2,6	132:18	reporter 2:11	74:18	72:25 73:2,16
13:8 32:2,12	relaxer 20:18	5:8 62:10	reveal 18:8 21:5	77:3,21 80:13
55:2	37:24 105:22	79:14 89:14	23:10 31:15	82:10,22 83:14
reference 99:1	108:9,13	121:22,22	32:18 33:25	84:4,8 85:17
referenced	relaxers 108:15	132:4	39:8 46:11	86:6,9,10 91:4
104:24	release 40:8	reporting 1:22	47:6	95:15 96:23
referencing	relevant 45:9	121:24	<b>revenue</b> 63:16	97:12 101:20
125:9	79:7,9,22 81:1	reports 16:25	63:17 66:11,15	102:24 103:12
referral 86:22	remember 14:25	17:1,3 18:12	66:18	104:2 105:6,20
	l		l	

L

	1			
105:23 106:24	58:6,8 69:13	30:2 32:23	separate 15:22	100:18
108:6,9 109:14	89:10 93:25	34:6,6,9 35:3	68:3	significantly
111:3,19	98:22 103:9,13	35:10,15 36:6	separately 67:7	85:25
112:12,16	110:1,1,3	45:22 52:13	67:16,17	signs 26:10,20
113:21 114:20	114:12,16,17	53:7 55:25	September	41:17
116:13 117:1	114:19,21	56:3 58:10	128:5	similar 26:24
118:14 122:8	says 40:2 62:2,3	63:19 70:12,20	seriousness	31:10 39:21
122:20 125:16	62:20 70:17	74:7,25 76:8	86:23 88:1	41:14 59:15
128:13 129:2	73:23,24 74:3	76:11 84:1,6	serve 61:16	88:11
130:14	74:17 84:4	84:14 86:19	62:14	Similarly 53:2
ringing 40:14	85:15,19 86:21	89:2 98:13	services 1:22	<b>simple</b> 93:16
<b>Rizio</b> 1:14 2:6	86:22 94:23	100:11,12	61:7,16 62:14	single 81:3
4:4 5:5,19 6:6	99:7,17 118:11	103:4,5,6,8	set 132:13	sit 7:11,13 77:7
6:8 10:6 13:18	119:11 122:17	109:19 110:15	sever 28:3	82:16
56:18 61:5	123:25 126:18	111:12,21	sharp 99:22	sitting 19:13
71:8,13,18,22	130:16	119:9,18	SHARPE 3:12	25:2,4,6,10
74:2 76:18	scan 28:18,23,25	120:16 123:15	Shivitz 128:4	77:15,15 81:1
77:3,14 118:18	29:1,8 35:12	123:17,21,22	shocks 24:20	89:24
131:8	scans 16:7	123:23 125:7,8	Short 8:13,13	Skidmore
Road 5:21	113:25	seeing 27:1	shortness 51:23	124:12
room 17:2 18:13	schedule 15:12	110:19 111:18	shoulders 11:25	skip 33:21
39:13 51:3,7	scheduled 69:1	112:1,8	12:7	sleep 40:16
51:19 54:6,18	scheduling	seen 18:10 33:16	show 15:2 26:23	<b>Slooten</b> 38:24
65:1,5 69:6,10	18:17	45:4 96:25	29:8 31:4	39:2 40:22
89:11,11 90:1	school 6:12,14	segment 33:2	34:22 35:22	90:18,23 91:2
97:13 99:2	sciatic 24:14,15	segments 31:8	112:10	90:18,23 91:2
roots 24:16	41:10,19	seizures 20:23	showed 21:8	slowly 21:7
rotate 23:21	screen 89:3	selected 42:7	27:19,22 30:7	snowly 21.7 smaller 48:8
rotation 85:22	120:20,25	semantics	41:17 49:24	snowblower
routine 14:13	120.20,25	103:18	81:8 92:11,18	76:24
rules 2:9 121:21	,	send 101:12	93:1,17	
	123:12,14		<i>,</i>	so-to-speak 28:7
<b>run</b> 90:5 130:6	127:11	119:8 120:15	<b>showing</b> 126:22 <b>shows</b> 81:7	soap 103:15
<b>Rutgers</b> 6:11,12	scroll 123:20	121:2 123:13		<b>socially</b> 90:4
<u> </u>	seat 91:13	127:8	shrink 28:9	soft 34:3 118:21
<b>S</b> 3:1,10,10 4:9	second 5:23	sensation 21:13	side 25:6 58:22	122:17
5:15	48:13 67:20	21:22,25 25:23	82:13,13	solely 118:19
<b>sacrum</b> 32:5	68:9 82:24	25:25 47:3,7	side-to-side	122:15
sake 26:6	96:5	sense 17:21	23:21	somebody
	seconds 27:4	26:25 41:25	sign 22:9	119:14 124:4
salesperson 18:16 74:18	section 45:18	96:19	signal 34:1,5,6	124:21
	49:10 70:9,15	sensory 21:13	significance	somewhat 42:4
saw 29:14 31:12	73:18 74:8	21:17 27:8	41:11 43:18	soon 99:21
33:7 34:11,18	76:13,14 84:1	sent 68:18	44:20,22 47:9	soreness 55:10
38:5 39:15	84:9,9 86:5,18	sentence 84:10	47:12	sorry 10:2 36:8
41:14 98:5	86:19 92:7	84:13,24 85:10	significant	40:20,25 42:3
saying 12:5	see 9:10,10,11	85:12	22:12 31:20	45:16 48:12
43:25 44:10	23:20 24:23	sentences 91:8	51:13 52:11,12	58:5 79:1 83:9
49:14 50:2	28:5 29:9,10	91:16 92:4	53:9 78:22	85:2,18 115:15
		I	I	

128:2231:6,9,12,1892:654:1 70:24surgery 7:17sort 24:21 32:1131:24 32:1,4,6stenographica71:28:13,14,14,sought 39:1632:9,11,16132:11step 7:108:18 9:12,1sound 63:1833:8 34:19step 7:10100:14 121:249:20 10:13,space 31:2035:1,9,16 41:9stepping 97:10subjective10:21,23 13,spasm 21:1152:8,12,24stick 45:8103:15 108:1913:8,11 18;	4 18 3:1 23 4
sought 39:1632:9,11,16132:11stuff 56:198:18 9:12,1sound 63:1833:8 34:19step 7:10100:14 121:249:20 10:13,1space 31:2035:1,9,16 41:9stepping 97:10subjective10:21,23 13,1	4 18 3:1 23 4
sound 63:1833:8 34:19step 7:10100:14 121:249:20 10:13space 31:2035:1,9,16 41:9stepping 97:10subjective10:21,23 13	18 3:1 23 4
space 31:20         35:1,9,16 41:9         stepping 97:10         subjective         10:21,23 12	3:1 23 4
	23 4
$1 \cos(1) \cos(1) \sin(1) \sin(1) \sin(1) \sin(1) \sin(1) \sin(1) \sin(1) \sin$	4
1 , ,	
24:8 108:14,17         53:3,10 70:17         STIEVE 3:13         subjectives         19:1,2,16,2	. 10
speak 101:10         70:20 72:1,16         stiff 19:22         100:14         20:1 40:6	
speaking 95:17         72:22 81:7,8         stiffness 84:6         subspecialist 9:6         52:13 53:17	/
96:20 106:10 96:15 110:13 85:14 88:10 subspecialty 73:2,6 76:2	
110:14         111:12 114:16         stimulus 22:21         10:9 12:8,10         95:16 105:4	
speaks 54:6         116:14 117:23         stop 43:12 78:6         12:12,13         109:13,14,1	
special 11:3         sports 6:17 9:7,9         99:18         substance 118:8         114:14 115	
specialist 14:7         9:9 10:9 12:9         stopped 91:13         substantial         116:8 126:1	
specialty 7:9,23         sprain 52:8 53:3         straight 24:9,11         115:10         surgical 33:5	
12:14 40:17         54:24,25 55:3         24:12 25:12         suffered 53:15         surprised 13	0:1
45:9 55:18,24 41:8 97:6 118:21 130:7	
specific 12:2,3         116:11         straighten 25:7         122:17 127:21         sustained 52	4
124:21         sprain/strain         25:11         128:1,8         99:24	
specifically         97:6 99:25         strain 52:8 53:3         suggest 7:25         swear 5:8	
51:22 59:13 116:14 118:21 54:24,25 60:24 sweeping 12:	5:9
72:7 94:25 127:7,22 128:9 116:11 suggests 62:2 swelling 26:7	,11
96:16 102:4 sprained 55:13 strains 55:1 sum 118:7 swells 55:14	
116:8 119:1         sprains 55:1,19         street 1:23 3:7         summary         sworn 5:16	
120:8 <b>St</b> 8:11 10:24 88:20 90:8 119:14 122:20 63:24 121:2	23
speech 42:21         standard 17:18         strength 21:12         122:21,22         132:7	
speed 96:13 97:5 standing 19:13 21:19,20,22 124:4 symptoms 27	':2
97:22 98:8,22 77:14 81:1 22:1 25:22 <b>superimposed</b> 39:21 40:1,	6
99:2,8 100:6 start 37:25 69:1 27:13,20 41:22 88:1 102:13 103	:11
spend 9:7         129:23         44:24 46:15,18         Superior 1:1 2:8         103:17 104	:6
spent 78:18started 40:4strengths 42:2supplementsyndrome 54	:2
<b>spinal</b> 9:14,16 61:6 65:8 <b>stressing</b> 24:22 66:10 54:7	
22:23 24:8         76:25         stretch 24:14         supplemental         SYPNIEWS	KI
41:16 108:18 starting 65:23 41:18 55:7,9 67:14 2:10 132:3,	23
109:18 127:7 66:9 116:2 55:22 <b>supports</b> 44:6 <b>system</b> 8:20	
128:8 starts 48:2 69:20 stretching 24:22 sure 5:18 6:10 20:22 22:10	),23
spine 9:22 10:13   state 2:11 5:6   strike 76:5,13,17   7:8 11:6 16:14	
10:18,20,23 112:5 132:5 91:24 104:23 16:21 50:1 T	
11:1,2,4,10 stated 26:5 94:7 119:6 64:13,21 65:4 T 3:10 4:9 13	2:1
12:14 13:1,8 statement 61:2 struck 99:19 66:3 75:17 132:1	
13:11 14:4,4,6       77:9       structure 34:4,7       80:7 89:23       T1 33:14	
14:8 18:19,21     statements     structures 55:12     91:10 110:25     T11 32:7	
19:2 21:8 23:8 125:10 struggling 77:22 112:10 117:19 T11-12 31:24	•
23:24,25 24:17 states 6:21,25 studies 17:3 123:12 T12 32:7	
28:18,19,19,20 92:5 129:20 18:21 28:14,16 surgeon 9:22 T12-L1 31:2	1
28:21,22,23,24 status 88:2 39:13 53:9,15 13:19 130:25 <b>T7</b> 30:10	
29:12,14 30:6 stays 114:1 70:19 72:22 surgeries 11:1,3 tablet 37:13	
<b>30:16,18 31:2 steering</b> 91:17 <b>study</b> 30:7,19 <b>11:4 tablets</b> 106:2	5

tailbone 36:23	tensive 84:5	12:25 13:14	116:7 117:18	80:15 82:25
take 17:19 20:6	85:13	14:4 40:25	123:3 125:3	83:3,5,10,13
29:18 36:1,14	term 54:24	43:14 44:11	128:20	83:15 84:22
38:22 39:5	terms 43:19	56:7,8 58:16	<b>Thomas</b> 1:8 3:8	85:1 87:1,4,22
47:25 66:25	46:12 66:22	90:10 95:2,21	5:14	89:7,17 90:13
68:16 69:4	81:25 115:2	103:21 104:11	thoracic 18:19	90:16,20 91:19
72:7,9 77:5,8	test 11:22 22:17	113:11 122:24	28:19,19 30:6	91:25 95:24
97:9,17,20,24	24:9,11,12,12	123:5 124:8	30:16,19 31:2	96:2,7 100:21
111:20 112:17	26:7,12,16	126:13,23	31:6,9,24 32:6	104:14,17,20
119:10 125:1	44:5 46:21,25	129:2,3 131:4	34:25 53:2,10	105:11,14,15
taken 2:8 30:23	47:3 81:5,14	thanks 13:16	70:17 73:6	106:1,4 107:6
35:6,12 132:11	81:18 82:11,18	50:24 74:22	thought 18:20	108:2,11 109:3
takes 20:4 37:22	94:13,14	128:13	19:11,24 36:11	109:5 112:14
69:12	tested 12:7	theme 127:7	52:8 67:12	112:24 113:14
talk 65:10 68:17	25:21,21	<b>therapist</b> 101:19	74:12	113:17,19
118:9	testified 5:17	therapy 17:4	thoughts 15:15	116:25 120:21
talked 81:21	57:18 63:15	19:1 39:17	thousand	121:8,11 122:6
88:11 95:17	82:2,21 92:24	40:7 114:6	122:10	124:17 125:14
103:24	95:7 96:11	thing 11:4,25	thousands 42:7	125:25 126:3,9
talking 43:4	100:23 105:17	25:8 36:19	109:10,17	127:1,4 128:1
63:23 78:19	106:19 107:15	42:11 43:5,13	110:11 113:22	128:16,19,24
92:13 97:14	108:4 120:4	49:12,13 50:6	three 34:15	129:2 130:9
105:4	testify 59:9	77:18 81:3	55:20 78:14	131:9 132:12
tandem 94:7,22	60:11 132:7	90:5 99:6	102:13 103:10	times 13:7 20:5
tap 22:14 26:18	testifying 14:10	108:20 118:1	three-and-a-h	29:25 40:2
team 127:14	57:25 60:10	124:15	47:10	60:25 67:3,15
tear 55:8,11,25	93:2,3,16,18	things 8:24 9:9	time 5:3,24 6:2,3	67:15
tearing 55:4	95:9 96:12,15	20:25 23:15	9:8 15:9 18:2	<b>Tinel</b> 26:15,17
tears 34:9 56:1,4	104:23 115:4	29:25 51:25	19:14 26:6	<b>Tinel's</b> 26:12,15
<b>tell</b> 8:17 16:12	122:15 126:15	73:10 77:11	27:3 30:4	tingling 26:22
16:18 26:14	testimony 59:17	79:24 81:4,24	38:17 42:22	<b>tired</b> 121:13
38:11 57:22	62:25 63:25	85:23 93:21	44:16,23 45:25	tissue 34:3
64:5 78:21	92:17 95:8	97:2 98:5	46:4 48:14,17	118:21 122:17
90:2 96:25	100:21 117:16	100:4,8 103:18	48:18 49:18,21	today 9:23 43:16
telling 114:13	117:21 119:14	103:19 108:20	50:25 54:12	50:18
115:7,19,25	119:19 121:23	118:9 124:4	56:11,14,15	today's 5:2
116:3 117:7,8	123:8 124:22	130:21	57:5 58:6,13	131:8
temporary	124:24 126:6,8	think 12:9 25:9	59:1,6 60:19	toes 23:20 81:25
24:19	132:11	29:22 34:16	60:22 61:3,22	told 70:23 71:1,2
tender 23:25	testing 21:20	42:10,12 43:6	62:4 64:14,17	72:17 73:1,5
26:4	22:13 26:8	48:11 50:6	64:19 65:24	73:12,20 74:23
tenderness	84:9 102:14	62:18,23 63:6	66:8,21 67:21	75:1 76:4,6,12
21:11 23:24	104:1	63:7,21 65:17	67:24,25 68:10	78:21
26:8,11 41:10	tests 16:7,9 44:7	70:18 77:12	68:13,14 69:3	tone 46:15,19
81:7	44:21 81:11	79:19,23 82:20	70:10 73:6,14	tonight 129:2
tendon 21:14	102:21 114:1	89:21,21 93:6	73:22 74:1	top 14:20
22:2,8	<b>TFCC 34:2</b>	94:1 96:13	75:13,19 77:6	tore 55:15
tension 41:18	thank 5:12	110:24 112:9	77:8 78:1,4,19	torn 55:24
			1	1

	17.0.10			126 10 24
total 113:25	<b>try</b> 17:9,10	underlying	velocity 96:12	126:10,24
114:4	21:21 23:20	53:20	96:21 97:4,10	127:2,3,15
totality 100:4	26:25 33:1	understand 58:8	97:11 99:1,8	128:15,17,18
totally 95:1 99:4	69:22 110:10	58:8 63:17	verbatim 78:9	128:25 131:8
totals 113:24	111:21 119:3	65:16 66:17	78:15 81:3	131:10
114:8	trying 64:4	68:22 89:9,12	130:13,17,20	Videographer
Totowa 3:7	89:25 104:18	100:14 109:12	versa 73:8	3:13 5:1,24 6:3
touch 25:23 47:8	<b>Tufts</b> 6:15	123:3	verse 5:3	42:22 44:16
touching 81:25	<b>tunnel</b> 26:19	understands	versus 119:23	45:25 46:4
training 6:14	40:8 54:2,5,7	65:19	vertebral 30:13	48:14,18 49:18
10:13,15 11:3	twenty 110:16	understood	32:5,10,24	50:25 56:11,15
transcript 2:5	<b>twice</b> 37:14,24	58:13	<b>vice</b> 73:8	60:19 61:3,22
64:9 78:8	107:17	unheard 101:4	video 5:5,22,25	62:4 64:14
79:15 89:15	<b>two</b> 7:10,15 34:4	unilaterally 54:3	6:1,4 42:20,23	65:24 67:21,25
132:10	37:16 45:21	University 6:11	42:25 44:17	68:10,14 75:13
<b>trauma</b> 29:15	55:19 60:12	6:12,15,17	46:1,2,5 48:15	75:19 78:1
35:8,16 51:24	73:9,25 76:24	48:21	48:16,19 49:19	80:15 82:25
100:19	91:8 100:20	unrelated	49:20,24 51:1	83:5,10,15
traumatic 8:19	102:12,19	117:25	56:10,12,13,16	84:19,25 85:8
30:11 54:6	114:1 120:22	unsteady 23:13	60:20,21 61:4	87:1,22 89:7
114:23 117:10	122:10	82:5,20	61:23 62:5	89:17 90:13,20
treat 9:16	two-and-a-half	<b>upper</b> 21:12	64:15,16 65:25	91:19,25 95:24
108:13 114:17	16:16	23:3 30:16,17	67:20,22,23	96:7 104:14,20
treatment 15:19	type 9:9 11:2	31:7,24 32:14	68:1,8,11,12	105:11,15
16:2 18:21	15:17 35:8	34:25 46:14,15	68:15 75:12,14	106:1 108:11
19:3 39:2,14	62:22 63:9	47:2 48:7	75:20 78:2,3	108:25 109:5
70:19 72:22	66:7	upsetting 77:22	79:17 80:16	112:14,24
109:20 110:2	<b>types</b> 9:11	usage 37:13	82:24 83:1,2,6	113:14,19
110:16 111:13	typical 15:22	use 27:23 28:5	83:11,12,16	121:8 122:6
114:8,13,18,24	17:24	42:9 55:21	84:17,20,21	124:17 125:14
115:8,22,24	typically 15:23	useful 53:19	85:1,5 87:2,3	125:25 126:9
116:1,10	21:22 29:18,20	usually 9:21	87:23 89:8,18	127:1,14
118:19 122:15	55:1 69:17	13:6,13 16:1	90:14,15,21	128:16,24
treatments 17:5	<b>typo</b> 19:21	17:9 22:11	91:20 92:1	131:7
tremendous	U	26:19 27:3	95:25 96:1,8	Videotaped 1:14
17:12	$\overline{\mathbf{U}5:15}$	34:6	104:13,15,16	2:5
trial 117:16		V	104:21 105:10	view 30:17
120:19	<b>Uh-huh</b> 48:5		105:12,13,16	virtual 115:21
tried 50:17	ultimate 43:19	v-1:7 Van 28:24 20:2	106:2,3,24	visit 15:20,22
tripping 19:8	ultimately 19:1	Van 38:24 39:2	108:12,24	103:14
trouble 40:10	50:20 52:2	40:22 90:18,23	109:1,2,6	visualized 31:8
true 69:5 70:23	<b>UMDNJ</b> 6:13	91:1 92:14	111:20 112:12	visually 28:5
71:6 73:4,21	<b>un-bias</b> 121:23	vast 58:17 59:16	112:15,25	<b>voir</b> 4:2 10:2,5
81:17,19 82:11	121:24 unable 23:17	59:18 <b>vehicle</b> 29:5	113:13,15,16	13:14
82:12 106:17			113:20 121:7,9	voluminous
110:23 132:10	94:7,22	34:13 36:20	121:10 122:4,7	57:15
truth 80:2 132:8	undergraduate	39:10 91:11,13	124:18 125:13	voluntarily
132:8,8	6:10	99:19 118:17	125:15 126:1,2	79:11
	l		l	I

				Fage IJI
W	7:23 9:23	wear 74:24,24	worse 19:12	year 57:9 60:5,7
waiting 69:6,9,9	42:23,24 43:12	76:7	worsened 33:18	60:14,25 66:23
80:9	45:22 46:1,2,5	web 61:25 62:1	worsening 52:16	years 7:16,24
walk 17:17 40:4	48:15,16,19	62:20	wouldn't 88:25	8:1 16:16
77:1 94:7,7,22	49:19,20 51:1	website 61:12,15	wrist 26:9,13,18	29:22 61:8
94:22	56:12,13,16	61:19 62:13,17	27:1 33:23	77:20 78:14
walking 19:13	60:20,21 61:23	wedging 30:13	34:5 39:11	96:25 109:20
77:14 80:25	62:5 64:15,16	Wednesday 1:15	53:24,25 54:9	110:17 111:13
want 12:6 23:14	64:18 65:25	2:12	54:15,17 74:24	115:9,22
27:25 42:9,9	67:22,23 68:1	weeks 73:25	75:5,23 76:1,7	York 6:23 7:2
43:4,5 44:2	68:11,12,15	116:22,23	91:16	Yup 82:15
55:21 64:21	75:14,15,20	went 18:25	wrists 18:14	
65:4,10 66:3	78:2,3,23	39:14 44:19	19:19 20:2	Z
68:16 74:25	79:15 80:8,16	69:10 71:7	28:23 39:11	<b>Z</b> 5:15
75:8 76:1,8	83:1,2,6,11,12	74:12 76:6	40:7 92:5	<b>ZOOM</b> 2:12
77:20 81:24	83:16,23 84:19	West 1:23	write 18:3 76:9	
82:12 88:13	84:21 85:1,3	wheel 91:17	77:23 81:2	0
90:19 97:17,18	87:2,3,20,23	92:6	writing 40:10	<b>07512</b> 3:7
112:22 115:13	89:8,18 90:14	witness 4:2 5:9	127:20	<b>07607</b> 1:24
119:18 120:25	90:15,18,21	5:18 75:17	written 6:2 7:11	<b>07719</b> 3:4
121:13,17	91:4,20 92:1	80:11 89:13	46:3 48:17	1
123:13,16,21	92:15 93:10	101:2 106:11	56:14 64:17,19	
125:7,8 127:8	95:17,25 96:1	112:21 132:7	68:13 83:3,13	<b>1-5</b> 1:9,10
127:8	96:8 103:13	witnesses 100:23	84:22 90:16	<b>1,000</b> 57:12
wanted 79:20	104:15,16,21	witnesses' 101:4	104:17 105:14	66:24
wasn't 20:12	105:4,12,13,16	woke 117:3	109:3 113:17	<b>1/04</b> 45:18
30:21 52:20	106:2,3,24	<b>woman</b> 18:10	wrong 73:7	1/31/18 107:1 1/31/2018
59:11 106:13	108:12,25	woman's 96:14	102:11	107:17
114:19	109:2,6 111:19	word 69:4 72:8	wrote 13:5,10,12	<b>10</b> 7.17 <b>10</b> 3:7 4:6 7:24
wasting 120:21	111:20 112:15	72:10 117:18	70:4,14 72:20	8:1 40:24 57:4
water 18:17	112:25 113:15	117:20	78:9,15 81:9	58:3 86:14
way 22:12,20	113:16,20	work 6:10 8:12	81:23 82:8	91:5 95:3
24:21 25:14	120:19,20	18:18 58:21	87:24 88:3	107:17 110:20
44:10 65:9	121:9,10,16,19	59:11,12 62:22	92:17 101:15	
76:4 88:18	121:20 122:1,3	63:9,10,12	128:5	110:24,25 111:1,1 113:25
89:24 95:3	122:7 124:18	65:9 66:7		120:21
97:10 100:18	125:12,15,17	69:19,20 73:22	<u> </u>	<b>100</b> 67:13 77:20
101:10 106:12	126:1,2,10	74:13,19	<b>X</b> 4:1,9 62:2	114:5
110:11 125:2,4	127:2,3,15	130:22	<b>X-rays</b> 16:7 18:1	<b>10th</b> 39:3
125:7,12	128:17,18,25	worked 73:25	29:25 113:25	<b>1011</b> 39:5 <b>1177-19</b> 63:2
ways 25:3	131:9	74:1,2	Y	<b>11</b> 77-19 03.2 <b>12</b> 37:14 113:25
we'll 42:8 43:22	we've 77:19	working 18:16		<b>12</b> 57.14 115.25 <b>12/16/16</b> 91:12
43:23 44:17	100:20 110:13	22:18 63:16	<b>yeah</b> 20:3 33:5	12/10/10 91.12
64:8,11 70:18	120:21	66:16 74:17	38:16 43:1	83:24
77:6 78:7 80:4	weak 19:7	works 58:25	45:19 51:4	<b>12/26</b> 83:23
123:14 125:11	weakness 19:9	59:3,12,12	58:12,12,12 82:17 92:15	<b>12/20</b> 85.25 <b>129</b> 4:5
128:22				
120.22	21:21 25:24	61:6 101:11		
we're 5:25 6:1,4		61:6 101:11 workup 71:23	106:23 117:17	<b>13</b> 113:25

<b>135,000</b> 60:7	110:14 111:15	<b>31</b> 97:16 106:24	77 114:5	<b>9:38</b> 122:6
<b>135,000</b> 00:7 <b>14</b> 16:14 32:16	110:14 111:13	<b>33</b> 128:6	// 114:5	<b>9:38</b> 122:0 <b>9:41</b> 124:17
<b>14</b> 10:14 32:10 <b>14th</b> 31:13	<b>2017</b> 28:20,22		8	<b>9:41</b> 124:17 <b>9:42</b> 125:14,25
<b>15</b> 36:5 59:21		<b>33-year-old</b> 128:6	8 61:3,22	<b>9:42</b> 125.14,25 <b>9:43</b> 126:9
65:22 66:2	28:22,23,24,24	<b>3387-05</b> 123:6	<b>8:01</b> 62:4	<b>9:43</b> 120:9 <b>9:44</b> 127:1
	31:2 33:8,17		<b>8:04</b> 64:14	<b>9:44</b> 127:14 <b>9:46</b> 127:14
<b>16</b> 29:1,6,14	33:22 34:12,19	<b>35</b> 113:25	<b>8:23</b> 65:24	<b>9:40</b> 127:14 <b>9:47</b> 128:16
34:14 109:21 111:15	35:6 36:2 39:3 40:24 45:5	<b>3500</b> 57:20	<b>8:24</b> 67:21	<b>9:47</b> 128:10 <b>9:49</b> 128:24
<b>1643-17</b> 118:15	40:24 45:5 88:5 91:5 95:4	4	<b>8:28</b> 67:25	<b>9:51</b> 131:9,11
120:4	95:16,19 98:14	4 45:10,21 48:2	<b>8:29</b> 68:10,14	<b>90</b> 11:23 12:7
<b>16th</b> 3:4	99:15 104:25	<b>4,000</b> 57:20	<b>8:37</b> 75:13	25:9 86:14
<b>17</b> 45:17 128:5	105:6	<b>40</b> 98:23 99:20	<b>8:38</b> 75:19	<b>909-0666</b> 1:25
	<b>2018</b> 120:6	<b>45</b> 85:16,20	<b>8:40</b> 78:1	<b>909-0000</b> 1.23 <b>92</b> 73:8
<b>175,000</b> 67:4 <b>18</b> 106:24	125:20		<b>8:44</b> 80:15	<b>92</b> 73:8 <b>93</b> 73:8
125:20	<b>2019</b> 127:18	5	<b>8:47</b> 82:25	93 / 5.0
<b>123:20</b> <b>1992</b> 73:2	128:6	<b>5,000</b> 69:11	<b>8:48</b> 83:5,10	
1992/1993	<b>2020</b> 16:14	109:9	<b>8:50</b> 83:15	
109:14	<b>2020</b> 10:14 <b>2021</b> 57:3,8,19	<b>52</b> 111:4,6,6	<b>8:51</b> 84:19	
<b>109.</b> 14 <b>1993</b> 109:20	58:3 63:2	<b>56</b> 4:6	<b>8:52</b> 85:1	
110:14	<b>2022</b> 1:15 2:12	<b>57</b> 114:4	<b>8:54</b> 87:1	
<b>1</b> st 31:2 33:8	5:2		<b>8:55</b> 87:22	
34:19 35:6	<b>2032</b> 8:5	6	<b>8:57</b> 89:7,17	
54.19 55.0	<b>2032</b> 8.5 <b>21st</b> 36:2,9,10	<b>6</b> 32:24	<b>8:58</b> 90:13	
2	36:11,12 37:5	<b>6,13</b> 4:5	<b>8:59</b> 90:20	
<b>2:30</b> 69:1	37:20	<b>6:36</b> 2:13 5:3	80 59:20	
<b>20</b> 27:3 59:21	<b>22</b> 1:15 2:12	<b>6:38</b> 5:24	<b>811</b> 3:4	
85:16,20 88:5	<b>22</b> 1.13 2.12 <b>22nd</b> 5:2	<b>6:40</b> 6:3	<b>85</b> 59:21	
96:24 98:23	<b>23</b> 109:20	<b>600</b> 106:25	<b>8606</b> 125:19	
114:1,2	110:16 111:13	<b>64</b> 70:9,13	<b>875</b> 67:1,3	
<b>200</b> 57:9 60:4,10	115:9,22	64-year-old	8th 57:3 58:3	
60:11,25 66:23	<b>23rd</b> 33:22	91:10		
67:3	34:12,14	<b>67</b> 70:5	9	
2008 123:6	<b>24/7</b> 77:2	67-year-old	<b>9</b> 45:5,17,19	
<b>201</b> 1:25	<b>240</b> 1:23	18:10	91:19,25 98:14	
<b>2011</b> 125:20	<b>25</b> 65:23 66:2	7	99:15 104:25	
2013 28:19,20	86:15		<b>9:04</b> 95:24	
28:21 30:7,24	<b>2500</b> 117:13	<b>7:24</b> 42:22	<b>9:05</b> 96:7	
31:11,13 32:16	<b>25th</b> 63:2	<b>7:26</b> 44:16	<b>9:14</b> 104:14	
33:17 35:3	<b>26</b> 45:10,21,21	<b>7:28</b> 45:25	<b>9:16</b> 104:20	
37:15 109:19	45:21 127:17	<b>7:32</b> 46:4	<b>9:17</b> 105:11	
110:13 111:11	<b>277</b> 5:20	<b>7:35</b> 48:14	<b>9:19</b> 105:15	
129:21	<b>29</b> 111:4	<b>7:37</b> 48:18	106:1	
<b>2014</b> 38:1	=/ 111. ř	<b>7:38</b> 49:18	<b>9:22</b> 108:11	
129:15,15	3	<b>7:39</b> 50:25	<b>9:23</b> 108:25	
<b>2016</b> 18:11	<b>30</b> 27:3 86:13	<b>7:46</b> 56:11	<b>9:24</b> 109:5	
28:19 29:2,6	106:23	7:55 56:15	<b>9:28</b> 112:14,24	
29:14 34:14	30XI00203200	<b>7:59</b> 60:19	<b>9:29</b> 113:14,19	
37:5 109:21	132:23	70 9:8	<b>9:37</b> 121:8	
		<b>750</b> 57:12 66:24		